

# A THEOREM ON PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS, WITH APPLICATIONS TO FIXED POINT THEOREMS

SMBAT ABIAN AND ARTHUR B. BROWN

In this paper the authors prove Theorem 1 on maps of partially ordered sets into themselves, and derive some fixed point theorems as corollaries.

Here, for any partially ordered set  $P$ , and any mapping  $f: P \rightarrow P$  and any point  $a \in P$ , a well ordered subset  $W(a) \subset P$  is constructed. Except when  $W(a)$  has a last element  $\xi$  greater than or not comparable to  $f(\xi)$ ,  $W(a)$ , although constructed differently, is identical with the set  $A$  of Bourbaki (3) determined by  $a, f$ , and  $P_1: \{x|x \in P, x \leq f(x)\}$ .

Theorem 1 and the fixed point Theorems 2 and 4, as well as Corollaries 2 and 4, are believed to be new.

Corollaries 1 and 3 are respectively the well-known theorems given in (1, p. 54, Theorem 8, and Example 4).

The fixed point Theorem 3 is that of (1, p. 44, Example 4); and has as a corollary the theorem given in (2) and (3).

The proofs are based entirely on the definitions of partially and well ordered sets and, except in the cases of Theorem 4 and Corollary 4, make no use of any form of the axiom of choice.

In what follows, " $a < b$ " implies that  $a$  and  $b$  are distinct. Furthermore, we shall always deal with elements and subsets of a given partially ordered set  $P$ , and "lub  $T$ " will denote exclusively "the least upper bound of  $T$  in  $P$ "; that is, an upper bound  $z$  of  $T$  such that if  $s$  is any other upper bound of  $T$ , then  $z < s$ . The symbol " $\subset$ " shall mean "is a subset (not necessarily proper) of."

*Definition.* Let  $P$  be a partially ordered set and  $f$  a mapping of  $P$  into  $P$ . For any  $a \in P$ , an  $a$ -chain  $C_r$  is a subset of  $P$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $C_r$  is well ordered, with  $a$  as its first element and  $r$  as its last element;
- (2) If  $z \in C_r$  and  $z \neq r$ , then  $f(z) \in C_r$ ,  $z < f(z)$ , and there exists no  $y \in C_r$  for which  $z < y < f(z)$ ;
- (3) If  $T$  is a non-empty subset of  $C_r$ , then the least upper bound (in  $P$ ) of  $T$  exists and is in  $C_r$ .

It will follow from Lemma 4 below that, for given  $P, f$ , and  $a$ ,  $C_r$  is uniquely determined by  $r$ .

We designate by  $W(a)$  the set of all  $r \in P$  for which there exists an  $a$ -chain  $C_r$  having  $r$  as its last element. We note that (2) implies that  $W(a) = \{a\}$  except when  $a < f(a)$ .

Received September 1, 1959.

Under the hypotheses of the Definition, we shall first prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If  $r \in W(a)$  and  $C_r$  is an  $a$ -chain with last element  $r$ , then  $C_r \subset W(a)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $t \in C_r$ , the set of all elements of  $C_r$  which are  $\leq t$  is easily seen to be an  $a$ -chain, and hence  $t \in W(a)$ . Therefore the lemma is true.

LEMMA 2. *If  $r \in W(a)$  and  $r \leq f(r)$ , then  $f(r) \in W(a)$ .*

*Proof.* The set  $C_r \cup \{f(r)\}$  is obviously an  $a$ -chain, and hence  $f(r) \in W(a)$ .

LEMMA 3. *If  $r, s \in W(a)$  and  $C_r$  is an  $a$ -chain with last element  $r$ , then either  $s \in C_r$  or  $r < s$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T = C_r \cap C_s$ . By (1),  $T \neq \emptyset$  and hence, by (3),  $z = \text{lub } T$  exists and  $z \in T$ . If  $s \notin C_r$ , then  $z \neq s$ . If also  $z \neq r$  then, by (2),  $z < f(z) \in T$ , contrary to the fact that  $z = \text{lub } T$ . Hence  $s \notin C_r$  implies that  $z = r$ , so that  $r \in C_s$ ; and since  $r \neq s$ , we see by (1) that  $r < s$ . Since, by (1),  $s \in C_r$  and  $r < s$  cannot both hold, we infer the truth of Lemma 3.

LEMMA 4. *If  $r \in W(a)$ , there is just one  $C_r$  with last element  $r$ , namely the set of all elements of  $W(a)$  which are  $\leq r$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 1 and 3.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $P$  be a non-empty partially ordered set,  $f$  a map of  $P$  into  $P$ , and  $a$  an arbitrary element of  $P$ . Then*

(4)  *$W(a)$  is well ordered with  $a$  its first element.*

*Moreover, if  $\xi = \text{lub } W(a)$  exists, then*

(5)  *$W(a)$  is an  $a$ -chain with  $\xi$  its last element,*

*and*

(6)  $\xi \prec f(\xi)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be any non-empty subset of  $W(a)$ , and  $r \in H$ . Since  $r \in H \cap C_r$ , we see by (1) that  $H \cap C_r$  has a first element, which, in view of Lemma 4, is the first element of  $H$ . Hence  $W(a)$  is well ordered. By Lemma 1,  $a \in W(a)$ , and by (1), if  $r \in W(a)$  then  $a \leq r$ . Thus we conclude that  $a$  is the first element of  $W(a)$ . Hence (4) is valid.

Next, assume  $\xi = \text{lub } W(a)$  exists and let  $W^* = W(a) \cup \{\xi\}$ . We shall show that  $W^*$  is an  $a$ -chain. Since  $W(a)$  is well ordered,  $W^*$  is well ordered too and thus (1) is satisfied for  $W^*$ , with  $a$  its first and  $\xi$  its last element. Now, let  $z \in W^*$  and  $z \neq \xi$ . Then  $z \in W(a)$  and  $\{x \mid x \in W(a), z < x\} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $W(a)$  is well ordered,  $z$  has an immediate successor  $r$  in  $W(a)$ , hence in  $W^*$ . By Lemma 4,  $z$  and  $r$  are the last two elements of  $C_r$ . Hence, by (2) applied to  $z$  as an element of  $C_r$ , we see that  $f(z) = r$ , so that (2) is satisfied for  $W^*$ . To prove (3) for  $W^*$ , let  $T$  be any non-empty subset of  $W^*$ . Obviously  $\xi$  is an

upper bound of  $T$ . If there is no element of  $W(a)$  which exceeds every element of  $T$  then, in view of the well orderedness of  $W(a)$ , any upper bound of  $T$  is also an upper bound of  $W(a)$  and hence is  $\geq \xi$ , which implies that  $\xi = \text{lub } T$ , and thus  $\text{lub } T \in W^*$ . If there is an element  $r \in W(a)$  which exceeds every element of  $T$ , then  $T \subset W(a)$  and, by Lemma 4,  $T \subset C_r$ . Hence, by (3),  $\text{lub } T$  exists and is in  $C_r$ , and therefore, by Lemma 1,  $\text{lub } T \in W(a)$ , so that again  $\text{lub } T \in W^*$ . Consequently (3) is satisfied for  $W^*$ . Therefore  $W^*$  is an  $a$ -chain with  $\xi$  its last element, which implies that  $\xi \in W(a)$  and  $W(a) = W^*$ . Thus (5) is valid.

Now, suppose  $\xi < f(\xi)$ . By Lemma 2,  $f(\xi) \in W(a)$ , so that (5) is contradicted. Therefore  $\xi \nless f(\xi)$ . Thus (6) is valid, and Theorem 1 is proved.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $P$  be a partially ordered set in which*

(7) *lub of every non-empty well ordered subset  $W \subset P$  exists.*

*Let  $f$  be a map of  $P$  into  $P$  such that  $f$  is isotone, that is,*

(8) *for every two elements  $x, y \in P$  with  $x \leq y$ , we have  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ ;*

*and*

(9) *there exists an element  $a \in P$  with  $a \leq f(a)$ .*

*Then there exists at least one  $\xi \in P$  such that  $\xi = f(\xi)$ . In fact,  $\xi = \text{lub } W(a)$  is such an element.*

*Proof.* If  $a = f(a)$ , the conclusion is obvious. Now suppose  $a < f(a)$ .

Consider the set  $W(a)$ , where  $a$  is the element referred to in (9). By (4) and (7),  $\xi = \text{lub } W(a)$  exists, and hence by (5),  $W(a) = C_\xi$ . By (9) and Lemma 2 we see that  $f(a) \in W(a)$ , and therefore  $a < \xi$ . Since  $W(a)$  is an  $a$ -chain and  $W(a) - \{\xi\}$  is non-empty, we infer from (3) that  $\theta = \text{lub } [W(a) - \{\xi\}]$  is in  $W(a) = C_\xi$ . According as  $\theta = \xi$  or  $\theta < \xi$ , we have

$$(10) \quad \xi = \text{lub } [W(a) - \{\xi\}]$$

or

$$(11) \quad \xi \text{ is the immediate successor of } \theta \text{ in } W(a).$$

If (10) holds, take any element  $z \in [W(a) - \{\xi\}]$ . Then  $z < \xi$ , and by (8),  $f(z) \leq f(\xi)$ . By (2),  $z < f(z)$ . Consequently  $z < f(\xi)$  and therefore  $f(\xi)$  is an upper bound for  $[W(a) - \{\xi\}]$ , and thus, by (10),  $\xi \leq f(\xi)$ .

If (11) holds, by (2),  $f(\theta) = \xi$ . Also, since  $\theta < \xi$ , by (8),  $f(\theta) \leq f(\xi)$ , so that again  $\xi \leq f(\xi)$ .

Since  $\xi \leq f(\xi)$ , we see from (6) that  $\xi = f(\xi)$ . Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

*Remark.* An alternative proof of Theorem 2 can be given by considering the set  $\{x|x \in P, x \leq f(x)\}$  and using Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $f$  be any isotope map of a non-empty complete lattice  $L$  into itself. Then  $\xi = f(\xi)$  for some  $\xi \in L$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 2, we need only verify (9). Choose  $a =$  the greatest

lower bound of  $L$ . Then clearly (9) is valid, and Corollary 1 follows from Theorem 2.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $P$  be a partially ordered set in which*

- (12) *every non-empty well ordered subset  $W \subset P$  which is bounded above has a lub.*

*Let  $f$  be an isotone map of  $P$  into  $P$  and let there exist two elements  $a, b \in P$  such that*

(13) 
$$a \leq f(a) \leq f(b) \leq b.$$

*Then there exists  $\xi \in P$  such that  $\xi = f(\xi)$  and  $a \leq \xi \leq b$ . In fact,  $\xi = \text{lub}W(a)$  is such an element.*

*Proof.* Let  $Q = \{x|x \in P, a \leq x \leq b\}$ . Since  $f$  is isotone, we see by (13) that if  $x \in Q$ , then  $a \leq f(a) \leq f(x) \leq f(b) \leq b$ . Hence  $f$  maps  $Q$  into  $Q$ . Moreover, since  $Q$  is bounded above by  $b$ , we see from (12) that (7) is valid for  $Q$ . Therefore the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied by  $Q, f$ , and  $a$ . Thus from Theorem 2 we infer the validity of Corollary 2.

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $f$  is an isotone map of a conditionally complete lattice into itself and if  $a \leq f(a) \leq f(b) \leq b$ , then  $\xi = f(\xi)$  for some  $\xi$  with  $a \leq \xi \leq b$ .*

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $P$  be a non-empty partially ordered set in which*

- (14) *lub of every non-empty well ordered subset  $W \subset P$  exists.*

*Let  $f$  be a map of  $P$  into  $P$  such that*

(15) 
$$\text{for every } x \in P, \quad x \leq f(x).$$

*Then there exists at least one  $\xi \in P$  such that  $\xi = f(\xi)$ . In fact, for every  $a \in P$ ,  $\xi = \text{lub } W(a)$  is such an element.*

*Proof.* Consider an  $a$ -chain  $W(a) \subset P$ . By (4) and (14),  $\xi = \text{lub } W(a)$  exists. By (15) and (6),  $\xi = f(\xi)$ . Thus Theorem 3 is proved.

In the following a generalization of Corollary 2 is proved with the help of the axiom of choice.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $P$  be a partially ordered set in which*

- (16) *lub of every non-empty well ordered subset which is bounded above exists.*

*Let  $g$  be a map of  $P$  into  $P$  such that, for every two elements  $x, y \in P$ ,*

(17) 
$$\text{if } g(x) < g(y), \text{ then } x < y;$$

*and, for  $x, y, s \in P$ ,*

(18) 
$$\text{if } g(x) \leq s \leq g(y), \text{ then } g^{-1}(s) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Furthermore, let  $f$  be an isotone map of  $P$  into  $P$ , and let there exist  $a, b \in P$ , with  $a < b$ , satisfying*

$$g(a) \leq f(a) \quad \text{and} \quad f(b) \leq g(b).$$

*Then there reexists at least one  $\xi \in P$  such that  $a \leq \xi \leq b$  and  $f(\xi) = g(\xi)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f(a) = g(a)$  or  $f(b) = g(b)$ , the conclusion is obvious. Hence we may assume that

$$(19) \quad g(a) < f(a) \quad \text{and} \quad f(b) < g(b).$$

Consider the set  $\{S_i\}$  of all non-empty subsets  $S_i \subset P$  such that there exists  $s_i \in P$  with  $g^{-1}(s_i) = S_i$ . Clearly,  $\{S_i\} \neq \emptyset$ . By the axiom of choice, there exists a function  $\varphi$  mapping  $\{S_i\}$  into  $P$ , such that  $\varphi(S_i) \in S_i$ . Hence

$$(20) \quad g\varphi g^{-1}(s_i) = s_i.$$

We observe also that, in view of (17),

$$(21) \quad \text{if } s_i < s_j, \text{ then every element of } g^{-1}(s_i) < \text{every element of } g^{-1}(s_j).$$

We shall show now that the function

$$(22) \quad h = \varphi g^{-1} f$$

maps the set  $Q = \{x | x \in P, a \leq x \leq b\}$  into itself. If  $x \in Q$ , then, since  $f$  is isotone, by (19) we have

$$(23) \quad g(a) < f(a) \leq f(x) \leq f(b) < g(b),$$

and hence by (18) we see that  $g^{-1}[f(x)] \neq \emptyset$ . By (21) and (23) we find,  $a < \varphi g^{-1}[f(x)] < b$ . Hence, by (22),  $h(x) \in Q$ . Taking  $x = a$ , we infer also that

$$(24) \quad a < h(a).$$

Furthermore, since  $f$  is isotone, if  $x \leq y$  then  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ , and from (21) we infer that  $\varphi g^{-1}[f(x)] \leq \varphi g^{-1}[f(y)]$ , so that by (22)  $h$  is isotone on  $Q$ .

From (24) we see that  $a$  and  $h$  satisfy (9) on  $Q$ . Also, since  $Q$  is bounded above by  $b$ , we see from (16) that  $Q$  satisfies (7).

Hence  $Q$  and  $h$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2, and consequently there exists  $\xi \in Q$  such that  $h(\xi) = \xi$ . Applying  $g$  to each side we have, by (22)

$$g\varphi g^{-1}[f(\xi)] = g(\xi),$$

and thus, by (20),

$$f(\xi) = g(\xi).$$

This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 4.** *If in Theorem 4 instead of condition (17) we assume that  $g$  is isotone, then the conclusion of Theorem 4 remains valid provided  $P$  is a simply ordered set.*

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory* (A.M.S. Coll. Publ., 25, rev. ed.; New York, 1948).
2. N. Bourbaki, *Théorie des ensembles* (Paris, 1956), chapter III, p. 48, Example 6b.
3. ———— *Sur le Théorème de Zorn*, *Archiv der Mathematik*, 2 (1949–50), 434–437.

*University of Pennsylvania*  
and  
*Queens College*