

APPROXIMATION ON BOUNDARY SETS

BY
JAMES LI-MING WANG

ABSTRACT. Let U be a bounded open subset of the complex plane. By a well known result of A. M. Davie, $C(bU)$ is the uniformly-closed linear span of $A(U)$ and the powers $(z - z_i)^{-n}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with z_i a point in each component of U . We show that if $A(U)$ is a Dirichlet algebra and bU is of infinite length, then one power of $(z - z_i)$ is superfluous.

Let U be a bounded open subset of the complex plane \mathcal{C} ; let $A(U)$ be the algebra of all continuous functions on \bar{U} which are analytic on U . Let U_1, U_2, \dots be the components of U , and choose a point $z_j \in U_j$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$. In [2] Davie proved that $C(bU)$ is the uniformly-closed linear span of $A(U)$ and the powers $(z - z_j)^{-n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where bU is the topological boundary of U .

If bU_i has finite one-dimensional Hausdorff measure, then it is not hard to construct a (complex Borel) measure on bU_i which annihilates $A(U)$, $(z - z_j)^{-n}$ and $(z - z_i)^{-(n+1)}$, $j \neq i$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ while $\int (z - z_i)^{-1} d\mu \neq 0$. Hence the power $(z - z_i)^{-1}$ is not in the closed span of $A(U)$ and other powers. The same is true for every power $(z - z_i)^{-n}$.

In 1957, Werner [9] observed that for every Jordan curve Γ of infinite length, at least one power of z is superfluous in spanning $C(\Gamma)$. An extension of this result has been given by Korevaar and Pfluger [6]. Recently Pietz [8] has some more general results for the algebras $R(K)$. In his proof, however, he made the assumption that the closure of each component of $\overset{\circ}{K}$, the interior of K , has connected complement.

In this note, we show that if $A(U)$ is Dirichlet and bU_i has infinite one-dimensional Hausdorff measure, then at least one power of $(z - z_i)^{-n}$ is superfluous in spanning $C(bU)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $A(U)$ be a Dirichlet algebra. Assume there is a measure μ on bU such that μ annihilates $A(U)$, $(z - z_j)^{-n}$ and $(z - z_i)^{-(n+1)}$, $j \neq i$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ while $\int (z - z_i)^{-1} d\mu = 1$. Then bU_i has finite one-dimensional Hausdorff measure.*

Proof. The proof is along the line of [8].

Theorem 3.1 of [5] implies that each U_j is simply connected. A well-known decomposition theorem for Dirichlet algebras (Theorem 1.1 of [5]) gives $\mu = \sum \mu_j$ where μ_j is supported on U_j , $\mu_j \perp A(U_j)$ and μ_j is absolutely continuous with respect to harmonic measure for z_j on bU_j for each j . We may therefore restrict our attention to the pair (μ_i, U_i) , which we relabel (μ, U) , and assume $z_i = 0$.

Let ϕ be the Riemann map of $\Delta = \{|z| < 1\}$ onto U . The map ϕ has a measurable one-to-one extension ϕ^* to a subset of $b\Delta$ of full measure, i.e., U is nicely connected (see, e.g., [1]). Write ρ for the harmonic measure for 0 on $b\Delta$, and λ the same for 0 on bU . Since $A(U)$ is Dirichlet, there exists $h \in H_0^1(\rho) = \{h \in L^1(\rho) : \int z^k h \, d\rho = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 0\}$ such that $\int f \, d\mu = \int (f \circ \phi^*) h \, d\rho$ for all Borel function f on bU , by a theorem of Davie ([1], p. 352). Let $w \in H^1$ so that $h \, d\rho = w \, dz$. Then for any k , $0 < r < 1$,

$$\int_{|z|=r} \phi^k(z) w(z) \, dz = \int_{|z|=r} \phi^{*k}(z) w(z) \, dz = \delta_{-1,k}$$

But

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \phi^k(z) \phi'(z) \, dz = \delta_{-1,k}.$$

Hence $(w(z) - \phi'(z)/2\pi i) \, dz$ annihilates all integral powers of ϕ , which are uniformly dense on $|z|=r$ by a theorem of Walsh, so that $w(z) = \phi'(z)/2\pi i$. Therefore $\phi' \in H^1$. Theorem 3.11 of [3] shows that ϕ has an absolutely continuous extension to $|z|=1$ which implies bU has finite one-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Let Ψ be a homeomorphism of \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C} . Let $V = \Psi(U)$ and $V_j = \Psi(U_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. We say Ψ is singular on bU if Ψ carries a set of full harmonic measure on bU_j to a set of zero harmonic measure on bV_j for each j . In [7], O'Farrell proved that if a compact K has connected complement and Ψ is a homeomorphism singular on bK then $C(bK)$ is the uniformly-closed linear span of z^n and Ψ^n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. By imitating his technique, we can show the following.

THEOREM 2. *Let $A(U)$ be a Dirichlet algebra. Let Ψ be a homeomorphism singular on bU such that $A(V)$ is also Dirichlet. Then $C(bU)$ is the uniformly-closed linear span of $A(U)$ and $A(V) \circ \Psi$.*

Proof. Let μ be a measure on bU which annihilates $A(U)$ and $A(V) \circ \Psi$. Then the measure $\Psi_{\#} \mu$ on bV defined by $\int f \, d\Psi_{\#} \mu = \int f \circ \Psi \, d\mu$ annihilates $A(V)$ and $A(U) \circ \Psi^{-1}$. Again the decomposition theorem for Dirichlet algebras gives $\mu = \sum \mu_j$ where μ_j is supported on \bar{U}_j , $\mu_j \perp A(U_j)$ and $\Psi_{\#} \mu_j \perp A(V_j)$. Also μ_j and $\Psi_{\#} \mu_j$ are absolutely continuous with respect to the harmonic measures for U_j and V_j , respectively. Hence $\Psi_{\#} \mu_j = 0$, which in turn implies $\mu_j = 0$ for all j and so $\mu = 0$.

Note that there are Dirichlet algebras $A(U)$ such that $A(V)$ is not Dirichlet for a homeomorphism Ψ , e.g., the “string of beads” ([4], p. 145) sets. It would be interesting to know whether Theorem 2 is still true if we replace the singular homeomorphism by an orientation-reversing homeomorphism. This would be a generalized Walsh–Lebesgue Theorem.

These same methods in this note can be used to obtain similar results for a hypo-Dirichlet algebra.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is grateful to J. Wermer for valuable conversations. The preparation of this paper was supported in part by NSF Grant MPS 74-07035.

REFERENCES

1. A. M. Davie, *Dirichlet Algebras of Analytic Functions*, J. Functional Analysis, **6** (1970), 348–356.
2. A. M. Davie, *Bounded Approximation and Dirichlet Sets*, J. Functional Analysis, **6** (1970), 460–467.
3. P. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
4. T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1969.
5. T. W. Gamelin and J. Garnett, *Pointwise Bounded Approximation and Dirichlet Algebras*, J. Functional Analysis, **8** (1971), 360–404.
6. J. Korevaar, and P. Pfluger, *Spanning sets of powers on wild Jordan curves*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A, **77** (1974), 293–305.
7. A. G. O’Farrell, *A generalized Walsh-Lebesgue theorem*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, to appear.
8. K. Pietz, *Cauchy Transforms and Characteristic Functions*, Pac. J. Math., **58** (1975), 563–568.
9. J. Wermer, *Nonrectifiable simple closed curve*, Advanced Problems and solutions, Amer. Math. Monthly **64** (1957), 372.

(Current address)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA,
UNIVERSITY, ALABAMA 35486

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
U.C.L.A., L.A., CA. 90024