

On representations of selfmappings by contractions

Ludvik Janos

It is shown that any selfmapping (X, f) can be equivariantly and naturally embedded in the selfmapping of the form $(X_1, f_1) \cup (X_2, f_2) \times (Y, g)$ where f_1 and f_2 are contractive relative to suitably chosen metrics and g is a bijection with all points periodic.

1. Introduction

By a selfmapping we understand a pair (X, f) consisting of an abstract set X and a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$. If (Y, g) is another selfmapping we say that (X, f) can be represented in (Y, g) if (X, f) can be equivariantly embedded in (Y, g) ; that is, if there is an injection $i : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $i \circ g = f \circ i$. The cartesian product $(X, f) \times (Y, g)$ and the disjoint union $(X, f) \cup (Y, g)$ (provided $X \cap Y = \emptyset$) are defined in the standard way. If (X, f) is a selfmapping we denote by $X^P \subset X$ the subset of X consisting of all periodic points; that is, $X^P = \{x \in X \mid f^n(x) = x \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$. By X^* we denote the set of those $x \in X$ for which a certain iterate $f^t(x)$ belongs to X^P ; that is, $X^* = \{x \in X \mid f^t(x) \in X^P \text{ for some } t \geq 0\}$ where we put $f^0(x) = x$. For $x \in X^*$ we define $t = t(x)$ (the tail-number of the element x) as the least integer t for which $f^t(x) \in X^P$, and by $n(x)$ we denote the corresponding period of $f^t(x)$. There are two special cases

Received 19 March 1974.

important for our investigation.

(a) $X^P = \{a\}$ is a singleton, a being the unique fixed point of (X, f) . In this case the Bessaga Theorem [1] asserts that given any constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there exists a metric $\rho(x, y)$ on X relative to which f is an α -contraction; that is,

$$\forall x, y \in X : \rho(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha \rho(x, y) .$$

(b) $X^P = \emptyset$; that is, there are no periodic points in X . In this case, taking any element a such that $a \notin X$ and defining $f(a) = a$, we extend f from X to $X \cup \{a\}$ bringing thus the case (b) under the previous one.

In order to formulate clearly our statements, we need the following.

DEFINITION. A selfmapping (X, f) is said to be an abstract contraction if there exists a metric on X relative to which f is contractive.

If (X, f) is a selfmapping and $Y \subset X$ an invariant subset of X , that is, $f(Y) \subset Y$, there is the unique factor selfmapping $(X/Y, \hat{f})$ obtained from (X, f) by identifying Y to a point, \hat{f} being the mapping which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ j \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ X/Y & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & X/Y \end{array}$$

where j is the natural projection.

The question arises whether, given a selfmapping (X, f) , one can find an invariant subset $Y \subset X$ such that (X, f) can be represented in the product $(X/Y, \hat{f}) \times (Y, g)$ where both factors have more simple structure. The purpose of this note is to show that the answer is basically affirmative, given by our

THEOREM. *If (X, f) is such that $X^* = X$, then (X, f) can be represented in the product $(X/X^P, \hat{f}) \times (X^P, g)$ where the first factor is an abstract contraction and $g = f|_{X^P}$ is a bijection having all points periodic.*

Finally, we shall apply our recent results [3] to the case when the cardinality $|X|$ of X does not exceed the power of continuum c . Introducing the concept of abstract separable contraction requiring that the metric involved in the above definition be separable we may sharpen our result for the case $|X| \leq c$ requiring that the first factor be an abstract separable contraction.

2. Proof of the theorem

LEMMA 2.1. *Let (X, f) be a selfmapping and let $r : X \rightarrow Y \subset X$ be a retraction of X onto an f -invariant subset Y ; that is, $f(Y) \subset Y$ and $r|_Y$ equals the identity on Y , and finally assume that r commutes with f . Then (X, f) can be represented in the product $(X/Y, \hat{f}) \times (Y, g)$ where $g = f|_Y$.*

Proof. Denoting by j the natural projection $j : X \rightarrow X/Y$ we define the embedding $i : X \rightarrow X/Y \times Y$ by $i(x) = (j(x), r(x))$ for $x \in X$. We obtain on one hand $i(f(x)) = (j(f(x)), r(f(x)))$, and on the other $(\hat{f} \times g)i(x) = (\hat{f}(j(x)), g(r(x)))$. The equality of both expressions follows from the commutativity of f and r which shows that i is equivariant. Since the one-to-one property of i is obvious, our lemma follows.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let (X, f) be a selfmapping and assume $X^* = X$. Defining $r : X \rightarrow X$ by $r(x) = f^{kn}(x)$ where $n = n(x)$ and k is any integer such that $kn \geq t(x)$, the mapping r is a retraction of X onto X^D and the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied for r by putting $Y = X^D$.*

Proof. First we show that the definition of $r(x)$ is consistent; that is, independent of the number k used. Suppose we have k_1 and k_2 satisfying $k_1 n \geq t(x)$ and $k_2 n \geq t(x)$ and say $k_2 > k_1$. Then we have $f^{k_2 n}(x) = f^{(k_2 - k_1)n} (f^{k_1 n}(x))$ and since $f^{k_1 n}(x)$ is periodic with the period n the equality $f^{k_2 n}(x) = f^{k_1 n}(x)$ follows. All that remains to show is the commutativity of r and f . We have

$$f(r(x)) = f(f^{kn}(x)) = f^{kn}(f(x)) = r(f(x)) ,$$

since $n(f(x)) = n(x) = n$ and $kn \geq t(x) \geq t(f(x))$, which accomplishes our lemma.

Our theorem now follows as a corollary of Lemmas 1 and 2 since $(X/X^p, \hat{f})$ has evidently the only fixed point and no other periodic points (case a), and $g = f|X^p$ is one-to-one and onto since for each $x \in X$ we have $f^n(x) = x$ where $n = n(x)$.

Going over to the general case ($X^* \subset X$) we can formulate our result in the following form.

COROLLARY 2.1. *Any selfmapping (X, f) can be represented in the disjoint union*

$$(1) \quad (X_1, f_1) \cup (X_2, f_2) \times (Y, g)$$

where (X_1, f_1) and (X_2, f_2) are abstract contractions and g is a bijection.

Proof. Denoting by X_1 the complement of X^* in X , by f_1 the restriction of f to X_1 and putting $(X_2, f_2) = (X^*/X^p, \hat{f})$ and $Y = X^p$, the result follows from the fact that X^* and X_1 are disjoint and invariant and (X_1, f_1) is an abstract contraction (case b).

Finally we shall treat the case when $|X| \leq c$. In this case our results in [3] imply that the abstract contractions in the expression (1) can be assumed separable. Using the results of de Groot and de Vries [2] one can also metrize the factor (Y, g) in the expression (1) in such a way that Y becomes a totally bounded metric space and g a homeomorphism.

References

- [1] C. Bessaga, "On the converse of the Banach 'fixed-point principle'", *Colloq. Math.* 7 (1959), 41-43.
- [2] J. de Groot and H. de Vries, "Metritzation of a set which is mapped into itself", *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) 9 (1958), 144-148.

- [3] Ludvik Janos, "An application of combinatorial techniques to a topological problem", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 9 (1973), 439-443.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Montana,
Missoula,
Montana, USA.