# SOME NOTES ON THE THEORY OF HOLOMORPHIC CURVES 
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## §1. Introduction

In this paper, we shall give some notes on the order functions of holomorphic curves and, applying these facts, we may formulate the theory of holomorphic curves more precisely than those in Ahlfors [2], Cowen and Griffiths [4], Weyl [7] or Wu [8] in several cases.

Let $x:|z|<R \rightarrow P^{n}(C)(n \geqq 1,0<R \leqq \infty)$ be a non-degenerate holomorphic curve and $x^{p}$ be the associated curve of rank $p$ of $x(p=1, \cdots$, $n ; x^{1}=x$ ).

Let

$$
X=\left(x_{1}(z), \cdots, x_{n+1}(z)\right)
$$

be a reduced representation of $x$ where $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}$ are holomorphic functions in $|z|<R$ without common zero for all and

$$
X^{p}=\left[X, d X / d z, \cdots, d^{p-1} X / d z^{p-1}\right] \quad(p=1, \cdots, n)
$$

the osculating $p$-element of $X\left(X^{1}=X\right)$ (see Weyl [7]), which is a representation of $x^{p}$. Let $T_{p}(r)$ be the order function of $x^{p}(p=1, \cdots, n)$. Then it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}(r)+\left\{T_{p+1}(r)-2 T_{p}(r)+T_{p-1}(r)\right\}=\Omega_{p}(r)-\Omega_{p}\left(r_{o}\right) \quad\left(r_{o} \leqq r<R\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{o}$ is a fixed positive number, $V_{p}(r)$ is the valence in $|z|<r$ of the stationary points of rank $p$ of $x$ and

$$
\Omega_{p}(r)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left\{\left|X^{p+1}\right|\left|X^{p-1}\right| /\left|X^{p}\right|^{2}\right\} d \theta \quad\left(z=r e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

(Weyl [7], p. 123).
In the theory of holomorphic curves, it is essential to evaluate $\Omega_{p}$ in terms of $T_{p}$. For example,
"When $R=\infty$, for any number $\alpha>1$, the inequality
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$$
2 \Omega_{p}(r) \leqq \alpha \log T_{p}(r)-2 \log r+O(1)
$$

holds except for $r$ of an open set $E \subset\left[r_{o}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{E} r^{-1} d r<\infty . "
$$

(See Weyl [7], Chapter III.)
There is a similar result for $R<\infty$ (Weyl [7], Chapter IV).
We note that, in this paper, we always use $r=|z|$ as the independent variable instead of $\log r$. (cf. Weyl [7], Wu [8].)

In the proof of the defect relations for holomorphic curves, this estimate of $\Omega_{p}$ plays a fundamental role and necessarily it comes out exceptional sets in many inequalities of which we are in need to prove the defect relations. (See Ahlfors [2], Weyl [7] etc.)

On the other hand, in the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions in $|z|<R$ (Hayman [5], Nevanlinna [6]), the second fundamental theorem tells us that, for a non-constant meromorphic function $f(z)$ in $|z|<R$, the exceptional set does not come out if the order of $f$ is finite and the defect relation holds either
(I) for any non-constant meromorphic function when $R=\infty$, or
(II) for any meromorphic function $f$ such that

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow R} T(r, f) / \log (R-r)^{-1}=\infty
$$

when $R<\infty$.
For the systems of holomorphic functions in $|z|<R$, similar results are known (Cartan [3]).

In this paper, after the model of the case of meromorphic functions stated above, we shall remove the exceptional sets in the case of holomorphic curves applying the method used in Ahlfors [1] when $T_{1}(r)$ is of finite order and weaken "the hypothesis $H$ " (Weyl [7], p. 201) in the case of $R<\infty$.

We will use the notation used in Weyl [7] in the main.

## §2. Lemmas

We prepare some lemmas for later use.
Lemma 1. Let $f(r)$ be a function defined on $\left[r_{o}, R\right)$ with continuous non-negative derivative and $f\left(r_{o}\right) \geqq 1$. Then for any numbers $\alpha>1$ and $\mu \geqq 0$,
(I) when $R=\infty$, the inequality

$$
f^{\prime}(r) \leqq\{f(r)\}^{\alpha} r^{\mu-1}
$$

holds except in an open set $E \subset\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{E} r^{\mu-1} d r \leqq(\alpha-1)^{-1} ;
$$

(II) when $R<\infty$, the inequality

$$
f^{\prime}(r) \leqq\{f(r)\}^{\alpha}(R-r)^{-\mu-1}
$$

holds except in an open set $E \subset\left[r_{o}, R\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{E}(R-r)^{-\mu-1} d r \leqq(\alpha-1)^{-1} .
$$

(See Weyl (7] p. 155 and p. 197.)
Lemma 2. Let two functions $f(r)$ and $F(r)$ be given on $\left[r_{o}, R\right)\left(r_{o}>0\right)$ such that $f(r)$ is continuous and

$$
1+\log \left(r / r_{o}\right)+\int_{r_{0}}^{r}\{(\log r-\log t) \exp (f(t))\} / t d t \leqq F(r) \quad\left(r_{o} \leqq r<_{\mathbf{1}}^{2} R\right) .
$$

Then, for any numbers $\alpha>1$ and $\mu \geqq 0$,
(I) when $R=\infty$,

$$
f(r) \leqq \alpha^{2} \log F(r)+\mu(\alpha+1) \log r
$$

for all $r \geqq r_{0}$ except in an open set $E \subset\left[r_{o}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{E} r^{\mu-1} d r \leqq 2(\alpha-1)^{-1} ;
$$

(II) when $R<\infty$,

$$
f(r) \leqq \alpha^{2} \log F(r)+(\mu+1)(\alpha+1) \log (R-r)^{-1}+(\alpha+1) \log r
$$

for all $r$ in $\left[r_{o}, R\right)$ except in an open set $E \subset\left[r_{o}, R\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{E}(R-r)^{-\mu-1} d r \leqq 2(\alpha-1)^{-1} .
$$

We may prove this lemma as in Weyl [7], p. 156 or p. 197 using Lemma 1.

Definition. We say that a holomorphic curve $x$ in $|z|<R$ is admissible if either $R=\infty$ and $x$ is non-degenerate, or if $R<\infty, x$ is nondegenerate and the following condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow R} T_{p}(r)=\infty \quad(p=1, \cdots, n) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, if $R=\infty$ and $x$ is non-degenerate, the condition (2) holds. (See Weyl [7].)

Lemma 3. For any admissible curve $x$ in $|z|<R$, the following inequality holds for all $r$ in $\left[R_{o}, R\right)\left(r_{o} \leqq R_{o}\right)$ :

$$
1+\log \left(r / r_{o}\right)+\int_{r_{o}}^{r}\left\{(\log r-\log t) \exp \left(2 \tilde{\Omega}_{p}(t)\right)\right\} / t d t \leqq 2 T_{p}(r)
$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_{p}(t)=\Omega_{p}(t)+\log \left(t / r_{o}\right)$ and $R_{o}$ depends on the curve. (See Weyl [7], p. 154 and p. 196.)

From now on in $\S 2$ and $\S 3$, we assume that all curves in our mind are admissible.

Applying Lemmas 1 and 2 to our curves, we obtain the following by Lemma 3.

Proposition 1. For any numbers $\alpha>1$ and $\mu \geqq 0$,
(I) when $R=\infty$, the inequality

$$
2 \tilde{\Omega}_{p}(r) \leqq \alpha^{2} \log T_{p}(r)+\mu(\alpha+1) \log r+O(1)
$$

holds for all $r \geqq R_{o}$ except in an open set $E \subset\left[R_{o}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{E} r^{\mu-1} d r<\infty ;
$$

(II) when $R<\infty$, the inequality

$$
2 \tilde{\Omega}_{p}(r) \leqq \alpha^{2} \log T_{p}(r)+(\mu+1)(\alpha+1) \log (R-r)^{-1}+O(1)
$$

holds for all $r$ in $\left[R_{o}, R\right)$ except in an open set $E \subset\left[R_{o}, R\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{E}(R-r)^{-\mu-1} d r<\infty
$$

(See Weyl [7], p. 198.)
Using this proposition, we obtain the following as in Weyl [7].
Proposition 2. For any numbers $\varepsilon>0$ and $\mu \geqq 0$, there exists an $r_{1}$ such that
(I) when $R=\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p+1}(r)<(1+1 / p+\varepsilon) T_{p}(r)+O(\log r) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p-1}(r)<(1+1 /(n+1-p)+\varepsilon) T_{p}(r)+O(\log r) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r \geqq r_{1}$ except in an open set $E \subset\left[r_{1}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{E} r^{\mu-1} d r<\infty ;
$$

(II) when $R<\infty$,
(5) $\quad T_{p+1}(r)<(1+1 / p+\varepsilon) T_{p}(r)+O\left(\log (R-r)^{-1}\right)$,
(6) $\quad T_{p-1}(r)<(1+1 /(n+1-p)+\varepsilon) T_{p}(r)+O\left(\log (R-r)^{-1}\right)$
for all $r \in\left[r_{1}, R\right)$ except in an open set $E \subset\left[r_{1}, R\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{E}(R-r)^{-\mu-1} d r<\infty
$$

## § 3. Theorems and applications

In this section, we are going to investigate the orders of $T_{p}(r)$ and improve Propositions 1 and 2.

The order $\rho_{p}$ of $T_{p}(r)$ is defined by

$$
\underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } \log T_{p}(r) / \log r=\rho_{p} \quad(R=\infty)
$$

or

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow R} \log T_{p}(r) / \log (R-r)^{-1}=\rho_{p} \quad(R<\infty)
$$

and the lower order $\lambda_{p}$ of $T_{p}(r)$ by

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \log T_{p}(r) / \log r=\lambda_{p} \quad(R=\infty)
$$

or

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow R} \log T_{p}(r) / \log (R-r)^{-1}=\lambda_{p} \quad(R<\infty)
$$

Theorem 1. All $T_{p}(r)$ are of the same order.
Proof. When $R=\infty$, this was proved by Ahlfors [2]. We prove this theorem when $R<\infty$ applying the method used in Ahlfors [1].

Now, let $\rho_{p}$ be finite, then for any $\rho>\rho_{p}$, there is an $r_{2}\left(\geqq r_{1}\right)$ such that, for all $r \in\left[r_{2}, R\right)$,

$$
T_{p}(r) \leqq O\left((R-r)^{-\rho}\right) .
$$

Here, we apply Proposition 2, (II), (5).
(i) When $r \notin E$ and $r_{2} \leqq r<R$, we have

$$
T_{p+1}(r) \leqq O\left((R-r)^{-\rho}\right)
$$

(ii) When $r \in E$ and $r_{2} \leqq r<R$, let $r^{\prime}$ be the right hand end point of the maximal interval included in $E$ and containing $r$. Then, putting $\mu=\rho$, we have

$$
\left(R-r^{\prime}\right)^{-\rho}-(R-r)^{-\rho} \leqq \rho \int_{E}(R-r)^{-\rho-1} d r=O(1)
$$

so that

$$
\left(R-r^{\prime}\right)^{-\rho} \leqq(R-r)^{-\rho}+O(1)
$$

and

$$
\log \left(R-r^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\log (R-r)^{-1}+O(1)
$$

$\mathrm{T}_{p+1}(r)$ being increasing and $r^{\prime} \oplus E$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{p+1}(r) \leqq T_{p+1}\left(r^{\prime}\right) & \leqq O\left(\left(R-r^{\prime}\right)^{-\rho}\right) \leqq O\left((R-r)^{-\rho}\right)+O(1) \\
& =O\left((R-r)^{-\rho}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (i) and (ii), for all $r$ sufficiently near $R$

$$
T_{p+1}(r)<O\left((R-r)^{-\rho}\right)
$$

This means $\rho_{p+1} \leqq \rho$. As $\rho$ is arbitrarily greater than $\rho_{p}$, we obtain $\rho_{p+1}$ $\leqq \rho_{p}$. Similarly, we obtain $\rho_{p-1} \leqq \rho_{p}$ applying (6). It follows that, if one of $\rho_{p}$ is finite, then all $\rho_{p}$ are finite and same. This means also that if one of $\rho_{p}$ is infinite, then all $\rho_{p}$ are infinite. That is, all $T_{p}(r)$ are of the same order.

Theorem 2. All $T_{p}(r)$ are of the same lower order.
Proof. (I) $R=\infty$. We have only to prove the case when one of $T_{p}(r)$ is of positive or infinite lower order. Otherwise, all $T_{p}(r)$ are of lower order zero.

Now, let $\lambda_{p}$ be positive or infinite. Then, for any $0<\lambda<\lambda_{p}$, there is an $r_{3}\left(\geqq r_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
T_{p}(r) \geqq r^{2} \quad\left(r \geqq r_{3}\right)
$$

We apply Proposition 2, (I), (3).
(i) For $r \oplus E$ and $r \geqq r_{3}$,

$$
r^{2} \leqq(1+1 /(p-1)+\varepsilon) T_{p-1}(r)+O(\log r) .
$$

(ii) For $r \in E$ and $r \geqq r_{3}$, let $\tilde{r}$ be the left hand end point of the maximal interval included in $E$ and containing $r$. Then, putting $\mu=\lambda$, we have

$$
r^{2}-\tilde{r}^{2}=\lambda \int_{\tilde{r}}^{r} t^{2-1} d t \leqq \lambda \int_{E} t^{2-1} d t=O(1),
$$

so that

$$
r^{2} \leqq \tilde{r}^{2}+O(1) .
$$

As $\tilde{r} \oplus E$ for sufficiently large $r$ and $T_{p-1}(r)$ is increasing,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{r}^{2} & \leqq(1+1 /(p-1)+\varepsilon) T_{p-1}(\tilde{r})+O(\log \tilde{r}) \\
& \leqq(1+1 /(p-1)+\varepsilon) T_{p-1}(r)+O(\log r)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
r^{2} \leqq(1+1 /(p-1)+\varepsilon) T_{p-1}(r)+O(\log r)+O(1) .
$$

By (i) and (ii), for all sufficiently large $r$,

$$
r^{2} \leqq(1+1 /(p-1)+\varepsilon) T_{p-1}(r)+O(\log r)+O(1) .
$$

This means $\lambda \leqq \lambda_{p-1}$. As $\lambda<\lambda_{p}$ and $\lambda$ is arbitrary, we have $\lambda_{p} \leqq \lambda_{p-1}$. Similarly, using (4) instead of (3), we have $\lambda_{p} \leqq \lambda_{p+1}$. It follows that, if one of $\lambda_{p}$ is not zero, then all $\lambda_{p}$ are not zero and same.
(II) $R<\infty$. We can prove this theorem by using Proposition 2, (II) as in the case $R=\infty$.

Theorem 3. If $T_{1}(r)$ is of finite order, then, for any number $\alpha>1$, (I) when $R=\infty$, the inequality

$$
2 \tilde{\rho}_{p}(r) \leqq \alpha^{2} \log T_{p}(r)+O(\log r)+O(1)
$$

holds for all sufficiently large values $r$;
(II) when $R<\infty$, the inequality

$$
2 \tilde{\Omega}_{p}(r) \leqq \alpha^{2} \log T_{p}(r)+O\left(\log (R-r)^{-1}\right)+O(1)
$$

holds for all $r$ sufficiently near $R$.
Proof. (I) $R=\infty$. Let $T_{1}(r)$ be of finite order $\rho_{1}$. Then, by Theorem 1 , all $T_{p}(r)$ are of order $\rho_{1}$. Thus, for any $\rho>\rho_{1}$, there is an $r_{4}\left(\geqq R_{o}\right)$ such that

$$
T_{p}(r) \leqq r^{\rho} \quad\left(r \geqq r_{4}\right)
$$

and for any $p$-ad $A^{p}$ (Weyl [7]), as

$$
N_{p}\left(r, A^{p}\right) \leqq T_{p}(r)+C_{p}
$$

$C_{p}$ being independent of $A^{p}$ (Ahlfors [2], p. 7 or Wu [8], p. 105),

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{p}\left(r, A^{p}\right) \log 2 \leqq \int_{r}^{2 r} n_{p}\left(t, A^{p}\right) / t d t \leqq N_{p}\left(2 r, A^{p}\right)=O\left(r^{\rho}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \geqq r_{4}$. Now, putting $\mu=\rho$ in Proposition 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \tilde{\Omega}_{p}(r) \leqq \alpha^{2} \log T_{p}(r)+\rho(\alpha+1) \log r+O(1) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r \geqq R_{o}$ except in an open set $E \subset\left[R_{o}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{E} r^{\rho-1} d r<\infty
$$

For $r \in E$ and $r \geqq r_{4}$, let $\tilde{r}$ be the right hand end point of the maximal interval included in $E$ and containing $r$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r}^{\rho}-r^{\rho}=\rho \int_{r}^{\tilde{r}} t^{\rho-1} d t \leqq \rho \int_{E} t^{\rho-1} d t=O(1) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \tilde{r}=\log r+O(1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by (7) and (9),

$$
N_{p}\left(\tilde{r}, A^{p}\right)-N_{p}\left(r, A^{p}\right)=\int_{r}^{\tilde{\tau}} n_{p}\left(t, A^{p}\right) / t d t \leqq O\left(\int_{r}^{\tilde{r}} t^{\rho-1} d t\right)=O(1),
$$

that is,

$$
N_{p}\left(\tilde{r}, A^{p}\right) \leqq N_{p}\left(r, A^{p}\right)+O(1) .
$$

As

$$
\underset{A^{p}}{\mathfrak{M}} N_{p}\left(r, A^{p}\right)=T_{p}(r)
$$

(Ahlfors [2], p. 8 or Wu [8], p. 107) and $O(1)$ is independent of $A^{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}(\tilde{r}) \leqq T_{p}(r)+O(1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (1), we have the equality:

$$
V_{p}(r)+T_{p-1}(r)+T_{p+1}(r)+\log r / r_{o}=\tilde{\Omega}_{p}(r)-\tilde{\Omega}_{p}\left(r_{o}\right)+2 T_{p}(r)
$$

and this shows that

$$
2 T_{p}(r)+\tilde{\Omega}_{p}(r)
$$

is increasing. Thus, we have

$$
2 T_{p}(r)+\tilde{\Omega}_{p}(r) \leqq 2 T_{p}(\tilde{r})+\tilde{\Omega}_{p}(\tilde{r})
$$

so that by (11),

$$
\tilde{\Omega}_{p}(r) \leqq \tilde{\Omega}_{p}(\tilde{r})+O(1)
$$

As $\tilde{r} \notin E$, by (8), (10) and (11), we have

$$
2 \widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(r) \leqq \alpha^{2} \log T_{p}(r)+\rho(\alpha+1) \log r+O(1)
$$

Thus, for any $r \geqq r_{4}$, the inequality

$$
2 \tilde{\Omega}_{p}(r) \leqq \alpha^{2} \log T_{p}(r)+O(\log r)+O(1)
$$

holds.
(II) $R<\infty$. We can carry out the proof parallel to the case $R=\infty$. Corresponding to Proposition 2, we have

Corollary 1. If $T_{1}(r)$ is of finite order, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is an $r_{5}$ such that
(I) when $R=\infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{p+1}(r)<(1+1 / p+\varepsilon) T_{p}(r)+O(\log r), \\
& T_{p-1}(r)<(1+1 /(n+1-p)+\varepsilon) T_{p}(r)+O(\log r)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r \geqq r_{5}$;
(II) when $R<\infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{p+1}(r)<(1+1 / p+\varepsilon) T_{p}(r)+O\left(\log (R-r)^{-1}\right) \\
& T_{p-1}(r)<(1+1 /(n+1-p)+\varepsilon) T_{p}(r)+O\left(\log (R-r)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r \in\left[r_{5}, R\right)$.
When $R=\infty$, it is well-known (see Wu [8]) that if the original curve $x$ is transcendental:

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} T_{1}(r) / \log r=\infty
$$

then all the associated curves $x^{p}$ are also transcendental.
Similarly to this fact, we give the following for $R<\infty$.

Theorem 4. When $R$ is finite, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow R} T_{1}(r) / \log (R-r)^{-1}=\infty, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ outside an exceptional set $E$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}=R
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{p}\left(s_{n}\right) / \log \left(R-s_{n}\right)^{-1}=\infty \quad(p=1,2, \cdots, n)
$$

Proof. When the order of $T_{1}(r)\left(=\rho_{1}\right)$ is finite, we have the result easily by Corollary 1. When the order of $T_{1}(r)$ is infinite, then $T_{2}(r), \cdots$, $T_{n}(r)$ are also of order infinite by Theorem 1. In this case, we apply Proposition 2, (II), (6) for $\mu=0$. First of all, we note that

$$
\rho_{1}^{\prime}=\underset{\substack{r \rightarrow R \\ r \oplus B}}{\lim \sup } \log T_{1}(r) / \log (R-r)^{-1}=\infty
$$

In fact, suppose that $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ is finite. For any $\tilde{r} \in E$ sufficiently near $R$, let $t_{1}$ be the left hand end point of the maximal interval $I$ included in $E$ and containing $\tilde{r}$ and $t_{2}$ the right hand end point of $I$. Then, $t_{1}, t_{2} \oplus E$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log \left(R-t_{2}\right)^{-1}-\log \left(R-t_{1}\right)^{-1}=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(R-r)^{-1} d r \leqq \int_{E}(R-r)^{-1} d r=O(1), \\
\log \left(R-t_{1}\right)^{-1}<\log (R-\tilde{r})^{-1}<\log \left(R-t_{2}\right)^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

From this and $T_{1}(r)$ being increasing, we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log T_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) / \log \left(R-t_{2}\right)^{-1} & \leqq \log T_{1}(\tilde{r}) / \log (R-\tilde{r})^{-1} \\
& \leqq \log T_{1}\left(t_{2}\right) / \log \left(R-t_{1}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow R} \log \left(R-t_{1}\right)^{-1} / \log \left(R-t_{2}\right)^{-1}=1
$$

so that

$$
\underset{\substack{\tilde{r} \rightarrow R \\ \tilde{r} \in E}}{\lim \sup } \log T_{1}(\tilde{r}) / \log (R-\tilde{r})^{-1} \leqq \underset{\substack{r \rightarrow R \\ r \oplus E}}{\lim \sup } \log T_{1}(r) / \log (R-r)^{-1}=\rho_{1}^{\prime}
$$

This means that the order of $T_{1}(r)$ is finite. This is a contradiction. $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ must be $\infty$. " $\rho_{1}^{\prime}=\infty$ " means that there is a sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\} \subset\left[r_{1}, R\right)-E$ such that $s_{n} \rightarrow R(n \rightarrow \infty)$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{1}\left(s_{n}\right) / \log \left(R-s_{n}\right)^{-1}=\infty
$$

Applying this fact to Proposition 2, (II), (6) for $p=2, \cdots, n$, we have the desired result.

Corollary 2. "The hypothesis $H$ " in Weyl [7], p. 201 may be changed by the following:
"When $R$ is finite, $x$ is admissible and $\limsup _{r \rightarrow R} T_{1}(r) / \log (R-r)^{-1}=\infty . "$
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