## AESCHYLUS, SEPT. 634

πύργοις ἐπεμβὰς κἀπικηρυχθεὶς χθονί. ]ηρυθεις P. Oxy. 2333; κἀπιγυρωθεὶς P γρ.

I do not commonly indulge in what I have lately seen called 'kleinliche Eigentumsanspräche auf naheliegenden Papyrusergänzungen', and I should not have pointed out that I was the first to suggest κάπιγηρυθείς in this passage (Gnomon, XXXIV, 1962, 742) if Dr Dawe had not reproached the rest of us so severely for our laxity in the matter of attributions. Dr Dawe now insinuates (Proceedings, 194, 1968, 8 n. 1) that I may have derived this suggestion, perhaps unconsciously, from his doctoral thesis. For all I know he may be right. But in the published version of that thesis (The Collation and Investigation of the Manuscripts of Aeschylus, 1964, p. 169) I do not find this suggestion; I find instead what seems to me a somewhat unprofitable discussion of the problem. I deserve little credit for what is surely a fairly obvious inference from the reading of the papyrus; but according to the rules which Dr Dawe so zealously enforces, the conjecture ought to be set down to me. If such an act is possible, I willingly transfer all title to the suggestion to the learned doctor, to whom I apologize for the misprinting of it in my review of his Repertory of Conjectures on Aeschylus, and also for not having spent so much time as he would wish me to have done on the reviewing of that important work.

CHRIST CHURCH, OXFORD

HUGH LLOYD-JONES