Comparative transcriptomic analysis of mammary gland tissues reveals the critical role of GPR110 in palmitic acid-stimulated milk protein and fat synthesis

Minghui Zhang†, Zonghua Ma†, Hao Qi, Xu Cui, Rui Li and Xuejun Gao*

College of Animal Science, Yangtze University, Jingmi Road 88, Jingzhou 434025, People's Republic of China

(Submitted 16 January 2023 – Final revision received 12 March 2023 – Accepted 16 March 2023 – First published online 22 March 2023)

Abstract

The G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) sensing nutritional signals (amino acids, fatty acids, glucose, etc.) are not fully understood. In this research, we used transcriptome sequencing to analyse differentially expressed genes (DEG) in mouse mammary gland tissues at puberty, lactation and involution stages, in which eight GPCR were selected out and verified by qRT-PCR assay. It was further identified the role of GPR110 mediating nutrients including palmitic acid (PA) and methionine (Met) to improve milk synthesis using mouse mammary epithelial cell line HC11. PA but not Met affected GPR110 expression in a dose-dependent manner. GPR110 knockdown decreased milk protein and fat synthesis and cell proliferation and blocked the stimulation of PA on mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) phosphorylation and sterol-regulatory element binding protein 1c (SREBP-1c) expression. In summary, these experimental results disclose DEG related to lactation and reveal that GPR110 mediates PA to activate the mTOR and SREBP-1c pathways to promote milk protein and fat synthesis.

Key words: GPR110: Milk fat synthesis: Milk protein synthesis: Palmitic acid: Transcriptomic analysis

Milk is one of the best foods for babies. In lactating mammary gland tissue, mammary epithelial cells (MEC) use small molecules such as glucose, amino acids and fatty acids to synthesise milk components including lactose, milk protein and milk fat, which are secreted into milk ducts to form milk $^{(1)}$ $^{(1)}$ $^{(1)}$. The contents of milk protein and milk fat are important indexes of milk quality, whereas the lactose content is relatively stable, which is related to maintaining the milk volume. Milk production in mammary gland depends on milk protein and fat synthesis ability of MEC, and the cell renewal ability of MEC is also a critical factor of the persistency of milk synthesis $^{(2)}$ $^{(2)}$ $^{(2)}$.

The sterol-regulatory element binding protein 1c (SREBP-1c) is a master transcription factor for lipid homoeostasis, which is responsible for the transcription of many lipid synthase genes^{[\(3](#page-11-0))}. The mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) is the well-known central signalling molecule that regulates milk protein synthesis in MEC and cell proliferation. mTOR can also stimulate SREBP-1 expression and maturation, leading to increased lipid synthesis. Glucose, amino acids and fatty acids can all stimulate mTOR activation (phosphorylation)^{([4\)](#page-11-0)}.

MEC can sense small nutrients through their membrane receptors and transporters including G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR), GLUT, amino acid transporters and fatty acid transporters, thereby activating the mTOR signalling. Some GPCR have been reported to be amino acid-sensing receptors. Previous reports have shown that the taste receptors (T1R) can sense some amino acids. In T1R, T1R1/T1R3 can sense different amino acids in different animals, and T1R2/T1R3 is a sweet receptor and glucose sensor^{[\(5](#page-11-0),[6\)](#page-11-0)}. GPRC6A (GPCR, class C, group 6, subtype A) is a widely expressed GPCR and a master regulator of metabolic processes, which can be activated by basic amino acids^{$(7,8)$ $(7,8)$}. The Ca sensing receptor can be activated by extracel-lular Ca²⁺ and also by amino acids^{([9\)](#page-11-0)}. Methionine (Met), lysine, leucine and taurine are the main key amino acids that can pro-mote milk protein and fat synthesis in MEC^{([5,8,10](#page-11-0),[11](#page-11-0))}, and we previously found that GPR87 can mediate the stimulation of these amino acids on mTOR phosphorylation^{([10](#page-11-0),[11\)](#page-11-0)}. The GPCR which are the ligands of these amino acids are largely unknown yet and need to be uncovered.

To date, several GPCR have been identified that can be activated by NEFA of various chain lengths. GPR41 and GPR43 can be activated by $SCFA^{(12)}$ $SCFA^{(12)}$ $SCFA^{(12)}$. GPR40 and GPR120 have been charac-terised as receptors for long-chain unsaturated fatty acids^{[\(12](#page-11-0)-[14](#page-11-0))}. GPR84 is a de-orphanised member recognising medium-chain fatty acids and has been suggested to play important roles in inflammation^{[\(15](#page-11-0))}. Long-chain FA including palmitic acid (PA)

Abbreviations: DEG, differentially expressed gene; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; MEC, mammary epithelial cell; Met, methionine; mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; PA, palmitic acid; SREBP-1c, sterol-regulatory element binding protein 1c.

^{*} Corresponding author: Xuejun Gao, Email: gaoxj53901@163.com

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work

can enhance the mTOR and SREBP-1c signalling pathways and strongly stimulate milk protein and fat synthesis in $\mathrm{MEC}^{(4,8)}$ $\mathrm{MEC}^{(4,8)}$ $\mathrm{MEC}^{(4,8)}$, but it is yet unknown the GPCR sensing these fatty acids.

The GPCR sensing amino acids such as Met and long-chain SFA such as PA need to be identified. In this study, we used transcriptome sequencing to analyse gene expression profiles of mouse mammary gland tissues of puberty, lactation and involution. We aim to discover differentially expressed genes (DEG) related to milk synthesis and new GPCR sensing Met or PA to regulate the mTOR and SREBP-1c signalling in MEC. Our research would help lay a deeper theoretical basis to direct human or dairy milk production.

Materials and methods

Ethic statement

All animal experiments were approved and conducted according to the regulation and guideline of Animal Experiment Committee of Yangtze University.

Collection of mouse mammary tissues

The healthy Institute of Cancer Research (ICR) female mice used in the experiments were purchased from Wuhan WQJX Biotechnology Co., Ltd. These mice were fed with the same diet and without water restrictions. All animals received humane care as outlined in the Institutional Guidelines of the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals in Yangtze University. Mice were kept in a temperature-controlled (21–25°C), lightcontrolled (12/12 h dark-light cycle), luminous intensity (16 lux) and humidity (50–60 %). Nine mice were randomly divided into three groups (n 3 in each group): three at puberty stage (8 weeks old, n 3), three at lactation stage (on the 15th day from lactating, n 3) and three at involution stage (at the 72 h after lactation, n 3). The mice were killed by decapitation, and mammary gland tissue samples were collected and stored in sterile and RNase-free freezing tubes for RNA extraction or total protein extraction.

Construction of cDNA library

Tissue samples were sent to APTBIO Technical Service Company for Illumina sequencing. The RNeasy Animal RNA Extraction Kit (Beyotime) was used to extract RNA. Agilent 4150 test showed that the RNA integrity number (Rin) was ≥ 7 , OD260/280 was between 1·49 and 1·76, showing that the quality and total amount of sample RNA met the requirements of database building. Magnetic beads with Oligo (dT) were used to enrich mRNA, and the mRNA were then disrupted by the addition of fragmentation lysis buffer. The cleaved mRNA and random primers were used to synthesise the first strand cDNA by RT. Then the products were used to synthesise the second strand cDNA by DNA polymerase I and RNase H. The double strand cDNA were purified, and an end repair process was carried out, including a single 'A' base addition and ligation of the adapters. After selecting the fragment size with the AMPure XP beads, PCR amplification was performed to obtain the cDNA library. To ensure the quality of the library, the insert size and effective concentration of the library were tested.

RNA-Seq and data analysis

The Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (Illumina) was used for sequencing. RNA-Seq raw reads were subjected to adapter trimming and quality filtering $(Q\text{-phred score} > 20)$. The raw reads with base number less than 25 and the proportion of N (N indicates that the base information cannot be determined) greater than 5 % were filtered out for subsequent analysis. The quality of the original sequencing data of these nine samples met the requirements, and all of the Pearson's correlations within the sample group were greater than 0·8, indicating that the sample repeatability was good. HISAT2 RNA-Seq alignment software was used to map the raw reads on genome. The feature Counts software was used to quantify the expression level of each gene (FPKM, fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped). The raw reads from RNA-Seq were deposited in the NCBI Database, and the record can be accessed by submission number: SUB11870097.

Analysis and functional enrichment of differentially expressed genes

DESeq2 software was used to analyse the DEG between lactation and other stages with a threshold of false discovery rateadjusted P-value (Padj) < 0.05 and the difference significance criterion of fold change > 2 (log₂ fold change > 1). Volcano Plot was exploited to intuitively display the distribution of DEG in two groups.

Gene ontology database [\(http://www.geneontology.org/](http://www.geneontology.org/)) was used to analyse gene ontology enrichment of DEG. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway [\(http://www.](http://www.genome.jp/kegg) [genome.jp/kegg](http://www.genome.jp/kegg)) was used to analyse Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes enrichment of DEG. The most significant twenty signalling pathway entries were selected and displayed.

qRT-PCR verification

Total RNA were extracted from mouse mammary tissue samples of puberty stage and lactation stage by RNeasy Animal RNA Extraction Kit (Beyotime), and cDNA first strand was synthesised from the total RNA using BeyoRT cDNA First-strand Synthesis Kit (RNase H-) (Beyotime) according to the manufacturer's protocol. qRT-PCR reaction was performed using BeyoFast SYBR Green qPCR Mix (2×, Low ROX) (Beyotime) on the CFX96 thermocycler (Bio-Rad). Ten GPCR genes and housekeeping gene $(\beta$ -actin) were selected for verification, and the primers sequences were designed by Primer5 software which were shown in online Supplementary Table [S1](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788). The relative mRNA expression levels were calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta\text{Ct}}$ method. The qRT-PCR results were logarithmically processed, and the correlation diagram was drawn with RNA-Seq results.

Cell culture and treatments

The MEC line HC11 was obtained from the Cell Bank of the Type Culture Collection, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China. HC11 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 1 % Gluta MAX (Gibco), 10 % fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 1 % sodium pyruvate and 0·1 mg/ml streptomycin and 100 U/L penicillin. HC11 cells were grown in a humidified atmosphere with 5% $CO₂$ at 37°C. To reduce the effects of nutrients in the culture medium, cells were plated in 6-well plates and cultured in fetal bovine serum-free OPTI-MEMI medium (Gibco) for 12 h before treatment. Met and PA were dissolved in OPTI-MEMI medium and absolute alcohol, respectively, and added into the OPTI-MEMI culture medium at different concentrations, and the effects were detected post 24 h of addition.

siRNA transfection

The negative control small interfering RNA and three specific siRNA targeting different coding sequences of GPR110 gene were designed and synthesised by GenePharma, and the siRNA sequences are shown in online Supplementary Table [S2.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788) Transfection was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were plated in 6-well plates and cultured in fetal bovine serum-free OPTI-MEMI medium (Gibco) for 12 h before transfection. GP-transfect-Mate (G04008, GenePharma) (6 μl) was used to transfect the negative control or specific GPR110 siRNA (100 pmol/μl) into cells cultured in one cell of the 6-well plate. At 24 h post transfection, cells were harvested to determine the interfering efficiency and the most effective siRNA (siRNA3) of the three (> 70 % decrease of GPR110 protein level by Western blotting analysis) was selected for further assays.

Western blotting

Mammary tissue or cell samples were lysed with RIPA lysate and protein concentrations were determined with a BCA assay kit (Beyotime). The proteins (20 μg/lane) were separated on 8 % SDS-PAGE and analysed by Western blotting assay. Protein bands were detected by a chemiluminescence gel imaging system (Peiqing). Primary antibodies are GPR110 (bs-13513R, Bioss), β-casein (bs-10032R, Bioss), mTOR (bs-1992R, Bioss), p-mTOR (Ser2448) (#2971, CST), β-tubulin (#2128S, CST), SREBP-1c (14088-AP, Proteintech) and β-actin (sc-47778, Santa Cruz). Band intensities were quantified using ImageJ software and normalised to β -actin. Each experiment consisted of three sets of repetitions.

Detection of TAG synthesis

TAG in HC11 cells were measured using the TAG GPO-POD Assay Kit (Applygen) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Staining of lipid droplets

Lipid droplets formed in HC11 cells were observed by a fluorescence staining assay. Cells were fixed on coverslips with 4 % (w/v) paraformaldehyde for 20 min. Then cells were incubated with BODIPY 493/503 (Invitrogen) (1 μg/ml) for 20 min. Next, cells were counterstained with DAPI (4', 6-dimethylidene-2phenylindole) (Beyotime) for 10 min in the dark. The fluorescent signals were observed under a fluorescence microscope (DLMB-2, Leica). Fifteen cells were analysed in each sample.

Cell Counting Kit-8 assay

Cell Counting Kit-8 assay (Beyotime) was used to detect the number of HC11 cells according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells in the culture bottle were mixed evenly and then transferred to a 96-well plate, and the cells in each well were counted (approximately 5×10^3 cells/well) for further treatment. After treatment, 10 μ of Cell Counting Kit-8 reagent was added into each well and cells were further cultured for 2 h at 37°C. The absorbance at 450 nm was measured by a microplate reader (SpectraMax iD3, Molecular Devices).

EdU assay

BeyoClick EdU Cell Proliferation Kit (Beyotime) was used to detect the proliferation ability of HC11 cells according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were transferred on the coverslips in a 6-well plate (approximately 1×10^5 cells/well), and then cells were added with $1 \times$ EdU buffer for 2 h at 37°C, and next fixed with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde for 15 min. Cells were then incubated with the Click Reaction Buffer for 30 min in the dark and next incubated with $1 \times$ Hoechst 33 342 Buffer to dye the nucleus for 10 min. The fluorescent signals were observed under a fluorescence microscope, and one hundred cells were analysed in each sample.

Statistical analysis

Each experiment consisted of at least three sets of repetitions, and data were expressed as means with their standard errors. Differences among data were evaluated by one-way ANOVA, and statistical significance was declared at $P < 0.05$.

Results

RNA-Seq data quality analysis of mammary gland tissue samples

RNA-Seq results showed 45 478 930–48 555 780, 43 360 998– 60 115 402 and 45 084 842–79 380 096 reads in the puberty, lactation and involution stage library, respectively (online Supplementary Table [S3](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788)). The range of sequencing quality value (Q-phred), Q20 (sequencing error rate $P < 1\%$) and Q30 (sequencing error rate $P < 1\%$) in the three stages were 96·06–96·78 % and 88·76–90·74 %, respectively (online Supplementary Table [S3\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788). The ratio of total mapped/total clean reads ranged from 93·72 % to 94·83 % in puberty, 93·52 % to 94·08 % in lactation and 94·04 % to 94·41 % in involution stage library (online Supplementary Table [S4\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788). Furthermore, the ratio of unique mapped to the reference genome/total clean reads were 89·51–89·90 % at puberty stage, 84·11–84·72 % at lactation stage and 89·84–90·33 % at involution stage, respectively (online Supplementary

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788 Published online by Cambridge University Press https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Fig. 1. Volcano Plot of DEG between expression libraries of mammary gland tissues at lactation and puberty or involution stages. (a) and (b) Volcano Plots of DEG between expression libraries of mammary gland tissues at lactation and puberty stage (a) and between that of lactation and involution (b). The abscissa represented the change of gene expression multiple, and the ordinate indicated the significance of gene expression differences. Blue dot indicated down-regulated DEG; red, up-regulated, and grey dot, genes without differential expression. In the panels, B v A, lactation v puberty; C v B, involution v lactation. DEG, differentially expressed gene.

Table [S4\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788). All results showed that the RNA-Seq data were of high quality and could be used for subsequent analysis.

Transcriptome sequencing to analyse gene expression profiles of mouse mammary gland tissues of puberty, lactation and involution

The gene expression profiles of mouse mammary gland tissues of puberty, lactation and involution were analysed by transcriptome sequencing. The software HISAT2 was used to compare the sequencing data with the reference genome data to obtain the detailed location information of the sequencing data in the reference genome. Feature counts software was used to calculate the gene expression FPKM value of genes in the submitted samples. DESeq2 was used to analyse the differential expression of genes in these samples with three biological duplications.

The Volcano Plot of the DEG between puberty and lactation showed that a total of 3744 transcripts with differences were found in lactation compared with puberty, of which 1816 were up-regulated and 1928 were down-regulated in lactation (Fig. $1(a)$). The Volcano Plot of the DEG between lactation and involution showed that there were 4485 transcripts with differences between lactation and involution, of which 1842 were up-regulated and 2643 were down-regulated during lactation (Fig. 1(b)).

Clustering of DEG showed difference between the gene expression of mRNA in samples in puberty and lactation ([Fig.](#page-4-0) $2(a)$ $2(a)$) and between that of lactation and involution [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)(b)). Although there were differences in the expression of some genes between groups, the clustering results showed that these differences within groups did not affect the clustering of heat map data. The clustering grouping of heat map data was consistent with the grouping of experimental samples, indicating that the sequencing results had high repeatability, and there were great changes in the gene expression of samples between groups in different periods, suggesting that these differential genes might play an important role in mammary gland development or lactation.

Gene ontology function enrichment of differentially expressed genes

The gene ontology functional enrichment of DEG can reveal the overall functional enrichment characteristics of DEG. In this experiment, ten functional items with the most significant enrichment were selected.

The results showed that the biological processes of significant enrichment of DEG in puberty and lactation included intracellular localisation, growth and development of multicellular organisms, material transport, cell surface receptor signal pathways, etc. The significantly enriched cellular components included plasma membrane, extracellular substances, keratin fibres, cell surface, supramolecular polymers, etc., while the significantly enriched biological functions included the activity of transporters, the binding of signal molecules to receptors, protein binding, Ig receptor binding, antigen binding, etc. [\(Fig. 3](#page-5-0)(a)).

The biological process of significant enrichment of DEG in the lactation and involution stage mainly included the phylogeny of multicellular organisms and their regulation, localisation, anatomical morphogenesis, etc. The significantly enriched cell components mainly included intracellular and extracellular matrix components and plasma membrane components, while the significantly enriched biological functions mainly included protein and ion binding, signal receptor binding, material transmembrane transport, etc. [\(Fig. 3](#page-5-0)(b)).

From these two comparisons, the genes with significantly increased expression during lactation were mainly involved in the biological processes such as material transport and phylogeny, and the binding of signal molecules to receptors. These genes were mainly involved in the formation of extracellular matrix, intimal system, cell surface, keratin fibres and other cell components. The biological functions involved were mainly the activity of transporters, the binding of signal molecules to receptors, protein binding, etc. Genes with decreased expression during lactation were mainly involved in some biological processes related to body immunity. These genes were mainly involved in the formation of intermediate fibres, Ig and other cellular

Fig. 2. Cluster analysis of DEG between expression libraries of mammary gland tissues at lactation and puberty or involution stages. (a) and (b) Cluster analysis of DEG between expression libraries of mammary gland tissues at lactation and puberty stage (a) and between that of lactation and involution (b). Each column represented a sample, and each row represented a gene. Red indicated up-regulated DEG and blue indicated down-regulated DEG. The upper part was the dendrogram of sample clustering, and on the left was the dendrogram of gene clustering. A1, A2 and A3 represented three mice at puberty; B1, B2 and B3, three mice at lactation; C1, C2 and C3, three mice at involution. DEG, differentially expressed gene.

components, and the biological functions involved were mainly the binding of Ig to receptors, antigen binding, etc.

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes enrichment map of differentially expressed genes

The significantly enriched signalling pathways by Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes analysis of DEG in puberty and lactation included: mineral absorption, adipocytokine signal pathway, Ca signal pathway, cAMP signal pathway, AMPK signal pathway, PPAR signal pathway, glucagon signal pathway, metabolic pathway, regulation of adipolysis of adipocytes, HIF-1 signal pathway, amino acid and sugar metabolism, TNF signal pathway, and protein digestion and absorption ([Fig. 4\(](#page-6-0)a)).

The significantly enriched signalling pathways by Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes analysis of DEG in lactation and involution included cell adhesion molecules, metabolic pathways, ECM–receptor interaction, IgA production of intestinal immune network, the PI3K-AKT signalling pathway, mineral absorption, PPAR signal pathway, cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction, phospholipase D signalling pathway, lipolysis regulation of adipocytes, ABC transporters, etc. Among these signalling pathways, the metabolic pathway contained the largest number of genes ([Fig. 4\(](#page-6-0)b)).

From these two comparisons, the signal pathways that significantly enhanced during lactation were mineral absorption, adipocytokine signal pathway, Ca signal pathway, cAMP signal pathway, metabolic pathway, amino acid and sugar metabolism, ECM receptor interaction, etc. The significantly decreased signal pathways mainly included the AMPK signal pathway, PPAR signal pathway, TNF signal pathway, regulation of adipolysis of adipocytes, IgA production of intestinal immune network, etc.

Screening of genes and candidate G protein-coupled receptors related to milk synthesis

The DEG identified by transcriptome analysis were compared with the database Animal QTLdb. According to the expression level of DEG in mammary gland tissues at different periods, a total of 113 genes related to lactation were screened out (online Supplementary Fig. [S1](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788)). In these genes, sixty-eight genes were up-regulated and forty-five genes were down-regulated during lactation, including eight GPCR: GPR110, LGR4, GPR35, GPR156, LGR6, ADGRE4, ADGRL3 and GPR141b.

The mRNA expression of these eight GPCR plus GPRC5C and GPRC5D (selected as controls) from RNA-Seq results was further verified by qRT-PCR analysis. These genes detected by qRT-PCR and RNA-Seq had the same gene expression pattern during puberty and lactation, and the gene expression was highly correlated $(R^2 = 0.9273)$ ([Fig. 5](#page-7-0)(a) and (b)), indicating the reliability of RNA-Seq data in this study. Among them, three genes showed up-regulated mRNA expression during lactation, and the other five genes were down-regulated genes.

https://doi.org/10.1017/50007114523000788 Published online by Cambridge University Press https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Fig. 3. GO enrichment histogram of DEG between expression libraries of mammary gland tissues at lactation and puberty or involution stages. (a) and (b) GO enrichment histogram of DEG between expression libraries of mammary gland tissues at lactation and puberty stage (a) and between that of lactation and involution (b). The abscissa was the P value, and the ordinate was a simple description of the function entry. Function items were arranged in order of P value. Different colour columns represented different classifications of GO terms. Red represented cell components; green, biological processes; and blue, molecular functions. GO, gene ontology; DEG, differentially expressed gene.

C vs B DEG KEGG Pathways Enrichment (Top 20)

-log₁₀ (p value)

Fig. 4. KEGG enrichment map of DEG between expression libraries of mammary gland tissues at lactation and puberty or involution stages. (a) and (b) KEGG enrichment map of DEG between expression libraries of mammary gland tissues at lactation and puberty stage (a) and between that of lactation and involution (b). The ordinate represented the name of the signalling pathway, and the abscissa represented the P value of the signalling pathway. The colour of the dot represented the size of the rich factor. The size of the dot represented the number of differential genes contained in the signalling pathway. KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; DEG, differentially expressed gene

 (b)

Fig. 5. Comparison of RNA-Seq and qRT-PCR results of ten selected GPCR between mammary gland tissues at lactation and puberty stages. (a) The RNA-Seq and qRT-PCR results of ten selected GPCR between mammary gland tissues at lactation and puberty stages. (b) The correlation coefficient between these mRNA expression levels from qRT-PCR and RNA-Seq. GPCR, G proteincoupled receptor.

GPR110 positively regulates milk protein and fat synthesis in and proliferation of mammary epithelial cells

It is speculated that the function of genes with large changes in expression during lactation may be related to the milk synthesis ability of mammary gland. Therefore, GPR110, which was highly expressed during lactation, and had the highest expression level among the 8 GPCR, was selected as a candidate GPCR for function analysis in milk synthesis. The protein level of GPR110 at lactation stage was significantly higher than that at puberty and involution stage (Fig. $6(a)$ and (b)), indicating that GPR110 might play an important regulatory role in milk synthesis regulation. GPR110 knockdown by siRNA transfection in HC11 cells led to significantly decreased β -casein levels in cells ([Fig.](#page-8-0) $6(c)$ $6(c)$ and (d)), TAG in cells (Fig. $6(e)$) and lipid droplet formation in cells [\(Fig. 6\(](#page-8-0)f)). Cell Counting Kit-8 and EdU analysis showed that GPR110 knockdown also decreased cell proliferation ([Fig.](#page-8-0) [6](#page-8-0)(g) and (h)). These above data suggest that GPR110 might be a positive regulator for milk protein and fat synthesis in and proliferation of MEC.

GPR110 positively regulates the mechanistic target of rapamycin and sterol-regulatory element binding protein 1c signalling pathway

The effects of GPR110 on the signalling pathways related to milk protein and fat synthesis and cell proliferation were further investigated. GPR110 knockdown ([Fig. 7\(](#page-9-0)a) and (b)) markedly decreased mTOR phosphorylation [\(Fig. 7](#page-9-0)(a) and (c)) and SREBP-1c expression ([Fig. 7\(](#page-9-0)a) and (d)). These data suggest that GPR110 positive regulates milk protein and fat synthesis and cell proliferation through the mTOR and SREBP-1c signalling pathways.

GPR110 mediates the stimulation of palmitic acid on the mechanistic target of rapamycin and sterol-regulatory element binding protein 1c signalling pathways

We further investigated whether GPR110 can sense amino acids or fatty acids to regulate milk protein and fat synthesis and cell proliferation. Met addition (0, 0·2, 0·4, 0·6, 0·8, 1·0 mM) affected mTOR phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner [\(Fig. 8](#page-10-0)(a) and (b)), in consistent with our previous report (16) (16) (16) . GPR110 protein level was not varied under Met treatment [\(Fig. 8](#page-10-0)(a) and (c)), suggesting that GPR110 might not response to Met to function in milk protein and fat synthesis and cell proliferation. PA addition (0, 40, 80, 120, 160, 200 μM) affected in a dose-dependent manner β-casein levels in cells (online Supplementary Fig. $S_2(a)$ and [\(b\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788)), lipid droplet formation in cells (online Supplementary Fig. [S2\(c\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788)), TAG secreted by cells into culture medium (online Supplementary Fig. [S2\(d\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788)), cell proliferation ability (online Supplementary Fig. $S_2(e)$) and cell number (online Supplementary Fig. [S2\(f\)](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788)). These indexes were increased first and then decreased, reaching the peak at 120 μM PA concentration. Addition of different concentrations of PA led to changed mTOR phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner as the same trend of milk protein and fat synthesis and cell proliferation ([Fig. 8](#page-10-0)(d) and (e)). GPR110 protein level was also varied in the same trend ([Fig. 8](#page-10-0)(d) and (f)). These data suggest that GPR110 might be related to the stimulation of PA on mTOR phosphorylation, milk protein and fat synthesis and cell proliferation. GPR110 knockdown (Fig. $8(g)$ and (h)) totally abolished the stimulation of PA $(120 \mu M)$ on mTOR phosphorylation [\(Fig. 8](#page-10-0)(g) and (i)) and SREBP-1c expression ([Fig. 8\(](#page-10-0)g) and (j)). These above data reveal that GPR110 is a key mediator in the stimulation of PA on the mTOR and SREBP-1c signalling pathways.

Discussion

It is not fully understood how cells such as MEC sense nutrients for anabolism and proliferation; especially, it is almost unknown the GPCR sensing long-chain SFA. In this study, we used transcriptome sequencing to analyse gene expression profiles of mouse mammary gland tissues at puberty, lactation and involution stages. The DEG in lactation stage library compared with puberty and involution contained the largest number of genes in the metabolic pathway. We further screened out 113 lactationrelated genes (sixty-five genes were up-regulated and forty-eight genes were down-regulated during lactation), including eight GPCR: GPR110, LGR4, GPR35, GPR156, LGR6, ADGRE4, ADGRL3 and GPR141b, which were verified by qRT-PCR analysis. We further identified that GPR110 is a positive regulator for milk fat and milk protein synthesis and proliferation of MEC, mTOR phosphorylation and SREBP-1c expression. PA but not Met affected GPR110 expression in a dose-dependent manner. GPR110

Fig. 6. Effects of GPR110 on milk protein and fat synthesis in HC11 cells and cell proliferation. (a) Western blotting analysis of the protein levels of GPR110 in mouse mammary gland tissues at puberty, lactation and involution. (b) Relative folds of GPR110/β-actin from the Western blots in (A) were quantified by greyscale scan. (C) A GPR110 siRNA was transfected into HC11 cells. Western blotting analysis was performed to analyse the protein levels of β-casein. (D) Relative folds of β-casein/β-actin from the Western blots in (C) were quantified by greyscale scan. (E) The concentration of TAG in cells was determined by using a TAG detection kit. (F) Lipid droplet in cells was dyed with BODIPY (green), and cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar = 15 μm. Fifteen cells were analysed in each sample. (G) Cell number was determined by using a CCK-8 assay kit. (H) Cell proliferation ability was determined by using an EdU assay kit. Scale bar = 15 μm. One hundred cells were analysed in each sample. Data were expressed as mean values with their standard errors (n 3). Values with different superscripted lowercase letters indicate significant difference from each other ($P < 0.05$). CCK-8, Cell Counting Kit-8.

knockdown blocked the stimulation of PA on mTOR phosphorylation and SREBP-1c expression.

In the eight GPCR found in the transcriptome sequencing, the expression of GPR110, LGR4 and GPR35 was found up-regulated at the lactation stage, whereas GPR156, LGR6, ADGRE4, ADGRL3 and GPR141b were found down-regulated at the lactation stage. LGR4, frequently referred to as GPR48, is the GPCR for

stem cell growth factor R-spondins^{([17](#page-11-0))}. LGR4 can activate the canonical Wnt signalling and play a crucial role in the survival of stem cells and development of multiple organs including mammary gland^{([18](#page-11-0))}. GPR35 is a class A, rhodopsin-like GPCR and remains defined as an 'orphan' GPCR, though a diversity of ligands has been suggested as its presumptive agonists (19) (19) (19) . These ligands include tryptophan-derived kynurenic acid, the

Fig. 7. Effects of GPR110 knockdown on the signalling pathways related to milk synthesis. (a) Western blotting analysis of indicated protein levels in HC11 cells transfected with a GPR110 siRNA. (b)–(d) Relative folds of GPR110/β-actin (b), p-mTOR/mTOR (c) and SREBP-1c/β-actin (d) from the Western blots in (a) were quantified by greyscale scan. Data were expressed as mean values with their standard errors (n 3). Values with different superscripted lowercase letters indicate significant difference from each other $(P < 0.05)$.

chemokine CXCL17, phospholipid derivate lysophosphatidic acids, etc., and thus GPR35 can be considered as a metabolitesensing GPCR (20) (20) . It has not been reported the role of LGR4 and GPR35 in amino acid and fatty acid sensing.

GPR156 is an orphan GPCR, with little reports on its function. A recent report suggested that GPR156 is a critical regulator of hair cell orientation^{[\(21\)](#page-11-0)}. Another report suggested that the expression of GPR156 is related to immunity and inflamma- $\frac{\text{tion}^{(22)}}{\text{LGR6}}$ $\frac{\text{tion}^{(22)}}{\text{LGR6}}$ $\frac{\text{tion}^{(22)}}{\text{LGR6}}$ has been reported a high affinity receptor for the Wnt agonist R-spondins^{([23\)](#page-11-0)}. LGR6 has been reported to be related to the inflammatory process^{([24](#page-11-0))}, tumour cell prolif-eration^{([25](#page-11-0))} and osteoprogenisis^{([26\)](#page-11-0)}. ADGRE4, also named as EMR4, is an orphan adhesion GPCR, which is related to immune cell function, but there are yet few reports on its detailed role in cellular physiology^{[\(27\)](#page-11-0)}. ADGRL3, also named as latrophilin, is also an adhesion GPCR. It is still largely unknown the role of ADGRL3 in cell anabolism and proliferation. A previous report showed that ADGRL3 reduced cAMP levels in pancreatic β cells and decreased insulin secretion $^{(28)}$ $^{(28)}$ $^{(28)}$. GPR141b is a class A orphan receptor, and there are almost no reports on its role in cells. A sequence structure-based phylogeny of GPCR analysis suggests that N-arachidonylglycine is a potent ligand of GPR141^{([29\)](#page-11-0)}. N-Arachidonylglycine is related to cellular oxidation and inflammation (30) . Basing on these previous reports, we speculate that the five down-regulated genes GPR156, LGR6, ADGRE4, ADGRL3 and GPR141b might mainly be associated with the immune and inflammation response in MEC. Gp130, a common receptor of cytokines, controls death of MEC and mammary gland involution^{[\(31\)](#page-11-0)}. These above reports support the idea that, at lactation stage, MEC mainly carry out anabolism and proliferation and reduce immune and inflammatory reactions.

GPR110 is an adhesion GPCR, and previous reports showed that N-docosahexaenoylethanolamine (synaptamide), an endogenous metabolite of DHA, is an endogenous ligand of GPR110^{[\(32](#page-11-0),[33](#page-11-0))}. It has been established that GPR110 is an oncogene in various cancers^{$(34,35)$ $(34,35)$ $(34,35)$ $(34,35)$}, but it is yet largely unknown the role of GPR110 in normal cells. Recent reports showed that GPR110 activation promoted axon growth after nerve injury through the cAMP/protein kinase A signalling pathway^{([36](#page-11-0))}. In this study, we found GPR110 was highly expressed in mammary gland at lactation stage. GPR110 positively regulated milk fat and milk protein synthesis and proliferation of MEC and mediated the stimulation of PA on mTOR phosphorylation and SREBP-1c expression. Our experimental data are in agreement with these previous reports and further uncover the critical role of GPR110 in PA-regulated mTOR and SREBP-1c signalling pathways.

PA is the main substrate for synthesis of TAG, which are the main component (∼98 %) of milk fat. In cells, PA is also an important component of cellular structures, precursor of signalling mediators and carrier of metabolic energy^{([37\)](#page-11-0)}. Previous reports have pointed out that PA is an important extracellular stimuli and can stimulate the mTOR and SREBP-1c pathways^{[\(38](#page-11-0)[,39\)](#page-12-0)}, in consistent with our experimental data. We have previously shown that mTOR inhibition leads to decreased milk protein and fat synthesis in MEC $(40-42)$ $(40-42)$ $(40-42)$ $(40-42)$ $(40-42)$. Thus, we consider that PA can promote milk protein and fat synthesis through the mTOR signalling. The concentration of PA selected in the present study is 120 μM. Previous studies have shown that the human serum concentration of PA ranges from 50 to 200 μ M^{[\(43](#page-12-0),[44](#page-12-0))}, indicating that the 120 μM concentration of PA might be a physiological animal serum concentration that promotes milk synthesis in mammary gland. It is still largely unknown the GPCR sensing long-chain SFA including $PA^{(12,45)}$ $PA^{(12,45)}$ $PA^{(12,45)}$ $PA^{(12,45)}$ $PA^{(12,45)}$, though it has been reported that GPR40 and GPR120 can be weakly activated by long-chain SFA $^{(12,37)}$ $^{(12,37)}$ $^{(12,37)}$ $^{(12,37)}$ $^{(12,37)}$. Our experimental data suggest that GPR110 might be a receptor of PA, and it is worth to be studied in future the role of PA-GPR110 in regulating milk production in mice in vivo. It is yet unknown how GPR110 can stimulate mTOR phosphorylation and SREBP-1c expression. We have previously shown that PA can stimulate mTOR phosphorylation and SREBP-1c expression through activating $PI3K^{(46,47)}$ $PI3K^{(46,47)}$ $PI3K^{(46,47)}$ $PI3K^{(46,47)}$ $PI3K^{(46,47)}$, which is often a downstream signalling molecular of many GPCR such as GPCR $6A^{(8,47)}$ $6A^{(8,47)}$ $6A^{(8,47)}$ $6A^{(8,47)}$. We thus speculate that GPR110 can stimulate mTOR phosphorylation through the PI3K signalling.

Conclusions

In summary, we identify that the DEG at lactation stage are mainly genes in the metabolic pathway, and screen out 113

Fig. 8. Effects of Met and PA on GPR110 expression and GPR110 knockdown on PA-stimulated mTOR and SREBP-1c signalling. (a) HC11 cells were treated for 24 h with different concentrations of Met (0, 0·2, 0·4, 0·6, 0·8 and 1·0 mM). Western blotting was performed to analyse GPR110 protein level and mTOR phosphorylation. (b) and (c) Relative folds of p-mTOR/mTOR (B) and GPR110/β-actin (c) from the Western blots in (a) were quantified by greyscale scan. (d) HC11 cells were treated for 24 h with different concentrations of PA (0, 40, 80, 120, 160 and 200 μM). Western blotting was performed to analyse mTOR phosphorylation and GPR110 protein level. (e) and (f) Relative folds of p-mTOR/mTOR (E) and GPR110/β-actin (f) from the Western blots in (d) were quantified by greyscale scan. (g) HC11 cells were treated with PA (120 μM) and transfected with a GPR110 siRNA for 24 h. Indicated protein levels were detected by Western blotting. (h)–(j) Relative folds of GPR110/β-actin (H), pmTOR/mTOR (i) and SREBP-1c/β-actin (i) from the Western blots in (q) were quantified by greyscale scan. Data were expressed as mean values with their standard errors (n 3). Values with different superscripted lowercase letters indicate significant difference from each other ($P < 0.05$). Each column represented a sample, and each row represented a gene. Red indicated up-regulated DEG and blue indicated down-regulated DEG. On the left was the dendrogram of gene clustering. A1, A2 and A3 represented three mice at puberty; B1, B2 and B3, three mice at lactation; C1, C2 and C3, three mice at involution. (a) HC11 cells were treated for 24 h with different concentrations of PA (0, 40, 80, 120, 160, and 200 μM). Western blotting was performed to analyse β-casein protein level. (b) Relative folds of β-casein/β-actin from the Western blots in (a) were quantified by greyscale scan. (c) Lipid droplet in cells was dyed with BODIPY (green), and cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar = 15 µm. (d) The concentration of TAG in cells was determined by using a TAG detection kit. (e) Cells proliferation ability was determined by using an EdU assay kit. Scale bar = 15 μm. (f) Cell number was determined by using a CCK-8 assay kit. Data were expressed as mean values with their standard errors (n3). Values with different superscripted lowercase letters indicate significant difference from each other $(P < 0.05)$. mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; PA, palmitic acid; CCK-8, Cell Counting Kit-8.

lactation-related genes including eight new GPCR. We further reveal that GPR110 mediates PA to activate the mTOR and SREBP-1c pathways to promote milk protein and fat synthesis

as well as cell proliferation. Our findings provide a new theoretical basis for using PA and other nutritional molecules to improve milk production and quality.

1676 M. Zhang et al.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31700683).

M. Z. and X. G. designed the study and wrote the paper. Z. M. and H. Q. performed most experiments. X. C. and R. L. analysed the data.

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- 1. Slepicka PF, Somasundara AVH & Dos Santos CO (2021) The molecular basis of mammary gland development and epithelial differentiation. Semin Cell Dev Biol 114, 93-112.
- 2. Capuco AV & Choudhary RK (2020) Symposium review: determinants of milk production: understanding population dynamics in the bovine mammary epithelium. J Dairy Sci 103, 2928–2940.
- 3. Eberlé D, Hegarty B, Bossard P, et al. (2004) SREBP transcription factors: master regulators of lipid homeostasis. Biochimie 86, 839–848.
- 4. Osorio JS, Lohakare J & Bionaz M (2016) Biosynthesis of milk fat, protein, and lactose: roles of transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation. Physiol Genomics 48, 231-256.
- 5. Zheng L, Zhang W, Zhou Y, et al. (2016) Recent advances in understanding amino acid sensing mechanisms that regulate mTORC1. Int J Mol Sci 17, 1636.
- Nelson G, Chandrashekar J, Hoon MA, et al. (2002) An aminoacid taste receptor. Nature 416, 199–202.
- 7. Pi M, Nishimoto SK & Quarles LD (2017) GPRC6A: jack of all metabolism (or master of none). Mol Metab 6, 185-193.
- 8. Li X, Li P, Wang L, et al. (2019) Lysine enhances the stimulation of fatty acids on milk fat synthesis via the GPRC6A-PI3K-FABP5 signaling in bovine mammary epithelial cells. *J Agric Food* Chem 67, 7005-7015.
- 9. Díaz-Soto G, Rocher A, García-Rodríguez C, et al. (2016) The calcium-sensing receptor in health and disease. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol 327, 321–369.
- 10. Luo C, Yu M, Li S, et al. (2020) Methionine stimulates GlyRS phosphorylation via the GPR87-CDC42/Rac1-MAP3K10 signaling pathway. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 523, 847–852.
- 11. Yu M, Wang Y, Wang Z, et al. (2019) Taurine promotes milk synthesis via the GPR87-PI3K-SETD1A signaling in BMECs. J Agric Food Chem 67, 1927-1936.
- 12. Kimura I, Ichimura A, Ohue-Kitano R, et al. (2020) Free fatty acid receptors in health and disease. Physiol Rev 100, 171–210.
- 13. Itoh Y, Kawamata Y, Harada M, et al. (2003) Free fatty acids regulate insulin secretion from pancreatic β cells through GPR40. Nature 422, 173-176.
- 14. Hirasawa A, Tsumaya K, Awaji T, et al. (2005) Free fatty acids regulate gut incretin glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion through GPR120. Nat Med 11, 90-94.
- 15. Luscombe VB, Lucy D, Bataille CJR, et al. (2020) 20 Years an Orphan: is GPR84 a plausible medium-chain fatty acid-sensing receptor? DNA Cell Biol 39, 1926-1937.
- 16. Qi H, Meng C, Jin X, et al. (2018) Methionine promotes milk protein and fat synthesis and cell proliferation via the SNAT2-PI3K signaling pathway in bovine mammary epithelial cells. J Agric Food Chem 66, 11027-11033.
- 17. Carmon KS, Gong X, Lin Q, et al. (2011) R-spondins function as ligands of the orphan receptors LGR4 and LGR5 to regulate Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108, 11452–11457.
- 18. Yue Z, Yuan Z, Zeng L, et al. (2018) LGR4 modulates breast cancer initiation, metastasis, and cancer stem cells. FASEB J 32, 2422–2437.
- 19. Quon T, Lin LC, Ganguly A, et al. (2020) Therapeutic opportunities and challenges in targeting the orphan G protein-coupled receptor GPR35. ACS Pharmacol Transl Sci 3, 801-812.
- 20. Kaya B, Melhem H & Niess JH (2021) GPR35 in intestinal diseases: from risk gene to function. Front Immunol 12, 717392.
- 21. Kindt KS, Akturk A, Jarysta A, et al. (2021) EMX2-GPR156-Gαi reverses hair cell orientation in mechanosensory epithelia. Nat Commun 12, 2861.
- 22. Zarei Ghobadi M, Emamzadeh R, Teymoori-Rad M, et al. (2021) Decoding pathogenesis factors involved in the progression of ATLL or HAM/TSP after infection by HTLV-1 through a systems virology study. *Virol* I **18**, 175.
- 23. Gong X, Carmon KS, Lin Q, et al. (2012) LGR6 is a high affinity receptor of R-spondins and potentially functions as a tumor suppressor. PLoS One 7, e37137.
- 24. Chiang N, Libreros S, Norris PC, et al. (2019) Maresin 1 activates LGR6 receptor promoting phagocyte immunoresolvent functions. *J Clin Invest* **129**, 5294-5311.
- 25. Kong Y, Ou X, Li X, et al. (2020) LGR6 promotes tumor proliferation and metastasis through Wnt/β-Catenin signaling in triple-negative breast cancer. Mol Ther Oncolytics 18, 351–359.
- 26. Liu SL, Zhou YM, Tang DB, et al. (2019) LGR6 promotes osteogenesis by activating the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 519, 1–7.
- 27. Hamann J, Hsiao CC, Lee CS, et al. (2016) Adhesion GPCRs as modulators of immune cell function. Handb Exp Pharmacol 234, 329–350.
- 28. Röthe J, Thor D, Winkler J, et al. (2019) Involvement of the adhesion GPCRs latrophilins in the regulation of insulin release. Cell Rep 26, 1573–1584.e5.
- 29. Kakarala KK & Jamil K (2014) Sequence-structure based phylogeny of GPCR Class A Rhodopsin receptors. Mol Phylogenet Evol 74, 66-96.
- 30. Zaccagnino P, Saltarella M, D'Oria S, et al. (2009) N-arachidonylglycine causes ROS production and cytochrome c release in liver mitochondria. Free Radic Biol Med 47, 585–592.
- 31. Zhao L, Hart S, Cheng J, et al. (2004) Mammary gland remodeling depends on gp130 signaling through Stat3 and MAPK. J Biol Chem 279, 44093–44100.
- 32. Lee JW, Huang BX, Kwon H, et al. (2016) Orphan GPR110 (ADGRF1) targeted by N-docosahexaenoylethanolamine in development of neurons and cognitive function. Nat Commun 7, 13123.
- 33. Huang BX, Hu X, Kwon HS, et al. (2020) Synaptamide activates the adhesion GPCR GPR110 (ADGRF1) through GAIN domain binding. Commun Biol 3, 109.
- 34. Lum AM, Wang BB, Beck-Engeser GB, et al. (2010) Orphan receptor GPR110, an oncogene overexpressed in lung and prostate cancer. BMC Cancer 10, 40.
- 35. Nam HJ, Kim YJ, Kang JH, et al. (2022) GPR110 promotes progression and metastasis of triple-negative breast cancer. Cell Death Discov 8, 271.
- 36. Kwon H, Kevala K, Xin H, et al. (2021) Ligand-induced GPR110 activation facilitates axon growth after injury. Int J Mol Sci 22 , 3386.
- 37. Offermanns S (2014) Free fatty acid (FFA) and hydroxy carboxylic acid (HCA) receptors. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 54, 407–434.
- 38. Triki M, Rinaldi G, Planque M, et al. (2020) mTOR signaling and SREBP activity increase FADS2 expression and can activate sapienate biosynthesis. Cell Rep 31, 107806.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788 Published online by Cambridge University Press https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000788 Published online by Cambridge University Press

- 39. Bai D, Wu Y, Deol P, et al. (2021) Palmitic acid negatively regulates tumor suppressor PTEN through T366 phosphorylation and protein degradation. Cancer Lett 496, 127–133.
- 40. Qiu Y, Qu B, Zhen Z, et al. (2019) Leucine promotes milk synthesis in bovine mammary epithelial cells via the PI3K-DDX59 signaling. J Agric Food Chem 67, 8884-8895.
- 41. Qi H, Wang L, Zhang M, et al. (2022) Methionine and leucine induce ARID1A degradation to promote mTOR expression and milk synthesis in mammary epithelial cells. *J Nutr Biochem* 101, 108924.
- 42. Lin G, Qi H, Guo X, et al. (2023) ARID1B blocks methioninestimulated mTOR activation to inhibit milk fat and protein synthesis in and proliferation of mouse mammary epithelial cells. J Nutr Biochem 114, 109274.
- 43. Sztefko K & Panek J (2001) Serum free fatty acid concentration in patients with acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology 1, 230–236.
- 44. Moran A, Basu R, Milla C, et al. (2004) Insulin regulation of free fatty acid kinetics in adult cystic fibrosis patients with impaired glucose tolerance. Metabolism 53, 1467–1472.
- 45. Yonezawa T, Kurata R, Yoshida K, et al. (2013) Free fatty acidssensing G protein-coupled receptors in drug targeting and therapeutics. Curr Med Chem 20, 3855-3871.
- 46. Guo X, Qi H, Lin G, et al. (2023) ARID3A plays a key regulatory role in palmitic acid-stimulated milk fat synthesis in mouse mammary epithelial cells. Cell Biol Int (Epublication ahead of print version 14 February 2023).
- 47. Jin X, Zhen Z, Wang Z, et al.(2023) GPRC6A is a key mediator of palmitic acid regulation of lipid synthesis in bovine mammary epithelial cells. Cell Biol Int 46, 1747–1758.