European Psychiatry S167

Mental Health Care 01

EPP0064

A qualitative investigation of healthcare professionals' viewpoints of the healthcare process of persons with a serious mental illness in prisons with a traditional model for mental health care provision in Spain

A. Calcedo-Barba¹*, S. Paz Ruiz², V. Estévez Closas³, Á. López López⁴, L. F. Barrios⁵ and J. Antón Basanta⁶

¹Sociedad Española de Psiquiatría Legal, Madrid; ²SmartWorking4U SLU; ³SmartWorking4U, Benicassim; ⁴Hospital Psiquiátrico Penitenciario, Fontcalent; ⁵Universidad de Alicante, Alicante and ⁶Sociedad Española de Sanidad Penitenciaria, Barcelona, Spain *Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2023.405

Introduction: Healthcare delivery in prisons depends on the national Ministry of Interior in 14 of 17 autonomous regions in Spain. A traditional model for health and mental health care provision prevails.

Objectives: To increase understanding of the mental health care process of imprisoned persons with a serious mental illness (SMI) in Spanish prisons with a traditional model of health care provision. **Methods:** 10 healthcare professionals (6 physicians, 3 nurses, 1 pharmacist) working in small (<450 imprisoners), middle size (450-1,000) and big (>1,000) prisons took part in 3 online focus groups between 31st May and 2nd June 2022. The moderator used open-ended questions to research into the healthcare process (diagnosis, treatment, follow up, prevention) of imprisoners with SMI. Focus groups lasted 2 hours, and were audiotape recorded and transcribed. Transcripts were analysed applying constant comparative method and theoretical saturation.

Results: Mental healthcare provision varies across prisons, but commonalities exist. Healthcare professionals reported that about 60% of SMI are diagnosed by the correctional general practice physician (GP) at incarceration. Severe cases are assessed by an external psychiatrist. Once a week (average) the psychiatrist visits the prison to either confirm diagnoses or adjust treatments. One third of imprisoners who would benefit from a psychiatric assessment has it. Follow up occurs in the prison infirmary for close supervision. If addiction concurs, referral to therapeutic modules happen. Polypharmacy and overmedication are common. Simplification of therapies and slow-release injectable formulations of antipsychotics are desirable. Everyday mental health care and rehabilitation take place throughout a specific, little equipped, psycho-social support programme implemented in most prisons but restricted to the most disabled SMI persons. Acute psychiatric episodes occur due to treatment interruptions or deviations and are managed by the correctional GP. Hospital referrals are problematic without protocols. Prevention of relapses relies on imprisoners supervision and staff observation. Healthcare records are only available to healthcare professionals working in prisons. Outside prisons, continued care needs of mental health and social support in the community. Due to healthcare services modest readiness to respond to needs and poor social networks, SMI persons are prone to relapse and recidivism.

Conclusions: Focus groups found that working in isolation from the public healthcare system, shortage of psychiatrists, poorly implemented therapeutic and rehabilitation programmes, and lack of mental health and social care services in the community negatively affect the care of imprisoners with SMI in Spain.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPP0065

Does intensive home treatment change treatment trajectories of psychiatric disorders?

A. Martín-Blanco^{1,2,3,4}*, A. González-Fernández⁴, A. Farré¹, S. Vieira⁴, P. Alvaro⁴, C. Isern¹, D. Giménez⁴, C. Torres¹, V. de la Cruz⁴, C. Martín⁴, N. Moll⁴, O. Castro⁴ and M. Sagué-Vilavella⁵

¹UHPAD, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau; ²Grup de Recerca en Salut Mental, IIB SANT PAU, Barcelona; ³CIBERSAM, ISCIII, Madrid; ⁴UHPAD, CPB - Serveis Salut Mental and ⁵Psychiatry and Psychology, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

*Corresponding author. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2023.406

Introduction: Intensive home treatment (IHT) for people experiencing a mental health crisis has been progressively established in many western countries as an alternative to in-ward admission. But is this a real alternative? We previously reported that patients treated in our IHT unit only differ from those voluntarily admitted to hospital in suicidal risk and severe behaviour disorders (not in other factors such as clinical severity) (Martín-Blanco *et al.*, Rev Psiquiatr Salud Ment 2022;15:213-5). Now we are interested in disentangle if those patients who used to require inward management can be successfully treated at home.

Objectives: To describe subsequent treatment trajectories of the first 1000 admissions to our IHT unit and to compare clinical characteristics among the different groups of trajectories.

Methods: Retrospective cohort study. Subsequent treatment trajectories were collected from December 2016 to October 2022 and classified: absence, hospital, IHT, and mixed (hospital and IHT). Statistical significance was tested by means of ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test for quantitative variables (corrected for multiple comparisons) and chi-square tests for qualitative variables.

Results: Tables 1 shows the characteristics of the whole sample. Of the 1000 IHT admissions, 12.1% needed subsequent hospital admission(s), 12.7% IHT admission(s), and 9.3% mixed admission(s). There were no differences among these groups in median severity at IHT admission, but there were differences in the number of previous admissions (p=0.0001): the group with no subsequent admissions had less previous admissions than the other groups (pBonf<0.0001), and the group with subsequent IHT admissions had less than the group with mixed admissions (pBonf=0.0123). There were differences between groups regarding distribution of diagnoses (p<0.0001) (Fig. 1). When considering subsequent admissions by diagnosis, there were differences in severity at IHT admission (p=0.0068) and in number of previous hospitalizations (p<0.0001) (Fig. 2).