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1. The main result and its consequences. A (bounded, linear) operator $H$ on a Banach space $\mathscr{X}$ is said to be hermitian if $\|\exp (i t H)\|=1$ for all real $t$. An operator $N$ on $\mathscr{X}$ is said to be normal if $N=H+i K$, where $H$ and $K$ are commuting hermitian operators. These definitions generalize those familiar concepts of operators on Hilbert spaces. Also, the normal derivations defined in [1] are normal operators. For more details about hermitian operators and normal operators on general Banach spaces, see [4]. The main result concerning normal operators in the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem A. Suppose that $N$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{X})$ is normal. Then $\|N x+w\| \geq\|w\|$ for each $x$ in $\mathscr{X}$ and each $w$ in $\operatorname{ker} N$. (In other words, the kernel of $N$ is orthogonal to the range of $N$.)

The proof of Theorem A will be presented in the next section. Granting this theorem for the moment, we can deduce the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. If $N$ is a normal operator on $\mathscr{X}$ and $\lambda, \mu$ are distinct eigenvalues of $N$, then $\|x+y\| \geq\|x\|$ for $x \in \operatorname{ker}(N-\lambda)$ and $y \in \operatorname{ker}(N-\mu)$. In other words, eigenspaces corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are mutually orthogonal.

Proof. Since $N$ is normal, so is $N-\lambda$. Hence, by Theorem $A,\|x+y\|=$ $\left\|(N-\lambda)\left((\lambda-\mu)^{-1} y\right)+x\right\| \geq\|x\|$.

Corollary 2. If $N$ is a normal operator on a separable space, then there are at most countably many eigenvalues.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 1 and a topological consideration.
The next corollary is a special case of Proposition 1 in [7].
Corollary 3. If $N$ is a normal operator and $N^{2} x=0$, then $N x=0$.
Proof. Let $w=N x$. Then $N w=0$ and hence, by Theorem A, $0=\|N(-x)+w\| \geq\|w\|$.
Corollary 4. Let $N$ be a normal operator on $\mathscr{X}$. If 0 is in the spectrum $\sigma(N)$ of $N$ and the range $N \mathscr{Z}$ is closed, then 0 is an isolated point in $\sigma(N), \mathscr{Z}=\operatorname{ker} N \oplus N \mathscr{Z}$ and $\|P\|=1$ where $P$ is the projection from $\mathscr{X}$ onto ker $N$ along $N \mathscr{X}$.

The proof of this corollary is similar to that of Proposition 3 in [9] and hence omitted.
Corollary 5. Let $N$ be a normal operator on $\mathscr{Z}$. If $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of unit vectors
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in $\mathscr{X}$ such that $\lim \left\|N w_{n}\right\|=0$, then, for each bounded sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathscr{X}$,

$$
\lim \sup \left\|N x_{n}-w_{n}\right\| \geq 1
$$

Proof. First let us recall the "Berberian-Quigley extension". (See [6].) Let $\ell^{\infty}(\mathscr{X})$ be the Banach space of all bounded sequences in $\mathscr{X}$ with sup-norm and let $c_{0}(\mathscr{X})$ be the subspace of $\ell^{\infty}(\mathscr{X})$ consisting of those $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ with $\lim \left\|x_{n}\right\|=0$. Let $\mathscr{X}^{0}$ be the quotient space $\ell^{\infty}(\mathscr{X}) / c_{0}(\mathscr{X})$. For every $T$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{X})$, the mapping $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \mapsto\left\{T x_{n}\right\}$ sends $\ell^{\infty}(\mathscr{X})$ or $c_{0}(\mathscr{X})$ into itself and hence it induces an operator $T^{0}$ on $\mathscr{X}^{0}$ with $\|T\|=\|T\|$. It is easy to see that if $T$ is hermitian or normal, then so is $T^{0}$. Now the corollary follows from an application of Theorem A to $N^{0}$.

Corollary 6 (See [1] and [2].) Let $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ be normal operators on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$. Define $N \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H}))$ by $N x=a_{1} x-x a_{2}$. Then we have: (1) $\|N x+w\| \geq\|w\|$ for all $x$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ and $w$ in $\operatorname{ker} N$, (2) $\|x+y\| \geq\|x\|$ if $N x=\lambda x, N y=\mu y$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$, (3) $N^{2} x=0$ implies $N x=0$, and (4) if, furthermore, the range of $N$ is closed, then the spectra of both $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are finite.

Proof. Suppose $a_{j}=h_{j}+i k_{i}(j=1,2)$, where $h_{j}$ and $k_{j}$ are commuting hermitian operators on $\mathscr{H}$. Then $N x=H x+i K x$, where $H x=h_{1} x-x h_{2}$ and $K x=k_{1} x-x k_{2}$. Note that both $H$ and $K$ are hermitian and $H K x=h_{1} k_{1} x+x h_{2} k_{2}-h_{1} x h_{2}-k_{1} x k_{2}=K H x$. Now (1), (2) and (3) follows from Theorem A, Corollary 1 and Corollary 3 respectively and (4) follows from Corollary 4 and Rosenblum's theorem [8].

Remark. Corollary 6 still holds if $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{X})$ is replaced by a Banach algebra and $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are assumed to be normal elements in it.
2. Proof of the main result. Now we proceed to prove Theorem A. It depends on the construction of certain projections in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{X}^{*}\right)$ (where $\mathscr{X}^{*}$ is the dual space of $\mathscr{X}$ ) which resemble conditional expectations in the theory of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra.

To begin with, let $V$ be a power bounded operator on $\mathscr{X}$, say $\left\|V^{n}\right\| \leq M$ for every positive integer $n$. Let glim be a generalized (Banach) limit. For each $\phi$ in $\mathscr{X}^{*}$, the map $E \phi: x \rightarrow g \lim \left\langle\phi, V^{n} x\right\rangle$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathscr{X}$ with $\|E \phi\| \leq M\|\phi\|$. Thus we obtain an operator $E$ in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{P}^{*}\right)$. Note that, in case $\|V\| \leq 1$, we have $\|E \phi\| \leq\|\phi\|$ for all $\phi$ in $\mathscr{X}^{*}$. We list some properties of $E$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Suppose that $V \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{X})$ is power bounded and $E$ is an operator in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{P}^{*}\right)$ defined as above. Then we have:
(1) If $A \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{X})$ and $A V=V A$, then $A^{*} E=E A^{*}$.
(2) $V^{*} E=E V^{*}=E$.
(3) For $w \in \mathscr{X}, V w=w$ if and only if $\langle E \phi, w\rangle=\langle\phi, w\rangle$ for all $\phi$ in $\mathscr{X}^{*}$.
(4) For $\phi \in \mathscr{X}^{*}, V^{*} \phi=\phi$ if and only if $E \phi=\phi$.
(5) $E^{2}=E$.

In particular, $E$ is a projection from $\mathscr{X}^{*}$ onto $\left\{\phi \in \mathscr{X}^{*}: V^{*} \phi=\phi\right\}$.
Proof. (1) For $x \in \mathscr{X}, \phi \in \mathscr{R}^{*}$, we have $\left\langle E A^{*} \phi, x\right\rangle=\operatorname{glim}\left\langle A^{*} \phi, V^{n} x\right\rangle=$ $\operatorname{glim}\left\langle\phi, V^{n} A x\right\rangle=\langle E \phi, A x\rangle=\left\langle A^{*} E \phi, x\right\rangle$ and hence $E A^{*}=A^{*} E$.
(2) For $x \in \mathscr{X}, \quad \phi \in \mathscr{X}^{*}$, we have $\left\langle V^{*} E \phi, x\right\rangle=\langle E \phi, V x\rangle=\operatorname{glim}\left\langle\phi, V^{n+1} x\right\rangle=$ $\operatorname{glim}_{\mathbf{n}}\left\langle\phi, V^{n} x\right\rangle=\langle E \phi, x\rangle$. Hence $V^{*} E=E$.
(3) If $V w=w$, then $\langle E \phi, w\rangle=\operatorname{glim}\left\langle\phi, V^{n} w\right\rangle=\langle\phi, w\rangle$. Conversely, suppose that $\langle E \phi, w\rangle=\langle\phi, w\rangle$ for all $\phi$ in $\mathscr{X}^{*}$. Then, by (2), we have $\langle\phi, V w\rangle=\left\langle V^{*} \phi, w\right\rangle=\left\langle E V^{*} \phi, w\right\rangle=$ $\langle E \phi, w\rangle=\langle\phi, w\rangle$ for all $\phi$ in $\mathscr{X}^{*}$. Hence $V w=w$.
(4) If $V^{*} \phi=\phi$, then $\langle E \phi, x\rangle=\operatorname{glim}\left\langle\phi, V^{n} x\right\rangle=\operatorname{glim}\left\langle V^{* n} \phi, x\right\rangle=\langle\phi, x\rangle$ for all $x$ and hence $E \phi=\phi$. Conversely, if $E \phi=\phi$, then, by (2), we have $V^{*} \phi=V^{*} E \phi=E \phi=\phi$.
(5) follows from (2) and (4).

As an aside, we give a different proof of Sinclair's result [9; Proposition 1] by applying the above lemma (and without using Kakutani's fixed point theorem.) First we need a technical lemma.

Lemma 2. If $T \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{X}), x \in \mathscr{R},(\exp T) x=x$ and $|\exp \lambda-1|<1$ for all $\lambda$ in $\sigma(T)$, then $T x=0$.

Proof. The lemma follows by applying the expansion $T=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}(I-\exp T)^{n}$ to the vector $x$.

Corollary 7 (Sinclair [9]). Let $T \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{X})$. If 0 is in the boundary of the closed convex hull of the (spatial) numerical range of $T$, then $\|T x+w\| \geq\|w\|$ for $x \in \mathscr{X}$ and $w \in \operatorname{ker} T$.

Proof. By multiplying $T$ by a suitable constant which is small enough in modulus, we may assume that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leqslant 0$ and $|\exp \lambda-1|<1$ for $\lambda$ in the closed convex hull of the numerical range of $T$. Let $V=\exp T$. Then, by [4, Theorem 3.6], $\|V\| \leq 1$. Let $w \in \operatorname{ker} T$. Then $V w=w$. By the spectral mapping theorem, $\sigma\left(V^{*}\right)=\sigma(V)=\exp \sigma(T) \subseteq$ $\{\lambda:|\lambda-1|<1\}$. By Lemma 1, there exists a projection $E$ in $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{X}^{*}\right)$ with $\left\{\phi \in \mathscr{X}^{*}: V^{*} \phi=\phi\right\}$ as its range such that $\|E\| \leq 1, E V^{*}=V^{*} E=E$ and $\langle E \phi, w\rangle=\langle\phi, w\rangle$ for all $\phi \in \mathscr{Z}^{*}$. If $E \phi=\phi$, then $\exp \left(T^{*}\right) \phi=V^{*} E \phi=E \phi=\phi$ and hence, by Lemma 2, $T^{*} \phi=0$. Therefore $T^{*} E=0$. If $\|\phi\| \leq 1$, then $\|E \phi\| \leq 1$ and hence

$$
\|T x+w\| \geq|\langle E \phi, T x+w\rangle|=\left|\left\langle T^{*} E \phi, x\right\rangle+\langle E \phi, w\rangle\right|=|\langle\phi, w\rangle| .
$$

Therefore $\|T x+w\| \geq \sup \{|\langle\phi, w\rangle|:\|\phi\| \leq 1\}=\|w\|$.
The next lemma is already known. (See [7].) However, for the convenience of the reader, a proof of it is included here.

Lemma 3. If $N=H+i K$ is a normal operator, where $H$ and $K$ are commuting hermitian operators and $N x=0$, then $H x=K x=0$.

Proof. From the assumption we have $K x=i H x$. Since $H K=K H$, by induction, we have $K^{n} x=(i H)^{n} x$ for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$. Hence $\exp (\lambda K) x=\exp (i \lambda H) x$ for every complex number $\lambda$. Now, suppose $\lambda=\alpha+i \beta$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real. Then we have

$$
\exp (\lambda K) x=\exp (i \beta K) \exp (\alpha K) x=\exp (i \beta K) \exp (i \alpha H) x
$$

Hence $\|\exp (\lambda K) x\| \leq\|x\|$. By Liouville's theorem, $\exp (\lambda K) x$ is a constant function. Differentiate this function at $\lambda=0$. We obtain $\exp (\lambda K) K x=0$ and hence $K x=0$.

Remark. From the proof of the above lemma we see that, besides the commutativity of $H$ and $K$, all we need is the boundedness of $\alpha \mapsto \exp (i \alpha H)$ and $\beta \mapsto \exp (i \beta K)(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R})$. Hence this lemma can be generalized for those $H+i K$, where $H$ and $K$ are commuting pre-hermitian operators. By an argument similar to that of Corollary 6, we can deduce Berkson, Dowson and Elliott's extension of Fuglede's theorem [3; Theorem 1] from this fact.

Proof of Theorem A. By Lemma 3, we have $H w=K w=0$. Let glim be a generalized limit. Define $E, F \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{X}^{*}\right)$ by the identities $\langle E \phi, x\rangle=\operatorname{glim}\langle\phi, \exp (\operatorname{inH}) x\rangle$ and $\langle F \phi, x\rangle=$ $\operatorname{glim}\langle\phi, \exp (i n K) x\rangle$. Then, by Lemma $1, E$ and $F$ are projections satisfying $\|E\| \leq 1$, $\|F\| \leq 1$ and $\langle E \phi, w\rangle=\langle\phi, w\rangle=\langle F \phi, w\rangle$, for all $\phi$ in $\mathscr{X}^{*}$. Furthermore, $(\exp i H)^{*} E=$ $E(\exp i H)^{*}=E$ and $(\exp i K)^{*} F=F(\exp i K)^{*}=F$. By Lemma 2, it is easy to see that $H^{*} E=0$ and $K^{*} F=0$. Note that, since $K H=H K$, we have $K^{*} E=E K^{*}$ and hence $K^{*} E F=E K^{*} F=0$. For $\phi \in \mathscr{X}^{*}$ with $\|\phi\| \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|N x+w\| & \geq|\langle E F \phi, N x+w\rangle| \\
& =\left|\left\langle H^{*} E F \phi, x\right\rangle+i\left\langle K^{*} E F \phi, x\right\rangle+\langle E F \phi, w\rangle\right| \\
& =|\langle\phi, w\rangle|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\|N x+w\| \geq\|w\|$. The proof is complete.
Remark. We have mentioned at the beginning of this section that the projection $E$ in Lemma 1 resembles conditional expectations in the theory of $C^{*}$-algebra. To make this statement more clear, we consider the following special case. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space $\mathscr{X}=\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ and let $h$ be a hermitian operator on $\mathscr{H}$. Define $H$ and $V$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{X})$ by $H x=h x-x h$ and $V x=\exp (i H) x=\exp (i h) x \exp (-i h)$. Let glim be a generalized limit and $P$, in $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{X})$, be the projection defined in such a way that $\langle(P x) \xi, \eta\rangle=\operatorname{glim}\left\langle\left(V^{n} x\right) \xi, \eta\right\rangle$, where $\xi, \eta$ are in $\mathscr{H}$. It is easy to check that $P$ is a conditional expectation from $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H})$ onto the von Neumann algebra $\{x \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{H}): x h=h x\}$, the commutant of $h$. Define $E \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{L}^{*}\right)$ in the same way as that in the beginning of this section, i.e., $\langle E \phi, x\rangle=\operatorname{glim}\left\langle\phi, V^{n} x\right\rangle$. Then we have $P^{*} \phi=E \phi$ if $\phi$ is of the form $\phi(x)=\langle x \xi, \eta\rangle$ for some vectors $\xi, \eta$ in $\mathscr{H}$. Thus $E$ is "almost" the dual of $P$. One can check that Lemma 1 still holds if $E$ is replaced by $P^{*}$.
3. Compact normal operators. Many results concerning compact hermitian operators (see [5, §28]) can be generalized to compact normal operators.

Propostrion 1. Let $T \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{X})$ be compact and normal, let $\lambda_{n}$ be the non-zero (distinct) eigenvalues of $T$ arranged such that $\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \geq\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \geq\left|\lambda_{3}\right| \geq \ldots$ and let $P_{n}$ be the spectral projection corresponding to $\lambda_{n}$. Then the following statements hold. (1) Each eigenvalue of $T$ has ascent 1. (2) $\left\|P_{n}\right\|=1$. (3) If each $P_{n}$ is hermitian, then $T=\sum \lambda_{n} P_{n}$, a norm-convergent series. (4) If $\lim n \lambda_{n}=0$, then $T=\sum \lambda_{n} P_{n}$.

Proof. (1) and (2) follows from Corollary 4 in §1. One can modify the proof of Theorem 28.1 in [5, p. 82] to obtain (3) and (4).

Next we show that if the underlying space $\mathscr{X}$ is weakly complete, then the linear span of eigenspaces of $T$ is dense in $\mathscr{X}$. First we need a technical lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a Banach algebra, $\mathscr{I}$ a closed two-sided ideal in $\mathscr{A}$ and $v: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A} \mid \nsubseteq$ the quotient map. Then the following two statements hold.
(1) If $h \in \mathscr{A}$ is hermitian, then so is $\nu(h)$.
(2) If $h, k \in \mathscr{A}$ are hermitian, $n=h+i k$ is normal and $n \in \mathscr{I}$, then $h, k \in \mathscr{I}$.

Proof. (1) for each real $t$, we have $\|\exp ( \pm i t \nu(h))\|=\|\nu(\exp ( \pm i t h))\| \leq 1$. On the other hand, $\|\exp (-i t \nu(h))\|\|\exp (i t \nu(h))\| \geq\|\exp (-i t \nu(h)) \exp (i t \nu(h))\|=1$. Hence $\|\exp (i t \nu(h))\|=1$ for all real $t$. Therefore $\nu(h)$ is hermitian.
(2) By (1), $\nu(n)=\nu(h)+i \nu(k)$ is normal. By the assumption, $\nu(n)=0$. Hence $\nu(h)=$ $\nu(k)=0$; i.e., $h, k \in \mathscr{J}$.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a weakly complete Banach space, $T$ a compact normal operator $\mathscr{X}$ and let $\lambda_{n}, P_{n}$ be as in Proposition 1. Then $\mathscr{X}$ is the closed linear span of eigenvectors of $T$.

Proof. Let $T=H+i K$, where $H$ and $K$ are commuting hermitian operators. Since $T$ is compact, by Lemma 3, both $H$ and $K$ are compact. Let $\alpha_{n}=\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}=\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{n}$. Suppose $x \in P_{n} \mathscr{X}$. Then $K x=\lambda_{n} x$; that is, $\left(\left(H-\alpha_{n}\right)+i\left(K-\beta_{n}\right)\right) x=0$ and hence, by Lemma 2 in $\S 2,\left(H-\alpha_{n}\right) x=0$ and $\left(K-\beta_{n}\right) x=0$. Thus, if $\alpha$ is a non-zero eigenvalue of $H$, $\mu_{\alpha}$ is the eigenspace $\{x: H x=\alpha x\}$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{n}=\alpha$, then $P_{n} \mathscr{X} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. Since $T$ commutes with $H, \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ is invariant under $T$. It is not hard to see that $T$ restricted to $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ is a normal operator on a finite dimensional space $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$. If $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ is an eigenvector of $T$ with $T x=\lambda x$, then, by the same argument as before we obtain $H x=(\operatorname{Re} \lambda) x$ and hence $\operatorname{Re} \lambda=\alpha$. We conclude that $\mu_{\alpha}$ is the direct sum of all those $P_{n} \mathscr{X}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{n}=\alpha$. Similarly, if $\beta$ is a non-zero eigenvalue of $K$, we write $\mathcal{N}_{\beta}$ for $\{x \in \mathscr{X}: K x=\beta x\}$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\beta}$ is the direct sum $\sum\left\{P_{n} \mathscr{X}: \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{n}=\beta\right\}$. Observe that $P_{n} \mathscr{X}=\mathcal{M}_{\alpha_{n}} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\beta_{n}}$.

By Theorem 28.5 of [5, p. 87], $\mathscr{X}$ is the closed linear span of the eigenspaces of $H$ (or $K$ ). Now it is not difficult to see that $\mathscr{X}$ is the closed linear span of eigenspaces of $T$.
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