

COMMISSION 49: THE INTERPLANETARY PLASMA AND THE HELIOSPHERE  
(PLASMA INTERPLANETAIRE ET L'HELIOSPHERE)

PRESIDENT: L. Burlaga

VICE-PRESIDENT: B. Buti

INTRODUCTION

L. Burlaga

The heliosphere is a unique laboratory for in situ studies of fundamental astrophysical plasma processes on a vast range of scales. Commission 49 of the International Astronomical Union is devoted to the study of the heliosphere and the plasma processes therein. Traditionally Commission 49 has worked with other IAU Commissions on solar-heliosphere relations, solar wind-planet/comet interactions, and the solar wind-interstellar medium interaction. During the last few years, we have aimed to improve communications between the members of Commission 49 and the plasma physicists in other areas of astrophysics. To that end, this Report focuses on the plasma processes in the heliosphere. The report consists of two reviews: "MHD Flows in the Heliosphere" by S. Suess, which emphasizes the large-scale processes; and "Plasma Processes in the Heliosphere" by F. Verheest, which emphasizes the small-scale processes. These reviews and the accompanying bibliographies should be valuable to plasma physicists in many different fields as a source of ideas and as a guide to the recent heliospheric plasma physics literature. Copies of the Report can be obtained by writing to me at NASA/GSFC; Code 692; Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA.

## MHD FLOWS IN THE HELIOSPHERE

S. T. Suess

NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, U.S.A.

Introduction

Both large-scale MHD flows and interaction of the heliosphere with the local interstellar medium (LISM) are covered in this section while plasma physics and waves are excluded. Most topics have been selected for review specifically because they have **astrophysical analogues**. The reader is also referred to the following recent review articles: Baranov (1990), Burlaga (1988a), Holzer (1989), Hundhausen (1988), Kennel (1988), Lazarus and Belcher (1988), Richter (1988), and Suess (1990).

Interaction with the Interstellar Medium

The presence of four U.S. spacecraft in the outer solar system has drawn attention to the interaction of the solar wind with the local interstellar medium (LISM) and to the structure of the outer heliosphere. Tentative remote detections place the terminal shock marking the end of the supersonic expansion of the solar wind only slightly beyond the present spacecraft positions near 50 AU (Czechowski and Grzedzielski, 1990; Gangopadhyay and Judge, 1989; Gangopadhyay, Ogawa, and Judge, 1989; Kurth, *et al.*, 1987; Kurth, 1988; McNutt, 1988b; Van Allen, 1988). The astrophysical analogues are impinging flows such as jets, HII regions, interacting stellar winds, and bubbles (e.g. Duric, 1990). Unfortunately, the physical parameters for the heliosphere and LISM are so poorly known that models are weakly constrained (Lee, 1988; Lee and Axford, 1988; Suess and Nerney, 1990). Given published results, the external flow may be subsonic or supersonic and both slow and intermediate bow shocks may exist (there is more on slow and intermediate shocks below) (Baranov, 1990; Holzer, 1989; Suess, 1990). Some models assume a spherical terminal shock and go on from there to examine the rest of the heliospheric dynamics and consequent interactions with the LISM (Suess and Nerney, 1990; Gangopadhyay and Judge, 1989). In some of these models, MHD effects determine the terminal shock distance from the Sun (Lee, 1988) and in other models the shape of the terminal shock is examined as a separate issue (Suess, Hathaway, and Dessler, 1987; Fahr, Fichtner, and Neutsch, 1990). The geometry of the contact surface separating the LISM from the solar wind (the heliopause) has been shown to be calculable separately using the Newtonian approximation (Fahr, Grzedzielski, and Ratkiewicz, 1988). Because cosmic rays exert a pressure on the solar wind as a part of their modulation, they too alter the position of the terminal shock (Ko and Webb, 1987, 1988; Ko, Jokipii, and Webb, 1988). Finally, models have been used to analyze large-scale gradients in the solar wind through their effects on solar UV radiation that is backscattered from incoming interstellar neutral atoms analysis (Lallement, 1988; Lallement and Bertaux, 1990; Wallis, 1988; Fahr and Rucinski, 1989).

Slow and Intermediate Shocks

Propagating slow shocks in the heliosphere have raised interesting problems because there are fewer such shocks than initially expected (Richter, 1988). Now, numerical simulations are beginning to explain this situation in terms of the evolution of slow shocks and how slow shocks are formed (Chao, *et al.*, 1988; Wu, Panitchob, and Suess, 1988; Winske, 1988; Whang, 1988; Linker, VanHoven, and Schnack, 1990). The most comprehensive studies have been done in association with the modeling of corona mass ejections (CMEs) in the corona (Hundhausen, Holzer, and Low, 1988; Hundhausen, 1988; Steinolfson, 1988; Steinolfson and Hundhausen, 1989) and have additionally shown that intermediate shocks can exist in flows that are not strictly one-dimensional (Steinolfson and Hundhausen, 1990). This last is a surprising result in view of the classic theory showing no intermediate shocks in 1-d flow.

A separate issue is the possible existence of standing slow shock waves in the inner solar wind and stellar winds. This has been reexamined by Leer and Holzer (1990). Some MHD flow geometries do admit such solutions but Leer and Holzer show that this only occurs for a very narrow range of flow parameters.

Dynamic Phenomena : Magnetic Draping, Magnetic Clouds, and CMEs

Closely related to the question of shocks in front of CMEs is the propagation and magnetic properties of CMEs themselves. It has been established that some CMEs are the solar counterpart of magnetic clouds and therefore interplanetary data on magnetic clouds has led to a good deal of progress in understanding the character and dynamics of clouds and CMEs in the interplanetary medium. Models have been used to show that clouds are essentially force-free (Burlaga, 1988c; Suess, 1988; Burlaga, Lepping, and Jones, 1990) while the distortion of

the interplanetary field caused by the passing of fast clouds (magnetic draping) has been empirically described in a series of papers (McComas and Gosling, 1988; McComas *et al.*, 1988; McComas, *et al.*, 1989) and is amenable to interplanetary modeling (e.g. Tappin, *et al.*, 1988). This work also reminds us that clouds are equivalent to magnetic flux ropes, whether or not they remain connected back to the Sun, and that they have a counterpart in eruptive astrophysical phenomena that carry flux away from the source object.

### Radial Structure and Gradients

Existence of spacecraft in the outer heliosphere has also caused interest to remain high in solar wind gradients and structure. Stream interaction fronts are dealt with separately below - here the emphasis is on radial and meridional gradients.

A long-standing question has been whether meridional gradients in the solar wind or interplanetary magnetic field will lead to significant deviations of the radial density and magnetic field profiles from the classical Parker predictions. There is some disagreement on the results between the Pioneer and the Voyager investigators, with the former concluding there is a signature for non-classical radial gradients in the magnetic field (Smith, Winterhalter, and Slavin, 1988; Winterhalter, Smith, and Slavin, 1988) while the later find no such evidence (Lazarus and Belcher, 1988; Lazarus, *et al.*, 1988; Gazis, *et al.*, 1989). Models have been advancing at the same time (McNutt, 1988a; Burlaga, 1990) but presently are only providing tools for interpreting the data - no noteworthy new predictions.

A technique for measuring meridional gradients that has been receiving increasing attention is the use of UV backscatter from interstellar neutral atoms that was mentioned earlier. Lallement (1988) has demonstrated a significant 30-40% equator to pole decrease in the solar wind mass flux in 1977 using these data which is certainly a consequence of coronal, rather than interplanetary processes.

An MHD numerical model of the corona and solar wind has been developed by Washimi, Yoshino, and Ogino (1987) and Washimi (1990). It has some similarity to earlier models but began with significantly better numerical resolution and, in the latest version, includes rotation. It has been used to examine the electrical currents generated in the corona and interplanetary medium. A different approach to coronal-solar wind modeling has been taken by Withbroe (1988) in a comprehensive study of temperature structure, mass and energy flow. He considered magnetic field geometry effects only through "spreading factors" but was able to show the nonradiative energy input in magnetically open coronal regions is  $\sim 5 \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and that most of the energy appears to be dissipated within  $2 R_{\odot}$  of the solar surface. Withbroe states that his results provide the physical insight necessary for development of a comprehensive physical model for open magnetic regions which can account for the low densities in coronal holes and the generation of low- and high-speed solar wind. The combination of Withbroe's and Washimi's calculations might provide such a model (see also Fichtner and Fahr, 1989).

### Stream Interaction Fronts

The interaction of high- and low-speed solar wind streams, emitted from the solar corona and interacting because the source regions are rotating with the Sun, continues to be an area of active observation and modeling. The interacting streams undergo steepening and shock formation and they generate non-radial flows (Burlaga, 1988a). Streams merge and the shock fronts undergo multiple interactions. Burlaga (1988b) has observed the merging process leading to period doubling in the outer heliosphere. MHD simulations have been used to simulate the evolution in the outer heliosphere and how the streams cause the heliospheric terminal shock to strengthen or weaken when it is forced outward or inward, respectively (Whang and Burlaga, 1988). Pizzo (1989, 1990) has been using his numerical model to analyze non-radial motions at stream interaction fronts. His latest work examines the three-dimensional flow at highly inclined fronts. In general, these flows relieve the pressure ridge in the interaction region and inhibit shock formation but the details depend strongly on the magnetic field strength and inclination of the front. These dynamical interactions have also been blamed for the weakening of the correlation between the heliospheric sector structure predicted using solar photospheric observations and the observed sector structure (Behannon, *et al.*, 1989).

### References

- Baranov, V. B.: 1990, Gasdynamics of the solar wind interaction with the interstellar medium, *Space Sci. Rev.*, *52*, 89-120.
- Behannon, K. W., Burlaga, L. F., Hoeksema, J. T., and Klein, L. W.: 1989, Spatial variation and evolution of heliospheric sector structure, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *94*, 1245-1260.

- Burlaga, L. F.: 1988a, Interaction regions in the distant solar wind, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 547-562, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Burlaga, L. F.: 1988b, Period doubling in the outer heliosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **93**, 4103-4106.
- Burlaga, L. F.: 1988c, Magnetic clouds and force-free fields with constant alpha, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **93**, 7217-7224.
- Burlaga, L. F.: 1990, A heliospheric vortex street?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **95**, 4333-4336.
- Burlaga, L. F., Lepping, R. P., and Jones, J. A.: 1990, Global configuration of a magnetic cloud, in *Physics of Magnetic Flux Ropes, Geophysical Monograph 58* (C. T. Russell, ed.), 373-377, American Geophysical Union.
- Chao, J. K., Wu, S. T., Wu, C. C., and Dryer, M.: 1988, Evolution of slow shocks in the solar wind, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 441-446, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Czechowski, A., and Grzedzielski, S.: 1990, Frequency drift of 3-kHz interplanetary radio emissions: evidence of Fermi accelerated trapped radiation in a small heliosphere?, *Nature*, **344**, 640-641.
- Duric, N.: 1988, The origin of cosmic rays in spiral galaxies, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **48**, 72-111.
- Fahr, H. J., Grzedzielski, S., and Ratkiewicz, R.: 1988, Magnetohydrodynamic modeling of the 3-dimensional heliopause using the Newtonian approximation, *Ann. Geophys.*, **6**, 337-354.
- Fahr, H. J., Fichtner, H., and Neutsch, W.: 1990, The interstellar wind as a cause for longitudinal solar wind asymmetries, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **38**, 383-394.
- Fahr, H. J., and Rucinski, D.: 1989, Modelling of interplanetary pickup ion fluxes and relevance for LISM parameters, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **37**, 555-565.
- Fichtner, H., and Fahr, H. J.: 1989, Plasma expansion from diverging magnetic field configurations: The plasma-magnetic field interaction, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **37**, 987-999.
- Garrugia, C. J., Dunlop, M. W., Geurts, F., Balogh, A., Southwood, D. J., Bryant, D. A., Neugebauer, M., and Etemadi, A.: 1990, An interplanetary planar structure oriented at a large (~ 80 deg) angle to the Parker spiral, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **17**, 1025-1028.
- Gangopadhyay, P., and Judge, D. L.: 1989, The heliospheric neutral hydrogen density in the presence of a solar wind shock, *Astrophys. J.*, **336**, 999-1011.
- Gangopadhyay, P., Ogawa, H. S., and Judge, D. L.: 1989, Evidence of a nearby solar wind shock as obtained from distant Pioneer 10 ultraviolet glow data, *Astrophys. J.*, **336**, 1012-1021.
- Gazis, P. R., Mihalov, J. D., Barnes, A., Lazarus, A. J., and Smith, E. J.: 1989, Pioneer and Voyager observations of the solar wind at large heliocentric distances and latitudes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **16**, 223-226.
- Holzer, T. E.: 1989, Interaction between the solar wind and the interstellar medium, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, **27**, 199-234.
- Hundhausen, A. J.: 1988, The origin and propagation of coronal mass ejections, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 181-214, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Hundhausen, A. J., Holzer, T. E., and Low, B. C.: 1987, Do slow shocks precede some coronal mass ejections?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **92**, 11,173-11,178.
- Kennel, C. F.: 1988, Fluid theories of slow shock structure, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 357-386, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Ko, C. M., and Webb, G. M.: 1987, Cosmic ray modified stellar winds I, Solution topologies and singularities, *Astrophys. J.*, **323**, 657-671.
- Ko, C. M., and Webb, G. M.: 1988, Cosmic ray modified stellar winds II, A perturbation approach, *Astrophys. J.*, **325**, 296-313.
- Ko, C. M., Jokipii, J. R., and Webb, G. M.: 1988, Cosmic ray modified stellar winds III, A numerical iterative approach, *Astrophys. J.*, **326**, Ox761-768.
- Kurth, W. S., Gurnett, D. A., Scarf, F. L., and Poynter, R. L.: 1987, Long-period dynamic spectrograms of low-frequency interplanetary radio emissions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **14**, 49-52.
- Kurth, W. S.: 1988, Low frequency interplanetary radio emission: evidence of the solar wind-interstellar interaction?, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 667-679, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Lallement, R.: 1988, Large scale solar wind latitudinal anisotropies as deduced from the interplanetary / interstellar gas: temporal variations, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 651-666, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Lallement, R., and Bertaux, J. L.: 1990, Deceleration of interstellar hydrogen at heliopause crossing suggested by Lyman-alpha spectral observations, *Astron. & Astrophys.*, in press.

- Lazarus, A. J., and Belcher, J.: 1988, Large-scale structure of the distant solar wind and heliosphere, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 533-546, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Lazarus, A. J., Yedidia, B., Villanueva, L., McNutt, R. L., Jr., Belcher, J. W., Villante, U., and Burlaga, L. F.: 1988, Meridional plasma flow in the outer heliosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *15*, 1519-1522.
- Lee, M. A.: 1988, The solar wind terminal shock and the heliosphere beyond, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 635-650, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Lee, M. A., and Axford, W. I.: 1988, Model structure of a cosmic-ray mediated stellar or solar wind, *Astron. & Astrophys.*, *194*, 2970-303.
- Leer, E., and Holzer, T. E.: 1990, Standing shocks in the inner solar wind, *Astrophys. J.*, *358*, 680-684.
- Linker, J. A., Van Hoven, G., and Schnack, D. D.: 1990, MHD simulations of coronal mass ejections: Importance of the driving mechanism, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *95*, 4229-4238.
- McComas, D. J., and Gosling, J. T.: 1988, Magnetic field draping about coronal mass ejecta, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 291-301, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- McComas, D. J., Gosling, J. T., Winterhalter, D., and Smith, E. J.: 1988, Interplanetary magnetic field draping about fast coronal mass ejections in the outer heliosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *93*, 2519-2526.
- McComas, D. J., Gosling, J. T., Bame, S. J., Smith, E. J., and Cane, H. V.: 1989, A test of magnetic field draping induced  $B_z$  perturbations ahead of fast coronal mass ejecta, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *94*, 1465-1472.
- McNutt, R. L., Jr.: 1988a, Possible explanations of north-south plasma flow in the outer heliosphere and meridional transport of magnetic flux, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *15*, 1523-1526.
- McNutt, R. L., Jr.: 1988b, A solar-wind "trigger" for the outer heliosphere radio emissions and the distance to the terminal shock, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *15*, 1307-1310.
- Pizzo, V. J.: 1989, The evolution of corotating stream fronts near the ecliptic plane in the inner solar system 1. Two-dimensional fronts, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *94*, 8673-8685.
- Pizzo, V. J.: 1990, The evolution of corotating stream fronts near the ecliptic plane in the inner solar system 2. Three-dimensional tilted-dipole fronts, *J. Geophys. Res.*, submitted, July.
- Richter, A. K.: 1988, Interplanetary slow shocks: A review, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 411-440, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Smith, E. J., Winterhalter, D., and Slavin, J. A.: 1988, Recent Pioneer 11 observations of the distant heliospheric magnetic field, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 581-586, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Steinolfson, R. S.: 1988, Slow shocks in coronal mass ejections, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 407-410, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Steinolfson, R. S., and Hundhausen, A. J.: 1989, Waves in low- $\beta$  plasma: slow shocks, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *94*, 1222-1234.
- Steinolfson, R. S., and Hundhausen, A. J.: 1990, MIID intermediate shocks in coronal mass ejections, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *95*, 6389-6402.
- Suess, S. T.: 1988, Magnetic clouds and the pinch effect, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *93*, 5437-5445.
- Suess, S. T.: 1990, The heliopause, *Rev. Geophys.*, *28*, 97-115.
- Suess, S. T., Hathaway, D. H., and Dessler, A. J.: 1987, Asymmetry of the heliosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *14*, 977-980.
- Suess, S. T., and Nerney, S.: 1990, Flow downstream of the heliospheric terminal shock 1. Irrotational flow, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *95*, 6403-6412.
- Tappin, S. J., Dryer, M., Han, S. M., and Wu, S. T.: 1988, Expected IPS variations due to a disturbance described by a 3-d MHD model, *Planet. & Spa. Sci.*, *36*, 1155-1163.
- Van Allen, J. A.: 1988, Solar cycle dependence of the radial gradient of cosmic ray intensities, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *14*, 1527-1530.
- Wallis, M. K.: 1988, Heliospheric screening of the local interstellar gas, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 687-698, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Washimi, H., Yoshino, Y., and Ogino, T.: 1987, Two-dimensional structure of the solar wind near the Sun, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *14*, 487-490.
- Washimi, H.: 1990, Structure of the interplanetary magnetic field near the Sun, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *17*, 33-36.
- Whang, Y. C.: 1988, Evolution of CME-associated slow shocks, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 401-406, Natl. Center for

Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..

- Wang, Y. C., and Burlaga, L. F.: 1988, Evolution of recurrent solar wind structures between 14 AU and the termination shock, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **93**, 5446-5460.
- Winske, D.: 1988, Numerical modeling of slow shocks, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 387-400, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Winterhalter, D., Smith, E. J., and Slavin, J. A.: 1988, The radial gradient in the interplanetary magnetic field between 1 AU and 23 AU, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 587-592, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..
- Withbroe, G. L.: 1988, The temperature structure, mass, and energy flow in the corona and inner solar wind, *Astrophys. J.*, **325**, 442-467.
- Wu, S. T., Panitchob, S., and Suess, S. T.: 1988, Adaptive grid MHD simulation of solar disturbances propagating from the Sun to 1 AU, *Proc. of the Sixth Internatl. Solar Wind Conf.* (V. J. Pizzo, T. E. Holzer, and D. G. Sime, eds.), NCAR/Tech. Note 306+Proc, 303-307, Natl. Center for Atmos. Res., Boulder, Colo., U.S.A..

### Plasma Processes in the Heliosphere

Frank Verheest

Rijksuniversiteit Gent, Krijgslaan 281, B-9000 Gent, Belgium

In this review a few plasma processes in the heliosphere are highlighted. Undoubtedly the major event from mid-1987 to mid-1990 was the Voyager 2 flyby of Neptune on 25 August 1989, of which results are now becoming available. However, most papers dealt with the processing and interpretation of the results from the flyby of Uranus (on 24 January 1986), as well as from earlier encounters with Jupiter and Saturn. Similarly, there continued to be great interest in results from the spacecraft missions to comets P/Giacobini-Zinner and P/Halley in 1985-1986. Mention should also be made of the Phobos missions to Mars and its satellites which yielded valuable scientific results, though unfortunately prematurely aborted. Of necessity, only recent literature will be cited, as these references include earlier ones.

#### PLASMA WAVES AND INSTABILITIES IN COMETARY ENVIRONMENTS

Most of the work of interest deals with the pickup by the solar wind (SW) of newly ionized particles of cometary origin, and the influence of this on the SW flow around the nucleus, with the creation of a bow shock. Volatile material (mostly water ice) is outgassed from the nucleus and escapes from the collision-dominated inner coma, unhampered by the nucleus' low gravity. Subsequent ionization of these neutral particles by photoionization, charge exchange with SW ions and electron impact (*Cravens et al. 1987; Gombosi 1988*) occurs on a slow timescale, allowing some particles to reach distances of  $8 \times 10^6$  km from the nucleus (*Neugebauer et al. 1987*).

The distribution of new cometary ions contains essentially two sources of free energy, beam-like velocity differences with the SW parallel to the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) and a perpendicular gyrating ring distribution in velocity space. Both sources generate low-frequency magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence, resulting in fast pitch angle scattering of the new ions into a shell distribution in the SW frame, accompanied by a slower diffusion in energy (*Price & Wu 1987; Gaffey, Winske & Wu 1988; Coates et al. 1989, 1990*). This is similar to, but much stronger than the pickup by the SW of interstellar hydrogen and helium (*Lee & Ip 1987; Fahr & Ziemkiewicz 1988; Möbius et al. 1988*) or of charged interstellar dust (*Wallis 1987*). General discussions of the different aspects of the role of Alfvén waves in cometary environments have been given, from a more theoretical (*Galeev 1987, 1989; Galeev & Sagdeev 1988; M.A. Lee 1989*) as well as from an observational point of view (*Scarfi 1989*).

Inducing new or amplifying intrinsic SW MHD turbulence occurs mostly due to resonant instabilities, corresponding to fluctuating magnetic field energy spectra with clear peaks at the oxygen ion gyrofrequency (*Thorne & Tsurutani 1987; Yamoto, Saito & Nakagawa 1987; Price & Lee 1988; Gary, Akimoto & Winske 1989*) or its harmonics (*Goldstein, Wong & Glassmeier 1990*). Coexisting cometary proton and oxygen beams excite resonant instabilities without undue influence of other species (*Brinca & Tsurutani 1988; Gary et al. 1988*). Instabilities with right-hand polarization in the zero momentum frame have larger linear growth rates (*Gary & Madland 1988*). Both Alfvén and magnetosonic waves grow preferentially parallel to the IMF, with growth rates increasing with both the newborn ion density and the angle between the IMF and the SW (*Price, Gaffey & Dong 1988*). However, some models predict sizeable growth rates also for oblique modes (*Brinca & Tsurutani 1989; Wu & Yoon 1990; Yoon 1990*).

Under certain plasma conditions one would also expect nonresonant beam instabilities (*Lakhina & Verheest 1988; Price 1989*), although their long wavelengths would make these hard to detect, and there has been no unambiguous confirmation at comets so far. Nevertheless, stochastic acceleration theories would require such waves in order to get cometary ions to the observed energies (*Galeev et al. 1990*).

Besides the already mentioned low-frequency turbulence, there is evidence that cometary ions, in particular protons, can also excite whistlers and waves with frequencies much higher than the proton gyrofrequency but with mixed polarization (*Wu, He & Price 1988*). Sometimes, these whistler modes are generated at the leading edge of steepened low-frequency waves or shocklets (*Tsurutani et al. 1987; Le, Russell & Smith 1989*), as also observed upstream of Earth's bow shock (*Wong & Goldstein 1988*).

Finally, there seems to be some evidence that solitary magnetic, non-compressional transverse pulses have been observed during the ICE mission to P/Giacobini-Zinner (*Tsurutani et al. 1989*). Non-linear interaction between Alfvén solitons and Langmuir waves could explain the cometary kilometeric radiation observed near P/Halley (*Lakhina & Buti 1988*), while Alfvén solitons might be relevant in explaining the properties of MHD turbulence at comets (*Lakhina & Shukla 1987; Verheest 1990*) and in the

heliosphere in general (*Hada, Kennel & Buti* 1989; *Ponce Dawson & Ferro Fontán* 1990).

For the cometary ion pickup process the agreement between theoretical explanations, numerical simulations and observations is very good. While cometary environments suggested the model parameters here, similar conclusions hold for observations of low-frequency wave activity elsewhere in space, inside and outside the heliosphere. Some examples among many are the ion pickup at Venus (*Breus, Krymskii & Mitnitskii* 1987) and the generation of low-frequency noise in Earth's plasma sheet boundary layer by electromagnetic beam instabilities (*Angelopoulos et al.* 1989; *Gary & Winske* 1990).

The cometary mass loading of the SW is also responsible for the creation of the cometary bow shock, ionopause and associated boundary layers (*Neubauer* 1987, 1988; *Mendis et al.* 1989), although the precise formation process is still very disputed. For planets with intrinsic magnetic fields the thickness of the interaction region between the SW and the magnetosphere is small. Unmagnetized comets, however, do not really present a rigid obstacle to generate a shock, and this creates a wide interaction region of the size of the coma. As the SW possesses an intrinsic dispersive length scale, the interplay between these two very different scales could determine the bow shock in the case of comets, by supporting quasi-stationary solitons (*Khabibrakhmanov & Verheest* 1990). A supermagnetosonic to submagnetosonic transition can thus be accomplished in a mass loaded SW (*Hizanides, Cargill & Papadopoulos* 1988; *Sauer, Motschmann & Roatsch* 1990). Interpreting steepened waves as shocks would suggest that P/Giacobini-Zinner did not have a single bow shock but a transition region consisting of a series of shocks convected by the SW (*Omidi & Winske* 1988).

#### PLASMA PROCESSES AT URANUS AND NEPTUNE

The Voyager missions learned us that the four giant gas planets all possess intrinsic magnetic fields, and hence magnetospheres with trapped radiation belts and plasma wave turbulence. While Saturn's magnetic field may adequately be represented by a dipole aligned with the rotation axis but with a small offset to the north, Jupiter's magnetic field is tilted by about  $10^\circ$  from the rotation axis. Moreover, electric currents along field lines connecting Jupiter and Io and in a current sheet around Jupiter's equator distort the field sufficiently so as to make a simple offset dipole field a poor representation. On the other hand, both Uranus and Neptune have magnetic fields which are highly tilted with respect to their rotation axes and offset from their centres by large fractions of the planetary radii.

Due to the  $60^\circ$  tilt of the Uranian dipole field, Voyager 2 was able to explore a large range of magnetic latitudes and find a marked inbound-outbound asymmetry. Uranus' nonthermal radio emissions are rather weak compared to those of Jupiter and Saturn, but comparable in complexity, with as many as six distinctly different types. Both smooth and bursty components were observed. General reviews have been given, dealing also with other planets (*Anderson & Kurth* 1989; *Kaiser* 1989; *L.C. Lee* 1989).

Smooth low-frequency radio components (20–347 kHz) are left-hand/right-hand polarized when observed in the northern/southern magnetic hemisphere, without polarization reversal from dayside to nightside, while high-frequency emissions (up to 865 kHz) are only observed in the nightside and with left-hand polarization. The bursty emissions are sometimes broadband (78–750 kHz), sometimes narrow-band (40–270 kHz) (*Leblanc et al.* 1987). Intense plasma wave activity occurs only in the inner magnetosphere ( $r < 12R_U$ ), similar to the situation at Saturn, but in marked contrast with Jupiter, where very strong wave activity was detected out to distances of  $250 R_J$  (*Scarf et al.* 1987). Finally, there is a weak continuum radiation at 1–3 kHz, more like that detected at Saturn than the extremely intense Jovian continuum. The low intensity of the Uranian continuum is attributed to the lack of a density cavity in the magnetosphere, which is deep enough relative to the SW (*Kurth, Gurnett & Desch* 1990).

The smooth low-frequency kilometric radiation is ascribed to sources radiating near the local electron gyrofrequency in the extraordinary mode, confined to the magnetic equatorial plane and encircling the planet at distances of a couple of radii (*Lecacheux & Ortega-Molina* 1987; *Kaiser, Desch & Connerney* 1989), while the higher-frequency radiation is attributed to sources on a small set of field lines whose foot points lie near the magnetic southern (dark) pole of Uranus (*Kaiser, Desch & Curtis* 1987; *Barbosa* 1988). The right-hand polarized, narrow-band bursty signals are due to extraordinary magnetoionic modes emitted in the vicinity of the north (weak) magnetic pole (*Desch, Kaiser & Kurth* 1989) or to nonlinear interaction between whistler solitons and upper-hybrid waves, caused by energetic electrons on auroral field lines (*Buti & Lakhina* 1988).

In addition to radio emissions, there are electrostatic Bernstein modes between harmonics of the electron gyrofrequency (Kurth *et al.* 1987). Large-amplitude, very low-frequency (20–40 mHz and 100–400 mHz) magnetic field waves have been observed upstream of the Uranian bow shock, simultaneously with energetic charged particles, suggesting that these waves are obliquely propagating whistler modes driven unstable by gyrating proton populations (Smith, Goldstein & Wong 1989). Such a turbulence is due to the increase of the magnetosonic Mach number with increasing heliospheric distances, as well as to a weaker than expected magnetic field upstream of the bow shock (Russell, Lepping & Smith 1990).

Because Uranus and Neptune are rather similar examples of oblique rotators with large magnetic field tilts and offsets, the preliminary picture of plasma wave phenomena at Neptune closely parallels what was said in the above paragraphs about Uranus, even though in the case of Neptune Voyager 2 observed for the first time a planetary magnetic field nearly pole-on to the Sun (Kurth 1990). In particular, the observations included radio emissions, electron plasma oscillations in the SW upstream of the bow shock, electrostatic turbulence at the bow shock, chorus, hiss, electron cyclotron waves and upper hybrid resonance waves in the inner magnetosphere (Gurnett *et al.* 1990). Also, speculation about the generation of a plasma torus in Neptune's magnetosphere by its satellite Triton (Delitsky, Eviatar & Richardson 1989) proved correct, much as occurs at Jupiter with the Io plasma torus (Ip 1990). In contrast, Voyager 2 did not detect any heavy ion plasma at Uranus, despite the presence of several large, icy moons within the Uranian magnetosphere (Cheng 1987).

#### PLASMA PROCESSES AT OTHER PLANETS

Jovian decametric radio emissions (observable also from Earth) occur as relatively smooth or else very brief, intense and much burstier radiation. The bursts and some of the smooth emissions are observed when the Jovian moon Io occupies specific geometric configurations, implying that such emissions at the local electron gyrofrequency originate on or near magnetic field lines which thread through Io (Genova & Calvert 1988). In particular the manner in which Alfvén waves interact strongly with the Io plasma torus and magnetic field inhomogeneities is essential in understanding the Voyager observations (Wright 1987; Bagenal & Leblanc 1988; Glassmeier *et al.* 1989).

The narrow-band kilometric radiation observed by Voyager is explained by nonlinear coupling between upper-hybrid electrostatic plasma waves in the Io plasma torus (Fung & Papadopoulos 1987) or by localized nonlinear vortex structures due to radial plasma gradients in rotating plasmas (Horton & Smith 1988). Other electrostatic waves are identified as hydrogen–oxygen Buchsbaum modes, hydrogen Bernstein modes and lower–hybrid waves, all excited by ion pickup in the Io torus (Barbosa & Kurth 1990). Finally, low-frequency ( $\sim 10$  kHz) radio bursts bear a striking similarity to solar III type radio bursts and suggest a similar source mechanism, involving energetic electrons moving through a density gradient in the Io plasma torus, as the periodicity of these bursts is similar to the Alfvén wave travel time from one hemisphere to the other through the Io torus (Kurth, Gurnett & Scarf 1989).

At the quasi-perpendicular supercritical bow shock (see below) of Saturn, plasma waves can generate a significant portion of the total electron temperature jump measured across the shock. In this respect, Saturn's bow shock more closely resembles Jupiter's than Earth's, where plasma waves do not contribute or only negligibly to the electron temperature jump (Moses *et al.* 1988).

Mars' interaction with the SW may be like Venus', that of a supermagnetosonic plasma flowing past an unmagnetized body with an ionosphere. However, at Mars the SW pressure usually exceeds the ionospheric plasma pressure, while at Venus this condition prevails only for extraordinarily high incident dynamic pressures (Luhmann *et al.* 1987; Breus *et al.* 1989). Thus at Mars the weak ionospheric magnetic field is mostly induced, as confirmed by Phobos 2 (Yeroshenko *et al.* 1990).

Further observations by Phobos 2 suggest that a torus/ring resides along the orbit of the Martian satellite Phobos, interacting strongly with the SW, much as happens near a comet (Dubinin *et al.* 1990; Ip & Banaszkiewicz 1990). Measurements reveal a turbulent bow shock with a strong foot, consistent with reflection of SW protons (Schwingenschuh *et al.* 1990). High-frequency ion–acoustic waves are excited at the shock front due to currents flowing along the front and then convected inside by the SW. Low-frequency waves close to the inside boundary are believed to be generated by the diffusion of Martian heavy ions through the planetopause into the magnetosheath (Sagdeev *et al.* 1990) or by the pickup of protons from the Martian hydrogen exosphere (Russell *et al.* 1990).

### INTERPLANETARY SHOCKS AND EARTH'S BOW SHOCK

Shocks in the heliosphere include planetary and possibly also cometary bow shocks, corotating shocks produced by the interaction of high- and low-velocity SW streams and interplanetary travelling shocks produced in the course of coronal mass ejections. The angle between the upstream magnetic field and the shock normal is of critical importance for the shock structure. Whereas at quasi-perpendicular shocks the plasma parameters change abruptly at a thin shock front, the jumps at a quasi-parallel shock occur in a much broader region (*Terasawa & Scholer* 1989).

Recent theoretical models of high Mach number quasi-perpendicular shocks predict for both the bulk plasma parameters and the magnetic field an overshoot followed by damped oscillations, as in the Uranian bow shock (*Bagenal et al.* 1987). In the discussion about planetary foreshocks (upstream of the bow shock) one important point concerns the role played by the shock structure in controlling the MHD upstream turbulence. Large-amplitude, low-frequency waves detected by Voyager 2 in association with the quasi-perpendicular structure of the Jovian bow shock prove that upstream turbulence is not really a characteristic signature of quasi-parallel shocks (*Bavassano-Cattaneo et al.* 1987).

Slow-mode shocks can arise from the steepening of linear slow-mode MHD waves and might form ahead of objects moving through a magnetized plasma at speeds greater than the slow-mode speed (*Wolfson* 1987), but are most probable in the slow SW near and at corotating regions (*Richter & Marsch* 1988). The decrease in Alfvén speed at increasing heliocentric distances causes a forward slow shock to evolve into a forward fast shock, accompanied during the transition by a rotational discontinuity (*Whang* 1988). The structure of MHD fast and slow shocks depends upon the relative importance of the different dissipation mechanisms. By ordering the lengthscales for resistivity, thermal conduction and viscosity, one can define upper limit Mach numbers for both fast and slow shocks, at which resistivity and thermal conduction together provide all the shock dissipation without need for viscosity (*Kennel* 1988).

Hot diamagnetic cavities have sometimes been observed upstream of Earth's bow shock near transitions between quasi-perpendicular and quasi-parallel shock geometries, in close relation with intervals of dense, nearly specularly reflected ions which create the cavity and launch outgoing Alfvén waves (*Thomsen et al.* 1988; *Thomas & Brecht* 1988). Similarly, large-amplitude magnetic pulsations observed upstream of quasi-parallel portions of Earth's bow shock may be another manifestation of the same basic processes, namely the coupling of coherently reflected ions to the SW beam (*Onsager et al.* 1990; *Thomsen et al.* 1990). In the higher energy range (above  $\sim 300$  keV) energetic ions upstream of Earth's bow shock are of magnetospheric origin, whereas the ISEE mission has accumulated evidence that in the lower energy range ( $\leq 200$  keV) the bow shock itself is an important source of upstream ions: SW ions are either directly reflected at the quasi-perpendicular shock portions or accelerated by a first-order Fermi mechanism at the quasi-parallel shock portions (*Scholer et al.* 1989). Also, diffuse ion populations occurring upstream of Earth's bow shock when the IMF was nearly radial have been attributed to reflected SW ions rather than leaked magnetospheric ions (*Gosling et al.* 1989).

### WAVES AND TURBULENCE IN THE SOLAR WIND

Large-amplitude Alfvén waves as well as MHD discontinuities have long been observed in the interplanetary medium, which thus can be regarded as a natural plasma laboratory to test not only particle acceleration models but also nonlinear theories of finite-amplitude waves, in association with interplanetary shocks, planetary bow shocks and cometary environments (*Hada, Kennel & Buti* 1989; *Terasawa & Scholer* 1989), as touched upon already in the preceding sections.

Two complementary views of the origin of SW magnetic and velocity fluctuations hold that the SW turbulence is either driven by velocity shear or else a remnant of solar-generated, outward propagating and decaying waves (at least inside 1 AU). In the inner solar system, most of the waves, shocks and rotational discontinuities propagate outward from the Sun, the more so when the SW velocity is higher, indicating indeed generation by the Sun. From Helios data between 0.3 and 1 AU one finds that damping of Alfvén waves and heating of SW protons occur simultaneously (*Tu* 1988). The highest degree of Alfvénicity is found in fast streams near the Sun or in regions with a high degree of incompressibility, suggesting there local generation of turbulence at a velocity shear (*Bavassano & Bruno* 1989; *Bruno et al.* 1989). Moreover, inward propagating Alfvén modes, even if a minority component of the inner solar system turbulence, are nevertheless important and in order to be present in interplanetary space have to be generated beyond the Alfvénic critical point (*Bavassano & Bruno* 1989), but seldom steepen into discontinuities before reaching 1 AU (*Neugebauer & Buti* 1990).

Similar conclusions follow from a study of interplanetary MHD fluctuations and the Alfvénicity of SW fluctuations, using Voyager data between 1 and 11 AU. It shows again that the SW cannot be viewed as merely a superposition of large-amplitude waves, as these undergo substantial dynamical evolution at all heliocentric distances examined, but that fluctuations must indeed be produced outside the Alfvénic critical point (Roberts *et al.* 1987). Furthermore, by decomposing the turbulent energy into outgoing and inward components, one finds that Alfvénic periods, where the energy is dominated by outgoing components, occur mainly when density fluctuations are low and the temperature is high (Grappin, Mangeney & Marsch 1990). Such a decomposition in outgoing and inward components also suggests that isotropic turbulence with a Kolmogorov index of 5/3 is the ultimate state towards which SW MHD fluctuations evolve (Marsch & Tu 1990; Tu, Marsch & Rosenbauer 1990).

It would seem that the power level of heliospheric fluctuations in the inner heliosphere is not sufficient to accelerate high-speed streams (Roberts, Goldstein & Klein 1990), but SW proton double streams and proton-alpha differential streaming may provide sufficient free energy to drive field-aligned magnetosonic waves unstable. Alpha particles by themselves are not able to excite these waves but mostly tend to stabilize existing proton double streams by enhancing the gyrodamping of the main proton population (Marsch & Livi 1987).

#### FINAL REMARKS

In view of the many different occurrences in heliospheric plasmas of strong interactions between energetic particles and large-amplitude waves, there is a need for proper theoretical investigations of the truly nonlinear regimes, which so far have mostly been explored via numerical simulations and rather ad hoc quasi-linear theories. As is now also emerging, the rôle of chaotic phenomena in the heliosphere has barely been addressed.

#### REFERENCES

- (COSPAR = Abstracts of the XXVIII Plenary COSPAR Meeting, The Hague, the Netherlands, 25 June to 6 July 1990; GM59 = "Plasma Waves and Instabilities at Comets and in Magnetospheres", Geophysical Monograph 53, ed. by B.T. Tsurutani & H. Oya, American Geophysical Union, Washington DC, 1989; GRL = Geophysical Research Letters; JGR = Journal of Geophysical Research)
- Anderson R.R. & Kurth W.S.: 1989, *GM59*, p 81 • Angelopoulos V., Elphic R.C., Gary S.P. & Huang C.Y.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 15373 • Bagenal F., Belcher J.W., Sittler E.C., Jr & Lepping R.P.: 1987, *JGR* 92, 8603 • Bagenal F. & Leblanc Y.: 1988, *Astron. Astrophys.* 197, p 311 • Barbosa D.D.: 1988, *Astrophys. J.* 333, p 443 • Barbosa D.D. & Kurth W.S.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 6443 • Bavassano B. & Bruno R.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 168 & p 11977 • Bavassano-Cattaneo M.B., Moreno G., Scotto M.T. & Acuña M.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 12413 • Breus T.K., Krymskii A.M. & Mitnitskii V.Ya.: 1987, *Planet. Space Sci.* 35, p 1221 • Breus T.K., Bauer S.J., Krymskii A.M. & Mitnitskii V.Ya.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 2375 • Brinca A.L. & Tsurutani B.T.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 48 • Brinca A.L. & Tsurutani B.T.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 3 & p 5467 • Bruno R., Bavassano B., Rosenbauer H. & Mariani F.: 1989, *Adv. Space Res.* 9, p (4)131 • Buti B. & Lakhina G.S.: 1988, *GRL* 15, p 1149 • Cheng A.F.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 15309 • Coates A.J., Johnstone A.D., Wilken B., Jockers K. & Glassmeier K.-H.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 9983 • Coates A.J., Wilken B., Johnstone A.D., Jockers K., Glassmeier K.-H. & Huddleston D.E.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 10249 • Cravens T.E., Kozyra J.U., Nagy A.F., Gombosi T.I. & Kurtz M.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 7341 • Delitsky M.L., Eviatar A. & Richardson J.D.: 1989, *GRL* 16, p 215 • Desch M.D., Kaiser M.L. & Kurth W.S.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 5255 • Dubinin E.M., Lundin R., Pissarenko N.F., Barabash S.V., Zakharov A.V., Koskinen H., Schwingenschuh K. & Yeroshenko Ye.G.: 1990, *GRL* 17, p 861 • Fahr H.J. & Ziemkiewicz J.: 1988, *Astron. Astrophys.* 202, p 295 • Fung S.F. & Papadopoulos K.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 8579 • Gaffey J.D., Jr, Winske D. & Wu C.S.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 5470 • Galeev A.A.: 1987, *Astron. Astrophys.* 187, p 12 • Galeev A.A.: 1989, *GM59*, p 1 • Galeev A.A. & Sagdeev R.Z.: 1988, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 144, p 427 • Galeev A.A., Sagdeev R.Z., Shapiro V.D. & Shevchenko V.I.: 1990, *JGR* 95 (submitted) • Gary S.P. & Madland C.D.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 235 • Gary S.P., Madland C.D., Omid N. & Winske D.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 9584 • Gary S.P., Akimoto K. & Winske D.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 3513 • Gary S.P. & Winske D.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 8085 • Genova F. & Calvert W.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 979 • Glassmeier K.-H., Ness N.F., Acuña M.H. & Neubauer F.M.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 15063 • Goldstein M.L., Wong H.K. & Glassmeier K.-H.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 947 • Gombosi T.I.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 35 • Gosling J.T., Thomsen M.F., Bame S.J. & Russell C.T.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 3555 • Grappin R., Mangeney A. & Marsch E.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 8197 • Gurnett D.A., Kurth W.S., Granroth L., Cairns I., Macek

- W.M., Poynter R.L., Moses S.L., Coroniti F.V., Kennel C.F. & Barbosa D.D.: 1990, *COSPAR*, p 23 • Hada T., Kennel C.F. & Buti B.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 65 • Hizanides K., Cargill P.J. & Papadopoulos K.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 9577 • Horton W. & Smith R.A.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 12761 • Ip W.-H.: 1990, *COSPAR*, p 29 • Ip W.-H. & Banaszkiwicz M.: 1990, *GRL* 17, p 857 • Kaiser M.L.: 1989, *GM53*, p 221 • Kaiser M.L., Desch M.D. & Curtis S.A.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 15169 • Kaiser M.L., Desch M.D. & Connerney J.E.P.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 2399 • Kennel C.F.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 8545 • Khabibrakhmanov I.Kh. & Verheest F.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 10449 • Kurth W.S.: 1990, *COSPAR*, p 29 • Kurth W.S., Barbosa D.D., Gurnett D.A. & Scarf F.L.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 15225 • Kurth W.S., Gurnett D.A. & Scarf F.L.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 6917 • Kurth W.S., Gurnett D.A. & Desch M.D.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 1103 • Lakhina G.S. & Shukla P.K.: 1987, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 139, p 275 • Lakhina G.S. & Buti B.: 1988, *Astrophys. J.* 327, p 1020 • Lakhina G.S. & Verheest F.: 1988, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 143, p 329 • Le G., Russell C.T. & Smith E.J.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 3755 • Leblanc Y., Aubier M.G., Ortega-Molina A. & Lecacheux A.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 15125 • Lecacheux A. & Ortega-Molina A.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 15148 • Lee L.C.: 1989, *GM53*, p 239 • Lee M.A.: 1989, *GM53*, p 13 • Lee M.A. & Ip W.-H.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 11041 • Luhmann J.G., Russell C.T., Scarf F.L., Brace L.H. & Knudsen W.C.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 8545 • Marsch E. & Livi S.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 7263 • Marsch E. & Tu C.-Y.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 8211 • Mendis D.A., Flammer K.R., Rème H., Savaud J.A., d'Uston C., Cotin F., Cros A., Anderson K.A., Carlson C.W., Curtis D.W., Larson D.E., Lin R.P., Mitchell D.L., Korth A. & Richter A.K.: 1989, *Ann. Geophysicae* 7, p 99 • Möbius E., Klecker B., Hovestadt D. & Scholer M.: 1988, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 144, p 487 • Moses S.L., Coroniti F.V., Kennel C.F. & Scarf F.L.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 1785 & p 8483 • Neubauer F.M.: 1987, *Astron. Astrophys.* 187, p 73 • Neubauer F.M.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 7272 • Neugebauer M., Lazarus A.J., Altwegg K., Balsiger H., Goldstein B.E., Goldstein R., Neubauer F.M., Rosenbauer H., Schwenn R., Shelley E.G. & Ungstrup E.: 1987, *Astron. Astrophys.* 187, p 21 • Neugebauer M. & Buti B.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 13 • Omidi N. & Winske D.: 1988, *GRL* 15, p 1303 • Onsager T.G., Thomsen M.F., Gosling J.T., Bame S.J. & Russell C.T.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 2261 • Ponce Dawson S. & Ferro Fontán C.: 1990, *Astrophys. J.* 348, p 761 • Price C.P.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 15001 • Price C.P. & Wu C.S.: 1987, *GRL* 14, p 856 • Price C.P. & Lee L.C.: 1988, *Astrophys. J.* 324, p 606 • Price C.P., Gaffey J.D., Jr & Dong J.Q.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 837 • Richter A.K. & Marsch E.: 1988, *Ann. Geophysicae* 6, p 319 • Roberts D.A., Klein L.W., Goldstein M.L. & Matthaeus W.H.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 11021 • Roberts D.A., Goldstein M.L. & Klein L.W.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 4203 • Russell C.T., Luhmann J.G., Schwingenschuh K., Riedler W. & Yeroshenko Ye.: 1990, *GRL* 17, p 897 • Russell C.T., Lepping R.P. & Smith C.W.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 2273 • Sagdeev R.Z., Shapiro V.D., Shevchenko V.I., Zacharov A., Király P., Szegő K., Nagy A.F. & Grard R.J.L.: 1990, *GRL* 17, p 893 • Sauer K., Motschmann U. & Roatsch Th.: 1990, *Ann. Geophysicae* 8, p 243 • Scarf F.L.: 1989, *GM53*, p 31 • Scarf F.L., Gurnett D.A., Kurth W.S., Coroniti F.V., Kennel C.F. & Poynter R.L.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 15217 • Scholer M., Möbius E., Kistler L.M., Klecker B. & Ipavich F.M.: 1989, *GRL* 16, p 571 • Schwingenschuh K., Riedler W., Lichtenegger H., Yeroshenko Ye., Sauer K., Luhmann J.G., Ong M. & Russell C.T.: 1990, *GRL* 17, p 889 • Smith C.W., Goldstein M.L. & Wong H.K.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 17035 • Terasawa T. & Scholer M.: 1989, *Science* 244, p 1050 • Thomas V.A. & Brecht S.H.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 11341 • Thomsen M.F., Gosling J.T., Bame S.J., Quest K.B., Russell C.T. & Fuselier S.A.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 11311 • Thomsen M.F., Gosling J.T., Bame S.J. & Russell C.T.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 957 • Thorne R.M. & Tsurutani B.T.: 1987, *Planet. Space Sci.* 35, p 1501 • Tsurutani B.T., Thorne R.M., Smith E.J., Gosling J.T. & Matsumoto H.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 11074 • Tsurutani B.T., Brinca A.L., Buti B., Smith E.J., Thorne R.M. & Matsumoto H.: 1989, *JGR* 94, p 29 • Tu C.-Y.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 7 • Tu C.-Y., Marsch E. & Rosenbauer H.: 1990, *GRL* 17, p 283 • Verheest F.: 1990, *Icarus* 86, p 273 • Wallis M.K.: 1987, *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* 227, p 331 • Whang Y.C.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 251 • Wolfson R.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 9875 • Wong H.K. & Goldstein M.L.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 4110 • Wright A.N.: 1987, *JGR* 92, p 9963 • Wu C.S., He X.T. & Price C.P.: 1988, *JGR* 93, p 3949 • Wu C.S. & Yoon P.H.: 1990, *JGR* 95, p 10273 • Yeroshenko Ye., Riedler W., Schwingenschuh K., Luhmann J.G., Ong M. & Russell C.T.: 1990, *GRL* 17, p 885 • Yoon P.H.: 1990, *GRL* 17, p 1033 • Yumoto K., Saito T. & Nakagawa T.: 1987, *Astron. Astrophys.* 187, p 117