

Economics and Philosophy

Aims and Scope

The disciplines of economics and philosophy each possess their own special analytical methods, the combination of which is powerful and fruitful. *Economics and Philosophy* seeks to promote the mutual enrichment of the two disciplines by publishing high-quality original research in all contemporary areas linking them, as well as relevant book reviews. *Economics and Philosophy* only publishes articles that engage with issues that are of interest to *both* economists and philosophers. Topics include, but are not limited to, the foundations of rational or behavioral decision theory and game theory, the nature of rationality in general, issues at the intersection of economics with moral, political, and social philosophy, experimental research on economics and philosophy, and the philosophy and methodology of economics. Articles that employ mathematics must be written in such a way that they are accessible to readers with limited formal training. *Economics and Philosophy* does not publish historical or text-interpretive work unless it makes a contribution to current debates about economics and philosophy.

Editorial Policies

1. The Editors prefer papers of fewer than 12,000 words and may return longer papers to their authors without a review with a request that they be resubmitted in shorter form.
2. The Editors will consider short comments on or replies to papers published in *Economics and Philosophy*. Comments and replies are expected to make a significant contribution to the literature in their own right. In case of a reply, the author of the original paper will be allowed to publish a short response (up to 300 words) alongside the reply.
3. Unsolicited book reviews are not considered.
4. The Editors of *Economics and Philosophy* are committed to double blind refereeing. With double blind refereeing, the identity of an author is known to all of the Editors, but not to the referees. The identity of a referee is known to the Editors, but not to the author unless the referee has waived his or her anonymity.

If a submitted paper is closely related to previous work of the author or if arguments that have been developed previously by him or her are appealed to, then the paper must include a discussion of how it relates to this previous work. The Editors recognize that there are limited circumstances in which this requirement warrants an exception to the double-blind policy.

In most cases, an author can refer to his or her earlier work in an anonymized version of

the paper without self-identifying. If an author does not believe that the paper can be anonymized so as to conceal his or her identity, a non-anonymized version may be submitted for review together with an explanation as to why it is not possible to anonymize the paper without self-identifying. If the Editors agree with this assessment, then the non-anonymized version will be reviewed. Otherwise, the paper will be returned for anonymizing.

If an author is invited to submit a revision and it will not be sent out for a further external review, then the Editors may ask for the revision to be non-anonymized.

5. The editorial team consists of four Editors, the Book Review Editor, and the Managing Editor. On a rotating basis, one of the Editors is designated as the Lead Editor.

Upon receipt of a submission, the Lead Editor reviews the paper to determine whether it should be assigned to one of the Editors, including him- or herself, for further consideration or rejected without an external review. Authors of rejected papers will be provided with a reason for the rejection.

The decision letter is written by the Editor in charge of the paper. It and any external reviews received are circulated to the other Editors for comment before the decision is conveyed to the author.

6. Any advice received from referees will be taken into account when making an editorial decision. However, the ultimate responsibility for a decision rests with the Editors and it may differ from what has been recommended by the referees.

Any report received from a referee will be forwarded to the author in full. The Editors should not be taken to endorse the content or tone of any referee's report.

Referees will be informed of the editorial decision. The contents of the decision letter and the other referees' reports received may be shared with a referee in whole or in part in a redacted form that preserves the anonymity of the author and the referees. However, when a final decision has been made on a submission, the referees will be informed of the author's identity.

7. An author who is invited to prepare a revision will be given a time limit for submitting it. Any paper not resubmitted by this time is considered to have been withdrawn. Currently, this deadline is eight months for a first revision. Subsequent revisions and papers for a symposium are generally given shorter deadlines.

8. Statistics about submissions and editorial decisions, including the acceptance rate and information about the length of time taken to make editorial decisions, is provided in an Annual Report. The latest Annual Report may be downloaded [here](#).

Submission of Manuscripts

1. All submissions should be made through the journal's online submission site:

<https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/eap>. General enquiries and any correspondence about a submission should be sent to economicsandphilosophy@cambridge.org.

2. Submitting an article to *Economics and Philosophy* implies that it has not been published in whole or in part elsewhere and is not being considered for publication elsewhere.
3. Authors should ensure that the paper falls within the scope of the journal as described above before submitting.
4. With the exception noted above, articles must be prepared for **anonymous refereeing**. That is, a referee should not be able to see or directly infer from the paper who the author is. For example, (i) instead of writing “as I have argued previously in Sen (2014)”, please write “as has been argued in Sen (2014)” and (ii) instead of putting “Reference Suppressed”, write, for instance, “Sen (2014)” in the main text and “Sen, A. (2014): Justice and identity. *Economics and Philosophy* 30: 1-10” in the References.

Any Acknowledgments should be omitted from the anonymized version, but provided in a cover letter to the Editors.

5. Authors of accepted articles will be asked to prepare a final version in the journal's style and to submit it electronically. Detailed instructions for preparing the final version of a paper will be provided upon acceptance.
6. Authors must obtain written permission to publish any material for which they do not own the copyright. Authors of papers published in the journal assign copyright to Cambridge University Press (with certain rights reserved). The standard transfer of copyright form may be downloaded [here](#). The open access standard transfer of copyright form may be downloaded [here](#).

Information about where and in what form an author may post the accepted version of a paper may be found on the transfer of copyright form.

Text Conventions

1. Authors should make their writing attractive, and as clear and easy to follow as possible. Non-native speakers of English in particular should take steps to make sure that their writing is correct and readable.
2. **Footnotes and Citations.** Footnotes should be used sparingly. Do not use a footnote only to provide a citation. Citations should appear in the main text. Guidance about how to cite references may be found in the detailed instructions for preparing the final version of a paper, which will be provided on acceptance.

Endnotes are acceptable for review purposes, but the final version of an accepted article must use footnotes.

3. **Spelling and Grammar.** Stick consistently to either UK usage or US usage.

Proofs

Typographical or factual errors only may be changed at the proof stage, within a given deadline. The publisher reserves the right to charge authors for the correction of non-typographical errors.

Offprints

Authors will receive a pdf offprint of the published paper. Print offprints may be purchased from the publisher if ordered at the proof stage.

FirstView

Once proofs have been corrected but prior to being assigned to an issue, accepted articles will be published online on the *Economics and Philosophy* website:

<http://journals.cambridge.org/eap>.

Books for Review

Publishers who wish to suggest a book for review should send details of the book by email to the Book Review Editor at: eapreviewseditor@gmail.com.

(Last updated January 5, 2023)