THE GROUPS OF REGULAR COMPLEX POLYGONS

D. W. CROWE

1. Introduction. The two-dimensional unitary space, U_2 , is a complex vector space of points $(x, y) = (x_1 + ix_2, y_1 + iy_2)$, for which the distance between (x, y) and (x', y') is defined by $[(x - x') (x - x') + (y - y') (y - y')]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. A unitary transformation is a linear transformation which preserves distance. A line is the set of points (x, y) satisfying some complex equation ax + by = c. A unitary transformation is a (unitary) reflection if it is of finite period n > 1 and leaves a line pointwise invariant. Thus a unitary matrix represents a reflection if its two characteristic roots are 1 and a complex nth root (n > 1) of 1.

Shephard (7) has introduced the notion of *regular complex polygon* as follows. Consider a configuration \mathbf{P} consisting of points ("vertices") and lines ("edges") in \mathbf{U}_2 . If the group of automorphisms of \mathbf{P} is generated by two reflections, one, say S, which permutes cyclically the vertices on an edge and another, T, which permutes cyclically the edges at one of these vertices, then \mathbf{P} is called a regular complex polygon. Now the finite groups in \mathbf{U}_2 generated by S and T can be interpreted as finite groups of orthogonal transformations in four-dimensional Euclidean space, \mathbf{E}_4 . These groups in \mathbf{E}_4 have been enumerated by Seifert and Threlfall (6), using the fact that each such group is homomorphic (either 2:1 or 1:1) to one of the finite groups of displacements in elliptic space of three dimensions enumerated by Goursat (5). The purpose of this paper is to find the groups in Goursat's list corresponding to Shephard's groups.

In §2 we find quaternion transformations q' = aqb corresponding to the generators of Shephard's groups. In §3 these are used to associate groups \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{N} of Clifford translations to Shephard's groups. (This discussion closely follows that of **(6)**.) In §4 Goursat's groups are described analogously, leading to the natural homomorphism between Shephard's groups and Goursat's described in §5. The results are tabulated, and summarized in the Theorem.

We write \mathfrak{G}_n and \mathfrak{G} for cyclic groups of order n and 1 respectively. The polyhedral group defined by $A^{\mu} = B^{\nu} = (AB)^2 = E$ is denoted $(2, \mu, \nu)$, and the binary polyhedral group $A^{\mu} = B^{\nu} = (AB)^2$ is $\langle 2, \mu, \nu \rangle$. With \mathfrak{G}_n the latter are the only finite groups of quaternions. For quaternions the exponential form exp $s\pi \mathbf{j}/n$ means $\cos s\pi/n + \mathbf{j} \sin s\pi/n$. The order of a group \mathfrak{G} is $|\mathfrak{G}|$.

2. The quaternion representation of a unitary reflection. If the

Received June 29, 1959. This paper is a portion of the author's Ph.D. thesis at the University of Michigan, prepared under the direction of Professor H. S. M. Coxeter.

point $(x, y) = (x_1 + ix_2, y_1 + iy_2)$ in U_2 is represented by the point (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) in E_4 , then the transformation

(2.1)
$$(x'_1 + ix'_2, y'_1 + iy'_2) = (x, y) \begin{pmatrix} r_1 + ir_2 & s_1 + is_2 \\ t_1 + it_2 & u_1 + iu_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is represented by the transformation

$$(2.2) \qquad (x'_1, x'_2, y'_1, y'_2,) = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_2 & s_1 & s_2 \\ -r_2 & r_1 - s_2 & s_1 \\ t_1 & t_2 & u_1 & u_2 \\ -t_2 & t_1 - u_2 & u_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, if 2.1 is a unitary reflection then 2.2 is proper orthogonal. The transformation 2.2 can in turn be expressed as a quaternion transformation (2)

(2.3)
$$q' = (a_1 + \mathbf{i}a_2 + \mathbf{j}a_3 + \mathbf{k}a_4) q (b_1 + \mathbf{i}b_2 + \mathbf{j}b_3 + \mathbf{k}b_4)$$

where $q = x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2 + \mathbf{j}y_1 + \mathbf{k}y_2$, $q' = x_1' + \mathbf{i}x_2' + \mathbf{j}y_1' + \mathbf{k}y_2'$, and Na = Nb = 1. Since in our case 2.2 corresponds to a unitary reflection, we have also $a_1 = b_1$ (2, p. 141).

The a_i and b_i in 2.3 can be found in terms of the r_i , s_i , t_i , and u_i in 2.2 by applying 2.2 and 2.3 to (1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1) and **1**, **i**, **j**, **k** respectively, and equating coefficients. For example, applying 2.2 and 2.3 to (1, 0, 0, 0) and **1** yields

$$r_1 = a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 - a_3b_3 - a_4b_4, r_2 = a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + a_3b_4 - a_4b_3,$$

 $s_1 = a_1b_3 + a_3b_1 - a_2b_4 + a_4b_2, s_2 = a_1b_4 + a_4b_1 + a_2b_3 - a_3b_2.$

Repeating this in the other three cases yields 12 more equations. Adding and subtracting these 16 equations in pairs containing $r_1, r_2, \ldots, u_1, u_2$ yields 16 equivalent equations which reduce to

$$a_{3} = a_{4} = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$2a_{1}b_{1} = r_{1} + u_{1}, 2a_{1}b_{3} = s_{1} - t_{1},$$

$$2a_{1}b_{2} = r_{2} - u_{2}, 2a_{1}b_{4} = s_{2} + t_{2},$$

$$2a_{2}b_{1} = r_{2} + u_{2}, 2a_{2}b_{3} = s_{2} - t_{2},$$

$$2a_{2}b_{2} = u_{1} - r_{1}, 2a_{2}b_{4} = -s_{1} - t_{1}.$$

These equations readily give the quaternion transformation 2.3 corresponding to a unitary matrix. For example, if

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp 2\pi i/n \end{pmatrix}$$

we get

$$a_1b_3 = a_2b_3 = a_1b_4 = a_2b_4 = 0$$

Since either a_1 or a_2 is different from zero this implies $b_3 = b_4 = 0$. Moreover, $2a_1b_2 = -u_2 = -2a_2b_1$, that is

$$a_1/a_2 = -b_1/b_2,$$

and since

$$a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 = r_1 > 0$$

we have $b = \bar{a}$. Then

$$2a_1b_1 = 2a_1^2 = r_1 + u_1 = 1 + \cos 2\pi/n,$$

so that

$$a_1 = \pm \cos \pi/n = b_1.$$

We choose the upper sign. Finally

$$2a_2b_1 = 2a_2a_1 = 2a_2\cos \pi/n = \sin 2\pi/n,$$

which yields

$$a_2 = \sin \pi/n = -b_2.$$

Consequently the quaternion form of T is

(2.4)
$$q' = (\cos \pi/n + \mathbf{i} \sin \pi/n) q (\cos \pi/n - \mathbf{i} \sin \pi/n).$$

In Table I at the end of this paper we list the groups of the regular complex polygons, writing $p_1[t]p_2$ for the group of the polygon $p_1\{t\}p_2$ in the notation of (4, p. 80). The generators S and T are taken from (7) except for the group 2[n]2 for which the given S is not a reflection. For this group we let

$$S = S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi/n & \sin 2\pi/n \\ \sin 2\pi/n & -\cos 2\pi/n \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Professor Coxeter has pointed out that the defining relations for Shephard's groups are particularly simple in terms of S^{-1} and T. Consequently we use these generators in preference to S and T. The quaternion form of S^{-1} appears in the table. By 2.4, T is always (exp $\pi i/p_2$) q (exp $-\pi i/p_2$).

3. The groups \mathfrak{X} , \mathfrak{N} , \mathfrak{l} , \mathfrak{r} . If S^{-1} is represented by q' = aqb and T by q' = cqd we designate by \mathfrak{X}^* the group generated by a and c, and by \mathfrak{N}^* the group generated by b and d. These groups are either cyclic groups or binary polyhedral groups $\langle 2, \mu, \nu \rangle$ (1), and can thus be readily determined. We remark that in all cases \mathfrak{X}^* is cyclic.

We turn now to a more detailed discussion of Shephard's groups in terms of the groups \mathfrak{X}^* and \mathfrak{M}^* . Let \mathfrak{G} be such a group. Then \mathfrak{G} is a group of transformations q' = aqb, $a \in \mathfrak{X}^*$, $b \in \mathfrak{M}^*$. But not every transformation of the given form is in the group, and furthermore there are certain redundancies. The latter occur because the transformation q' = aqb is identical to the transformation q' = (-a)q(-b). There are no other redundancies of this nature, for if aqb = cqd for all q then $c^{-1}a = db^{-1}$ is a real number, say s, so that $c = as^{-1}$ and d = sb. But Na = Nb = Nc = Nd = 1, so $s = \pm 1$. We remove these redundancies by identifying the elements (a, b) and (-a, -b)of $\mathfrak{X}^* \times \mathfrak{N}^*$. (Observe that multiplication in $\mathfrak{X}^* \times \mathfrak{N}^*$ is defined by (a, b)(c, d) = (ac, bd), which does indeed correspond to composition of the corresponding transformations since the commutativity in \mathfrak{X}^* implies ac = ca.) For finite groups of quaternions the only case in which this identification is trivial is if either \mathfrak{X}^* or \mathfrak{N}^* is a cyclic group of odd order. For our groups this is never the case. Essentially we thus form $(\mathfrak{X}^* \times \mathfrak{N}^*)/\mathfrak{C}_2$, whose elements are the classes $\{(a, b)\}$. We let

$$\mathfrak{L} = \{\{(a, 1)\} : a \in \mathfrak{L}^*\} \text{ and } \mathfrak{R} = \{\{(1, b)\} : b \in \mathfrak{R}^*\}.$$

The elements of \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{R} can be multiplied in the obvious manner:

$$\{(a, 1)\} \{(1, b)\} = \{(a, b)\}.$$

Clearly $\mathfrak{R} \cong \mathfrak{R}^*$ and $\mathfrak{L} \cong \mathfrak{L}^*$, but $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{R} \cong (\mathfrak{L}^* \times \mathfrak{R}^*)/\mathfrak{C}_2$. Every element of \mathfrak{L} commutes with every element of \mathfrak{R} . The group \mathfrak{G} is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{R}$ and will be treated as if it were itself a subgroup.

Let $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{G}$. Then \mathfrak{l} is a normal subgroup of \mathfrak{L} . For let $L \in \mathfrak{L}$ and $l \in \mathfrak{l}$. Certainly $L^{-1}lL \in \mathfrak{L}$. There is some $R \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that $LR \in \mathfrak{G}$, for \mathfrak{L} consists of exactly such elements L. Consequently

$$L^{-1}l L = (LR)^{-1}l (LR) \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

That is, $L^{-1}lL \in \mathfrak{X} \cap \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{l}$. Similarly, \mathfrak{r} is a normal subgroup of \mathfrak{N} . Furthermore \mathfrak{lr} is a normal subgroup in \mathfrak{G} of order $\frac{1}{2}|\mathfrak{l}||\mathfrak{r}|$. For if $l \in \mathfrak{l}$ and $r \in \mathfrak{r}$ then $(LR)^{-1}lr (LR) = (L^{-1}lL) (R^{-1}rR) \in \mathfrak{lr}$.

We say that an element $L \in \mathfrak{A}$ is *paired* with an element $R \in \mathfrak{N}$ if $LR \in \mathfrak{G}$. The cosets of \mathfrak{l} in \mathfrak{A} are in 1:1 correspondence (given by the pairing) with the cosets of \mathfrak{r} in \mathfrak{N} . For if L and L' are paired with R then LR, $(LR)^{-1} = L^{-1}R^{-1}$ and L'R are in \mathfrak{G} . Therefore $L^{-1}R^{-1}L'R = L^{-1}L'R^{-1}R = L^{-1}L' \in \mathfrak{G}$. That is, $L^{-1}L' \in \mathfrak{l}$, and consequently L and L' are in the same coset of \mathfrak{l} . Conversely, if L and L' are in the same coset and if L is paired with R, that is, $LR \in \mathfrak{G}$, we have $L'L^{-1}LR = L'R \in \mathfrak{G}$. That is, L' is also paired with R. This correspondence is an isomorphism. For let L be in the coset corresponding to the coset containing R, that is, $LR \in \mathfrak{G}$, and let $L'R' \in \mathfrak{G}$. Then

$$LRL'R' = LL'RR' \in \mathfrak{G},$$

that is LL' is in the coset corresponding to the coset containing RR'.

This isomorphism

$$(3.1) \qquad \qquad \vartheta/\mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{r}$$

enables us to determine \mathfrak{l} and \mathfrak{r} . For each of the $|\mathfrak{A}|$ elements of \mathfrak{A} is paired with the $|\mathfrak{r}|$ elements of a coset of \mathfrak{r} in \mathfrak{R} , and each of the $|\mathfrak{R}|$ elements of \mathfrak{R} is paired with each of the $|\mathfrak{l}|$ elements of a coset of \mathfrak{l} in \mathfrak{A} . These pairings give all the elements of \mathfrak{G} , but each element appears twice, for if $\{(a, 1)\}$ is paired with $\{(1, b)\}$ then $\{(-a, 1)\}$ is paired with $\{(1, -b)\}$ and $\{(a, 1)\}$ $\{(1, b)\} =$ $\{(-a, 1)\}$ $\{(1, -b)\} = \{(a, b)\}$. That is, $|\mathfrak{G}| = \frac{1}{2}|\mathfrak{A}||\mathfrak{r}| = \frac{1}{2}|\mathfrak{R}||\mathfrak{l}|$. This gives $|\mathfrak{r}|$ and $|\mathfrak{l}|$ and consequently \mathfrak{r} and \mathfrak{l} , since in all but two cases the normal subgroups of these orders are unique. We discuss these two cases separately. If $\mathfrak{G} = 2[4]n$, *n* even, we have $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{G}_{2n}$, $\mathfrak{R} = \langle 2, 2, n \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{G}_n$. In fact the 2n elements of \mathfrak{X} are

$$\exp s\pi i/n, s = 1, 2, ..., 2n.$$

(Strictly speaking, these are the elements of \mathfrak{X}^* . But $\mathfrak{X} \cong \mathfrak{X}^*$ and it is simpler to write $\pm a$ than $\{(\pm a, 1)\}$. The same applies to \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{N}^* .) The 4n elements of \mathfrak{N} are

$$\exp s\pi \mathbf{i}/n$$
 and $\mathbf{k} \exp s\pi \mathbf{i}/n$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n$.

The possible choices of \mathbf{r} , that is, of normal subgroups of index 2 in \mathfrak{R} , are \mathfrak{C}_{2n} with elements $\exp s\pi \mathbf{i}/n$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n$, and $\langle 2, 2, n/2 \rangle$ with elements

$$\exp 2s\pi \mathbf{i}/n$$
 and $\mathbf{k} \exp 2s\pi \mathbf{i}/n$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

We know \mathfrak{G} contains the element $T = \{(\exp \pi \mathbf{i}/n, \exp -\pi \mathbf{i}/n)\}$. Since $\exp \pi \mathbf{i}/n$ is not in \mathfrak{l} , but in the other coset of \mathfrak{l} in \mathfrak{R} we know $\exp -\pi \mathbf{i}/n$ is not in \mathfrak{r} . But $\exp -\pi \mathbf{i}/n$ is in \mathfrak{G}_{2n} . Therefore $\mathfrak{r} = \langle 2, 2, n/2 \rangle$.

In the case of groups 2[n]2, *n* divisible by 4, where $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{C}_4$, $\mathfrak{R} = \langle 2, 2, n/2 \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{C}_2$, it can be verified in a similar manner that $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{C}_n$ and not $\langle 2, 2, n/4 \rangle$. For $T = \{(\mathbf{i}, -\mathbf{i})\}$ is in \mathfrak{G} and \mathbf{i} is not in \mathfrak{l} . But $-\mathbf{i}$ is in $\langle 2, 2, n/4 \rangle$, so $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{C}_n$. (In this case the elements of \mathfrak{R} are $\exp 2s\pi \mathbf{j}/n$ and $\mathbf{i} \exp 2s\pi \mathbf{j}/n$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.)

Conversely, given the groups \mathfrak{X} , \mathfrak{X} , \mathfrak{I} , \mathfrak{r} from our list we can always determine \mathfrak{G} uniquely. To show this we need only show that if distinct isomorphisms 3.1 are chosen, the groups \mathfrak{G} arising from the corresponding pairings of cosets are isomorphic. In most cases $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{r}$ is \mathfrak{C}_2 or \mathfrak{S} , and there is thus only one isomorphism. However, there are cases where

or
$$\begin{array}{l} \Re/\mathfrak{r} = \langle 2, 2, n \rangle / \mathfrak{G}_n \cong \mathfrak{G}_4 \\ \Re/\mathfrak{r} = \langle 2, 3, 3 \rangle / \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle \cong \mathfrak{G}_3. \end{array}$$

There is only one non-trivial automorphism of \mathfrak{G}_4 , and it is induced by an automorphism α of $\langle 2, 2, n \rangle$, namely by $\alpha b = (\mathbf{i})b(-\mathbf{i})$, where the elements b of $\langle 2, 2, n \rangle$ are again $\exp s\pi \mathbf{i}/n$ and $\mathbf{k} \exp s\pi \mathbf{i}/n$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n$. This establishes an isomorphism between the two possible groups \mathfrak{G} by the correspondence $\{(a, b)\} : \{(a, \alpha b)\}$. Similarly, the only non-trivial automorphism of $\langle 2, 3, 3 \rangle / \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle$ is induced by the automorphism

$$\beta b = \left(\frac{1+\mathbf{i}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) b\left(\frac{1-\mathbf{i}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

of the group $\langle 2, 3, 3 \rangle$ whose elements are $\pm \mathbf{l}, \pm \mathbf{i}, \pm \mathbf{j}, \pm \mathbf{k}, (\pm \mathbf{l} \pm \mathbf{i} \pm \mathbf{j} \pm \mathbf{k})$. That is, an isomorphism between the two groups obtained from the two possible pairings of cosets \Re/\mathbb{I} with those of $\langle 2, 3, 3 \rangle/\langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle$ is determined by the correspondence $\{(a, b)\} : \{(a, \beta b)\}$.

4. The relevant Goursat groups. Goursat (5) has shown that the finite groups of motions in elliptic 3-space can all be obtained in an analogous

fashion from pairings of corresponding cosets of isomorphic quotient groups of polyhedral or cyclic groups. Explicitly, consider a polyhedral or cyclic group \mathfrak{X}' with a normal subgroup \mathfrak{I}' and a polyhedral or cyclic group \mathfrak{R}' with a normal subgroup \mathfrak{I}' such that

(4.1)
$$\mathfrak{R}'/\mathfrak{l}' \cong \mathfrak{R}'/\mathfrak{r}'.$$

Then $|\mathfrak{X}'||\mathfrak{r}'| = |\mathfrak{N}'||\mathfrak{l}'|$ is the order of a group \mathfrak{G}' whose elements are the pairs (a, b) for which b is an element of the coset of \mathfrak{r}' in \mathfrak{N}' which corresponds to the coset containing a in the isomorphism 4.1. The multiplication of elements of \mathfrak{G}' is defined by (a, b) (c, d) = (ac, bd), and \mathfrak{G}' is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}' \times \mathfrak{N}'$.

In all but one of the cases which concern us the quotient groups 4.1 are either \mathfrak{G}_2 or \mathfrak{E} . Consequently the given construction for \mathfrak{G}' is unambiguous. In the remaining case, where $\mathfrak{R}' = (2, 3, 3)$ and $\mathfrak{r}' = (2, 2, 2)$, there are two distinct ways of pairing the cosets of $\mathfrak{L}'/\mathfrak{l}'$ with those of $\mathfrak{R}'/\mathfrak{r}'$. But these two pairings again lead to isomorphic groups, for there is an automorphism of (2, 3, 3) which induces the non-trivial automorphism of (2, 3, 3)/(2, 2, 2) as follows. Let (2, 3, 3) be the group of a regular tetrahedron, and let γ be a rotation by angle π about a line joining the midpoints of opposite edges of a cube whose vertices are those of the tetrahedron and its dual. Then the transformation $\gamma b \gamma^{-1}$ induces the non-trivial automorphism of (2, 3, 3)/(2, 2, 2). Consequently an isomorphism between the two possible pair groups is given by the correspondence $(a, b) : (a, \gamma b \gamma^{-1})$.

5. The homomorphism from Shephard's groups to Goursat's. Let a group \mathfrak{G} of our list be given by 3.1. Consider the natural homomorphism from the cyclic or binary polyhedral group \mathfrak{X} to the corresponding cyclic or polyhedral group \mathfrak{X}' obtained by identifying the elements $\pm a$ of \mathfrak{X} . Let a' be the image of $\pm a$ under this homomorphism. Similarly let b' be the image of $\pm b$ under the natural homomorphism of \mathfrak{N} onto \mathfrak{N}' . Clearly this induces a homomorphism from \mathfrak{G} onto some group \mathfrak{G}' whose elements are of the form (a', b'). Thus \mathfrak{G}' is one of Goursat's groups, for its elements are pairs from cyclic or polyhedral groups, and Goursat's list includes all such. We distinguish two cases. (i) The elements $\pm a$ are not in the same coset of \mathfrak{l} in \mathfrak{X} , and the elements $\pm b$ are not in the same coset of \mathfrak{r} in \mathfrak{N} . The only groups for which this occurs are 2[n]2, n odd, and 2[4]n, n odd, that is, the groups for which \mathfrak{l} and \mathfrak{r} are cyclic groups of odd order. (ii) The elements $\pm a$ are in the same coset of \mathfrak{l} in \mathfrak{X} and the elements $\pm b$ are in the same coset of \mathfrak{r} in \mathfrak{N} . This is the situation for all other groups in our list.

Now in case (i) the homomorphism described from \mathfrak{G} to \mathfrak{G}' is actually an isomorphism. For the only elements of \mathfrak{G} whose images are (a', b') are $\{(a, b)\}$ and $\{(-a, -b)\}$, since if $\{(a, b)\}$ is in \mathfrak{G} there is no element $\{(a, -b)\}$ in \mathfrak{G} . But $\{(a, b)\} = \{(-a, -b)\}$, so the correspondence between the elements of \mathfrak{G}' and those of \mathfrak{G} is 1:1. This determines the order of \mathfrak{G}' , as well as \mathfrak{L}' and \mathfrak{R}' , so that we can find \mathfrak{l}' and \mathfrak{r}' immediately. In fact, $\mathfrak{l}' = \mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{r}' = \mathfrak{r}$.

In case (ii) the homomorphism of \mathfrak{G} to \mathfrak{G}' is 2:1 since the distinct elements $\{(a, \pm b)\}$ of \mathfrak{G} both have (a', b') as image. In particular the image of $(1, \pm b)$ is (1', b'), so if $b \in \mathfrak{r}$ then $b' \in \mathfrak{r}'$. That is, \mathfrak{r}' is the image of \mathfrak{r} under the homo-

Group $p_1[t]p_2$	Quaternion transformation corresponding to S^{-1} (§ 2)	8/1 (§ 3)	ℜ/r (§ 3)
2[4]n	$(\mathbf{i})q(-\mathbf{k})$	$\frac{\mathfrak{S}_{2n}/\mathfrak{S}_n}{(n \text{ even})}$ $\frac{\mathfrak{S}_{4n}/\mathfrak{S}_n}{(n \text{ odd})}$	$\langle 2, 2, n \rangle / \langle 2, 2, n/2 \rangle$ $\langle 2, 2, n \rangle / \mathfrak{G}_n$
2[n]2	$(\mathbf{i})q(-\mathbf{i}\exp 2\pi\mathbf{j}/n)$	$ \begin{array}{c} (n \text{ or } d) \\ \mathbb{S}_4/\mathbb{S}_2 \\ (n \text{ even}) \\ \mathbb{S}_4/\mathbb{S} \\ (n \text{ odd}) \end{array} $	$\langle 2, 2, n/2 \rangle / \mathfrak{S}_n$ $\langle 2, 2, n \rangle / \mathfrak{S}_n$
3[6]2	$\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mathbf{i}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)q\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mathbf{i}\frac{1}{2}+\mathbf{j}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}-\mathbf{k}\frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}\right)$	$\mathfrak{C}_{12}/\mathfrak{C}_{12}$	$\langle 2, 3, 3 \rangle / \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle$
3[4]3	$\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)q\left(\frac{1}{2}-i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}+j\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}-k\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)$	$\mathcal{S}_6/\mathfrak{S}_6$	$\langle 2, 3, 3 angle / \langle 2, 3, 3 angle$
3[3]3	$\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)q\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}+j\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}-k\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)$	$\mathfrak{S}_6/\mathfrak{S}_2$	$\langle 2,3,3 angle /\langle 2,2,2 angle$
3[8]2	$\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)q\left(\frac{1}{2}-i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}+j\frac{1}{4}-k\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)$	$\mathfrak{S}_{12}/\mathfrak{S}_6$	$\langle 2, 3, 4 angle / \langle 2, 3, 3 angle$
4[6]2	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)_q \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - i\frac{1}{2} + j\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} - k\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}\right)_q$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$ $\mathfrak{S}_8/\mathfrak{S}_8$	$\langle 2,3,4 angle /\langle 2,3,4 angle$
4[4]3	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}+i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)q\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}-i\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}+j\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}-i\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}\right)$	$\mathbf{k}\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}$ $\mathfrak{G}_{24}/\mathfrak{G}_{12}$	$\langle 2,3,4 angle /\langle 2,3,3 angle$
4[3]4	$\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} + \mathbf{i}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)q\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) \qquad \qquad$	$\mathfrak{S}_8/\mathfrak{S}_4$	$\langle 2,3,4 angle /\langle 2,3,3 angle$
3[10]2	$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{i}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)q\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mathbf{i}\frac{\tau^*}{2} + \mathbf{j}\frac{1}{2\tau} - \mathbf{k}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\tau}\right)$	$\mathfrak{G}_{1\mathfrak{l}}/\mathfrak{G}_{12}$	$\langle 2,3,5 angle /\langle 2,3,5 angle$
5[6]2	$\left(\frac{\tau}{2} + \mathbf{i}\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^{\dagger} q \left(\frac{\tau}{2} - \mathbf{i}\frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{j}\frac{1}{4} - \mathbf{k}\frac{\sigma^{3}\sqrt{5}}{20}\right)$	$\mathfrak{G}_{20}/\mathfrak{G}_{20}$	$\langle 2, 3, 5 angle / \langle 2, 3, 5 angle$
5[4]3	$\left(\frac{\tau}{2} + \mathbf{i}\frac{\sigma}{2}\right)q\left(\frac{\tau}{2} - \mathbf{i}\frac{\tau\sqrt{3}}{6} + \mathbf{j}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} + \mathbf{k}\frac{\sigma^3\sqrt{15}}{20}\right)$	$\left(\widetilde{2} \right) \qquad \mathbb{S}_{30}/\mathbb{S}_{20}$	$\langle 2, 3, 5 angle / \langle 2, 3, 5 angle$
3[5]3	$\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)q\left(\frac{1}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{15}}{6} + j\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} - k\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$\mathbb{S}_6/\mathbb{S}_6$	$\langle 2,3,5 angle / \langle 2,3,5 angle$
5[3]5	$\left(\frac{\tau}{2} + \mathbf{i}\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) q \left(\frac{\tau}{2} - \mathbf{i}\frac{\sigma\sqrt{5}}{10} + \mathbf{j}\frac{1}{2\sigma} - \mathbf{k}\frac{1}{2\tau}\right)^{2/2}$	$\mathfrak{S}_{10}/\mathfrak{S}_{10}$	$\langle 2,3,5 angle /\langle 2,3,5 angle$
$\tau = 2 \cos \pi/5 = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2.$ $\dagger \sigma = 2 \sin \pi/5 = (3 - \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$			

TABLE I

morphism taking $\pm b$ to b'. This determines the group \mathfrak{r}' . Order considerations alone determine the cyclic group \mathfrak{l}' for which $2|\mathfrak{l}'| = |\mathfrak{l}|$.

The groups \mathfrak{X} , \mathfrak{X} , \mathfrak{I} , \mathfrak{r} appear in Table I. These tabulations then readily yield the Goursat groups in the form 4.1. Except for the cases 2[4]n and 2[n]2 the results are summarized in the Theorem, for which the following notation is convenient:

Let $p_1[t]p_2$ be the group generated by reflections S^{-1} and T, having the defining relations

 $(S^{-1})^{p_1} = T^{p_2} = E, S^{-1}TS^{-1} \dots = TS^{-1}T \dots (t \text{ factors on each side}).$

The centre of this group is the cyclic group \mathcal{B} generated by $(S^{-1}T)^{t/2}$ if t is even or by $(S^{-1}T)^{t}$ if t is odd. The period of $(S^{-1}T)^{t/2}$ is

 $2p_1p_2/k$, where $2k = 2p_1p_2 + p_1t + p_2t - p_1p_2t$

(4, pp. 76, 77, 79). The quotient group $p_1[t] p_2/3$ is a polyhedral group $(2, \mu, \nu)$. (7, p. 84).

THEOREM. The group $p_1[t]p_2$ $(p_1 \neq 2)$ with centre 3 is 2:1 homomorphic to the group of motions in elliptic 3-space defined by the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{X}'/\mathfrak{l}'\cong \mathfrak{R}'/\mathfrak{r}',$$

where

- (a) \mathfrak{L}' and \mathfrak{l}' are cyclic groups.
- (b) $|\mathfrak{L}'| = 1.c.m.\{p_1, p_2\}.$
- (c) $2|\mathfrak{l}'| = |\mathfrak{Z}|.$
- (d) $\Re' = p_1[t]p_2/\mathfrak{Z}.$
- (e) \mathbf{r}' is the unique normal subgroup of \mathfrak{R}' such that $|\mathfrak{R}'||\mathbf{r}'| = |\mathfrak{R}'||\mathfrak{l}'|$.
- ((a), (b), and (d) also hold in case $p_1 = 2$.)

References

- H. S. M. Coxeter, The binary polyhedral groups and other generalizations of the quaternion group, Duke Math. J., γ (1940), 367-379.
- 2. Quaternions and reflections, Amer. Math. Monthly, 53 (1946), 136-146.
- 3. —— Regular polytopes (London, 1948).
- 4. H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser, Generators and relations for discrete groups (Berlin, 1957).
- E. Goursat, Sur les substitutions orthogonales et les divisions régulières de l'espace, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3), 6 (1889), 9-102.
- H. Seifert and W. Threlfall, Topologische Untersuchungen der Diskontinuitätsbereiche endlicher Bewegungsgruppen des dreidimensionalen sphärischen Raumes, Math. Ann., 104 (1931), 1-70.
- 7. G. C. Shephard, Regular complex polygons, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 2 (1952), 82-97.
- G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, Finite unitary reflection groups, Can. J. Math., 6 (1954), 274–304.

University College Ibadan, Nigeria.