

The Search for MET or a MET Homolog Expressed by *Dugesia Dorocephala*

Jonathan F. Blaize¹ and Sherry J. Browne¹

¹Wagner College, Department of Biological Sciences, New York, USA.

The mechanisms controlling morphallactic regeneration are among the most complex and well studied of all biological processes [1]. Since the 18th century planaria have served as a model organism for the study of regeneration due to their immense developmental plasticity [3], simple body plan and relative abundance [1]. In our investigation, fresh water brown planaria (*Dugesia dorocephala*) will be examined *i)* to determine if this species of Platyhelminthes express MET or a MET homolog, *ii)* the extent of HGF/MET influence during re-epithelialization following planarian bisection, *iii)* and whether intracellular signals responsible for planarian recovery are consistent with those activated by human hepatocytes following trauma.

Regeneration of lost or injured planarian tissue is controlled by a litany of molecular cues that initiate prompt cytoskeletal reorganization, re-epithelialization and recruitment of neoblasts to the wound site [1-5,12,13]. While few multi-cellular eukaryotes share the restorative fidelity displayed by planaria, nearly all members of the animal kingdom rely upon epithelialization to reduce the likelihood of infection, minimize water loss/maintain tonicity and initiate recovery immediately following severe trauma [1]. Unsurprisingly, many of the cellular hallmarks that facilitate reinstatement of epithelial continuity are conserved between species, despite massive variability within the repair scheme thereafter [1]. A key contributor to this process in mammalian wound healing is the peptide ligand hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and the receptor tyrosine kinase to which it binds- mesenchymal epithelial transition factor (MET).

HGF has been thoroughly investigated since its discovery and partial characterization in 1984 [6-8]. While initially described as a unique mitogen that participates in liver regeneration, it is now well accepted that the HGF-MET pathway contributes significantly to cellular proliferation, migration and morphogenesis in many organ systems [4,7-11,14]. Considerable evidence illustrates the importance of HGF-MET signaling in development and cellular injury recovery; for example, abolishment of MET expression profoundly effects tissue remodeling and composition of hepatic stem cells and prevents re-epithelialization in epidermal wounds by keratinocytes [2, 6]. Two downstream targets of MET activation, phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and the family of signal transducers and transcribers (STAT3) are specifically required for re-epithelialization and subsequent regeneration of planarian bodies [5, 11]; interestingly, there has been no investigation of MET or MET homolog expression in planaria. We suspect that MET or a MET-like protein plays a role in planarian regeneration, as it is a key mediator of cellular survival, growth and differentiation.

To determine whether *D. dorocephala* express MET, standard immunohistochemical techniques were employed. 10 planarian samples were stripped of their mucosal lining and sacrificed through submersion in 5% N-acetyl cysteine for 10 minutes as described by Pearson, et al. Tissues were then fixed in either 2% or 4% paraformaldehyde for 2 hours prior to permeabilization with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.05% Triton X-100. A blocking solution of 5% non-fat dry milk and 0.05% Triton X-100 in PBS was used to reduce non-specific binding during subsequent antigen detection steps. Samples were suspended in our primary antibody cocktail containing a polyclonal immunoglobulin (rabbit anti-MET)

specific for the MET receptor prepared in PBS (1:500), for 24 hours at 4°C. After several washes with PBS, some samples were treated with a secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit, 1:1000) conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) for 3 hours at room temperature, while others were stored in PBS at 4°C (secondary omission). Planaria were subjected to a final series of PBS washes before mounting. Images were captured using a Nikon PCM 2000 confocal microscope. Our preliminary findings suggest that *D. dorotocephala* do not express the MET receptor under normal physiological conditions; transcription of MET following injury is being investigated.

References

- [1] B.M. Carlson in “Principles of regenerative biology”, ed. B.M. Carlson, (Amsterdam/Elsevier, Boston) p 1-70.
- [2] J. Chmielowiec, et al., *J Cell Biol* **177** (2007) p.151-162.
- [3] A. Fagotti, et al., *Invertebrate Survival Journal* **3** (2006) p.118-124.
- [4] E. Gohda, et al., *J Clin Invest* **81** (1988) p.414-419.
- [5] G.C. Gurtner, et al., *Nature* **453** (2008) p.314-321.
- [6] T. Ishikawa, et al., *Hepatology* **55** (2012) p.1215-1226.
- [7] S. Nakamura, et al., *Endocrinol Jpn* **31** (1984a) p.675-679.
- [8] T. Nakamura, et al., *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **122** (1984b) p.1450-1459.
- [9] T. Nakamura, et al., *FEBS Lett* **224** (1987) p.311-316.
- [10] T. Nakamura, et al., *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* **26** (2011) **Suppl 1** p.188-202.
- [11] N.J. Ovido, et al., *Dis Model Mech* **1** (2008) p.131-143.
- [12] R. Pascolini, et al., *Tissue Cell* **20** (1988) p.157-163.
- [13] R. Pascolini, et al., *Cell Tissue Res* **236** (1984) p.345-349.
- [14] R. Zarnegar and G. Michalopoulos, *Cancer Res* **49** (1989) p.3314-3320.
- [15] B. Pearson, et al., *Dev Dynamics* **238** (2009) p. 443-450.