Original Article



A comprehensive literature scoping review of infection prevention and control methods for viral-mediated gene therapies

Jill E. Blind PharmD¹ ⁽ⁱ⁾, Sumit Ghosh PhD², Taylor D. Niese BA¹, Julia C. Gardner PharmD³ ⁽ⁱ⁾,

Stephanie Stack-Simone JD⁴, Abigail Dean BS¹ and Matthew Washam MD⁴

¹Department of Pharmacy, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, USA, ²Department of Research Safety, Abigail Wexner Research Institute at Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, USA, ³Department of Pharmacy, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA and ⁴Center for Clinical Excellence, Department of Epidemiology, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, USA

Abstract

Objective: This comprehensive literature scoping review outlines available infection prevention and control (IPC) methods for viral-mediated gene therapies and provides one IPC strategy for the healthcare setting based on a single-center recommendation.

Methods: A team of experts in pharmacy, healthcare epidemiology, and biosafety with experience in viral-mediated gene therapy was assembled within a pediatric hospital to conduct a comprehensive literature scoping review. The comprehensive review included abstracts and full-text articles published since 2009 and utilized prespecified search terms of the five viral vectors of interest: adenovirus (AV), retrovirus (RV), adeno-associated virus (AAV), lentivirus (LV), and herpes simplex virus (HSV). Case reports, randomized controlled trials, and bench research studies were all included, while systematic reviews were excluded.

Results: A total of 4473 case reports, randomized control trials, and benchtop research studies were identified using the defined search criteria. Chlorine compounds were found to inactivate AAV and AV, while alcohol-based disinfectants were ineffective. There was a relative paucity of studies investigating surface-based disinfection for HSV, however, alcohol-based disinfectants were effective in one study. Ultraviolent irradiation was also found to inactivate HSV in numerous studies. No studies investigated disinfection for LV and RV vectors.

Conclusions: The need to define IPC methods is high due to the rapid emergence of viral-mediated gene therapies to treat rare diseases, but published clinical guidance remains scarce. In the absence of these data, our center recommends a 1:10 sodium hypochlorite solution in clinical and academic environments to ensure complete germicidal activity of viral-mediated gene therapies.

(Received 12 September 2023; accepted 11 December 2023)

Introduction

Over the last 10 years, novel biological therapies have rapidly emerged as revolutionary treatment options for rare diseases.^{1,2} According to the American Society for Gene and Cell Therapy, over 3,900 gene, cell, and RNA therapies are currently in development across the globe.^{3,4} Within the gene therapy landscape, many of the in vivo genetically modified therapies are formulated with a viral-mediated backbone. Viral vector-mediated gene therapies use modified viruses as drug-delivery vehicles to introduce specific DNA sequences, regulatory RNAs, or other therapeutic substrates into cells^{5,6} Commercial gene therapy agents, such as onasemnogene abeparvovec and voretigene neparvovec, are comprised of an adeno-associated virus (AAV) vector, whereas the oncolytic virus, talimogene laherparepvec,

Corresponding author: Jill Blind; Email: jill.blind@nationwidechildrens.org

Previous presentation: These data or findings have not been presented previously in any other preliminary report or abstract.

Cite this article: Blind JE, Ghosh S, Niese TD, *et al.* A comprehensive literature scoping review of infection prevention and control methods for viral-mediated gene therapies. *Antimicrob Steward Healthc Epidemiol* 2024. doi: 10.1017/ash.2024.1

is comprised of a modified herpes simplex virus.⁷ Nearly all gene therapies commercially available use one of three vector types: AAV, adenovirus (AV), or lentivirus (LV).^{4,8} Adeno-associated virus and AV vectors are typically used in gene therapies directly administered to patients by infusion or local administration, with AAV being the most popular vector for areas outside of oncology and vaccines.^{4,8} Lentivirus vectors are typically used for ex vivo therapies, in which cells harvested from a patient are modified in the lab before transplantation.^{4,8}

Although these viral-mediated gene therapies are genetically modified to not cause human disease, they all possess the unique property of being biologically active and carry potential biohazardous risks to the healthcare workers who handle them directly—a characteristic not typically seen with traditional pharmaceutical drug formulations.^{9,10} In addition, viral shedding post-infusion may present the possibility of viral transmission to healthcare workers caring for these patients. While the viability for long-term gene expression and the adverse effects of these drugs within their respective patient populations will continue to be monitored in late-phase clinical trials and through post-marketing surveillance, occupational safety data will lag. Further, the limited

[©] The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

shedding data reported in early clinical trials and the lack of regulatory guidance describing infection prevention and control (IPC) methods for these therapies ultimately prevent biosafety and healthcare epidemiology professionals from clearly defining post-infusion infection control standards.¹

With many novel biologic therapies being pushed through fasttrack approval pathways, health systems will consequently be challenged to develop on-demand IPC guidance, using limited knowledge and occupational safety data to match both the unique viral vector systems and the quick pace of gene and cell therapy development.³ Contact times for kill rates on commercial disinfecting agents could be utilized to provide baseline guidance for defining IPC practices within the institutional setting; however, emerging therapies utilize novel viral vector systems or genetically modified organisms that are not found in the environment and, therefore, do not have corresponding published kill rate data. The current literature scoping review provides a comprehensive analysis of available IPC methods reported for viral-mediated therapeutics. Additionally, we provide one possible strategy for the development of best-practice IPC recommendations for the healthcare setting based on our extensive, single-center experience working in a large pediatric hospital with an associated research institute.

Methods

A team of pharmacy, healthcare epidemiology, and biosafety experts was identified within the institution and assembled to initiate the project. The viral vectors chosen for the comprehensive literature scoping review included AV, retrovirus (RV), AAV, LV, and herpes simplex virus (HSV). These 5 viruses account for over 56% of all vector systems utilized in clinical trials.³ In addition, modified versions of 3 of these viruses are found in commercially approved drugs within the United States (AV, AAV, HSV); the remaining 2 (RV, LV) are frequently utilized for genetic modifications in cellular-based gene therapy but continue to be researched in a variety of clinical applications.^{6,11-14} Three bibliographic databases were chosen for review: Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature; MEDLINE from the National Library of Medicine; and PubMed from the National Library of Medicine. The Laboratory-Acquired Infections (LAI) database from the American Biological Safety Association was also used to acquire research case reports of occupational or environmental infections in research.

Search terms were identified using medical subject headings from the National Library of Medicine. To obtain articles on infection control, the following search terms were defined by the team: disinfect; environmental exposure; occupational exposure; biosafety; infection control; and inactivation. Viral vector search terms were expanded to include various alliterations and included: adenoviridae; adenoviridae vector; AV; AV vector; retroviridae; retroviridae vector; RV; RV vector; adeno-associated virus vector; AAV vector; LV; LV vector; simplex virus; and simplex virus vector. Each viral vector term was individually paired with each infection control term, requiring 70 different search combinations to complete the literature scoping review within the bibliographic databases (Table 1). Viral vector terms alone were utilized to search the LAI database. Search filters were applied to limit results to those published since 2009 and published in English. Both abstracts and full articles were permitted for inclusion.

Case reports, randomized controlled trials, and bench research studies that met the search criteria were all included for evaluation

Table 1. Search strategy for comprehensive literature review^{a,b}

Viral vector of interest	Vector term	Cleaning term			
Adenovirus (AV)	Adenovirus vector	Disinfect			
/denovirus (/w/	Adenoviridae vector	Environmental exposure			
	Adenovirus	Occupational exposure			
	Adenoviridae	Infection control			
		Inactivation			
Retrovirus (RV)	Retrovirus vector	Disinfect			
	Retroviridae vector	Environmental exposure			
	Retrovirus	Occupational exposure			
	Retroviridae	Infection control			
		Inactivation			
Adeno- associated virus	Adeno-associated virus vector OR AAV vector	Disinfect			
(AAV)	Adeno-associated virus	Environmental exposure			
	OR AAV	Occupational exposure			
		Infection control			
		Inactivation			
Lentivirus (LV)	Lentivirus vector	Disinfection			
	Lentivirus	Environmental exposure			
		Occupational exposure			
		Infection control			
		Virus inactivation			
Herpes simplex	Simplex virus vector	Disinfection			
virus (HSV)	Simplex virus	Environmental exposure			
		Occupational exposure			
		Infection control			
		Virus inactivation			

^aSearch method for Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), MEDLINE from the National Library of Medicine, and PubMed from the National Library of Medicine included "[Vector Term] AND [Cleaning Term]."

^bSearch method for Laboratory-Acquired Infections database included "[Vector Term]."

and data abstraction, while systematic reviews were excluded. Following article collection, an independent abstractor evaluated each publication for inclusion and manual data abstraction into a spreadsheet containing the following data points: virus/vector, viral family, study interventions based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention *Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities (2008)*, other non-chemical interventions, affected party and reaction (if case report), duration of intervention, assessment of intervention, and study conclusions. Duplicate articles within the same viral family, case reports with no interventions, and publications on *in vivo* treatment options for clinical patients were removed. Two independent reviewers then validated the data points for accuracy and relevance to the primary research aim.

Results

The comprehensive literature scoping review resulted in 4473 total publications and case reports related to the five designated viralmediated vectors and associated disinfection terms (Table 2).

Virus	Total Publications & case reports	Non-relevant publications and case reports removed	Relevant Publications and case reports	Duplicate Publications and case report removed	Total Relevant publications and case reports
Adeno-associated virus	841 (18.8%)	830	11	8	3 (12.5%)
Adenovirus	975 (21.8%)	959	16	8	8 (33.3%)
Herpes simplex virus	790 (17.7%)	762	28	16	12 (50%)
Lentivirus	905 (20.2%)	888	17	16	1 (4.2%)
Retrovirus	962 (21.5%)	951	11	11	0 (0%)
TOTAL	4473	4390	83	59	24

Table 2. Comprehensive literature review results

Inclusion of taxonomic viral family and genus classifications in the search terminology resulted in publications related to clinical manifestations of the wild-type virus and *in vivo* treatment methods for patients. Therefore, 98.1% (n = 4390) of the total publications reviewed were deemed to be irrelevant to the primary research question of viral vector-mediated IPC methods. A subset of publications was further excluded (n = 59, 1.3%) due to the various alliterations of IPC methods utilized as search terms, which resulted in duplicate publications within the same viral vector category. The remaining 24 publications were represented within 20 unique journals, with 19 of the journals describing a peer-review process as part of manuscript submission.

Table 3 provides a comprehensive overview of IPC methods for viral vector-based gene therapy products. Chlorine compounds were found to inactivate AAV and AV, while alcohol-based disinfectants were ineffective. There was a relative paucity of studies investigating surface-based disinfection for HSV, however alcohol-based disinfectants were effective in one study. Ultraviolent (UV) irradiation was also found to inactivate HSV in numerous studies. No studies investigated disinfection for LV and retrovirus vectors.

Discussion

Novel gene therapies represent a significant breakthrough in the care of many medical conditions. Gene therapies utilizing viral vectors to deliver the genetic material present unique IPC considerations not present with traditional pharmaceutical formulations in the clinical setting, specifically concerning environmental disinfection and personal protective equipment. The NIH Recombinant DNA Guidelines, United States Pharmacopeia Chapters 800, and the sixth edition of Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories (BMBL) offer the general framework of working with viral vectors, and they are often used as a reference for risk assessment for human gene transfer research.9 However, no single guidance document has comprehensive information about disinfection practices, shedding, and risk assessment when using these vector systems in a healthcare setting. Additionally, limited data on shedding requires organizations to work with their Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC) and healthcare epidemiology teams to develop policies specific to their centers.^{3,4} In the last few years, several local IBCs have been requiring study teams to collect shedding data during the early phase of clinical trials. Hopefully, as there is growth in the field of human gene transfer in the coming few years more information related to shedding will be available. In general, most healthcare facilities recommend universal/standard precautions

with patient material between 14 and 30 days after administration for both healthcare staff and direct family members.⁹

A hierarchy originally designed by Earle H Spaulding defines common disinfectants as either high-, intermediate-, or low level, based on their ability to kill various microorganisms. Disinfection protocols in the patient setting are extrapolated from this rational approach provided by Spaulding and from data generated from wild-type viruses in basic research studies. When placed on untreated plastic, recombinant AAV and adenoviral vectors were recoverable by cell culture for 3 and 14 days, respectively.³⁹ Common oxidative disinfectants include peroxides, peroxygenpersulfate types, peroxide-peracetic acid, and chlorine-based disinfectants. These disinfectants have been found to successfully eliminate adenoviral vectors in research studies. These disinfectants have an appropriate spectrum of activity against some of the most common viruses in a research facility, given that any organism of equal or greater sensitivity than that of AVs likely also will be inactivated by these products.⁴⁰

Similarly, the Environmental Protection Agency has a list of disinfectants for emerging viral pathogens that provides endorsement and kill claims based on active ingredient, virus type, and surfaces which are utilized for risk assessment during clinical trials by organizations.⁴¹ Based on this information, most therapies utilizing AV, AAV, or plasmid DNA vectors require disinfection with 1% sodium hypochlorite solution, with the need for prolonged contact times causing concern for damage to surfaces with repetitive long-term use.441 Similarly, center-wise policies related to disinfection are developed in consultation with local IBCs and infection prevention teams based on data gathered related to shedding. Herpesvirus can be inactivated with 70% alcohol solutions as well, presenting fewer material surface incompatibility concerns.³⁷ Nonsurface-based disinfectant options including hydrogen peroxide vapor and UV irradiation may also play a role in viral gene vector therapy disinfection protocols, however, effectiveness is dependent upon multiple factors including burden of organic matter which limits their usage as a primary disinfection agent.

Duration and extent of viral shedding varies with individual therapeutics, though data are limited.^{1,3,4} Standard precautions, including covering site of inoculation, should be utilized in patients treated with viral vector gene therapies. Additional transmission-based precautions with contact, droplet, and eye precautions should be employed when viral vectors are administered via aerosol. Immunocompromised healthcare workers or household contacts should avoid contact with patients treated with attenuated, replication-competent herpes viral vectors during the shedding period.^{8,9,42} When working with viral vector gene therapies, clinical staff should wear appropriate personal protective

							Method of disir	fection					
Viral vector	Author, Year	Alcohol	Chlorine compounds	Dry heat	Hydrogen Peroxide	lodophors	Ortho- phthalaldehyde	Peracetic acid	Peracetic Acid & Hydrogen Peroxide	Phenolics	Quaternary ammonium		Overview of findings
Adeno- associated virus (AAV)	Howard, 2017 ¹⁵	Х	1	_	—	1	_	1	—	—	Х	_	Autoclaving, 0.25% peracetic acid, iodine, and 10% bleach completely prevented AAV-mediated transgene expression.
	Tomono, 2019 ¹⁶	Х	1	_		—	_	_	—		—	1	The activity of all rAAV serotypes was weakened by UV irradiation, NaOH, and NaClO exposure. Treatment for 10 days with tap water or 70% EtOH did not appreciably inactivate rAAV1, rAAV8, and rAAV9, but did affect the activity of rAAV2.
	Korte, 2021 ¹⁷	х	J		Х	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	Sodium hypochlorite was the only substance resulting in complete and rapid (1 minute) capsid degradation, whereas potassium peroxymonosulfate required at least a 30-minute incubation time. PAA as a disinfectant should only be considered for the AAV2 serotype. Results reinforced previously published data to not use 70% ethanol or low concentrations of hydrogen peroxide for AAV disinfection.
Adenovirus (Adv)	Sauerbrei, 2009 ^{18a}	_	_	1	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	Viral inactivation of Adv serotype 5 required 2 hours of dry heat at 85°C.
	Tuladhar, 2012 ¹⁹	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	HPV demonstrated complete inactivation by >4log ₁₀ reduction in Adv infectious particles on stainless steel.
	Moore, 2012 ²⁰	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	A UV-C exposure time of 6 minutes resulted in undetectable levels of Adv on both stainless steel and ceramic test surfaces.
	Romanowski, 2013 ²¹	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	A concentration of 50 PPM of PHMB was not virucidal against Adv at temperatures consistent with swimming pools or hot tubs.
	Goyal, 2014 ²²	_	_	_	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	HPV was virucidal (> $4\log_{10}$ reduction) to Adv at the lowest vaporized volume tested (25 mL).
	Gall, 2015 ²³	_	1	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	Demonstrated the dominant mechanism of Adv inactivation by chlorine is due to viral protein damage. Adv was inactivated at levels up to 99.99% by free chlorine still attached to host cells.
	Hoyle, 2016 ²⁴	Х	_				_				_	_	Adv serotype 4 was not eliminated by alcohol gel hand rubs alone and required soap and water handwashing during a healthcare outbreak in a pediatric ward.

	lonidis, 2016 ²⁵	Х	_	_	_	_	_	_			—		The addition of 2.0% citric acid and 2.0% urea to the hand disinfectant was virucidal (>4log10) against Adv within 60 seconds. This formulation may be capable of inactivating all enveloped and non-enveloped viruses.
	Tsujimoto, 2010 ²⁶	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	For 60 min incubation, 0.7 M arginine led to a sharp decrease in virus yield below pH 4.2 and had an undetectable virus yield at pH 3.8. For 5 min incubation, pH needed to be further decreased to result in same virus inactivation. Increasing temperature enhanced virus inactivation and enabled a higher pH to be used. Arginine-containing solvents synergize with pH and temperature for virus inactivation.
Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV)	Newcomb, 2012 ²⁷	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Results demonstrated that 50 <i>m</i> M of hydrogen peroxide produced a model decrease in HSV titer (3–4 fold), but a lethal effect of 106-fold or greater was observed with the presence of sodium azide. Control data demonstrated sodium azide alone had little virucidal effect on HSV. The presence of catalase in HSV provides a significant level of protection against inactivation by hydrogen peroxide.
	Elikaei, 2013 ²⁸		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		1	A 1 μM concentration of MB with illumination for 45 minutes inactivated HSV (6.28 log reduction).
	Firquet, 2014 ²⁹	_	—	1	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	Full inactivation of HSV-1 was obtained in 7 seconds at 70°C and in 1 second at 100°C.
	Mirshafiee, 2015 ³⁰	—	—	—	—	_		—	—	—	—	1	A concentration of 50 m M of riboflavin with 1.29 J/cm^2 UV light resulted in inactivation of HSV (4.26 \log_{10} reduction).
	Ren, 2016 ³¹	—	—		—	_		—	—	—	—	1	UV-C light demonstrated a 99.96% inactivation of HSV particles with a mean exposure time of 10 seconds.
	Nardello- Rataj, 2016 ³²	_		_	_	_	_			_	1	_	Mixtures of these compounds (Aqueous solutions of didecyldimethylammonium chloride ([DiC10][Cl]) and octaethylene glycol monododecyl ether ($C_{12}E_8$)) demonstrated a wide spectrum of virucidal activity against lipid-containing deoxyribonucleic and ribonucleic acid viruses, including HSV. These mixtures could be used to extend the spectrum of virucidal activity commonly employed in numerous disinfectant solutions.
	Zakrewsky, 2016 ³³		—	_	_			_	_	—	—	—	HSV was neutralized in the presence of dilute 1% CAGE.
	Elikaei, 2016 ³⁴		—	-	_			_			_	1	A concentration of 50 mM of riboflavin with UV light demonstrated a 4.44 log reduction of HSV in 10 minutes and a 6.09 log reduction in 15 minutes.

(Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2024.1 Published online by Cambridge University Press

							Method of disir	nfection					
Viral vector	Author, Year	Alcohol	Chlorine compounds	Dry heat	Hydrogen Peroxide	lodophors	Ortho- phthalaldehyde	Peracetic acid	Peracetic Acid & Hydrogen Peroxide	Phenolics	Quaternary ammonium		Overview of findings
	van Kampen, 2017 ³⁵	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	The infectious titers of 5.25×107 TCID50/mL of HSV-1 were reduced to below the limit of detection of the assay when samples containing 1% and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) were incubated with 0.1% SDS or 0.1% Triton X-100. Treatment with 0.1% Triton X-100 or 0.1% SDS reduced HSV-1 titers only by 1 log10 and 2 log10, respectively, when samples contained 98% FBS.
	Remy, 2018 ³⁶	_	-	_	_		_	_	_	_	-	-	Triton X-100 0.1% is not sufficient to inactivate HSV-1 in high concentrations within serum. Triton X-100 1% led to complete inactivation of HSV-1 in 90% of serum samples. Complete inactivation of HSV-1 with 1% of Triton X-100 suggests that other enveloped viruses could also be completely inactivated by the same procedure.
	Dickinson, 2022 ³⁷	1	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Testing with HSV virus demonstrated >99.99% efficacy in 60 seconds, consistent with broad- spectrum virucidal activity.
Lentivirus (LV)	Katoh, 2022 ³⁸	_	_	—	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	Fabrics of level-3 surgical gowns serve better to reduce virus transmission compared to fabrics of chemical protective clothing with the same or higher barrier efficiency. Droplets of infectious body fluids may easily roll off fabrics with water- repellent finishing.
Retrovirus (RV)	N/A												

Abbreviations: AAV, Adeno-associated Virus; Adv, Adenovirus; CAGE, Choline and Geranate; EtOH, Ethyl Alcohol; HSV, Herpes Simplex Virus; HPV, Hydrogen Peroxide Vapor; LV, Lentivirus; MB, Methylene Blue; PAA, Peracetic Acid; PHMB, Polyhexamethylene Biguanide; Recombinant rAAV, adeno-associated virus; RV, Retrovirus; TCID, Tissue Culture Infectious Dose; UV, Ultraviolet; UV-C, Ultraviolet-C.

^aNo peer-review process is described within journal acceptance policies.

Key: \checkmark partial or complete inactivation; X no inactivation; — not studied.

б

Category	Recommendations
Pharmacy	
Receipt & Storage	Provide limited access to storage of products to ensure appropriate biosafety training prior to handling products.
Compounding	Biohazardous products should be prepared utilizing a primary engineering control, such as a Class II, Type A2, or greater biological safety cabinet, in order to provide an ISO 5 environment while compounding.
Transport	Transport in a leak-proof biohazard labeled closed container.
Disinfection	A 1:10 dilution of bleach can be utilized for complete germicidal activity within the pharmacy environment.
Spill Control	Spill kits should be readily available and contain all supplies, including a germicidal agent and PPE, to contain the spill. Large spills may require support from a trained hazardous waste professional.
Disposal of PPE and Supplies	PPE and disposable supplies are considered infectious waste and should be properly disposed of in containers clearly designated as biohazard.
Patient care	
Administration	Staff preparing and administering should wear personal protective equipment. Reduce aerosol production during preparation and administration procedures.
Disposal of PPE and supplies	PPE and disposable patient care items are considered infectious waste and should be properly disposed of in containers clearly designated as biohazard. Reusable patient care items and equipment should be cleaned and disinfected according to the manufacturer's instructions for use.
Disinfection & Cleaning	A 1:10 dilution of bleach should be utilized for complete germicidal activity within the patient care environment.
Spill Control	To minimize the risk of exposure to personnel, PPE must be worn by the clean-up personnel. The type and volume of the waste spill will determine if gown, mask, goggles, etc. are needed. Minimally, personnel must wear gloves and follow blood and body fluid precautions. Handle any absorbent materials used to clean up the area as infectious waste and should be disposed of into a biohazard container. A spill kit may be used. Large spills may require support from environmental services.
Post-Infusion Precautions	In the inpatient setting, the patient should remain on Contact, Droplet, and Eye Precautions for the duration of hospitalization to account for potential dissemination of the virus/vector through secretions and/or excreta of the patient.
Employee health	
Exclusion of Employees with High-risk immunocompromising conditions	No reassignment is necessary if the appropriate post infusion precautions can be followed.
Exposures	Notify employee health immediately. Personnel who have had a recognized, unprotected exposure should monitor for symptoms of illness. Prophylaxis may be available for some vectors.

equipment, including gowns, gloves, and eye or respiratory protection, and should further be educated on the potential risks of percutaneous exposure through accidental needlestick.^{7,9}

Commercially approved agents may provide healthcare teams with some product-specific disinfection and spill-related recommendations within their package inserts. Nadofaragene firadenovec, an AV-mediated therapy, recommends sodium hypocholorite with 0.5% active chlorine or 6% hydrogen preroxide solution with a contact time of 15 minutes to treat any local spill.⁴³ Talimogene laherparepvec notes that any surface that comes in contact with the product should be treated with a virucidal agent, such as 1% sodium hypochlorite or 70% isopropyl alcohol.⁴² In the absence of clinical regulatory recommendations to support broad IPC methods for all commercial and clinical research therapies, institutions must develop local policies and procedures to cover a variety of operational scenarios.

Standardized, consistent, and easily interpreted recommendations must be established to ensure the safety of healthcare workers handling these products. Current handling recommendations for viral-based gene therapies should be derived from the United States Pharmacopeia Chapters 800, commercially available gene therapy package inserts, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention's *Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories (BMBL, 6th Edition)*, and the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant or Synthetic Nucleic Acid Molecules.⁹ Table 4 provides institutional recommendations for infection control methods when handling or preparing viral-based gene therapies in a healthcare system.

In this review, we highlight some of the challenges pharmacy and healthcare staff face regarding the use of virus-based gene therapies. The heterogeneity of methodology in studies included in this review precludes definitive recommendations of a single best infection control approach to these gene therapies, and further study will be needed as new products become available for patient use. Current advancements in gene therapy have opened the door to cures at a molecular level for many genetic diseases. The design of new experimental viral vectors with emerging technologies and the rate at which gene therapies are approved highlight the critical role of pharmacists, healthcare epidemiologists, infection preventionists, and biosafety professionals in identifying overall risk and operationalizing acceptable policies, predominantly in the absence of a consensus framework for the risk assessment process. **Data availability statement.** The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this review are available within the article and supplementary material.

Acknowledgments. The authors have no additional acknowledgments for this article.

Financial support. No funding was secured for this review.

Competing interests. None of the authors have conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

- Eisenman D and Swindle S FDA guidance on shedding and environmental impact in clinical trials involving gene therapy products. *Appl Biosaf* 2022;27:191–197.
- 2. Ginn SL, Amaya AK, Alexander IE, *et al.*, Gene therapy clinical trials worldwide to 2017: an update. *J Gene Med* 2018;20:e3015.
- 3. Eisenman D, Debold S, Riddle J, A changing world in gene therapy research: Exciting opportunities for medical advancement and biosafety challenges. *Appl Biosaf* 2021;26:179–192.
- Ghosh S, Brown A, Jenkins C, et al., Viral vector systems for gene therapy: a comprehensive literature review of progress and biosafety challenges. Appl. Biosaf 2020;25:7–18.
- Eisenman D, Swindle S, Food and drug administration guidance on design of clinical trials for gene therapy products with potential for genome integration or genome editing and associated long-term follow-up of research subjects. *Appl Biosaf* 2022;27:201–209.
- 6. Lundstrom K, Viral vectors in gene therapy. Diseases 2018;6:42.
- Hernandez JM, Biosafety considerations for viral vector gene therapy: an explanation and guide for the average everyday-hero pharmacist. J Pharm Pract 2022;36:1532–1539.
- 8. Bulcha JT, Wang Y, Hong M, *et al.* Viral vector platforms within the gene therapy landscape. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 2021;6:53.
- 9. Blind JE, McLeod EN, Campbell KJ, Viral-mediated gene therapy and genetically modified therapeutics: a primer on biosafety handling for the health-system pharmacist. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm* 2019;76:795–802.
- 10. Eisenman D, The United States' regulatory environment is evolving to accommodate a coming boom in gene therapy research. *Appl Biosaf* 2019; 24:147–152.
- Chen YH, Keiser MS and Davidson BL, Viral vectors for gene transfer. Curr Protoc Mouse Biol 2018; 8:e58.
- 12. David RM and Doherty AT, Viral vectors: the road to reducing genotoxicity. *Toxicol Sci* 2017;155:315–325.
- 13. Kurian K, Watson C, Wyllie A, Retroviral vectors. Mol Pathol 2000;53:173.
- Petrich J, Marchese D, Jenkins C, et al., Gene replacement therapy: a primer for the health-system pharmacist. J Pharm Pract 2020;33:846–855.
- 15. Howard DB and Harvey BK, Assaying the stability and Inactivation of AAV serotype 1 vectors. *Human Gene Ther Methods* 2017;28:39–48.
- Tomono T, Hirai Y, Chono H, *et al.*, Infectivity assessment of recombinant adeno-associated virus and wild-type adeno-associated virus exposed to various diluents and environmental conditions. *Human Gene Ther Methods* 2019;30:137–143.
- Korte J, Mienert J, Hennigs JK, et al., Inactivation of adeno-associated viral vectors by oxidant-based disinfectants. Human Gene Ther, 2021;32: 771–781.
- Sauerbrei A and Wutzler P, Testing thermal resistance of viruses. Arch Virol 2009;154:115–119.
- 19. Tuladhar E, Terpstra P, Koopmans M, *et al.*, Virucidal efficacy of hydrogen peroxide vapour disinfection. *J Hosp Infect* 2012;80:110–115.
- Moore G, Ali S, Cloutman-Green EA, et al., Use of UV-C radiation to disinfect non-critical patient care items: a laboratory assessment of the Nanoclave Cabinet. BMC Infect Dis 2012;12:1–9.

- Romanowski EG, Yates KA, O'Connor KE, et al., Evaluation of polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB) as a disinfectant for adenovirus. JAMA Ophthalmol 2013;131:495–498.
- 22. Goyal SM, Chander Y, Yezli S, *et al.*, Evaluating the virucidal efficacy of hydrogen peroxide vapour. *J Hosp Infect* 2014;86:255–259.
- Gall AM, Shisler JL, Mariñas BJ, Analysis of the viral replication cycle of adenovirus serotype 2 after Inactivation by free chlorine. *Environ Sci Technol* 2015; 49:4584–4590.
- 24. Hoyle E, Erez JC, Kirk-Granger HR, et al., An adenovirus 4 outbreak amongst staff in a pediatric ward manifesting as keratoconjunctivitis—a possible failure of contact and aerosol infection control. Am J Infect Control 2016;44:602–604.
- 25. Ionidis G, Hubscher J, Jack T, *et al.*, Development and virucidal activity of a novel alcohol-based hand disinfectant supplemented with urea and citric acid. *BMC Infect Dis* 2016;16:1–10.
- 26. Tsujimoto K, Uozaki M, Ikeda K, *et al.*, Solvent-induced virus inactivation by acidic arginine solution. *Int J Mol Med* 2010;25:433–437.
- Newcomb WW, Brown JC, Internal catalase protects herpes simplex virus from Inactivation by hydrogen peroxide. J Virol 2012;86:11931–11934.
- Elikaei A, Hosseini SM, Sharifi Z, Inactivation of model viruses suspended in fresh frozen plasma using novel methylene blue based device. *Iran J Microbiol* 2014;6:41.
- 29. Firquet S, Beaujard S, Lobert PE, *et al.*, Viruses contained in droplets applied on warmed surface are rapidly inactivated. *Microb Environ* 2014;29: 408–412.
- 30. Mirshafiee H, Sharifi Z, Hosseini SM, et al., The effects of ultraviolet light and riboflavin on Inactivation of viruses and the quality of platelet concentrates at laboratory scale. Avicenna J Med Biotechnol 2015;7:57.
- Ren Y, Crump CM, Mackley MM, et al., Photo inactivation of virus particles in microfluidic capillary systems. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2016;113: 1481–1492.
- Nardello-Rataj V, Leclercq L, Aqueous solutions of didecyldimethylammonium chloride and octaethylene glycol monododecyl ether: Toward synergistic formulations against enveloped viruses. *Int J Pharmaceut* 2016; 511:550–559.
- 33. Zakrewsky M, Banerjee A, Apte S, *et al.*, Choline and geranate deep eutectic solvent as a broad-spectrum antiseptic agent for preventive and therapeutic applications. *Adv Healthc Mater* 2016;5:1282–1289.
- 34. Elikaei A, Hosseini SM, Sharifi Z, Inactivation of model viruses and bacteria in human fresh frozen plasma using riboflavin and long wave ultraviolet rays. *Iran J Microbiol* 2017;9:50.
- 35. van Kampen JJ, Tintu A, Russcher H, *et al.*, Ebola virus inactivation by detergents is annulled in serum. *J Infect Dis* 2017;216:859–866.
- Remy MM, Alfter M, Chiem MN, *et al.*, Effective chemical virus inactivation of patient serum compatible with accurate serodiagnosis of infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2019;25:907. e7–907. e12.
- Dickinson D, Marsh B, Shao X, *et al.*, Virucidal activities of novel hand hygiene and surface disinfectant formulations containing EGCG-palmitates (EC16). *Am J Infect Control*, 2022;50:1212–1219.
- Katoh I, Tanabe F, Kasai H, *et al.*, Potential risk of virus carryover by fabrics of personal protective gowns. *Front Public Health* 2019;7:121.
- Reuter JD, Fang X, Ly CS, *et al.*, Assessment of hazard risk associated with the intravenous use of viral vectors in rodents. *Comp Med* 2012;62: 361–370.
- McDonnell G, Burke P. Disinfection: is it time to reconsider Spaulding? J Hosp Infect 2011;78:163–170.
- 41. United States Environmental Protection Agency. EnviroAtlas. Disinfectants for Emerging Viral Pathogens (EVPs): List Q. Accessed December 01, 2023. https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/disinfectants-emerging-viralpathogens-evps-list-q#products.
- 42. Imlygic. Package Insert. Thousand Oaks, CA: Amgen; 2023.
- Adstiladrin. Package Insert. Kastrup, Denmark: Ferring Pharmaceuticals; 2023.