OXFORD SLAVONIC PAPERS, new series, vol. 9. Edited by *Robert Auty* and *J. L. I. Fennell. I. P. Foote*, general editor. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1976. vi, 116 pp. + 4 pp. plates. \$14.25.

CONTENTS: Dimitri Obolensky, "Medieval Russian Culture in the Writings of D. S. Likhachev." A. M. Kleimola, "Law and Social Change in Medieval Russia: The Zakon sudnyi lyudem as a Case Study." R. A. Peace, "The Logic of Madness: Gogol's Zapiski sumasshedshego." Hans Rogger, "The Skobelev Phenomenon: The Hero and his Worship." Felicity Ashbee, "Nevill Forbes, 1883–1929: Some Family Letters from Russia." Jana Howlett, "The Origins of Socialist Realism in Soviet Visual Art." Michael Heaney, "The Implications of Richard James's Maimanto." Gerald Stone, "Regionalisms, German Loan-Words, and Europeanisms in the Language of Jakub Bart-Cišinski."

LETTERS

TO THE EDITOR:

With regard to Isabelle Kreindler's article about the relationship between II'minskii and Lenin's nationality policy (*Slavic Review*, March 1977), I should like to draw attention to a similar language policy practiced before II'minskii by American Presbyterian and British Anglican missionaries in Persia and the Ottoman Empire.

During the nineteenth century, these two missions made attempts to gain converts from among local people living in northwestern Persia (Azerbaidzhan) and eastern Ottoman lands (northern Iraq and eastern Turkey). Very quickly these missions saw the necessity of working among local Eastern Christians (Nestorian Assyrians) with the hope of eventually using them as a spearhead for penetrating the resistant Muslim populations. Mission work among the Assyrians was not only conducted in the Assyrian language, but one of the American missionaries, Justin Perkins, was responsible for creating for this community its first alphabet for writing the vernacular language, the first books printed in this language, the first schools to teach the vernacular, and the first Assyrian newspaper (which was the first public newspaper ever published in Iran). Mission pastors, teachers, and physicians spoke and often read and wrote Assyrian. This language policy began to be practiced in the 1830s, preceding the work of V. I. Il'minskii. The American mission continues to conduct all its religious work, on the literary and spoken levels, in the local languages, then Assyrian and now Persian.

American missionaries, like Il'minskii, held the local (Christian and Muslim) religions in contempt and in concert with the French Roman Catholic and Russian Orthodox missions practically eradicated the Church of the East (Nestorian) in Iran. (See articles on this subject in *Cahiers du Monde russe et soviétique*, 16, nos. 3-4 [1975] and *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, 8 [1977].) The British attitude, on the other hand, differed considerably. Again using the local Assyrian language, the British attempted and succeeded in reforming the old church but retained its hierarchical structure, its liturgy, and its unique concepts. All these missions, directly or otherwise, served the political goals of their respective Western countries, a widespread and accepted practice during this period of Christian missions.

I am not arguing that the language and culture component of Lenin's nationality policy owes its impetus to influences other than those of Il'minskii. Nevertheless, I do take issue with Dr. Kreindler's assertion to the effect that Il'minskii's policies were unique among nineteenth-century Christian missionaries (p. 89). When missionaries found themselves among people with an ancient civilization, particularly among those with some literate tradition, as for example in Central Asia, Persia, the Ottoman Empire, China, and Japan, they discovered that local acceptance could be gained through use of local language.

Il'minskii, therefore, can be better understood when seen in the wider context of nineteenth-century mission activities. In this context, his language policy is not unique or original but has antecedents in the work of Western missionaries in areas contiguous to the tsarist empire.

> EDEN NABY East Asian Research Institute, Harvard University

To the Editor:

The interesting article by Russell Zguta, "The Ordeal by Water (Swimming of Witches) in the East Slavic World" (*Slavic Review*, June 1977), prompts a brief comment. In a lengthy footnote (p. 222) the author states that in Russia, in contrast to Western Europe, men were the victims of witchhunts as often as women. As evidence, court records are cited showing that in the seventeenth century there were more men than women tried for witchcraft. The difference between Russian and Western attitudes is attributed to a Western scholastic tradition which held that "as members of the 'weaker sex,' [women] were far more susceptible to Satan's wiles." In medieval Russia, the author argues, "no such distinction between the sexes existed, except in cases of drought and famine."

The contrast is arresting, but the implication that Russian women were relatively better off in this connection (with respect to either Russian men or Western women) appears questionable. In fact, the documentary testimony is open to quite another interpretation: namely, that women had less opportunity than did men for legal defense against a charge of witchery. According to the *Entsiklopedicheskii slovar'* article, "Zhenshchina," medieval specialists have estimated that for every male accused of witchcraft there were ten thousand women accused. The judicial records complement the author's own evidence on the ordeal by water to suggest in fact that Russian women were not treated more leniently than men, but on the contrary were subject to more summary forms of "justice."

There appears to be a stronger parallel here than a contrast to Western experience. And perhaps the parallel can be explained by the presence of a Russian strain of that same "scholastic tradition" found in the West. In some quarters at least, a distinction relating to witchcraft did indeed exist between the sexes. The Russian Primary Chronicle (p. 153 of the Cross translation cited by the author) counseled and cautioned Russians most explicitly on this point: "Particularly through the agency of woman are infernal enchantments brought to pass, for in the beginning the devil deceived woman, and she in turn deceived man."

> DOROTHY ATKINSON Stanford University

To the Editor:

Will you kindly allow me a few paragraphs concerning Professor Winters's review of my latest book, *The Masaryks (Slavic Review*, June 1977, pp. 337-38)?

I should say straightaway that I wrote *The Masaryks* as an intermediate book: intermediate between years of research and writing on subjects closely connected with the lives of the Masaryks on the one hand and, on the other, a full-length, detailed