Canadian Journal on Aging / La Revue canadienne du vieillissement

www.cambridge.org/cjg

Article

Cite this article: Priede, A., & Reissing, E.D. (2024). Sexual Expression in Long-Term Care Institutions: Are We Ready for the Baby Boomer Generation? Canadian Journal on Aging / La Revue canadienne du vieillissement https://doi.org/10.1017/S0714980824000163

Received: 05 December 2022 Accepted: 25 January 2024

Keywords:

aging; older adults; sexuality; long-term care; administrators; sexual attitudes; quantitative research

Mots-clés:

vieillissement; personnes âgées; sexualité; soins de longue durée; administrateurs; attitudes à l'égard de la sexualité; recherche quantitative

Corresponding author:

Elke D. Reissing, Correspondence and requests for offprints should be sent to: Elke Reissing, School of Psychology, Department of Social Sciences, University of Ottawa, 136 Jean-Jacques Lussier, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5 (reissing@uottawa.ca).

© Canadian Association on Gerontology 2024.



Sexual Expression in Long-Term Care Institutions: Are We Ready for the Baby Boomer Generation?

Angela Priede and Elke D. Reissing

School of Psychology, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

Ahstract

Baby boomers were at the forefront of profound social changes in sexual attitudes and many have expressed a desire to remain sexually active throughout their life course. The purpose of this survey study was to assess the perceived preparedness of Ontario's long-term care (LTC) homes to meet the changing sexuality needs and expectations of LTC residents. We examined sexuality-related attitudes, including in the context of dementia, among 150 LTC administrators. Participants also completed a questionnaire assessing their experiences and perceptions regarding existing and anticipated supports, barriers, and priorities. Most participants demonstrated positive sexual attitudes; however, multiple challenges to meeting residents' sexuality needs were noted, including assessing capacity to consent, limited privacy, staff training, conflicting attitudes, and a lack of adequate policy and guidelines. Challenges are broad and significant and considerable attention is required to meet the expectations of the next generation of LTC residents, including gender and sexual minority elders.

Résumé

Les baby-boomers ont été au premier plan de profonds changements dans les attitudes sexuelles, et un grand nombre d'entre eux ont exprimé le désir de demeurer sexuellement actifs tout au long de leur vie. Cette étude avait pour objectif d'évaluer l'état de préparation des établissements de soins de longue durée (ESLD) de l'Ontario à répondre aux besoins et attentes des résidents en matière de sexualité. Nous avons examiné les attitudes à l'égard de la sexualité, y compris dans un contexte de démence, parmi 150 administrateurs d'ESLD. Les participants ont aussi rempli un questionnaire visant à évaluer leurs expériences et perceptions concernant les mesures de soutien, les priorités et les obstacles actuels et prévus. La plupart des participants ont affiché des attitudes positives à l'égard de la sexualité, néanmoins l'étude a constaté de multiples défis dans la réponse aux besoins sexuels des résidents. Ces défis comprennent l'évaluation de la capacité de consentement, une intimité restreinte, la formation du personnel, des attitudes contradictoires et l'absence de politiques et de directives adaptées. L'ampleur et l'importance des défis exigent qu'une attention considérable soit portée à cet enjeu afin de répondre aux attentes de la prochaine génération de résidents d'ESLD, y compris celles de personnes âgées issues de minorités de genre et de sexe.

Introduction

The importance of sexual expression across the lifespan has been well documented. Research consistently highlights associations between sexual activity and improved health (Bauer *et al.*, 2015; Lee *et al.*, 2016; Lindau *et al.*, 2007), greater enjoyment of life, and overall well-being (Steptoe *et al.*, 2015). Contrary to stereotypes that position older adults as asexual, positive sexual outcomes are not the exclusive domain of the young. Accumulating evidence, including findings from large population-based studies, shows that older adults not only remain sexually active (Beckman *et al.*, 2014; Traeen *et al.*, 2019), they also consistently identify continued sexual activity and opportunities for sexual expression as a central factor impacting their quality of life (Lindau *et al.*, 2007).

Despite the reported benefits, sexual expression in long-term care (LTC) homes remains largely overlooked and poorly understood (Dyer & das Nair, 2013). This is problematic given that baby boomers tend to hold more positive sexual attitudes and place more importance on sexuality and intimacy than previous cohorts (Fisher, 2010), and many expect to maintain a higher level of sexual interest and frequency of sexual activity in their later years (Das *et al.*, 2012; Hillman, 2012). Moreover, once in care, they want to be able to access the same sexual health services (e.g., referral to sexual health professionals), sexual aids (e.g., vibrators, condoms,

lubricants), and erotic materials as their community-dwelling counterparts (Rahn *et al.*, 2020). This study was designed to assess the perceived preparedness of Ontario's LTC homes in addressing the sexuality needs of LTC residents, and to identify the existing supports, barriers, and key priorities necessary to uphold residents' rights to sexual expression.

In 2017, the global population of adults aged 60 years and older totalled approximately 962 million, and that number is expected to double by the year 2050, reaching nearly 2.1 billion (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population Division, 2015). In Canada, it is estimated that by 2030, baby boomers, people born between 1946 and 1964, will constitute approximately 22% of the population (Statistics Canada, 2023). In the Western world, this cohort is viewed as instrumental in bringing about a profound sociocultural shift in the way sexuality is perceived and expressed, gradually moving from a view of sex for procreation to sex as recreation (Twenge et al., 2015). Baby boomers have enjoyed more liberal and diverse sexual lives than previous generations, and are thus even more likely to consider sexuality as an integral part of their identities and central to healthy aging (Rahn et al., 2020). Further, given that sexual identity and sexual attitudes have been shown to remain consistent throughout adulthood (Das et al., 2012), baby boomers are likely to experience continuity in their perception of Self as sexual and are expected to assert their right to remain sexually active (Das et al., 2012; Rowntree, 2014).

As the average age of the population increases, the demand for LTC services is expected to swell reaching 606,000 individuals by 2031, representing a 59.5% increase from 2019 (Canadian Medical Association, 2021). However, following a review of existing documentation, including policy literature (e.g., Government of Ontario, 2022; Ministry of Health and Long-term Care, 2007), working group reports (e.g., Brassolotto & Howard, 2018) and discussion and research papers (e.g., Lester *et al.*, 2016; Sussman *et al.*, 2018; Syme *et al.*, 2016), it remains unclear whether LTC homes are prepared to meet the shifting needs and expectations of the baby boomer cohort.

Barriers to residents' sexual expression

Accumulated research confirms that older adults, including those who reside in LTC homes, maintain sexual desire and engage in sexually expressive behaviour even in the presence of cognitive decline (Bauer *et al.*, 2013; Delamater & Moorman, 2007; Kuhn, 2002). Yet, a number of challenges hindering sexual expression within the LTC context have been identified. Reported barriers include biological and physical limitations, restrictive staff and family attitudes, and institutional barriers, such as limited privacy, inadequate training, and an absence of specific policies and guidelines informing the facilitation of healthy sexual expression (Bauer et al., 2013; Elias & Ryan, 2011; McAuliffe et al., 2007).

While expressions of sexuality and intimacy are generally considered to be private, sexual expression becomes increasingly more public within the LTC context. Howard *et al.* (2020) highlight how the dichotomous nature of LTC homes; functioning as both a home and a public workspace, creates an ambiguous boundary between private and public space. They observed how behaviours generally considered appropriate for one's living space (e.g., viewing pornography) may be perceived as inappropriate in a workspace, and competing needs of residents and care staff (e.g., ease of access, patient monitoring) can lead to prioritization of organizational efficiency over residents' personal needs. Compounding this issue,

privacy is limited due to outdated designs favouring communal space (McAuliffe *et al.*, 2007). It is generally acknowledged that baby boomers place a much higher value on personal choice and independence and are more actively engaged in their care than previous generations (Gill & Cameron, 2022; Kahana & Kahana, 2014). In fact, researchers suggest that baby boomers are more likely to demand individualized care that meets their specific needs and standards (Gill & Cameron, 2022).

Negative or restrictive staff attitudes and lack of training have also been shown to hinder residents' expressions of sexuality (Howard et al., 2020). Staff responses to sexual expression differ according to organizational culture (Tzeng et al., 2009), and a range of residents' characteristics, including the level of cognitive functioning (Villar et al., 2014), gender and sexual orientation (Hinrichs & Vacha-Haase, 2010), and the specific nature of the sexual behaviour being expressed (Villar et al., 2016). Moreover, LTC staff hail from diverse cultural and religious backgrounds and some may experience residents' sexual expression as morally or religiously offensive (Bouman et al., 2007); whereas others view supporting later-life sexuality as being outside of their scope of practice (Haesler et al., 2016). Despite findings indicating that knowledge and training can attenuate negative sexual attitudes (Mahieu et al., 2011), a systematic review examining health professionals' perceptions of later-life sexuality indicated that staff often lack knowledge and perspective, and opportunities for training remain significantly limited (Haesler et al., 2016).

Another challenge contributing to discomfort within the LTC context is sexual expression in persons with dementia (Doll, 2013; Syme *et al.*, 2016). Yet, despite cognitive decline individuals with dementia continue to engage in meaningful forms of sexual expression and maintain satisfying sexual relationships. In fact, findings indicate that 70% of couples with at least one partner experiencing dementia-related cognitive decline report continued sexual activity (Davies *et al.*, 2012). The confluence of sexuality and dementia continues to pose a unique set of challenges in the LTC context. The capacity to consent remains a contentious issue, both in terms of public opinion and among staff responsible for providing care (Syme *et al.*, 2016). This issue is insufficiently addressed in both the research and policy development contexts.

Despite notable advancements in sexual and gender rights and freedoms in North America, evidence suggests that discrimination and marginalization continue to impede sexual and gender minority elders' access to necessary health and social services (Dune *et al.*, 2020; Stinchcombe *et al.*, 2017) including in the LTC context (City of Toronto & Long-Term Care Homes and Services, 2022; Hinrichs & Vacha-Haase, 2010; Sussman *et al.*, 2018). It has been suggested that sexual minority elders are five times less likely to access LTC services than their heterosexual counterparts (Toronto Long-Term Care Homes & Services, 2008) despite increased risk of requiring formalized care due to higher instances of social isolation (e.g., smaller family networks, lack of financial security), and marginalization (Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2011; Lowers, 2017).

Multilevel challenges include fear of prejudice or reprisal, exclusion from health policies and practices, lack of knowledge among service providers, and perceptions of inequity in care (City of Toronto & Long-Term Care Homes and Services, 2022; Dune et al., 2020; Kortes-Miller et al., 2018). Many gender and sexual minority individuals have expressed fear of being compelled to conceal their identity in order to receive quality LTC care (Services & Advocacy for GLBT Elders et al., 2021; Wilson et al., 2018). Queer (non-cisgender), bisexual, and transgender residents face even greater risk, as they may experience both discrimination from

heterosexual communities and rejection from gay and lesbian communities (Brotman *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, transgender elders' ability to choose to disclose, or not, their queer identity becomes compromised in the LTC context, as they will inevitably be outed to staff during personal hygiene and physical care activities (Brotman *et al.*, 2015).

Facilitators of residents' sexual expression

LTC administrators and managers generally report positive attitudes towards residents' sexuality, including those living with dementia (Shuttleworth *et al.*, 2010; Syme *et al.*, 2016). Further, LTC administrators' engagement in cultural change activities supportive of residents' sexuality has been shown to influence expressions of sexuality among residents (Bentrott, 2012). These findings align with accumulated research on organizational management, which indicates that leaders' attitudes, perceptions, and approaches in the workplace (e.g., demonstrating strong values, providing a clear vision, initiating and empowering followers to implement change) can impact organizational outcomes and employee variables, including organizational commitment, morale and performance, behaviours and attitudes, and investment in their work (e.g., Chin *et al.*, 2019; Loi *et al.*, 2012).

While care staff may be the first point of contact for residents addressing sexuality-related issues, it falls to LTC administrators to interpret policy, navigate residents' demands for support and services, respond to family concerns, and manage employee responses while also considering the specific needs and characteristics of the institutional setting (Bentrott & Margrett, 2011). As representatives of their respective homes, LTC administrators are optimally positioned to model appropriate attitudes and responses to residents' sexually expressive behaviours. Research indicates that they are more likely to recognize residents' sexuality needs and perceive a greater need for training as compared to direct care staff (Villar et al., 2020). It stands to reason that this influence would extend to creating more positive environments for residents' sexual expression, including employees' willingness to proactively and openly address residents' sexual and intimacyrelated needs.

LTC policies and procedures

In North America, there has been a notable lack of guidance for LTC administrators seeking support to manage issues related to residents' sexual expression (Lester et al., 2016; Syme et al., 2016). LTC facilities in Canada are licensed and regulated at the provincial and territorial levels; however, the definition of LTC varies across jurisdictions. In Ontario, LTC homes are overseen by the Ministry of Health and Long-term Care and include residences providing 24-hour nursing and personal care for individuals with complex needs. Until recently, the Long-term Care Homes Act, 2007 (LTCHA), and the associated Residents' Bill of Rights, served as the sole legislation governing Ontario LTC homes. While the LTCHA was designed to ensure that residents receive a high level of care that upholds their right to live safely, comfortably, and with dignity in an environment that meets their diverse needs (Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, 2007), review of the LTCHA revealed a significant lack of specific guidelines pertaining to the management of residents' sexuality needs and related privacy rights.

Shortcomings in the translation of the standards outlined in the LTCHA were recently brought to light, informed in large part by the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, sparking a vigorous public discourse on upholding seniors' rights in the LTC context. In April 2022, a decision was made to replace the LTCHA with the *Fixing Long-Term Care Act, 2021* (FLTCA). The FLTCA expands on the previous legislation and introduces new provisions aimed at enhancing residents' safety through increased transparency and accountability and implementing changes in staffing and provision of care, regulation enforcement, and licensing (Government of Ontario, 2022; Ontario Association of Residents' Councils, 2022). This new legislation represents a positive step towards the provision of quality LTC care that is resident-centered and safe; however, the management of residents' sexuality needs and related privacy rights remain largely unaddressed.

A small selection of LTC homes and regional health authorities located in Australia, New Zealand, and to a smaller extent, North America, have previously addressed a lack of guidance by developing their own practice guidelines (e.g., River Spring Health, 2000/2017; Vancouver Coastal Health Authority, 2009) or adapting sample policies from other agencies to address specific sexuality-related issues (e.g., Lanark, Leeds, & Grenville Long-Term Care Working Group, 2007; Schindel Martin, 2002), including delivery of culturally competent services for sexually and gender diverse residents (e.g., City of Toronto & Long-Term Care Homes and Services, 2022; Toronto Long-term Care Homes and Services, 2008). However, despite these important initiatives, research continues to underscore a need for province-wide, resident-centred standards of practice (Brassolotto & Howard, 2018; Howard *et al.*, 2020).

Study objectives

Directors and administrators of LTC are uniquely positioned to not only influence the development of policy agendas but also to ensure their implementation at all levels of care. This study draws upon the collective knowledge of LTC professionals in Ontario to explore organizational supports and barriers to the fulfillment of current and future LTC residents' sexuality-related needs. This research was guided by three research questions:

- 1. What are the attitudes of LTC administrators/Directors of Care regarding later-life sexuality?
- 2. How do LTC administrators/Directors of Care evaluate their LTC home regarding preparedness to receive a new generation of baby boomer residents?
- 3. What key priorities must be met for LTC homes in Ontario to meet the sexual and intimacy needs of LTC residents?

Method

Sampling and procedures

A total of 150 LTC administrators and Directors of Care from Ontario completed the survey. Potential participants were first identified via the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care website. A total of 620 invitations to participate were forwarded via email, which included a weblink to the study hosted by Qualtrics[©], a web-based survey platform. To broaden our reach, we also placed an invitation to participate in the Ontario Long-term Care Association's (OLTCA) online digital newsletter. The OLTCA membership represents approximately 70% of the LTC homes in

Ontario including private, not-for-profit, and municipal homes (Ontario Long Term Care Association, n.d.). When possible, email delivery failures were resolved through further internet searches, and follow-up emails were sent two weeks later to encourage those who had not yet responded. As an incentive, all respondents were given the opportunity to enter a draw to win a Visa gift card valued at \$100. Ethics approval for this study was granted by the authors' University Research Ethics Bureau.

Measures

Aging sexual knowledge and attitude scale, attitudinal subscale (White, 1982)

The Aging Sexual Knowledge and Attitude Scale (ASKAS) is a 61-item standardized self-report measure designed to assess individuals' knowledge and attitudes regarding later-life sexuality. The attitudinal subscale consists of 26 items assessing participants' agerelated sexual conservatism/permissiveness (e.g., I would support sex education courses for the staff of nursing homes; An aged person who shows sexual interest brings disgrace to herself/himself.). Respondents were asked to indicate on a 5-point Likert-type scale the degree to which they agree (1) or disagree (5) with each statement. Negatively worded items were reverse scored, and then all items were summed in order to derive a total ranging from 26 to 130. A lower score reflects a more permissive attitude.

The ASKAS has demonstrated satisfactory psychometric properties in diverse samples including older adults and their family members, nurses, students in health-related fields, and aged care staff (e.g., Bouman *et al.*, 2007; Chen *et al.*, 2017; Cybulski *et al.*, 2018; White & Catania, 1983). The ASKAS has demonstrated content and criterion validity. Split-half, internal consistency, and test-retest reliability have been reported in the range of 0.72–0.96 for the attitudinal subscale (White, 1982). In the present study, reliability was satisfactory as demonstrated by a Cronbach's alpha of 0.78.

Staff attitudes about intimacy and dementia scale (Kuhn, 2002) As the ASKAS measure does not assess attitudes specific to sexuality among LTC residents living with dementia, the Staff Attitudes about Intimacy and Dementia scale (SAID) was included. This 20-item self-report measure utilizes a 5-point Likert response scale ranging from agree (1) to disagree (5). It was developed to assist care staff in recognizing their personal attitudes about sexuality in the context of dementia (e.g., Residents who have dementia are not capable of making sound decisions regarding participation in sexual relationships.). Consistent with previous studies focusing on sexuality in the context of dementia (Bauer et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2017) a subset of items (10 of 20) were included in the study questionnaire. Negatively worded items were reverse scored and all items were summed in order to derive a total attitudinal score ranging from 10 to 50. A lower score reflects a higher level of permissiveness.

Socio-demographic questionnaire

Participants were asked to provide demographic information about themselves and their respective LTC home including information related to the participant's gender and years of experience, ownership of the LTC home (e.g., public, private), relevant cultural and/or religious affiliations, as well as the geographical location of the LTC home.

Baby boomers, sexuality, and intimacy: The Ontario LTC preparedness survey

To address the absence of an instrument to measure LTC professionals' experiences and perspectives on current and future supports and barriers to residents' sexual expression, it was necessary to develop some additional survey items. To inform this portion of the survey, we interviewed 5 aged-care stakeholders including senior-level LTC professionals and a representative from a senior pride organization dedicated to enhancing older adults' quality of life. Their insights, together with knowledge gained from an in-depth literature review, informed the development of questionnaire items related to LTC residents' sexuality needs. Before distribution, study consultants reviewed the overall content, focus, and clarity of the questionnaire content and adjustments were made accordingly.

This portion of the study questionnaire consists of 20 yes/no, multiple response, rank order and Likert-type scale items. Yes/no questions query respondents' knowledge or experience of a specific matter or event (e.g., Does your LTC facility currently have a written best practices policy or procedural manual that specifically addresses the care and management of sexually related matters?); whereas, multiple response questions (e.g., In your opinion, which of the following factors currently represent a barrier to meeting residents' sexual and intimacy needs and expectations within your LTC facility?), rank order questions (e.g., Which of the following barriers that you previously identified would you consider to be top priorities in need of attention? Please rank your responses in order of importance.), and Likert-type questions (e.g., How would you rate the adequacy of your sexual health training with regards to aging sexuality?) capture more detailed information about respondents' opinions and perceptions on such matters. Throughout the survey, respondents are regularly encouraged to provide additional clarifying information in the form of qualitative responses.

Finally, as completion of this survey may, in and of itself, have an effect on respondents' perception of their LTC home's level of preparedness, respondents are asked their opinion on this matter both at the beginning and directly following completion of the survey. Respondents were asked to indicate their answer on a 4-point scale ranging from *very prepared* (1) to *not at all prepared* (4).

Data analysis

Study data were analyzed using SPSS, version 22. Usual data screening and cleaning methods were employed. Descriptive statistics and *t*-tests were used to describe participant and facility characteristics and to assess differences in pre and post-survey perceptions of preparedness. Pearson correlations and multiple regression analysis were conducted to examine relationships among participant characteristics and the two attitudinal measures.

Results

Sample characteristics

Study participants identified predominantly as female (81.3%, n = 122) and Caucasian (73.3%, n = 110). Total number of years working in the LTC industry ranged from 4 to 50 years (M = 21.7, SD = 9.45). Total number of years as LTC administrator/Director of Care ranged from 1 to 40 years (M = 9.81, SD = 7.40). Although the exact number of eligible participants who received the invitation to participate cannot be known, we estimated a conservative

response rate of 24% by dividing the total number of valid responses by the total number of LTC homes in Ontario at the time of data collection – during the latter half of 2018. The resulting response rate is modest but is keeping with other research assessing attitudes and perceptions of health care providers (e.g., Doll, 2013; Hughes & Wittmann, 2015).

The distribution of participants was comparable to the distribution of LTC homes in Ontario regarding ownership status (i.e., public, for-profit, and not-for-profit). Nearly half of the participants (48.7%) reported no training in sexuality-related issues. In keeping with this, a majority of respondents rated their level of training as inadequate (46.7%) or highly inadequate (12.6%). Regarding self-evaluated knowledge of older adult sexuality, nearly half of the participants rated their knowledge as inadequate (41.6%) or highly inadequate (5.1%). A summary of survey respondents' characteristics is presented in Table 1.

Certain characteristics were associated with settings that were more proactive regarding sexuality. Results from correlational analyses indicated settings that had written policies addressing sexuality-related concerns tended to be located in urban settings, r(145) = -0.20, p = 0.015 (2-tailed), have a spiritual or religious affiliation, r(145) = 0.166, p = 0.045 (2-tailed), and include assessment of sexuality-related topics during admission, r(144) = 0.299, p < 0.001 (2-tailed). Table 2 provides information regarding the relationship between facility characteristics and variables associated with the management of sexuality-related issues.

Thirty-seven percent of respondents indicated that their LTC setting has written procedural manuals with specific policies addressing sexuality-related topics. These policies commonly addressed the assessment of capacity to consent, residents' right to privacy and sexual expression, and sexual abuse. The topics covered in manuals are summarized in Table 3. A minority of respondents (15.5%) reported assessment of sexuality and intimacy needs during admission, with sexual identity and recent changes in sexual behaviour being areas of primary focus. Specific topics addressed during the assessment process are summarized in Table 4. In the day-to-day operations of the LTC homes, however, sexuality-related concerns were reported by 85% of respondents. Table 5 summarizes the range of concerns endorsed. The most frequently (85%) reported concern was demonstrations of 'uninhibited sexual behaviour as a result of dementia (e.g., public masturbation)'; but a wide range of other sexuality-related issues were also deemed significant by a majority of participants.

Sexual attitudes and associated characteristics

The ASKAS was used to evaluate sexuality-related attitudes; scores ranged from 27 to 73 of a possible 130. Sexually related attitudes regarding residents with dementia specifically were evaluated by the SAID; scores ranged from 14 to 41 of a possible 50. Overall, LTC administrators reported a moderately high (M = 39.59, SD = 9.28) level of sexual permissiveness on the ASKAS attitudinal measure, and a moderate (M = 25.41, SD = 6.55) level of sexual permissiveness on the SAID attitudinal measure. Correlational analysis was used to explore the relationship between sexual permissiveness and participant characteristics (see Table 6). Factors associated with more positive and permissive attitudes regarding residents' sexuality on the ASKAS included having more experience working in the LTC context, r(136) = -0.196, p = 0.033 (2-tailed); and having received training about later-life sexuality, r(136) = -0.214, p =

Table 1. Participant and facility characteristics (n = 150)

Participant characteristic		n	%
Gender	Female	122	81.3
	Male	16	10.7
	Missing	12	8.0
Culture/ethnicity	Caucasian	110	73.3
	Aboriginal/Indigenous	5	3.3
	Caribbean	4	2.7
	Bi–racial/Mixed–race	3	2.0
	East Asian	2	1.3
	Central or South American	1	0.7
	Middle Eastern	1	0.7
	Southeast–Asian	1	0.7
	Other	7	4.7
	No response	14	9.3
Education levels	Cégep	1	0.7
	College diploma	62	41.3
	Bachelors' degree	59	39.3
	Masters' degree	14	9.3
	Doctorate degree	1	0.7
	No response	13	8.7
Previous sexuality training	Yes	65	43.3
	No	73	48.7
	No response	12	8.0
Facility characteristic		n	%
Facility location	Urban	98	65.3
	Rural	52	34.7
Facility type	Privately owned	107	71.3
	Not-for-profit	27	18.0
	Municipal	16	10.7
Religious affiliation	Yes	18	12.0
	No	132	88.0
Cultural affiliation	Yes	15	10.0
	No	135	90.0

0.012 (2-tailed). Correlational results also suggested a strong relationship between the two attitudinal measures, r(138) = 0.509, p < 0.001 (2-tailed), however, no association between scores on the SAID attitudinal measure and training or work experience was observed.

A multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to examine whether training and years in LTC significantly predicted respondents' sexual attitudes on the ASKAS attitudinal scale. The results indicated that the model explained 7.1% of the variance ($r^2 = 0.071$, F(2,135) = 5.15, p = 0.007). However, when the individual predictors were examined, only training significantly contributed to the model ($\beta = -0.183$, p = 0.032), accounting for 3.24% of the variance.

Table 2. Summary of means, standard deviations, and correlations of facility characteristics

Variables	М	SD	1	2	3	4
 Sexuality–related policies 	0.37	0.484				
2. Facility location	1.35	0.478	-0.200*			
3. Spiritual/religious affiliation	0.12	0.326	0.166*	-0.907		
4. Cultural/ethnic affiliation	0.10	0.301	-0.007	-0.009	0.287**	
5. Assessment upon admission	0.16	0.364	0.299**	0.121	0.021	-0.075

^{**}Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 3. Sexuality-related issues addressed by policy and procedure manual (n = 147)

	Sexuality-related content areas	n	%
Sexuality_related			36.7
policies	Assessing capacity to consent	45	83.3
	Sexuality-related training for staff	25	46.3
	LGBT + inclusiveness strategies		13.0
	Management and treatment of STIs	10	18.5
	Communicating with residents about sexuality	26	48.1
	Communicating with family members about residents' sexuality	23	42.6
	Supporting residents' efforts to obtain sexual aids	8	14.8
	Addressing problematic sexual behaviours	33	61.1
	Residents' rights to privacy	44	81.5
	Residents' right to sexual expression	37	68.5
	Accommodation of residents' sexual activity	16	29.6
	LGBT+ sensitivity training for staff	6	11.1
	Management of sexual abuse		77.8
No sexuality related policies		93	63.3

Perception of facility preparedness

We anticipated that completion of this survey may prompt some participants to re-evaluate their original assessment of their home's level of preparedness. We asked respondents their opinion both at the beginning and directly following completion of the survey. Participants' responses ranged from very *prepared* (1) to *not at all prepared* (4). Results of a paired sample *t*-test indicated a significant difference in respondents' perception of their home's level of preparedness before (M = 2.74, SD = 0.863) and after completing the survey (M = 3.05, SD = 0.798), t(136) = -5.46, p = 0.01. These results suggest that there was an effect of survey

Table 4. Sexuality-related topical areas assessed during the admission process (n = 148)

	Areas assessed	n	%
Sexuality		23	15.5
assessed	Sexual orientation	11	47.8
	Sexual/relationship history	8	34.8
	Sexual health status	4	17.4
	Expectations regarding opportunities for sexual expression	10	43.5
	Sexuality–related values and attitudes	8	34.8
	Capacity to consent to sexual relationship	9	39.1
	Perspectives of family members	5	21.7
	Recent changes in sexual behaviours or attitudes	11	47.8
Sexuality not assessed		125	84.5

Table 5. Reports of sexually expressive behaviours occurring among residents (n = 150)

Concerns reported 128 85.0 Intimate sexual relationships between residents 100 78.1 Uninhibited sexual behaviour as a result of dementia 50 85.2 Expression of sexuality towards staff 103 80.5 Negative or restrictive staff attitudes 76 59.4 Problems related to jealousy 34 26.6 Family disapproval of sexual 84 65.6 expression 65 82 84 65.6 Family disapproval of relationship formation 95 74.2 Family disapproval of relationship risk/danger 86 86 87 8.1 Concerns related to assessing 200 78.1 Concerns related to assessing 200 78.1 Concerns related to LBGT+ sexual 200 8.2 Resident's leaving the facility to meet 200 9.4 Residents leaving the facility to meet 200 9.4 Problems 75 8.6 Family disapproval of relationship 200 74.2 Expression 100 78.1 Concerns related to assessing 200 78.1 Concerns related to LBGT+ sexual 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	(11 – 150)			
Intimate sexual relationships between residents Uninhibited sexual behaviour as a result of dementia Sexual harassment/assault between residents Expression of sexuality towards staff 103 80.5 Negative or restrictive staff attitudes 76 59.4 Problems related to jealousy 34 26.6 Family disapproval of sexual 84 65.6 expression Family disapproval of relationship 95 74.2 formation Sexual activity presenting a physical risk/danger Resident's contraction of STIs 4 3.1 Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3		Concerns	n	%
between residents Uninhibited sexual behaviour as a result of dementia Sexual harassment/assault between residents Expression of sexuality towards staff 103 80.5 Negative or restrictive staff attitudes 76 59.4 Problems related to jealousy 34 26.6 Family disapproval of sexual 84 65.6 expression Family disapproval of relationship 95 74.2 formation Sexual activity presenting a physical 17 13.3 risk/danger Resident's contraction of STIs 4 3.1 Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3	Concerns reported		128	85.0
result of dementia Sexual harassment/assault between residents Expression of sexuality towards staff 103 80.5 Negative or restrictive staff attitudes 76 59.4 Problems related to jealousy 34 26.6 Family disapproval of sexual 84 65.6 expression Family disapproval of relationship 95 74.2 formation Sexual activity presenting a physical 17 13.3 risk/danger Resident's contraction of STIs 4 3.1 Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual 37 28.9 expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3			100	78.1
residents Expression of sexuality towards staff 103 80.5 Negative or restrictive staff attitudes 76 59.4 Problems related to jealousy 34 26.6 Family disapproval of sexual 84 65.6 expression Family disapproval of relationship 95 74.2 formation Sexual activity presenting a physical 17 13.3 risk/danger Resident's contraction of STIs 4 3.1 Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual 37 28.9 expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3			109	85.2
Negative or restrictive staff attitudes 76 59.4 Problems related to jealousy 34 26.6 Family disapproval of sexual expression Family disapproval of relationship 95 74.2 formation Sexual activity presenting a physical risk/danger Resident's contraction of STIs 4 3.1 Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3		•	75	58.6
Problems related to jealousy 34 26.6 Family disapproval of sexual 84 65.6 expression Family disapproval of relationship 95 74.2 formation Sexual activity presenting a physical risk/danger Resident's contraction of STIs 4 3.1 Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual 37 28.9 expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3		Expression of sexuality towards staff	103	80.5
Family disapproval of sexual expression Family disapproval of relationship formation Sexual activity presenting a physical risk/danger Resident's contraction of STIs 4 3.1 Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual expression Residents leaving the facility to meet partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3		Negative or restrictive staff attitudes	76	59.4
expression Family disapproval of relationship formation Sexual activity presenting a physical risk/danger Resident's contraction of STIs 4 3.1 Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual 37 28.9 expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3		Problems related to jealousy	34	26.6
formation Sexual activity presenting a physical 17 13.3 risk/danger Resident's contraction of STIs 4 3.1 Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual 37 28.9 expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3			84	65.6
risk/danger Resident's contraction of STIs 4 3.1 Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual 37 28.9 expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3			95	74.2
Concerns related to assessing 100 78.1 capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3		,, , , ,	17	13.3
capacity to consent Difficulties related to LBGT+ sexual 37 28.9 expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3		Resident's contraction of STIs	4	3.1
expression Residents leaving the facility to meet 12 9.4 partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3			100	78.1
partner Staff initiated sexual activity 3 2.3			37	28.9
·			12	9.4
No concerns 22 15.0		Staff initiated sexual activity	3	2.3
	No concerns		22	15.0

completion on administrators' ratings of their facility's level of preparedness, with administrators reporting less certainty following completion of the survey. Cohen's effect size value (d = 0.37) suggests a small to medium effect.

^{*}Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Supports and barriers to sexual expression

Descriptive statistics and correlational analyses were used to understand current knowledge and practice with respect to the accommodation of residents' sexuality needs and to determine the degree of consensus among LTC professionals regarding perceived supports and barriers to meeting future residents' sexuality-related needs. While a majority of participants endorsed the presence of barriers to residents' sexual expression, it is noteworthy that 7% indicated that no current barriers exist at their setting. Regarding anticipated future barriers, 11% of respondents believed there would be no significant barriers to meeting future residents' sexuality needs and expectations. Table 7 provides a summary of current and anticipated future barriers to sexual expression including the specific barriers that respondents prioritized for attention.

In line with our goal to assess the preparedness of Ontario's LTC homes to meet current and future residents' sexual and intimacy needs, we also queried LTC administrators about existing supports. Specifically, we asked them to identify which sexuality-related supports and resources are currently available within their LTC home, which supports and resources they would like to have access to, and which they considered to be top priorities. Existing, desired, and top priority supports and resources are summarized in Table 8.

Table 6. Summary of means, standard deviations, and correlations of ASKAS, SAID, and participant characteristics

Variables	М	SD	1	2	3	4
1. ASKAS	39.84	9.41				
2. SAID	25.41	6.55	.509**			
3. Years in administration	10.05	7.47	105	206		
4. Years in LTC	21.72	9.45	196*	129	.499**	
5. Previous training	.47	.50	214*	154	.209*	.190*

Note: To address issues of non-normality, ASKAS attitudinal scores and years in administration. were normalized using the Thompson two-step method to normality, **p < 0.01 level (2-tailed), *p < 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Discussion

The objective of this study was to assess the perceived preparedness of Ontario's LTC homes to meet the sexuality needs of LTC residents, as well as identify existing supports, barriers, and key priorities necessary to upholding residents' rights to sexual expression, Study findings highlighted multiple barriers to sexual expression and sexuality-related concerns were reported by a majority (85%) of participants/settings. Similar to findings from other North American studies (i.e., Lester et al., 2016; Shuttleworth et al., 2010), Ontario's LTC homes lack clear policies guiding staff responses to residents' expressions of sexuality and very few regularly assess or address sexuality-related concerns upon admission. On the positive side, a majority of LTC administrators reported positive and permissive attitudes about older adults' sexuality and topics related to dementia and sexuality. As positive attitudes are related to creating a more positive environment regarding sexuality (Bentrott, 2012), these results may be indicative of willingness to adapt current LTC practices to the baby boomer generation.

Settings with written policies tended to be situated in urban settings, have a spiritual or religious affiliation, and include assessment of sexuality-related issues during admission. This aligns with findings from Lee and Quam (2013) that sexual minority elders in urban settings tend to be more open about their sexual orientation as compared to their rural counterparts. Finally, considering the strong influence of religion on norms for sexual behaviour, informing not only when sex is appropriate but also how and with whom one may engage (Iveniuk & O'Muircheartaigh, 2016), it is possible that religiously affiliated LTC homes are more likely to emphasize policies that affirm their own sexuality-related values and beliefs than their non-religious counterparts.

Existing policies regarding sexuality in LTC appear to be largely aspirational at this point. While both the LTCHA and its recent successor, the FLTCA, acknowledge residents' right to privacy, in practice, implementation often falls short. For example, residents commonly share rooms and staff access may be prioritized over providing private space (Frankowski & Clark, 2009; Howard *et al.*, 2020). The translation of general policy into respectful practice is a complex issue and may be applied

Table 7. Current and future barriers and barriers considered top priority (n = 150)

		Current			Future		
	М	n (%)	n (%) Priority	М	n (%)	n (%) Priority	
Assessing capacity to consent	2.89	113 (75.3)	24/97 (24.7)	-	_	-	
Limited access to private space	2.95	108 (72.0)	26/91 (28.6)	2.85	81 (54.0)	13/53 (24.5)	
Lack of staff education	3.04	103 (68.7)	17/89 (19.1)	2.77	63 (42.0)	11/48 (22.9)	
LTC Homes Act regulations	3.46	66 (44.0)	24/54 (44.4)	1.78	54 (36.0)	25/41 (61.0)	
Inadequate policies and guidelines	3.50	78 (52.0)	6/72 (8.3)	2.75	52 (34.7)	7/44 (15.9)	
Family interference	3.87	104 (69.3)	10/86 (11.6)	3.07	79 (52.7)	12/60 (20.0)	
Negative staff attitudes	4.28	54 (36.0)	2/50 (4.0)	3.48	59 (39.3)	7/44 (15.9)	
Differing culture/religious beliefs (staff)	5.43	62 (41.3)	1/54 (1.9)	3.93	59 (39.3)	2/44 (4.5%)	
Differing culture/religious beliefs (residents)	5.88	47 (31.3)	2/41 (4.9)	4.11	52 (34.7)	4/38 (10.5%)	

Note: n(%) = frequency and percentage of participants who endorsed the item as being a significant barrier to sexual expression. n(%) priority = frequency and percentage of participants who ranked the item as the top priority requiring attention. M = the mean ranking of each item.

LGBT+ training for staff

Recruitment of LGBT+ staff and volunteers

	Existing	Desired	To	op priority
Supports and resources	n (%)	n (%)	M	n (%) Priority
Access to private space	56 (37.3)	71 (47.3)	1.69	27/42 (64.0)
Information regarding safe–sex practices	19 (12.7)	79 (52.7)	2.74	7/53 (13.2)
Written communications addressing sexuality–related issues	30 (20.0)	75 (50.0)	2.70	15/43 (34.9)
Ongoing opportunities for socializing	113 (75.3)	9 (6.0)	2.40	2/5 (40.0)
Beds that can accommodate more than one person	18 (12.0)	90 (60.0)	2.88	12/57 (21)
LGBT+ themed activities	10 (6.7)	49 (32.7)	4.60	2/35 (5.7)
LGBT+ inclusive language in facility documents	4 (2.7)	72 (48.0)	4.27	2/48 (4.2)
Welcoming environment for LGBT+ residents	7 (4.7)	69 (46.0)	4.04	6/51 (11.8)

Table 8. Frequency and percentage endorsement of existing, desired, and top priority supports and resources (n = 150)

Note: n (%) = frequency and percentage of participants who endorsed the item as being an existing or desired support. n (%) priority = frequency and percentage of participants who ranked the item as the top priority requiring attention. M = the mean ranking of each item.

13 (8.7)

7 (4.7)

variably across settings. In this study, LTC administrators identified existing legislation (i.e., the LTCHA) as the most pressing barrier requiring attention, both presently and in the future. Developing clear standards and guidelines could facilitate residents' rights to express sexuality and provide them with the freedom to choose the time and setting (Iveniuk & O'Muurcheartaigh, 2016).

Thirty-seven percent of settings have policies pertaining to some aspects of residents' sexuality. However, such policies focus predominately on challenges that may have legal and ethical ramifications for LTC homes, such as the assessment of capacity to consent, residents' rights to privacy, and the response to instances of sexual abuse or harassment. Very few provide explicit information on balancing support for residents' sexuality needs with safeguards to prevent negative outcomes. Moreover, guidelines relevant to the accommodation of residents' diverse sexuality needs, such as the provision of training for care staff (e.g., gender and sexual diversity, sexuality, and aging), inclusivity practices, and management of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), are conspicuously absent. Previous research suggests that in the absence of clear guidelines, decisions regarding residents' sexuality may be made inconsistently. Staff responses may be informed by personal values and attitudes, and when cognitive decline is present, risk restriction may be prioritized over respecting residents' autonomy and quality of life (Di Napoli *et al.*, 2013). Researchers have highlighted various staff reactions in the presence of cognitive decline, ranging from ignoring sexuality needs and behaviours to implementing restrictive measures and admonishing residents for sexually expressive behaviour (Villar et al., 2018).

Older adults may present with a variety of sexuality-related concerns, ranging from accessing sexual aids (e.g., condoms, lubricants, sex toys, medication to treat age-related sexual dysfunction) to more complex matters such as facilitation of sex for persons with ability issues or accessing adequate healthcare for transgender persons. Restrictive and paternalistic responses will discourage residents from being proactive. Further, in the context of rising numbers of STIs among older adults (Centre for Communicable Diseases and Infection Control, 2017), an ad hoc

approach to managing residents' sexuality will not be sufficient. Current policies must be updated to reflect residents' changing needs. Such guidelines should include specific information regarding how to foster an inclusive, sex positive, and safe environment, and assist residents who need help accessing sexual aids or preparing for sexual expression.

3.55

6.53

11/60 (18.3)

1/32 (3.1)

86 (57.3)

44 (29.3)

Enhanced knowledge of later-life sexuality at all levels of care is essential for fostering open communication about sexuality needs and recognizing problematic sexual situations (e.g., harassment, consent issues). Yet, when asked to reflect on their own ability to respond to residents' sexuality, LTC administrators were not assured of their competence. Nearly half evaluated their sexualityrelated knowledge as insufficient and a majority considered their level of training to be inadequate. Previous research has identified a relationship between perceived adequacy of sexuality-related knowledge and training, and staff responses. Mellor et al. (2013) found that health professionals who perceived themselves to have limited knowledge were more likely to consider sexuality-related issues to be beyond their scope of practice. In other studies, health care staff were hesitant to initiate conversations regarding sexuality due to discomfort with the topic, a perceived lack of knowledge or training, or a belief that sexuality was outside of their responsibility (Haesler et al., 2016; Hayward et al., 2013). These findings reinforce the assertion that training should not only emphasize knowledge acquisition but also increase comfort and confidence in dealing with older adults' sexual health related issues. Such training is particularly relevant for LTC administrators, given that they are uniquely positioned to influence workplace culture through the modelling of sex-positive attitudes and the implementation of facility-level procedures that are respectful and supportive of residents' diverse sexuality needs.

While previous findings indicate that attitudes towards later-life sexuality vary widely among health care professionals, our results align with findings that LTC professionals in supervisory positions report relatively positive and permissive attitudes (e.g., Bouman et al., 2007). Factors associated with more positive attitudes in this study included having more work experience and prior training. Research has consistently demonstrated that access to sexuality-related training, even interventions of relatively short duration, is

generally predictive of more permissive attitudes (e.g., Bauer *et al.*, 2012, 2013). Our findings were no different; however, it should be noted that while training significantly predicted permissiveness on its own, experience in LTC did not. This finding is not surprising given that more experience working with older people has previously been associated with both more permissive (Bouman *et al.*, 2007) and more restrictive sexual attitudes (Di Napoli *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, Helmes and Chapman (2012) found that no participant characteristics were significant in predicting sexuality-related attitudes. Taken together, these findings suggest that, except for training, our understanding of the impact of personal characteristics on sexual attitudes is not well understood and therefore warrants further investigation.

In the context of cognitive decline, no participant characteristics were found to predict attitudes towards later-life sexuality. Inspection of responses to the SAID survey indicated that when expressions of sexuality among persons with dementia diverged from traditional norms (e.g., married resident having sex with another resident, multiple partners), or went against family members' wishes, respondents' attitudes tended to be more negative and restrictive. This may imply that LTC administrators find it particularly challenging to make decisions about the appropriateness of sexually expressive behaviours that could result in negative ramifications for their facility (e.g., displeasing family members, legal, ethical consequences). In fact, family interference and difficulty assessing capacity to consent were two of the most commonly noted barriers to residents' sexual expression reported in this study. To mitigate this, educational interventions recognizing respect and autonomy in the context of care needs and acknowledging the complexity of diversity and changing attitudes regarding relationships (e.g., polyamory, non-monogamy, open relationships) would be important as future cohorts of LTC residents are expected to be more varied in their expressions of sexuality.

When asked explicitly regarding their perception of barriers to residents' sexual expression, LTC administrators identified several current and anticipated future barriers. Assessing capacity to consent, accessing private space, family interference, and staff education/training topped the list of existing barriers. Interestingly, while respondents recognized the lack of staff training as a current problem, responses indicate that they anticipated this problem may be exacerbated in the future. This makes sense when viewed in the context of younger baby boomers with more liberal sexual attitudes moving to LTC and the specific staffing challenges in LTC. Unregulated care workers (e.g., Personal Support Workers, Nursing Assistants), with comparatively less formal training and professional organizational oversight, are increasingly providing the direct care work that was once carried out by nursing staff in Canada (Afzal et al., 2018) and internationally, across a wide range of healthcare settings (Blay & Roche, 2020; Roche et al., 2017).

LTC administrators reported few existing supports specific to residents' expressions of sexuality; 75% of respondents indicated that their home provided residents with ongoing opportunities to socialize. However, socialization was communal; only 37% of respondents indicated that residents have access to private space. Not surprisingly, respondents recognized the need for privacy and identified this as one of the most pressing issues requiring priority attention. Even fewer existing supports were reported regarding gender and sexual minorities. The most commonly cited support, endorsed by 9% of respondents, was the provision of inclusivity training for staff. Only 5% of respondents believed that their LTC

home provided a welcoming environment and a mere 3% indicated inclusive language was used in facility documents. Given this reality, it is perhaps not surprising that 57% of respondents endorsed inclusivity training as one of their most desired supports. While there have been advances made in recent years to promote the health and well-being of sexual minorities and address gaps in culturally competent care for gender and sexually diverse persons, these findings highlight that much more work is needed to prepare Canadian LTC homes to meet the needs of these populations.

Overall, study findings suggest that Ontario's LTC administrators/Directors of Care are highly cognizant of issues pertaining to residents' sexuality, yet they lack confidence in their own ability to respond to older adults' changing sexuality needs and expectations. They are provided with few supports and guidelines to help navigate the complexity and diversity of older adults' sexuality within the LTC setting. As a result, the majority believe that their LTC home is currently unprepared to meet the sexuality and intimacy needs and expectations of the next generation of LTC residents. In fact, analysis of pre and post survey responses indicates that LTC administrators demonstrated even less certainty after completing our study.

Limitations

There are limitations associated with this study that should be noted. Although our findings align with previous studies that indicate that LTC administrators generally report permissive sexual attitudes, selection bias may affect the results of this study. Given that participation was voluntary, it is possible that only those with more liberal attitudes towards later-life sexuality participated in the study and the perspectives and experiences of more conservative LTC staff are excluded from this sample. The lack of an existing measure to assess LTC professionals' experiences and perceptions regarding residents' sexuality made it necessary to develop some additional survey items. Despite our attempt to mitigate problems by having stakeholders review and provide feedback, non-validated survey items may be subject to measurement error (e.g., question order effects). The effect sizes of the results from quantitative measures in this study were small. It is likely that there are additional factors affecting sexual attitudes and knowledge that were not captured in the current study. In addition, stakeholder feedback regarding time to complete the survey prompted us to shorten it; consequently, an objective measure of participants' aging sexual knowledge (i.e., ASKAS aging sexual knowledge subscale) cannot be determined from the findings.

Study implications and future research

The findings of this study provide an important first snapshot of institutional barriers and support to residents' sexual expression in a diverse sample of Ontario LTC homes. At this time, LTC settings appear to be in the trenches of adjusting to the changing sexuality needs of baby boomers. Generally, the leadership of LTC homes in Ontario appears motivated to move forward with perspective, respect, and positivity. However, existing policies are lacking in detail and specific direction. As a result, challenges to developing and implementing operational policies in LTC homes continue to rest with individual facilities and substantive training needs for LTC professionals remain unmet. These findings, together with previous research on challenges to sexual expression in LTC homes (e.g., Schubert & Pope, 2020; Syme

et al., 2016; Villar et al., 2014), should be used to inform further research and to develop collaborative initiatives with a broader contingent of stakeholders to ascertain their perspectives on the priorities that have been identified herewith. Given the complexity of this issue, active collaboration among stakeholders at all levels, including policy advisors and compliance officers, LTC executives and staff, aged care associations (e.g. OLTCA), advocates, researchers, and current and future LTC residents, is imperative.

As Syme et al. (2016) point out, existing tools developed by consulting experts and working groups can serve as a foundation for developing more targeted guidelines that can be adapted to meet the diverse sexuality needs of LTC residents. Of note, two such recent initiatives are the National Standards of Canada for LTC published by the Canadian Standards Association Group (2022) and the Health Standards Organization (2023). These complimentary standards address various topics including aspects of LTC home design and operation, safety practices, and the provision of evidence-based and resident-centered care. While the scope of the standards is broad, the inclusion of benchmarks pertaining to sexual expression management, the accommodation of residents' sexual health and intimacy needs, and gender and sexual inclusivity is very promising.

Finally, the development and ongoing provision of sexual management education and inclusivity training for LTC staff at all levels must be prioritized. Effective leadership in LTC is more vital now than it ever was. LTC administrators are uniquely positioned to promote and support residents' sexuality and advocate for sexaffirming policies. However, they must do so while navigating organizational needs in a space that functions as both a home and a workspace. This will not be an easy feat; relevant and discerning training tailored to specific professional roles and responsibilities will be necessary. LTC administrators themselves will require a specific set of skills including the ability to assert positive influence and challenge outdated sexual beliefs and a historically patronizing approach towards sexual expression. In order to inform this process, additional research investigating the relationship between leadership characteristics, aging sexual knowledge and attitudes, and procedural outcomes is indicated.

Competing interest. The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

References

- Afzal, A., Stolee, P., Heckman, G. A., Boscart, V. M., & Sanyal, C. (2018). The role of unregulated care providers in Canada-A scoping review. *International Journal of Older People Nursing*, 13(3), Article e12190. https://doi. org/10.1111/opn.12190
- Bauer, M., Fetherstonhaugh, D., Tarzia, L., Nay, R., Wellman, D., & Beattie, E. (2013). 'I always look under the bed for a man'. Needs and barriers to the expression of sexuality in residential aged care: The views of residents with and without dementia. *Psychology and Sexuality*, 4(3), 296–309. https://doi.org/10.1080/19419899.2012.713869
- Bauer, M., Haesler, E., & Fetherstonhaugh, D. (2015). Let's talk about sex: Older people's views on the recognition of sexuality and sexual health in the healthcare setting. Health Expectations: An International Journal of Public Participation in Health Care and Health Policy, 19(6), 1237–1250. https://doi. org/10.1111/hex.12418
- Bauer, M., McAuliffe, L., Nay, R., & Chenco, C. (2012). Sexuality in older adults: Effect of an education intervention on attitudes and beliefs of residential aged care staff. *Educational Gerontology*, 39(2), 82–91. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 03601277.2012.682953

- Beckman, N., Waern, M., Östling, S., Sundh, V., & Skoog, I. (2014). Determinants of sexual activity in four birth cohorts of Swedish 70-year-olds examined 1971–2001. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 11(2), 401–410. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12381
- Bentrott, M. D. (2012). A culture of change: Taking a person-centered approach to understanding sexual expression among long-term care residents (Publication No. 3548363) [Doctoral dissertation], Iowa State University. ProQuest Dissertations Publishing.
- Bentrott, M. D., & Margrett, J. A. (2011). Taking a person-centered approach to understanding sexual expression among long-term care residents: Theoretical perspectives and research challenges. *Ageing International*, **36**(3), 401–417. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12126-011-9110-7
- Blay, N., & Roche, M. A. (2020). A systematic review of activities undertaken by the unregulated nursing assistant. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 76(7), 1538–1551. https://doi-org.proxy.bib.uottawa.ca/10.1111/jan.14354
- Bouman, W. P., Arcelus, J., & Benbow, S. M. (2007). Nottingham study of sexuality and ageing (NoSSA II). Attitudes of care staff regarding sexuality and residents: A study in residential and nursing homes. Sexual and Relationship Therapy, 22(1), 45–61. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681990600637630
- Brassolotto, J., & Howard, L. (2018). Sexual expression in Alberta's continuing care homes: Perspectives from managers and leaders. University of Lethbridge. http://opus.uleth.ca/bitstream/handle/10133/5253/Brassolotto%20Sexual %20Expression%20in%20Continuing%20Care%20ReportPRINT.pdf?isAl lowed=y&sequence=1
- Brotman, S., Ferrer, I., Sussman, T., Ryan, B., & Richard, B. (2015). Access and equity in the design and delivery of health and social care to LGBTQ seniors: A Canadian perspective. In N. Orel & C. Fruhauf (Eds.), *The lives of LGBT older adults: Understanding challenges and resilience* (pp. 111–140). American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/10.1037/14436-006
- Canadian Medical Association. (2021). Canada's elder care crisis: Addressing the doubling demand. Deloitte LLP and affiliated entities. https://www.cma.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/health-advocacy/activity/CMA-LTC-Deloitte-Report-EN.pdf
- Canadian Standards Association Group. (2022). Long-term care home operations and infection prevention and control (CSA Z8004:22). National Standard of Canada. https://www.csagroup.org/wp-content/uploads/2430078.pdf
- Centre for Communicable Diseases and Infection Control. (2017). Report on sexually transmitted infections in Canada. Public Health Agency of Canada. https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/publications/diseases-conditions/report-sexually-transmitted-infections-canada-2017.html
- Chen, Y.-H., Jones, C., & Osborne, D. (2017). Exploratory study of Australian aged care staff knowledge and attitudes of later life sexuality. *Australasian Journal on Aging*, 36(2), E35–E38. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajag.12404
- Chin, T. L., Lok, S. Y. P., & Kong, P. K. P. (2019). Does transformational leadership influence employee engagement. *Global Business and Management Research: An International Journal*, 11(2), 92–97. https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A613798955/ITBC?u=otta77973&sid=bookmark-ITBC&xid=35c62d25
- City of Toronto & Long-Term Care Homes and Services. (2022). Leading & learning with pride: A revitalized tool kit on supporting 2SLGBTQI+ seniors. https://www.toronto.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/8ef3-Leading-Learn ing-WITH-PRIDE-A-Revitalized-Tool-Kit-on-Supporting-2SLGBTQI-Seniors.pdf
- Cybulski, M., Cybulski, L., Krajewska-Kulak, E., Orzechowska, M., Cwalina, U., & Jasinski, M. (2018). Sexual quality of life, sexual knowledge, and attitudes of older adults on the example of inhabitants over 60s of Bialystok, Poland. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, Article 483. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00483
- Das, A., Waite, L. J., & Laumann, E. O. (2012). Sexual expression over the life cycle. In L. Carpenter and J. DeLamater (Eds.), Sex for life: From virginity to Viagra, how sexuality changes throughout our lives (pp. 236–259). New York University Press.
- Davies, H. D., Sridhar, S. B., Newkirk, L. A., Beaudreau, S. A., & O'Hara, R. (2012). Gender differences in sexual behaviors of AD patients and their relationship to spousal caregiver well-being. *Aging & Mental Health*, **16**(1), 89–101. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2011.609532
- DeLamater, J., & Moorman, S. M. (2007). Sexual behavior in later life. *Journal of Aging and Health*, 19(6), 921–945. https://doi.org/10.1177/0898264307308342

- Di Napoli, E. A., Breland, G. L., & Allen, R. S. (2013). Staff knowledge and perceptions of sexuality and dementia of older adults in nursing homes. *Journal of Aging and Health*, 25(7), 1087–1105. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0898264313494802
- Doll, G. M. (2013). Sexuality in nursing homes: Practice and policy. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 39(7), 30–37. https://doi.org/10.3928/00989134-20130418-01
- Dune, T., Ullman, J., Ferfolja, T., Thepsourinthone, J., Garga, S., & Mengesha, Z. (2020). Are services inclusive? A review of the experiences of older GSD women in accessing health, social and aged care services. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(11), Article 3861. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17113861
- Dyer, K., & das Nair, R. (2013). Why don't healthcare professionals talk about sex? A systematic review of recent qualitative studies conducted in the United Kingdom. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 10(11), 2658–2670. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.02856.x
- Elias, J., & Ryan, A. (2011). A review and commentary on the factors that influence expressions of sexuality by older people in care homes. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 20(11–12), 1668–1676. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2010.03409.x
- Fisher, L. (2010). Sex, romance, and relationships: AARP survey of midlife and older adults. American Association of Retired Persons. https://www.aarp.org/ content/dam/aarp/research/surveys_statistics/general/2011/sex-romance-rela tionships-09.doi.10.26419%252Fres.00063.001.pdf
- Frankowski, A. C., & Clark, L. J. (2009). Sexuality and intimacy in assisted living: Residents' perspectives and experiences. Sexuality Research & Social Policy, 6 (4), 25–37. https://doi.org/10.1525/srsp.2009.6.4.25
- Fredriksen-Goldsen, K. I., Kim, H.-J., & Emlet, C. A., Muraco, A., Erosheva, A., Hoy-Ellis, C. P., Goldsen, B. S., & Petry, H. (2011). The aging and health report. Disparities and resilience among lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender older adults. Institute for Multigenerational Health. https://www.lgbtagingcenter.org/resources/pdfs/LGBT%20Aging%20and%20Health%20Report_final.pdf
- Gill, L., & Cameron, I. D. (2022). Identifying baby boomer service expectations for future aged care community services in Australia. Health and Social Care in the Community, 30(2). https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.13187
- Government of Ontario. (2022). Fixing Long-term Care Act, 2021 (Amended August 31, 2022, chapter 16, bill 7). E-Laws. https://www.ontario.ca/laws/ statute/21f39
- Haesler, E., Bauer, M., & Fetherstonhaugh, D. (2016). Sexuality, sexual health and older people: A systematic review of research on the knowledge and attitudes of health professionals. *Nurse Education Today*, 40, 57–71. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.02.012
- Hayward, L. E., Robertson, N., & Knight, C. (2013) Inappropriate sexual behaviour and dementia: An exploration of staff experiences. *Dementia*, 12 (4), 463–480. https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301211434673
- Health Standards Organization. (2023). Long-term care services (CAN/HSO 21001:2023). National Standard of Canada. https://healthstandards.org/standard/long-term-care-services-can-hso21001-2023-e/
- Helmes, E., & Chapman, J. (2012). Education about sexuality in the elderly by healthcare professionals: A survey from the Southern Hemisphere. Sex Education, 12(1), 95–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681811.2011.601172
- Hillman, J. (2012). Sexuality and aging: Clinical perspectives. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3399-6
- Hinrichs, K. L. M., & Vacha-Haase, T. (2010). Staff perceptions of same gender sexual contacts in long-term care facilities. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 57(6), 776–789. https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2010.485877
- Howard, L., Brassolotto, J., & Manduca-Barone, A. (2020). Navigating tensions about resident sexual expression in Alberta's continuing care homes: A qualitative study of leaders' experiences. Sexuality Research and Social Policy, 17, 632–642. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13178-019-00421-0
- Hughes, A. K., & Wittmann, D. (2015). Aging sexuality: Knowledge and perceptions of preparation Among U.S. primary care providers. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 41(3), 304–313. https://doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2014.889056
- Iveniuk, J., & O'Muircheartaigh, C. (2016). Religious influence on older Americans' sexual lives: A nationally-representative profile. Archives of Sexual Behaviour, 45(1), 121–1310. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-015-0534-0

- Kahana, E., & Kahana, B. (2014). Baby boomers' expectations of health and medicine. Virtual Mentor: American Medical Association Journal of Ethics, 16(5), 380–384. https://journaloftethics.ams-assn.org/article/baby-boomersexpectatio8ns-health-and-medicine/2014-05
- Kortes-Miller, K., Boulé, J., Wilson, K., & Stinchcombe, A. (2018). Dying in long-term care: Perspectives from sexual and gender minority older adults about their fears and hopes for end of life. *Journal of Social Work in End-of-Life & Palliative Care*, 14(2-3), 209–224.
- Kuhn, D. (2002). Intimacy, sexuality, and residents with dementia. Alzheimer's Care Today, 3(2), 165–176.
- Lanark, Leeds, & Grenville Long-Term Care Working Group. (2007). A best practice approach to intimacy and sexuality: A guide to practice and resource tools for assessment and documentation. https://www.torontomu.ca/content/dam/crncc/knowledge/eventsandpresentations/2012/SexualityPracticeGuidelinesLLGDraft_17.pdf
- Lee, D. M., Nazroo, J., O'Connor, D. B., Blake, M., & Pendleton, N. (2016). Sexual health and well-being among older men and women in England: Findings from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 45(1), 133–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-014-0465-1
- Lee, M. G., & Quam, J. K. (2013). Comparing supports for LGBT aging in rural versus urban areas. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work*, **56**(2), 112–126. https://doi.org/10.1080/01634372.2012.747580
- Lester, P. E., Kohen I., Stefanacci, R. G., & Feuerman, M. (2016). Sex in nursing homes: A survey of nursing home policies governing resident sexual activity. *Journal of the American Directors Association*, 17(1), 71–74. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jamda.2015.08.013
- Lindau, S. T., Schumm, L. P., Laumann, E. O., Levinson, W., O'Muircheartaigh, C. A., & Waite, L. J. (2007). A study of sexuality and health among older adults in the United States. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 357(8), 762–774. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa067423
- Loi, R., Lai, J. Y. M, & Lam, L. W. (2012). Working under a committed boss: A test of the relationship between supervisors' and subordinates' affective commitment. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23(3), 466–475. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.12.001
- Lowers, J. (2017). End-of-life care planning for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender individuals. *Journal of Hospice and Palliative Nursing*, 19(6), 526–533. https://doi.org/10.1097/NJH.000000000000377
- Mahieu, L., Van Elssen, K., & Gastmans, C. (2011). Nurses perceptions of sexuality in institutionalized elderly: A literature review. *International Jour*nal of Nursing Studies, 48(9), 1140–1154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2011.05.013
- McAuliffe, L., Bauer, M., & Nay, R. (2007). Barriers to the expression of sexuality in the older person: The role of the health professional. *International Journal* of Older People Nursing, 2(1), 69–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-3743.2007.00050.x
- Mellor, R. M., Greenfield, S. M., Dowswell, G., Sheppard, J. P., Quinn, T., & McManus, R. J. (2013). Health care professionals' views on discussing sexual wellbeing with patients who have had a stroke: A qualitative study. *PLoS One*, 8(10), e78802. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078802
- Ministry of Health and Long-term Care. (2007). Long-term Care Homes Act (SO 2007, chapter 8). https://canlii.ca/t/kxl8
- Ontario Association of Residents' Council. (2022). Understanding our law and residents' councils: Fixing Long-term Care Act, 2021. https://www.ontarc.com/documents/understanding-our-law-and-residents-councils-act/FLTC A2021UnderstandingOurLaw.pdf
- Ontario Long Term Care Association. (n.d.). About the Ontario Long Term Care Association. Retrieved October 24, 2022, from https://www.oltca.com/oltca/OLTCA/AboutUs/OLTCA/Public/AboutUs/Main.aspx?hkey=f0c607d1-cab4-470a-9874-df87f0259ae0
- Rahn, A., Jones, T., Bennett, C., & Lykins, A. (2020). Baby boomers' attitudes to maintaining sexual and intimate relationships in long-term care. *Austral-asian Journal on Ageing*, 39(Suppl. 1), 49–58. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajag.12732
- River Spring Health. (2000/2017). Hebrew Home at Riverdale: Administration policy and procedure manual. River Spring Health Care Solutions. https://www.riverspringliving.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/Resident-Sexual-Expression-Policy-revised-4.2017.pdf

- Roche, M., Friedman, S., Duffield, C., Twigg, D. E., & Cook, R. (2017). A comparison of nursing tasks undertaken by regulated nurses and nursing support workers: A work sampling study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 73 (6), 1421–1432. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13224
- Rowntree, M. R. (2014). 'Comfortable in my own skin': A new form of sexual freedom for ageing baby boomers. *Journal of Aging Studies*, **31**, 150–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaging.2014.09.006
- Schindel Martin, L. (Document Editor) (2002). Intimacy, sexuality, and sexual behaviour in dementia: How to develop practice guidelines and policy for longterm care facilities. https://admin.rgpc.ca/uploads/documents/sexuality% 20tool%20kit.doc
- Schubert, A., & Pope, M. (2020). Sexuality beyond young adulthood: Affordances and barriers to sexual expression in the nursing home. *Journal of Counseling Sexology & Sexual Wellness: Research, Practice, and Education*, 2 (1), 35–47. https://doi.org/10.34296/02011022
- Services & Advocacy for GLBT Elders, American Association of Retired Persons New York, & American Association of Retired Persons Foundation. (2021). Disrupting disparities: strategies for LGBTQ New Yorkers age 50+. https://www.sageusa.org/resource-posts/disrupting-disparities-solutions-for-lgbtq-new-yorkers-50/
- Shuttleworth, R., Russell, C., Weerakoon, P., & Dune, T. (2010). Sexuality in residential aged care: A survey of perceptions and policies in Australian nursing homes. Sexuality and Disability, 28(3), 187–194. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11195-010-9164-6
- Statistics Canada. (2023). Population projections for Canada (2012- 2068), provinces and territories (2021 to 2043) (No. 91-520-X ISBN 978-0-660-44738-4). https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/91-520-x/91-520-x2022001-eng.htm
- Steptoe, A., Deaton, A., & Stone, A. A. (2015). Subjective well-being, health, and ageing. The Lancet, 385(9968), 640–648. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736 (13)61489-0
- Stinchcombe, A., Smallbone, J., Wilson, K., & Kortes-Miller, K. (2017). Health-care and end-of- life needs of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) older adults: A scoping review. *Geriatrics*, **2**(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.3390/geriatrics2010013
- Sussman, T., Brotman, S., MacIntosh, H., Chamberland, L., MacDonnell, J., Daley, A., Dumas, J., & Churchill, M. (2018). Supporting lesbian, gay, bisexual, & transgender inclusivity in long-term care homes: A Canadian perspective. Canadian Journal on Aging, 37(2), 121–132. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0714980818000077
- Syme, M. L., Lichtenberg, P., & Moye, J. (2016). Recommendations for sexual expression management in long-term care: A qualitative needs assessment. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 72(10), 2457–2467. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13005
- Toronto Long-term Care Homes & Services. (2008). Diversity our strength: LGBT tool kit for creating lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgendered culturally competent care at Toronto long-term care homes and services. https://www.rainbowhealthontario.ca/wp-content/uploads/2009/02/lgbt_toolkit_2008.pdf

- Traeen, B., Štulhofer, A., Janssen, E., Carvalheira, A. A., Hald, G. M., Lange, T., & Graham, C. (2019). Sexual activity and sexual satisfaction among older adults in four European countries. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 48(3), 815–829. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-018-1256-x
- Twenge, J. M., Sherman, R. A., & Wells, B. E. (2015). Changes in American adults' sexual behavior and attitudes, 1972–2012. Archives of Sexual Behaviour, 44, 2273–2285. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-015-0540-2
- Tzeng, Y. L., Lin, L. C., Shyr, Y. I., & Wen, J. K. (2009). Sexual behavior of institutionalized residents with dementia: A qualitative study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 18(7), 991–1001. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2008. 02708.x
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population Division. (2015). World population aging report. http://www.un.org/en/ development/desa/population/publications/pdf/ageing/WPA2015_Report. pdf
- Vancouver Costal Health Authority. (2009). Supporting sexual health and intimacy in care facilities: Guidelines for supporting adults living in long-term care facilities and group homes in British Columbia, Canada. https://www.vch.ca/sites/default/files/import/documents/Facilities-licensing-supporting-sexual-health-and-intimacy-in-care-facilities.pdf
- Villar, F., Celdrán, M., Fabà, J., & Serrat, R. (2014). Staff attitudes towards sexual relationships among institutionalized people with dementia: Does an extreme cautionary stance predominate? *International Psychogeriatrics*, 26 (3), 403–412. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610213002342
- Villar, F., Celdrán, M., Serrat, R., Fabà, J., & Martinez, T. (2018). Staff's reactions towards partnered sexual expressions involving people with dementia living in long-term care facilities. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 74(5), 1189–1198. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13518
- Villar, F., Serrat, R., Celdrán, M., & Fabà, J. (2016). Staff attitudes and reactions towards residents' masturbation in Spanish long-term care facilities. *Jour-nal of Clinical Nursing*, 25(5–6), 819–828. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13105
- Villar, F., Serrat, R., Celdrán, M., Fabà, J., Martínez, T., & Twisk, J. (2020). "I do it my way": long-term care staff's perceptions of residents' sexual needs and suggestions for improvement in their management. European Journal of Ageing, 17(2), 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10433-019-00546-6
- White, C. B. (1982). A scale for the assessment of attitudes and knowledge regarding sexuality in the aged. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, **11**, 491–502. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01542474
- White, C. B., & Catania, J. A. (1983). Psychoeducational intervention for sexuality with the aged, family members of the aged, and people who work with the aged. *The International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 15(2), 121–138. https://doi.org/10.2190/NB3K-P604-U5XE-TEJ0
- Wilson, K., Kortes-Miller, K., & Stinchcombe, A. (2018). Staying out of the closet: LGBT older adults' hopes and fears in considering end-of-life. Canadian Journal on Aging, 37(1). https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0714980817000514