

EXISTENCE OF NON-TRIVIAL DEFORMATIONS OF SOME INSEPARABLE EXTENSION FIELDS

HIROSHI KIMURA

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Tadasi Nakayama

A deformation theory for rings and algebras was introduced recently by M. Gerstenhaber [1]. Let K be an extension of a field k , and p denotes the characteristic. One of his results is that, if K is separable over k , then it is rigid. It was conjectured in [1] that, if K is not separable over k , then it is not rigid, and if it is further finitely generated, then an integrable element of $H_c^2(K, K)$ (see [2]) will be found in the image of Sq_p . In this note we shall study the above conjecture in certain special case.

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor K. Masuda for his many helpful suggestion during the preparation of this paper.

1. Preliminaries. Let K be an extension field of a field k of characteristic p , and V be the underlying vector space of K . Let R and S denote the power series ring $k[[t]]$ over k in one variable t and its quotient field $k((t))$ respectively, and let V_s be $V \otimes_k S$.

Let a bilinear mapping $f_t : V_s \times V_s \longrightarrow V_s$ expressible in the form

$$f_t(a, b) = ab + tF_1(a, b) + t^2F_2(a, b) + \cdots,$$

where F_i is a bilinear mapping defined over k , be a one-parameter family of deformations of K considered as a commutative k -algebra. Then f_t satisfies the conditions;

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(a, b) &= f_t(b, a) \\ f_t(f_t(a, b), c) &= f_t(a, f_t(b, c)) \end{aligned}$$

for all a, b, c in V_s and F_1 is an element of the group $Z_c^2(K, K)$ of commutative two-cocycles of K with coefficients in K (see [1]). Following [1], we say that f_t is trivial if there is a nonsingular linear mapping Φ_t of V_s onto itself of the form

Received March 20, 1967.

$$\Phi_t(a) = a + t\varphi_1(a) + t^2\varphi_2(a) + \dots,$$

where φ_i is a linear mapping defined over k , such that

$$f_t(a, b) = \Phi_t^{-1}(\Phi_t a \cdot \Phi_t b).$$

K is rigid if and only if there is no non-trivial one-parameter family of deformations of K .

From now on, throughout this note, we assume $p \neq 0$.

The squaring operation is defined for every derivation φ of K over k by $Sq_p\varphi = -\frac{1}{p}\delta\varphi^p = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} {}_p C_i \varphi^{p-i} \cup \varphi^i$, where δ denotes the coboundary operator and \cup denotes the cup product. For example, if $p = 2, 3$, then

$$Sq_2\varphi = \varphi \cup \varphi,$$

and

$$Sq_3\varphi = \varphi^2 \cup \varphi + \varphi \cup \varphi^2.$$

Gerstenhaber proved in [1] that $Sq_p\varphi \in Z_c^2(K, K)$. It is known ([1]) that, for any derivation φ of K , there exists a one-parameter family f_t of deformations of K such that

$$f_t(a, b) = ab + tSq_p\varphi(a, b) + t^2F_2(a, b) + \dots.$$

2. Let K be an inseparable extension field over k such that there exists an inseparable algebraic element θ of exponent one over k such that θ is not contained in $k(K^p)$. Let $f(X) = X^{mp} - a_{m-1}X^{(m-1)p} - \dots - a_1X^p - a_0$ be the minimum polynomial of θ over k . Then there exists $a_i \neq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, such that i is not divisible by p (where $a_m = 1$).

Let B be a p -base for K over k such that θ is contained in B ([3]). Then there exists one and only one derivation φ of K over k such that, for $\beta \in B$,

$$\varphi(\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\beta = \theta) \\ 0 & (\beta \neq \theta). \end{cases}$$

Then there is a one-parameter family of deformations of K ;

$$f_t(a, b) = ab + tSq_p\varphi(a, b) + \dots,$$

where $Sq_p\varphi = -\frac{1}{p}\delta\varphi^p = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} {}_p C_i \varphi^{p-i} \cup \varphi^i$. We assume that t_t is trivial, i.e.,

$$f_t(a, b) = \Phi_t^{-1}(\Phi_t a \cdot \Phi_t b),$$

where

$$\Phi_t(a) = a + t\varphi_1(a) + \dots$$

By this assumption we obtain $\delta\varphi_1 = Sq_p\varphi$.

The following lemma is trivial.

LEMMA 1. *Let θ, φ be as above. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} Sq_p\varphi(\theta^t, \theta) &= \varphi^{p-1}(\theta^t) \\ &= \begin{cases} -\theta^{(n-1)p} & (t = np-1 \text{ for some integer } n) \\ 0 & (\text{there is no integer } n \text{ such that } t = np-1). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *Let θ, φ be as above and φ_1 be a k -linear mapping of K into itself such that $\delta\varphi_1 = Sq_p\varphi$. Then,*

$$\varphi_1(\theta^t) = t\theta^{t-1}\varphi_1(\theta) + n\theta^{t-p},$$

for $np \leq t < (n+1)p$. *In particular,*

$$\varphi_1(\theta^{np}) = n\theta^{(n-1)p}.$$

Proof. We shall prove this by induction on t .

1) The case $t = 0$.

$$\delta\varphi_1(1, 1) = Sq_p\varphi(1, 1) = 0.$$

Therefore $\varphi_1(1) = 0$.

2) The case $t = np$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(\theta^t) &= \theta^{t-1}\varphi_1(\theta) + \theta\varphi_1(\theta^{t-1}) - Sq_p\varphi(\theta^{t-1}, \theta) \\ &= \theta^{t-1}\varphi_1(\theta) + \theta\{(t-1)\theta^{t-2}\varphi_1(\theta) + (n-1)\theta^{t-1-p}\} \\ &\quad - \varphi^{p-1}(\theta^{t-1}) \\ &= t\theta^{t-1}\varphi_1(\theta) + n\theta^{t-p} = n\theta^{(n-1)p}. \end{aligned}$$

3) The case $np < t < (n+1)p$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(\theta^t) &= \theta^{t-1}\varphi_1(\theta) + \theta\{(t-1)\theta^{t-2}\varphi_1(\theta) + n\theta^{t-1-p}\} \\ &\quad - \varphi^{p-1}(\theta^{t-1}) \\ &= t\theta^{t-1}\varphi_1(\theta) + n\theta^{t-p}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\varphi_1(\theta^{mp}) = m\theta^{(m-1)p}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\varphi_1(\theta^{mp}) = \varphi_1\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i \theta^{ip}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i a_i \theta^{(i-1)p}.$$

Therefore $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and if $a_i \neq 0$, then $i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Hence θ is an inseparable element of exponent ≥ 2 over k . This is a contradiction, and we have obtained the following

THEOREM. *Let K be an extension field of a field k of characteristic $p \neq 0$. If there exists an inseparable algebraic element of exponent one over k which is not contained in $k(K^p)$, then K is not rigid, and more precisely a non-trivial integrable element of $H_c^2(K, K)$ is found in the image of Sq_p .*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Gerstenhaber, On the deformation of rings and algebras, *Ann. of Math.* **79** (1964), 59–103.
- [2] D.K. Harrison, Commutative algebras and cohomology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **104** (1962), 191–204.
- [3] N. Jacobson, *Lecture in abstract algebra III*, Van Nostrand, 1964.

Nagoya University