

## ON A HYPOELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

TADATO MATSUZAWA

### § 1. Introduction.

This paper is devoted to the investigation of the hypoellipticity of the following first boundary value problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} Lu &= u_{tt} + (a(x, t)u_x)_x + g(x, t)u_{xt} + b(x, t)u_x + b^0(x, t)u_t + c(x, t)u \\ &= f(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad |x| < R,$$

where  $\Omega$  is an open rectangular domain in  $(x, t)$ -plane:

$$\Omega = (-R < x < R) \times (0 < t < T) \quad R > 0, T > 0.$$

We assume that the coefficients  $a(x, t)$ ,  $b(x, t)$ ,  $b^0(x, t)$  and  $c(x, t)$  are all  $C^\infty$  functions in  $\bar{\Omega}$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega},$$

(1.4) for all  $x$  with  $|x| < R$ , the function  $t \mapsto \operatorname{Re} a(x, t)$  has only finite zeros of order less than or equal to  $\ell$  ( $\geq 0$ ) in the interval  $[0 \leq t \leq T]$

$$(1.5) \quad |\operatorname{Im} a(x, t)| \leq C^{(1)} \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega} \quad (C > 0),$$

$$(1.6) \quad |\operatorname{Im} a_x(x, t)| \leq C[\operatorname{Re} a(x, t)]^{1/2} \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega},$$

$$(1.7) \quad t |\operatorname{Im} b(x, t)|^2 \leq C \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega},$$

$$(1.8) \quad |g(x, t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} [\operatorname{Re} a(x, t)]^{1/2} \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_1 < 1,$$

$$(1.9) \quad |g_t(x, t)| \leq C[\operatorname{Re} a(x, t)]^{1/2} \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}.$$

We set  $\tilde{\Omega} = (-R < x < R) \times [0 \leq t < T)$ . The main result of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Received March 12, 1975.

1) We use the symbols  $C, C^1, \dots$  to express the different positive constants throughout this paper.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Suppose that the operator  $L$  given in (1.1) satisfies the condition (1.3)~(1.9). Then any distribution  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfying (1.1) and (1.2)<sup>2)</sup> with  $f(x, t) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  must be a  $C^\infty$  function in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .*

We remark that if we consider the partial differential operator of first order

$$(1.10) \quad L_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ia(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + c(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega ,$$

a sufficient condition of Nirenberg and Treves (cf. [10], [11]) for the operator  $L_1$  to be hypoelliptic is expressed by (1.3) and (1.4). This is a necessary and sufficient condition when  $a(x, t)$  is analytic in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Our problem is motivated by this fact (cf. [6]) and the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be obtained in the following paragraphs by a refinement of the method used in [2] and [4]. For the equations of the second order with real coefficients we refer to [2] and [9].

**EXAMPLES.** The following operators satisfy the condition (1.3)~(1.7) in a neighbourhood of the origin.

$$(1.11) \quad L_2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + ta(x, t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + b(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b^0(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c(x, t) ,$$

$\text{Re } a(x, t) > 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ ,  $b, b^0$  and  $c$  are arbitrary complex valued  $C^\infty$  functions in  $\bar{\Omega}$ ,

$$(1.12) \quad L_3 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + t^3[t - g(x)]^{2\ell} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + (1 + i)t[t - g(x)]^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ + b^0(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c(x, t) ,$$

$\ell$  integer,  $\geq 0$ ;  $g(x)$  is a real valued  $C^\infty$  function in  $(-R \times x \times R)$ ,  $b^0, c$  are arbitrary  $C^\infty$  functions in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

## § 2. Preliminaries for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**LEMMA 2.1.** ([9], Lemma 1.7.1) *Let  $a(x, t)$  be the function given in § 1. Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad |a_x(x, t)|^2 \leq C \text{Re } a(x, t) \quad (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} .$$

Being suggested by [2] and [4], we now introduce the norm  $||| \cdot |||$

2) By the partial hypo-ellipticity of  $L$  in  $t$ , condition (1.2) is meaningful in the sense of distributions (cf. [1], Ch. 4).

and its dual norm  $||| \cdot |||'$  by

$$|||u|||^2 = \|u_t\|^2 + \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}u_x\|^2 + \|u\|^2,$$

$$|||v|||' = \sup_{w \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})} \frac{|\langle v, w \rangle|}{|||w|||},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the usual  $L^2$ -norm on  $\tilde{\Omega}$  and  $\langle v, w \rangle$  is the value of  $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\tilde{\Omega})$  evaluated at  $w$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $L$  be the operator given in (1.1). We have the following estimate with some positive constant  $C$*

$$(2.2) \quad |||v||| \leq C \|v\| + |||Lv|||', \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad v(x, 0) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Obviously we have

$$(2.3) \quad |\langle Lv, \bar{v} \rangle| \leq |||Lv|||' |||v|||, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad v(x, 0) = 0.$$

Next, integrating by parts, we have

$$-\operatorname{Re} \langle Lv, \bar{v} \rangle = \|v_t\|^2 + \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}v_x\|^2 + \operatorname{Re} \langle g_tv_x, \bar{v} \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \langle gv_x, \bar{v}_t \rangle \\ - \operatorname{Re} \langle bv_x, \bar{v} \rangle - \operatorname{Re} \langle b^0v_t, \bar{v} \rangle - \operatorname{Re} \langle cv, \bar{v} \rangle.$$

For the term of the right hand side, we have for any positive number  $\delta$

$$|\langle \operatorname{Im} bv_x, \bar{v} \rangle| = |\langle t^{1/2} \operatorname{Im} bv_x, t^{-1/2}\bar{v} \rangle| \\ \leq \delta \langle t|b|^2v_x, \bar{v}_x \rangle + \frac{1}{\delta} \|t^{-1/2}v\|^2.$$

On the other hand, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we easily have

$$\|t^{-1/2}v\|^2 \leq \varepsilon \|v_t\|^2 + C(\varepsilon) \|v\|^2.$$

Thus, by virtue of the assumption (1.7), we are given the inequality

$$|\langle \operatorname{Im} bv_x, \bar{v} \rangle| \leq \delta \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}v_x\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \|v_t\|^2 + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{\delta} \|v\|^2.$$

For the remaining terms we have

$$|\langle g_tv_x, \bar{v} \rangle| \leq \delta \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}v_x\|^2 + \frac{C(\delta)}{\delta} \|v\|^2,$$

$$|\langle gv_x, \bar{v}_t \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_1 (\|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}v_x\|^2 + \|v_t\|^2),$$

$$|\langle b^0v_t, \bar{v} \rangle| \leq \delta \|v_t\|^2 + \frac{C'}{\delta} \|v\|^2$$

$$|\langle cv, \bar{v} \rangle| \leq C'' \|v\|^2.$$

Taking  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\operatorname{Re} \langle Lv, \bar{v} \rangle &\geq C_1 \|v\|^2 - C_2 \|v\|^2 \\
 &\geq C_1 \|v\|^2 - C_2 \|v\| \cdot \|v\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

This, combining with (2.3), gives the estimate (2.2). Q.E.D.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $a(x, t)$  be as above, then we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \|a_x v_x\|' \leq C \|v\|,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|av_x\|' \leq C \|v\|,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \|\operatorname{Im} bv_x\|' \leq C(\|v_t\| + \|v\|)$$

for all  $v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$ , ( $v(x, 0) = 0$  for (2.6)) with some positive constant  $C$ .

*Proof.* For any  $w \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle a_x v_x, w \rangle &= \langle v_x, a_x w \rangle \\
 &= -\langle v, a_{xx} w \rangle - \langle v, a_{xx} w \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking account of the assumption (1.6) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$|\langle a_x v_x, w \rangle| \leq C \|v\| \cdot \|w\|,$$

from which follows the estimate (2.4). By the same way we have (2.5). As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \operatorname{Im} bv_x, w \rangle &= -\langle v, \operatorname{Im} bw_x \rangle - \langle v, \operatorname{Im} b_x w \rangle, \\
 |\langle \operatorname{Im} bv_x, w \rangle| &\leq |\langle t^{-1/2} v, t^{1/2} \operatorname{Im} bw_x \rangle| + C \|v\| \|w\| \\
 &\leq C' (\|v_t\| + \|v\|) \|w\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which imply (2.6).

Now we introduce the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{(s,k)}$ , with  $s$  any real number and  $k$  non negative integer (cf. [1], §2.6), defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|v\|_{(s,k)}^2 &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{R_\xi} |\hat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^s d\xi dt + \sum_{j=0}^k \|D_t^j v\|_{L^2(R_+^2)}^2, \\
 \hat{v}(\xi, t) &= \int e^{-ix\xi} v(x, t) dx, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\bar{R}_+^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $H_{(s,k)}(\bar{R}_+^2)$  the completion of  $C_0^\infty(\bar{R}_+^2)$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{(s,k)}$ .

**LEMMA 2.4.** *There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \|v\|_{(l,l+1,1)} \leq C \|v\|, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad v(x, 0) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) &\leq C_1 \sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a(x, t)}, & (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega}, \\ &\leq C_1^2 |||v|||^2, & v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

If we consider the differential operator

$$L_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i \operatorname{Re} a(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega},$$

we have

$$||L_4 v||^2 = ||v_t||^2 + ||\operatorname{Re} a v_x||^2.$$

On the other hand, as a particular case of Theorem I in [10], it follows that

$$||v||_{(1/(\ell+1), 1)} \leq C_2 ||L_4 v|| \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad v(x, 0) = 0$$

with another constant  $C_2 > 0$ . Combining the above investigations, we have (2.7). Q.E.D.

By using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 we now come to the main estimate:

LEMMA 2.5. *There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(2.8) \quad ||v||_{(\varepsilon, 1)} \leq C(||v|| + |||Lv|||'), \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad v(x, 0) = 0, \quad \left(\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\ell + 1}\right).$$

LEMMA 2.6. *Every  $v \in H_{(0, 2)}(R_+^2) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$  such that  $v(x, 0) = 0$  and  $|||Lv|||' < \infty$  belongs to  $H_{(\varepsilon, 2)}(R_+^2)$  with  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\ell + 1}$ .*

*Proof.* The inequality (2.8) is valid for all  $v \in H_{(2, 2)}(R_+^2) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$ ,  $v(x, 0) = 0$ . Indeed, we can find a sequence  $v_j \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$  such that  $v_j(x, 0) = 0$ ,  $D_x^\alpha D_t^\beta v_j - D_x^\alpha D_t^\beta v \rightarrow 0$ ,  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , when  $\alpha + \beta \leq 2$ . Hence  $||Lv_j - Lv|| \rightarrow 0$ , which implies that  $|||Lv_j - Lv|||' \rightarrow 0$ . In particular,

$$\overline{\lim} |||Lv_j|||' \leq |||Lv|||'.$$

So it follows from (2.8) applied to  $v_j$  that

$$\overline{\lim} ||v_j||_{(\varepsilon, 1)} \leq C(||v|| + |||Lv|||').$$

Next if  $v$  satisfies the required conditions, we choose  $\chi \in D_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$  so that  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$  and  $\chi = 1$  in a neighbourhood  $\omega$  of  $\operatorname{supp} v$  and we set

$$v_\delta = \chi(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} v .$$

Here  $(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} v$  is defined as the inverse Fourier transform of  $(1 + \delta^2 |\xi|^2)^{-1} \hat{v}(\xi, t)$ :

$$v_\delta = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{R_\xi} e^{ix\xi} (1 + \delta^2 \xi^2)^{-1} \hat{v}(\xi, t) d\xi .$$

It is clear that  $v_\delta$  is then in  $H_{(2,2)}(R_+^2) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$ , and that  $v_\delta \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2$  norm as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . Hence we may apply (2.8) to  $v_\delta$  to conclude that  $\|v\|_{(t,1)} < \infty$  provided that we can show that  $\|L v_\delta\|'$  remains bounded when  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . To prove the last assertion we must prepare some remarks which correspond to 1°~4° of [2].

1°. We have

$$\frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + \xi^2)^{-1}] = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \frac{d\xi}{1 + \xi^2} , \quad -\infty < x < \infty .$$

Since

$$v_\delta = (1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} v(x, t) = \delta^{-1} \int K\left(\frac{x-y}{\delta}\right) v(y, t) dy , \quad K(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x|} ,$$

it follows that any derivative of  $(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} v(x, t)$  decreases faster than any power of  $\delta$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  if  $(x, t) \in \omega$ .

2°. If  $Q$  is a differential operator of order  $j \leq 2$  (in  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ ) with coefficients in  $C^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$ , it follows that

$$(2.9) \quad \|(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} Q u\| \leq C \|u\| , \quad u \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega}) .$$

3°. When  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$  we have

$$(2.10) \quad \|\chi(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} w\| \leq C \|w\| , \quad w \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}) .$$

Indeed, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \|[\chi(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} w]_t\| \leq C(\|w_t\| + \|w\|) , \quad w \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \text{Re } a(x, t) (\chi(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} w)_x, \overline{(\chi(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} w)_x} \rangle| \\ & \leq C(\|w\|^2 + \|\sqrt{\text{Re } a}(1 - \delta^2 \mathcal{A})^{-1} w_x\|^2) . \end{aligned}$$

For the second term of the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(1 - \delta^2 \Delta)^{-1} w_x\|^2 \\ &= \| (1 - \delta^2 \Delta)^{-1} \sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a} w_x + [\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a} D_x, (1 - \delta^2 \Delta)^{-1}] w \|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \|\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a} w_x\|^2 + 2 \| [\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a} D_x, (1 - \delta^2 \Delta)^{-1}] w \|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of 1°, partial integration proves

$$\begin{aligned} & [\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a} D_x, (1 - \delta^2 \Delta)^{-1}] w(x, t) \\ &= \frac{A}{\delta} \int \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|}{\delta}\right) (\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(x, t) - \sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(y, t)) w_y dy \\ &= \frac{A}{\delta} \int \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|}{\delta}\right) (\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(y, t))_y w(y, t) dy \\ &+ \frac{A}{\delta^2} \int \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|}{\delta}\right) (\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(x, t) - \sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(y, t)) w(y, t) dy . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we can see that  $\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} a}(x, t)$  is uniformly Lipschitz continuous in  $x$  and thus the  $L^2$  norm of the last two terms is bounded above by  $\|w\|^2$ . This estimate combined with (2.11) gives (2.10).

Completion of the proof of Lemma 2.6. We recall that with the notations introduced above it remains to prove that  $\|Lv_\delta\|'$  is bounded as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . In the neighbourhood  $\omega$  of  $\operatorname{supp} v$  we have  $(1 - \delta^2 \Delta)v_\delta = v$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \delta^2 \Delta)Lv_\delta &= (1 - \delta^2 \Delta)(D_t^2 v_\delta + (a(x, t)v_{\delta x})_x \\ &+ (g(x, t)v_{\delta xt}) + bv_{\delta xt} + b^0 v_{\delta t} + cv_\delta \\ &= v_{tt} + (a(x, t)v_x)_x + gv_{xt} + bv_x + b^0 v_t + cv \\ &- 2\delta^2(a_x(x, t)v_{\delta xx})_x - \delta^2(a_{xx}(x, t)v_{\delta x})_x \\ &- 2\delta^2 g_x v_{\delta xx} - \delta^2 g_{xx} v_{\delta xt} \\ &- 2\delta^2 b_x v_{\delta xx} - \delta^2 b_{xx} v_{\delta x} - 2\delta^2 b_x^0 v_{\delta tx} \\ &- \delta^2 b_{xx}^0 v_{\delta t} - 2\delta^2 c_x v_{\delta x} - 2\delta^2 c_{xx} v_\delta . \end{aligned}$$

In view of 1° it follows that we have

$$(1 - \delta^2 \Delta)Lv = Lv + 2\delta^2(a_x(x, t)v_{\delta xx})_x + \delta^2 B_1 v_\delta + \delta^2 B_2 v_{\delta t} + h_\delta ,$$

where  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are second order  $\left(\text{in } \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)$  operators, and where  $h_\delta$  is a function such that it vanishes in  $\omega$ ,  $\operatorname{supp} h_\delta \subset \operatorname{supp} \chi$  and  $\|h_\delta\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . Hence

$$(2.12) \quad Lv_\delta = \chi_1\{(1 - \delta^2\mathcal{A})^{-1}Lv + 2\delta^2(1 - \delta^2\mathcal{A})^{-1}(a_x v_{\delta xx})_x + \delta^2(1 - \delta^2\mathcal{A})^{-1}B_1v_\delta + \delta^2(1 - \delta^2\mathcal{A})^{-1}B^2v_{\delta t} + (1 - \delta^2\mathcal{A})^{-1}h_\delta\},$$

where  $\chi_1$  is a function in  $C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$  which is equal to 1 in  $\text{supp. } \chi$ . We remark that from 3° we have

$$(2.13) \quad |||\chi_1(1 - \delta^2\mathcal{A})^{-1}f||| \leq C |||f|||, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}'(\tilde{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega}).$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$|||\chi_1(1 - \delta^2\mathcal{A})^{-1}Lv||| \leq C |||Lv|||.$$

The last three terms of (2.12) are bounded in  $L^2$  norm in view of 2° and by the assumption  $v \in {}_{(0,2)}(\tilde{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$ . For the second term, by (2.13), 2° and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |||\chi_1\delta^2(1 - \delta^2\mathcal{A})^{-1}(a_x v_{\delta xx})_x||| \\ & \leq C |||\delta^2(a_x v_{\delta xx})_x||| \\ & \leq 2C (|||\delta^2 a_x v_{\delta xx}||| + |||\delta^2 a_x D_x v_{\delta xx}|||) \\ & \leq C' \|v_\delta\| \leq C'' \|v\|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

**§ 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.**

Given a function  $\psi(x, t) \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$  and an integer  $k \geq 2$ , we may assume, by the partial hypoellipticity of  $L$  in  $t$  (cf. [1], §4.3), that  $\psi u \in H_{(s,k)}(\tilde{R}_+^2) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$  for some real number  $s$ . For the proof of Theorem 1.1 it suffices to show that  $s$  can be replaced by  $s + \varepsilon, \varepsilon = \frac{1}{\ell + 1}$ . Indeed, it follows that  $u \in H_{(s,k)}^{\text{loc}}(\tilde{\Omega})$  for any  $s$  and  $k$ , which means that  $u \in C^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$ .

Let  $E$  be a pseudo-differential operator (in  $x$ ) with symbol  $e(\xi) = (1 + \xi^2)^{s/2}$  (cf. [3]), and set  $v = \chi E\psi u$  where  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$ . If we can show that  $v \in H_{(s,k)}$  for every  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  we will have  $E\psi u \in H_{(s,2)}^{\text{loc}}(\tilde{\Omega})$ , hence  $u \in H_{(s+\varepsilon,2)}^{\text{loc}}$  since  $E$  is elliptic. It is clear that  $v \in H_{(0,2)}(\tilde{R}_+^2) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega})$ ,  $v(x, 0) = 0$ , so in view of Lemma 2.6 it remains only to show that  $|||Lv||| < \infty$ . We note that  $E' = \chi E\psi$  is a compactly supported pseudo-differential operator (in  $x$ ) of order  $s$  with parameter  $t \geq 0$ , (cf. [3]) and  $Lv = LE'u$ . Taking account of  $E'Lu = E'f \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega})$  and  $|||E'f||| < \infty$ , it now suffices to show that

$$|||LE'u - E'f||| < \infty.$$



We have

$$\begin{aligned} LE'u - E'f &= 2E'_t u_t + E'_{tt} u + [aD_x^2, E']u + [gD_x D_t, E']u \\ &\quad + [bD_x, E']u + [b^0 D_t, E']u + [c, E']u \\ &= [aD_x^2, E']u + E''u + E'''u_t, \end{aligned}$$

where  $E''$  and  $E'''$  are compactly supported pseudo-differential operators (in  $x$ ) of order  $\leq s$  with parameter  $t \geq 0$ . Obviously  $\|E''u\| < \infty$  and  $\|E'''u_t\| < \infty$  and  $\|gD_x E'_t u\|' < \infty$  by (1.8) and (2.5), so we shall analyse the first term in the right hand side. We have

$$[aD_x^2, E'] = [aD_x, E']D_x + aD_x E'_x,$$

and

$$\|[[aD_x^2, E']u]\|' \leq \|[[aD_x, E']u_x]\|' + \|aD_x E'_x u\|'.$$

By (2.5) the last term is estimated by  $\|E'_x u\| < \infty$ . For any  $w \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{Q})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle [aD_x, E']u_x, w \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle [aD_x, E']_x u, w \rangle| + |\langle [aD_x, E']u, w_x \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the order of  $[aD_x, E']_x$  is  $\leq s$  the first term is estimated by  $C\|w\|$  with another constant  $C$ . Let  $\sigma[aD_x, E']$  be a symbol of  $[aD_x, E']$ . A simple calculation (cf. [3]) proves the equality

$$\sigma[aD_x, E'] = a \cdot \sigma(E_1) + a_x \sigma(E_2) + E_3,$$

where  $E_1, E_2$  and  $E_3$  are compactly supported pseudo-differential operators (in  $x$ ) of order  $\leq s$  and  $\leq s - 1$ , respectively. This equality leads us, by partial integration and by use of (2.4), to the following estimate

$$|\langle [aD_x, E']u, w_x \rangle| \leq C\|w\|.$$

The above investigation implies that

$$\|[[aD_x^2, E']u]\|' < \infty.$$

Thus we have  $\|Lv\|' < \infty$  and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] Hörmander, L.: Linear partial differential operators, Springer Verlag, 1964.
- [ 2 ] Hörmander, L.: Hypoelliptic second order differential equations, Acta Math., **119** (1968), 147-171.

- [ 3 ] Hörmander, L.: Fourier integral operators, I, *Acta Math.*, **127** (1971), 79–183.
- [ 4 ] Kato, Y.: On a class of hypoelliptic differential operators, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **46**, No. **1** (1970), 33–37.
- [ 5 ] Matsuzawa, T.: Sur les équations  $u_{tt} + t^\alpha u_{xx} = f (\alpha \geq 0)$ , *Nagoya Math. J.*, Vol. **42** (1971), 43–55.
- [ 6 ] Matsuzawa, T.: On some degenerate parabolic equations I, *Nagoya Math. J.* **51** (1973), 57–77, II, *Nagoya Math. J.* **52** (1973), 61–84.
- [ 7 ] Matsuzawa, T. (with Y. Hashimoto): On a class of degenerate elliptic equations, *Nagoya Math. J.* **55** (1974), 181–204.
- [ 8 ] Mizohata, S.: Solutions nulles et solutions non analytiques, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* (1962), 271–302.
- [ 9 ] Oleinik, O. A. and Radkevič, E. V.: Second order equations with nonnegative characteristic form, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1973.
- [10] Treves, F.: A new method of proof of the subelliptic estimates, *Comm. Pure Applied Math.*, **24** (1971), 71–115.
- [11] Treves, F.: Analytic-hypoelliptic partial differential equations of principal type, *Comm. Pure Applied Math.*, **24** (1971), 537–570.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Nagoya University*

---

*Added in proof.* An investigation for the many variable cases will be given in a future publication.