# Distance Off by Vertical Sextant Angle 

J. W. Crosbie

Distance off by measuring the elevation of a mountain top above the horizon has been a popular means of finding position at sea ever since Captain Lecky published his famous tables. This popularity persists into the radar age because distance off can often be found from a vertical sextant angle long before a ship is within effective radar range of the coast. In view of this it is surprising that the standard textbooks on navigation give scant attention to this method and any seaman finding himself without Lecky's tables would find little guidance elsewhere.

Wing Commander E. W. Anderson in The Principles of Navigation indicates that the whole angle subtended by a mountain at a ship can be found by adding half the estimated distance off in miles to the visible angle in minutes, having first corrected this angle for dip and refraction. This can be proved by simple geometry by showing that the angle subtended by the part of a mountain hidden to an observer at sea-level is equal


FIG. i. Mnemonic showing relationship between height of mountain peak, angle observed and distance off. to half the distance off. Once the whole angle has been calculated the distance can be found from the formula $D=0.565 h \times \frac{1}{\theta}$, and it is worth noting that the error in the distance found is at most a half of the error in the estimated distance off. Thus the exact distance off can be arrived at by a series of closer and closer approximations.

Alternatively the above formula can be written :
$D=0.565 h \div\left(\theta+\frac{1}{2} D\right)$, where $\theta=$ visible angle, and then transposing, $D^{2}+20 D=1 \cdot 13 h$, and completing the square, $D=\sqrt{ }\left(1 \cdot 13^{h}+\theta^{2}\right)-\theta$, so that the true distance off can be calculated directly from the observed angle, corrected for dip and refraction, and the height of the mountain.

Navigators seeking an even easier solution may write the formula as $D=\sqrt{ }\left\{(1.06 \sqrt{ } h)^{2}+\theta^{2}\right\}-\theta$, from which it can be seen that the part $\sqrt{ }\left\{(1.06 \sqrt{h})^{2}+\theta^{2}\right\}$ is the hypotenuse of a right-angled triangle in which $\theta$ and $1.06 \sqrt{ } h$ are the other two sides. $\theta$ is, of course, the observed angle
and $\mathrm{I} \cdot 06 \sqrt{ } \mathrm{~h}$ is the 'rising' distance of the mountain top where refraction has been ignored. $1.06 \sqrt{ } \mathrm{~h}$ can be found from a specially constructed table or else taken from any book of nautical tables giving distance of the sea horizon, but in this case, one twelfth of that distance should be subtracted from itself to compensate for the allowance for refraction. The traverse table can now be entered with $\mathrm{r} .06 \sqrt{ } h$ as D. Lat. and $\theta$ as Dep and a corresponding distance found. The observed angle $\theta$, when subtracted from this distance, gives the distance off.

DISTANCE TO THE GEOMETRICAL HORIZON
(For use when solving vertical sextant angles by traverse tables.)

| feet | miles | feet | miles | feet | miles | feet | miles | feet | miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 10.6 | $55^{\circ}$ | 24.9 | 1600 | $42 \cdot 4$ | 3700 | 64.5 | 5800 | 80.7 |
| 120 | 11.6 | 600 | 25.9 | 1700 | $43 \cdot 7$ | 3800 | 65.4 | 5900 | 81.4 |
| 140 | 12.5 | 650 | $27 \cdot 0$ | 1800 | 44.9 | 3900 | $66 \cdot 2$ | 6000 | $82 \cdot 1$ |
| 160 | 13.3 | 700 | 28.0 | 1900 | $46 \cdot 2$ | 4000 | $67 \cdot 0$ | 6100 | 82.8 |
| 180 | $14^{-2}$ | 750 | 29.0 | 2000 | $47 \cdot 4$ | 4100 | $67 \cdot 9$ | 6200 | 83.4 |
| 200 | 14.9 | 800 | $30 \cdot 0$ | 2100 | $48 \cdot 6$ | 4200 | $68 \cdot 7$ | 6300 | 84.1 |
| 220 | 15.7 | 850 | $30 \cdot 9$ | 2200 | $49 \cdot 7$ | 4300 | 69.5 | 6400 | 84.7 |
| 240 | 16.4 | 900 | 31.8 | 2300 | 50.8 | 4400 | 70.3 | 6500 | 85.4 |
| 260 | $17 \cdot 1$ | 950 | $32 \cdot 6$ | 2400 | 51.9 | 4500 | 71.1 | 6600 | 86.I |
| 280 | $17 \%$ | 1000 | $33 \cdot 6$ | 2500 | 53.0 | 4600 | 7199 | 6800 | $87 \cdot 4$ |
| 300 | $18 \cdot 3$ | 1050 | 34.4 | 2600 | 54.0 | 4700 | 72.6 | 7000 | $88 \cdot 7$ |
| 320 | 19.0 | 1100 | 35.1 | 2700 | 55.0 | 4800 | 73.4 | 7200 | $90 \cdot 0$ |
| 340 | 19.5 | 1150 | $35 \cdot 9$ | 2800 | 56.0 | 4900 | 74.1 | 7400 | 91.2 |
| 360 | 20.1 | 1200 | 36.7 | 2900 | 57.1 | 5000 | 74.9 | 7600 | $92 \cdot 4$ |
| 380 | 20.6 | 1250 | $37 \cdot 4$ | 3000 | 58.I | 5100 | $75 \cdot 6$ | 7800 | $93 \cdot 6$ |
| 400 | 2I-2 | 1300 | $38 \cdot 3$ | 3100 | 59.1 | 5200 | $76 \cdot 4$ | 8000 | 94.8 |
| 420 | 21.7 | 1350 | 39.1 | 3200 | $60 \cdot 0$ | 5300 | 77-1 | 8500 | $97 \cdot 7$ |
| 440 | $22 \cdot 2$ | 1400 | $39 \cdot 8$ | 3300 | 60.9 | 5400 | $77 \cdot 9$ | 9000 | $100 \cdot 6$ |
| 460 | $22 \cdot 7$ | 1450 | $40 \cdot 4$ | 3400 | 61.8 | 5500 | $78 \cdot 6$ | 9500 | 103.3 |
| 480 | 23.2 | 1500 | 41.0 | 3500 | 62.7 | 5600 | 79.3 | 10000 | 106.0 |
| 500 | 23.7 | 1550 | 417 | 3600 | $63 \cdot 6$ | 5700 | $80 \cdot 0$ | 11000 | 111.0 |

On very large ships it should be borne in mind that for strict accuracy the observer should subtract his own height of eye from the height of the mountain to obtain $h$. This is because when correcting the observed angle for dip he 'cuts' a piece out of the mountain as his horizontal is higher than an observer at sea-level.
S. M. Burton's The Art of Astronomical Navigation, incidentally, on p. 136 refers to the use of the traverse tables for this type of problem.

## EXAMPLE USING TRAVERSE TABLE

The 5228 ft . peak of the island Anjouan was observed from m.v. British Willow in a D.R. position 60 miles off. The height of eye was 56 ft . and the sextant angle read 28!8

| Index error on the arc: | $0!8$ | Height of peak | 5228 ft. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | $28!0$ |  |  |
| Dip | $7!3$ | Height of eye | 56 ft. |
|  | $20!7$ |  |  |
| Refraction $(60 \div 12)$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $h$ | 5172 ft. |

True angle of elevation $\quad 15^{\prime} 7 \quad$ From table $1.06 \sqrt{ } h=76 \cdot 2$ n.m. From traverse table under $12^{\circ}$
under $11^{\circ}$
Interpolating

True distance off

Distance D. Lat Dep
$77.9 \quad$ 76.2 $\mathbf{7 6 . 2}$
$77.6 \quad 76.2 \quad 14.8$
$77.8 \quad 76.2 \quad 15 \cdot 7$ I5.7
62.I n.m.

It should be noted that the interpolation required in the traverse table is that carried out by ocean navigators when calculating currents.

# Captain Mário Gama's Direct Method for Star-sight Reduction 

Charles H. Cotter

In a very interesting paper which appeared recently ${ }^{1}$ the author, Capitão Mário Gama of the Portuguese Merchant Navy, describes a direct method for computing position lines from star (or planet) observations.

The principal feature of Captain Gama's method is the systematic manner in which he arrives at an intercept to the extent, not only of saving time in sight reduction, but also in reducing the possibility of blundering.

The method employs the Computed Tables of Altitude and Azimuth (HD 486) and involves timing a series of star-sights, the ship making headway meanwhile, by means of a stop watch which is set at zero at a noted chronometer time shortly before the observations commence. The following example, given in the original paper, in reducing sights of Vega and Denebola observed during morning twilight of 25 Feb. 1959 , in D.R. position Lat. $10^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ S., Long. $73^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ E., will serve to illustrate the method.

