# **ON GENERALIZED MORSE-TRANSUE FUNCTION SPACES**

### H. W. ELLIS

**1. Introduction.** Marston Morse and William Transue (6, 8) have introduced and studied function spaces, called  $MT$ -spaces, for which the elements of the topological dual are of integral type. Their theory does not admit certain classical Banach function spaces including spaces of bounded functions and  $\mathcal{R}_{c}^{\infty}$  spaces. The theory of function spaces determined by a length function ( $\lambda$ -spaces) (4, 5), which depends on a fixed measure, admits many of the maximal MT-spaces, the spaces  $\ell_c^{\infty}$  and spaces of locally integrable functions but does not admit certain maximal  $MT$ -spaces including the space  $\Re c$  of complex continuous functions with compact supports.

In (4) the definition of  $MT$ -spaces was weakened by dropping the requirement that  $\mathcal{R}_c$  be dense in the space and making no hypothesis concerning the dual. The resulting spaces were called  $MT^*$ -spaces and the elements of intregal type in the dual then constituted the *MT-con]*ugate of the space. A  $\lambda$ -space (4) is an MT<sup>\*</sup>-space if it contains  $\Re \sigma$ . The MT-spaces are just those M7"\*-spaces for which the dual and *MT-con]*ugate coincide. The space of bounded functions on a suitable space *E* is an *M*r\*-space that is neither an  $MT$ - nor a  $\lambda$ -space.

In the development of the theory of  $MT$ -spaces an important role was played by the fact that the semi-norm  $\mathfrak{N}^A$  could be defined in  $A$  and extended to all of  $\mathbb{C}^E$  by (3.2) below. Since there are  $MT^*$ -spaces for which the MTconjugate reduces to the zero element of the dual  $(\S 3)$ ,  $(3.2)$  is not valid for every  $MT^*$ -space. For an  $\mathfrak{N}^A$ -extensible  $MT^*$ -space (Definition 3.2) (3.2) holds. Since  $\mathfrak{N}^A$  is then a reflexive semi-norm, the *MT*-conjugate is then dense in the dual of *A* in the  $\sigma(A', A)$  topology (Theorem 3.1). The  $\mathfrak{R}^4$ extensible  $MT^*$ -spaces have many of the properties of general MT-spaces.

The last part of this paper is mainly concerned with the role played in the general theory of  $MT^*$ -spaces by the  $\lambda$ -spaces. When E is countable at infinity this can be simply stated as follows. If  $A$  is a  $\lambda$ -space containing  $\Re c$ , *A* is an  $\mathfrak{R}^4$ -extensible *MT*\*-space for which every measure in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  is of base  $\mu$  (Theorem 3.3.). Conversely if *A* is an  $\mathcal{R}^A$ -extensible MT<sup>\*</sup>-space for which every measure in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  is of base  $\mu$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}^A$  extended by (3.2) determines a length function  $\lambda$  (Theorem 4.1) and  $\mathcal{R}_{c}^{\lambda}$ , the  $\lambda$ -space determined by  $\lambda$ , and  $\Omega^A$  (§ 3), coincide on some  $\mu$ -measurable set *B* with  $E - B$  2I<sup>\*</sup>-negligible (Theorem 4.3). If then *A* is an *MT*\*-space of Cauchy type,  $A = \mathcal{R}_c^{\lambda} = \Omega^A$ 

Received October 6, 1958.

on  $B$ . Thus an  $MT$ -space of Cauchy type on a locally compact space  $E$  that is countable at infinity coincides with a  $\lambda$ -space for  $\mu$  on the restriction of *E* to some  $\mu$ -measurable set *B* with  $E - B$   $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible if and only if every element of  $A'$  is of base  $\mu$ .

**2. The MT-conjugates as vector spaces.** Let £ be a locally compact space,  $\mathbf{C}^E$  the vector space of functions on E valued in C the field of complex numbers. A semi-norm on a vector subspace A of  $\mathbb{C}^E$  will be called monotone if  $\mathfrak{R}^A(x) \leq \mathfrak{R}^A(y)$  when  $|x(t)| \leq |y(t)|$ ,  $x, y \in A$ ; non-trivial if  $\mathfrak{R}^A(x) \neq 0$ over *A* (6).

*Definition.* A vector subspace A of  $\mathbb{C}^E$  will be called an  $MT^*$ -space if it contains  $\mathcal{R}_c$ , if with x it contains  $|x|$  and  $\bar{x}$  and if it has a non-trivial, monotone semi-norm *%l<sup>A</sup> .* 

If *A'* is the dual of *A* topologized by  $\mathfrak{R}^A$  as a semi-norm and if  $y \in A'$ , then the restriction of y to  $\mathcal{R}_{c}$  determines a C-measure  $\hat{y}$  and

$$
(2.1) \t\t\t y(x) = \int x \ d\hat{y},
$$

for every  $x \in \Re_{\mathcal{C}}$  (6). We denote by  $A^*$  the subspace of elements *y* of  $A'$ for which every  $x \in A$  is  $\hat{y}$ -integrable with (2.1) holding and call such a y an element of integral type. We call *A\** the *MT-con*jugate of *A.* As in (6) the mapping  $y \rightarrow \hat{y}$  of  $A^*$  into  $\mathfrak{M}_c$ , the space of measures on E, is an isomorphism. We denote by  $\mathfrak{A}'$  and  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  the images of  $A'$ ,  $A^*$  in  $\mathfrak{M}_c$  and call  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  the *MT*-measure conjugate of A. We define for each  $y \in A^*$ ,  $\hat{y} \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ ,

$$
|\hat{y}|_{\mathfrak{A}^*} = \sup_{\substack{x \neq 0 \\ x \in A}} |\int x \, d\hat{y}| / \mathfrak{A}^A(x) = \sup_{\substack{x \neq 0 \\ x \in A}} |y(x)| / \mathfrak{A}^A(x) = |y|_{A^*} = |y|_{A'},
$$

where  $|\mathbf{y}|_{A}$ <sup>*i*</sup> is the usual norm on A'. There are corresponding definitions for real  $MT^*$ -spaces.

 $A^*$  *is a vector subspace of A'.* Let  $y_1, y_2 \in A^*$ ,  $a, b \in C$ . Then  $z = ay_1 + b_2$  $by_2 \in A'$  and determines a C-measure  $\hat{z}$ . From (2.1) for  $\Re \sigma$  it follows that  $\hat{z} = a\hat{y}_1 + b\hat{y}_2$ . By (6, Corollary 9.1) every  $x \in A$  is  $a\hat{y}_1 + b\hat{y}_2 = \hat{z}$ -integrable and

$$
\int x \ d\hat{z} = \int x \ d(a\hat{y}_1 + b\hat{y}_2) = ay_1(x) + by_2(x) = z(x).
$$

The spaces  $A^*$  and  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  are thus normed vector spaces, equivalent by definition.

Morse and Transue (6, p. 153) associate with each C-measure  $\eta$  on *E* a unique positive measure  $|\eta|$  such that for  $x \in K$ ,  $x \ge 0$ ,

(2.2) 
$$
|\eta|(x) = \sup_{\substack{\|u\| \leq x \\ u \in \mathfrak{N}_C}} |\int u \, d\,\eta|.
$$

The absolute measure  $|\eta|$  defined by  $\eta$  then has a unique extension  $|\eta|_{e}$  as a real C-measure on  $E(6, p. 151)$ .

Condition 2.1. If 
$$
\eta \in \mathfrak{A}^*
$$
,  $|\eta|_e \in \mathfrak{A}^*$  and  $|\eta|_{\mathfrak{H}^*} = ||\eta|_e|_{\mathfrak{H}^*}$ .

Condition  $(2.1)$  is the analogue for the MT-conjugate spaces of the condition for A that  $|x| \in A$  if  $x \in A$  (noting that the monotone property of  $\mathfrak{R}^A$  implies that  $\mathfrak{R}^A(x) = \mathfrak{R}^A(|x|)$ . If, for a positive measure  $\mu$ , the *C*-measure  $\eta$  is of base  $\mu$  (that is, can be written in the form  $g(t)$ .  $\mu$  with  $g(t)$  locally  $\mu$ -integrable (3, p. 42; 7, § 3).

(2.3) 
$$
|g(t) \cdot \mu| = |g(t)| \cdot \mu
$$
.

When all the elements of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  are of base  $\mu$ ,  $A^*$  can be identified with the collection of functions  $\{g(t)\}\)$ . If then  $A^*$  is an  $MT^*$ -space Condition 2.1 is necessarily satisfied. We note also that it is trivially satisfied when  $A^* = 0$ , that it is satisfied by the measure dual of every  $MT$ -space (6, Lemma 11.2) and by the measure dual of every  $MT^*$ -space that is a  $\lambda$ -space with the *MT*- and λ-conjugates coinciding (4).

Suppose that  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , are positive measures with  $\alpha_{i,e} \in \mathfrak{A}^*$  and that  $\Sigma |\alpha_{i,e}|_{\mathfrak{M}^*} < \infty$ . Then for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty} \left| \alpha_i(x) \right| = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \alpha_i(|x|) \leq \Re^A(x) \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left| \alpha_{i,\,e} \right|_{\mathfrak{A}^*} < \infty,
$$

so that the  $\alpha_i$  form a *summable family* of positive measures on  $E$  and determine a positive measure  $\alpha_0 = \sum_1^{\infty} \alpha_i$  (3, § 3, no. 5).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be an MT\*-space for which Condition* 2.1 *holds. If every real x in A is*  $\alpha_0$ *-integrable for every*  $\alpha_0$  *defined as in the preceding paragraph, then* 31\* *is complete.* 

*Proof.* The theorem is trivial when  $\mathfrak{A}^* = 0$ . In the general case let  $\{y_n\}$ denote a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  and choose a subsequence  $\{\eta_{n_i}\}\$  with

$$
|\eta_{n_1}|_{\mathfrak{A}^*} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} |\eta_{n_{i+1}} - \eta_{n_i}|_{\mathfrak{A}^*} = L < \infty.
$$

Define

$$
\alpha_1 = |\eta_{n_1}|, \alpha_i = |\eta_{n_{i+1}} - \eta_{n_i}|, i = 2, 3, ..., \alpha_0 = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \alpha_i.
$$

Condition 2.1 implies that each  $\alpha_{i,e}$  is in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  with

$$
|\alpha_{1,e}|_{\mathfrak{A}} = |\eta_{n_1}|_{\mathfrak{A}}^*
$$
,  
\n $|\alpha_{i,e}|_{\mathfrak{A}} = |\eta_{n_{i+1}} - \eta_{n_i}|_{\mathfrak{A}}^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

By hypothesis each real  $x \in A$  is  $\alpha_0$ -integrable so that (3, Proposition 5, 3<sup>0</sup>)

$$
\int x\,d\alpha_0 = \sum_1^{\infty} \int x\,d\alpha_i.
$$

If  $x \in A$ ,  $x = x_1 + ix_2$ , with  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  real and in  $A$ , x is  $\alpha_{0,e}$ -integrable (6, Lemma 4.3) and

$$
\int x \, d\alpha_{0e} = \int x_1 \, d\alpha_0 + i \int x_2 \, d\alpha_0 = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int x_1 \, d\alpha_1 + i \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int x_2 \, d\alpha_1
$$

$$
= \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int x \, d\alpha_{i,e};
$$

$$
\left| \int x \, d\alpha_{0,e} \right| \leq \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int |x| \, d\alpha_{i,e} \leq L \, \mathfrak{N}^4(x).
$$

It follows that  $\int x \, d\alpha_{0,e}$  determines a continuous linear functional *y* of integral type with  $\hat{y} = \alpha_{0,e}$  and therefore  $\alpha_{0,e} \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ .

For each  $x \in A$ ,

$$
[\eta_{n_{i+1}}(x) - \eta_{n_i}(x)]
$$

is a Cauchy sequence in C since

$$
\left|\sum_{p}^{q} \left[\eta_{n_{i+1}}(x) - \eta_{n_{i}}(x)\right]\right| \leqslant \sum_{p}^{q} \alpha_{i}(|x|) \to 0
$$

as  $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

(2.4) 
$$
\eta(x) = \eta_{n_1}(x) + \sum_{1}^{\infty} [\eta_{n_1+i}(x) - \eta_{n_i}(x)] = \lim_{i \to \infty} \eta_{n_i}(x)
$$

is defined in C for every  $x \in A$ . Now  $\eta$  is linear on A and continuous since

$$
|\eta(x)| \leq \alpha_0(|x|) \leqslant L \mathfrak{N}^A(x)
$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Thus  $\eta$  determines an element of A'.

It follows from (2.2) and (2.5) that  $|\eta|(x) \le \alpha_0(x)$  for every  $x \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This implies that  $|\eta|^*(x) \leq \alpha^*_{0}(x)$  for every  $x \geq 0$ . Thus every  $\alpha_0$ -negligible set is  $|\eta|$ -negligible and every  $\alpha_0$ -measurable function is  $|\eta|$ -measurable (2, p. 180). Thus if  $x \in A$ , |x| is | $\eta$ |-measurable and x is  $\eta$ -measurable (6, p. 168). Since

$$
\int |x| d\eta \leqslant \int |x| d\alpha_0 \leqslant L \ \Re^A(x) < \infty \,,
$$

every x in A is  $\eta$ -integrable (6, Theorem 9.4). This with (2.5) shows that  $\int x \, d\eta$  determines an element  $y \in A^*$  with  $\hat{y} = \eta$  so that  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ .

Then

$$
|\eta - \eta_{n_i}|_{\mathfrak{A}^*} = \sup_{0 \neq x \in A} |\int x d(\eta - \eta_{n_i})| / \mathfrak{A}^4(x)
$$
  
\$\leqslant \sup\_{0 \neq x \in A} \sum\_{i+1}^{\infty} \int |x| d\alpha\_j / \mathfrak{A}^4(x)\$  
\$\leqslant \sum\_{i+1}^{\infty} |\alpha\_{j,e}|\_{\mathfrak{A}^\*}\$

which approaches zero as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . The full sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$  then converges to  $\eta$  in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  so that  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  is complete.

COROLLARY. *If E is countable at infinity and A is an MT\*-space for which Condition* 2.1 *holds,*  $A^*$  *and*  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  *are Banach spaces.* 

*Proof.* By (3, Corollaire 2, p. 28) every  $x \in A$  is  $\alpha_0$ -integrable.

Length functions for a positive measure  $\mu$  are defined in (4, 5). We denote by  $\mathbb{R}^{\lambda}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{\lambda}$  the subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{E}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{E}$  respectively consisting of  $\mu$ -measurable functions  $x(t)$  with  $\lambda(x) = \lambda(|x|) < \infty$  (cf. 5, p. 577). (If  $x(t) \in \mathbb{C}^E$ , it is  $\mu$ -measurable for  $\mu > 0$  if its Riesz components are  $\mu$ -measurable (6. p. 168).)

We show that if  $A = \mathcal{R}_c^{-1}(E, \mu)$  (4, § 2) with E and  $\mu$  defined as in (2, Exercise 4, pp. 116)  $A^*$  is not complete. We define  $g_i(P) = 1/\ln n$ ,  $P = (1/n)$ ,  $k/n^2$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \ldots i$ ;  $g_i(P) = 0$  elsewhere;  $g(P) = 1/ln n$ ,  $P = (1/n, k/n^2)$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ ;  $g(P) = 0$  elsewhere. The  $g_i$  form a Cauchy sequence in A' and converge to g. Each  $g_i$ .  $\mu$  is in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  but  $g \mu$  is not.

The  $\lambda$ -conjugate of every  $\lambda$ -space is complete since it is also a  $\lambda$ -space (4). Thus the MT-conjugate of an arbitrary  $\lambda$ -space containing  $\Re \sigma$  is complete when it coincides with the  $\lambda$ -conjugate.

**3.**  $\mathbb{R}^4$ -extensible MT\*-spaces. For a normed or semi-normed space X we let  $X_u$  denote the subunit elements of  $X$ , that is, the elements with norm or semi-norm not exceeding unity (cf. 6, p. 171).

*Definition* 3.1. A semi-norm  $\mathfrak{R}^A$  on an  $MT^*$ -space A will be called *reflexive* if for every  $x \in A$ ,

(3.1) 
$$
\mathfrak{N}^A(x) = \sup_{\eta \in \mathfrak{N}_n^{\ast}} |\int x \, d\eta|.
$$

THEOREM 3.1. *In order that %l<sup>A</sup> be a reflexive semi-norm on the MT\*-space A* it is necessary and sufficient that  $A^*$ <sup>*u*</sup> be dense in  $A$ <sup>*u*</sup> for the  $\sigma(A', A)$  topology.

*Proof.* Since  $A_u'$  and  $A_u^*$  are *équilibré* parts of  $A'$ , the polars of  $A_u'$  and  $A_u$ are respectively  $A_u^{\prime\,0} = (x \in A : |y(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $y \in A_u^{\prime\prime}$  and  $A_u^{*0} = (x \in A : |y(x)| \leq 1$  $|\mathcal{Y}(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $\mathcal{Y} \in A^*_{\mathcal{U}}$  (1, p. 52). We first show that  $A^{*0}_{\mathcal{U}} = A_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{U}}$ . Since  $A^*_{\mu} \subset A_{\mu}$ <sup>'</sup>,  $A_{\mu}$ <sup>'</sup><sup>o</sup>  $\supset A^{*0}_{\mu}$  and it is sufficient to prove the opposite inequality. If  $x \in A^{*0}$ <sub>u</sub>, the hypothesis that  $\mathfrak{N}^A$  is reflexive implies that

$$
\mathfrak{N}^A(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathfrak{N}^*_x} |\int x \, d\hat{y}| \leq 1.
$$

Thus  $|y(x)| \leq \Re^A(x)|y|_{A'} \leq 1$  if  $y \in A_{u'}$  so that  $x \in A_{u'}^{0}$ .

Thus  $A^{*0}$ <sub>u</sub> =  $A$ <sub>u</sub><sup>to</sup> and it follows that  $A^{*00}$ <sub>u</sub> =  $A$ <sub>u</sub><sup>to</sup> =  $A$ <sub>u</sub><sup>t</sup>. Since  $A^*$ <sub>u</sub> is convex and contains 0, the argument of  $(1,$  Proposition 3, p. 52) shows that  $A_u' = A^{*00}$  is the closure of  $A^*$  for  $\sigma(A', A)$ .

We next prove that the condition is sufficient. Since the definition of  $|y|_A^*$ implies that  $\geq$  holds in (3.1) we need only show that, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $y \in A^*$ <sup>*u*</sup> with  $\Re^A(x) \leq \left| \int x \, dy \right| + \epsilon$ .

By an extension of the Hahn-Banach Theorem there exsits  $y_0 \in A_u'$  with  $y_0(x) = \Re^A(x)$ ,  $|y_0|_{A'} = 1$ . The set  $[y \in A'; |(y - y_0)(x)| < \epsilon]$  is a neighbourhood of  $y_0$  for the  $\sigma(A', A)$  topology and by hypothesis contains  $y_1 \in A^*$ . Then

 $0 \le \Re^A(x) - \int x \ d\hat{y}_1 \le |y_0(x) - y_1(x)| = |(y_0 - y_1)(x)| < \epsilon$ 

We note the analogy with the relation between *E* and *E"* for Banach spaces (1, Proposition 5, p. 114).

*Definition* 3.2. A semi-norm on an  $MT^*$ -space will be called *extensible* if A satisfies Condition 2.1 and  $\mathfrak{N}^A$  is reflexive. An  $MT^*$ -space will be called  $\mathfrak{N}^A$ -extensible if it has an extensible semi-norm.

For an extensible semi-norm

(3.2) 
$$
\mathfrak{N}^A(x) = \sup_{\eta \in \mathfrak{N}_u^*} \int_0^* |x| d|\eta|
$$

holds with outer integrals replaced by integrals for every  $x \in A$ . Formula (3.2) then extends the definition of  $\mathfrak{R}^A$  to all of  $\mathbf{C}^E$  and all of  $\bar{\mathbf{R}}^E$ .

Given a collection of C-measures  $\mathfrak{M}$  a function  $x \in \mathbb{C}^E$  or  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^E$  will be called  $\mathfrak{M}$ -negligible if  $|x(t)|$  is  $|\eta|$ -negligible for every  $\eta \in \mathfrak{M}$ .  $\mathfrak{M}$ -negligible sets,  $\mathfrak{M}$ -equivalence and almost everywhere  $(\mathfrak{M})$  are then defined by analogy with the case where  $\mathfrak{M}$  reduces to a single C-measure  $\eta$ . When A is an  $\mathfrak{N}^4$ extensible  $MT^*$ -space,  $\mathfrak{N}^A(x) = 0$  if x is  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible. If then  $x(t)$  is defined and valued in **C** or **R** almost everywhere  $(\mathfrak{A}^*)$ , *x* is  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -equivalent to some *x* in  $\mathbb{C}^E$  or  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^E$  and we define  $\mathbb{N}^A(x) = \mathbb{N}^A(x)$ . When  $\mathbb{N}^* \equiv 0$  every function is  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible but  $\mathfrak{R}^A(x) > 0$  holds for some  $x \in A$ .

THEOREM 3.2. For  $1 \leqslant p \leq \infty$ ,  $A = \overline{\mathfrak{L}}_c^p(E,\mu)$  is an  $\mathfrak{R}^A$ -extensible MT\**space.* 

LEMMA 3.1. If  $A = \overline{\mathfrak{L}}_c^{\lambda}(E, \mu)$  is an MT<sup>\*</sup>-space for which the  $\lambda$ -conjugate *contains the MT-conjugate, then Condition* 2.1 *is satisfied and every element of*  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  *is of base*  $\mu$ .

*Proof of Lemma* 3.1. Every *g* in the  $\lambda$ -conjugate is locally  $\mu$ -integrable and therefore determines a measure  $g \mu$  (that is, a measure of base  $\mu$ ) (4, § 3). *H*  $g \in A^*$ ,  $\hat{g} = g \cdot \mu$  and thus the elements of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  are of base  $\mu$ .

The definition of the  $\lambda$ -conjugate then implies that  $|g(t)| \in \mathbb{R}^{k*}$ . By (7, § 3)  $|g| \cdot \mu = |g| \cdot \mu$ . Now  $\int |x||g| d\mu \leq \lambda(x)\lambda^*(g) < \infty$  and the *g* . *µ*-integrability of *x* implies that  $\int |x| dy$ ,  $\mu$  <  $\infty$  (6, Theorem 9.4). Thus by (7, Theorem 1.1), for every  $x \in A$ ,

$$
|g|(x) = \int x|g|d\mu = \int x d(|g| \cdot \mu)_e
$$

so that  $(|g| \cdot \mu)_e \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ . It then follows from the definitions that

$$
|(|g| \cdot \mu)_e|_{\mathfrak{A}^*} = \lambda^*(|g|) = \lambda^*(g) = |g \cdot \mu|_{\mathfrak{A}^*}.
$$

*Proof of Theorem* 3.2. It remains to be shown that  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}^p$  is reflexive as a semi-norm on  $A = \overline{R}_{c}P$ . Since  $\overline{N}P$  is reflexive as a length function,

$$
\mathfrak{N}^p(x) = \sup_{\rho \in (\mathfrak{X}_C^q)_u} |\int xg \, d\mu| \geqslant \sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{N}_u^*} |\int xg \, d\mu|,
$$

and it is sufficient to determine  $g \in H$  w with  $\int x g \mu$  arbitrarily near to  $\pi$ *(x).* 

If  $\mathfrak{N}^p(x) < \infty$  there exists  $E_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$ , where  $\{K_i\}$  is an increasing sequence of compact sets for which, writing  $f_B$  for the product of the function *f(f)* and the characteristic function of the set *B,* 

$$
\mathfrak{N}^p(x) = \mathfrak{N}^p(x_{E_0}) = \mathfrak{N}^p(x_{E_0})
$$

(4, § 2). Now

$$
x_{E_0} \in \Omega_c^p
$$

and  $\mathcal{R}_c^p$  is  $\mathfrak{R}^A$ -extensible as an *MT*-space. Thus

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{N}}^{p}(x) = \mathfrak{N}^{p}(x_{E_{0}}) = \sup_{g \in (\mathfrak{N}_{C})_{u}} |\int x_{E_{0}} g d \mu|.
$$

Since  $E_0$  is  $\mu$ -measurable and

$$
|g_{E_0}(t)| \leqslant |g(t)|, g_{E_0} \in \mathfrak{L}^q_u
$$

if  $g \in L_{\mathfrak{u}}^q$ . Thus

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{N}}^{p}(x) = \mathfrak{N}^{p}(x_{E_{0}}) = \sup_{g_{E_{0}} \in (\mathfrak{X}_{C})_{u}} \int x g_{E_{0}} d \mu.
$$

For  $g \in (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{C}}^q)_u$  fixed,

 $\int x g_{K} d\mu$   $\rightarrow$   $\int x g_{K_0} d\mu$ 

as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$
g_{K_i} \in (\mathfrak{X}_C^q)_u.
$$

Thus for  $i$  sufficiently large and a suitable

$$
g \in (\mathfrak{E}_{C}^{q})_{u}, g_{K_{i}} \in (\mathfrak{E}_{C}^{q})_{u}
$$

with  $\int x g_{K_i} d\mu$  arbitrarily near  $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}^p(x)$ . The C-measure  $g_{K_i}$   $\mu$  has compact support so that  $CK_i$  is  $g_{Ki}$ .  $\mu$ -negligible (2, Proposition 5, p. 119). Thus if  $f \in A$  and  $fg_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}$ , vanishes in  $E$ ,

$$
\int^* |f| d|g_{K_i} \cdot \mu| \le \int^* |f|_{CK_i} d(|g_{K_i}| \cdot \mu) + \int^* |f|_{K_i} d(|g_{K_i}| \cdot \mu) = \int |f g_{K_i}| d\mu = 0
$$

and the complex analogue of (4, Theorem 3.1) implies that

$$
g_{K_i} \cdot \mu \in \mathfrak{A}_u^*.
$$

THEOREM 3.3. If  $\lambda$  is a reflexive length function for the positive measure  $\mu$ , *if E* is countable at infinity or if *E* is arbitrary and  $A = \mathcal{R}_c^{\lambda}$  is an MT\*-space *for which the MT- and [\-conjugates c](file:///-conjugates)oincide, then A is %l<sup>A</sup> -extensible and every measure in*  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  *is of base*  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 3.3 is a consequence of Lemma 3.1 and the fact that the reflexivity of  $\mathfrak{N}^A = \lambda$  as a length function implies that it is reflexive as a semi-norm on *A.* 

When *A* is an  $\mathfrak{N}^A$ -extensible  $MT^*$ -space we denote by  $\mathfrak{F}^A$  the vector subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^E$  of mappings x with  $\mathbb{R}^A(x) < \infty$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}^A$  is a non-trivial,

monotone semi-norm on  $\mathfrak{F}^A$  and  $\mathfrak{F}^A$  is an  $MT^*$ -space for which Condition 2.1 holds. If for each  $\eta \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  there exists a relatively compact set  $e(\eta)$  that is not  $\eta$ -measurable the *MT*-conjugate of  $\mathfrak{F}^A$  reduces to the zero element of *A'*. Such non-measurable sets exist, for example, if  $A = \mathcal{R}_c^p(E, \mu)$  with  $E = (0, 1)$  and  $\mu$  Lebesgue measure on *E*,  $1 \leq \rho \leq \infty$ . In contrast, if *E* is arbitrary, if  $A = \Re \sigma$  and  $\mathfrak{N}^A$  is the uniform semi-norm,  $\mathfrak{N}^A$  extends to  $\mathbf{C}^E$ in the form (6, Theorem 15.3),

$$
\mathfrak{N}^A(x) = \sup_{t \in E} |x(t)|
$$

and  $\mathfrak{F}^A$  is the space of all bounded functions on E which is an  $\mathfrak{R}^A$ -extensible  $MT^*$ -space.

We note that if  $B = \mathfrak{F}^A$ , where A is an arbitrary  $\mathfrak{R}^A$ -extensible  $MT^*$ space,  $\mathfrak{R}^A(x) > 0$  is possible for a  $\mathfrak{B}^*$ -negligible function in *B* but  $\mathfrak{R}^A(x) = 0$ for every 2I\*-negligible function in *B.* 

The properties of the extended semi-norm  $\mathfrak{R}^A$  and of  $\mathfrak{F}^A$  for MT-spaces (6, § 12) extend to  $\mathfrak{R}^4$ -extensible  $MT^*$ -spaces with A'-negligibility replaced by  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligibility. In particular  $\mathfrak{F}^A$  is complete.

Generalizing (6) we define

$$
\Omega^A = \bigcap_{\eta \in \mathfrak{A}^*} \mathfrak{L}^1_C(E, \eta)
$$

for every  $MT^*$ -space A. We define  $\Omega_0^A = \Omega^A \cap \mathfrak{F}^A$ . Then  $\Omega_0^A$  is an  $MT^*$ space with  $\mathfrak{N}^A$  (extended) as a semi-norm.

THEOREM 3.4. *If A is an %l<sup>A</sup> -extensible MT\*-space and if A\* is complete*  or, more generally, *tonnelé* (1, § 1), *then*  $\Omega_0^A = \Omega^A$ .

*Proof.* The argument of (8, Theorem 5.1) applies. We note in particular that  $\Omega_0^A = \Omega^A$  for every  $\mathfrak{N}^A$ -extensible *MT*\*-space *A* if *E* is countable at infinity (Theorem 2.1, Corollary).

# **4. A-spaces generated by 9l<sup>A</sup> -extensible MT\*-spaces.**

THEOREM 4.1. Let A be an  $\mathfrak{N}^{\mathbf{A}}$ -extensible MT<sup>\*</sup>-space,  $\mu$  a positive measure on E. Then  $\mathfrak{R}^A$ , extended by  $(3.2)$ , defines a length function for  $\mu$  if and only *if every fx-negligible set is* 21\**-negligible.* 

*Proof.* By (3.1) and the subsequent remarks  $\mathfrak{N}^A(x)$  is defined for every  $x(t)$  that is defined almost everywhere  $(\mathfrak{A}^*)$  and valued in  $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^E$  and therefore for every  $x(t)$ ,  $\mu$ -measurable and defined, non-negative and valued in **R** almost everywhere  $(\mathfrak{A}^*)$ . That  $\mathfrak{R}^A$  then satisfies Conditions  $(L2)$ - $(L5)$  for length functions (5) is then easily verified. We verify (L5). If  $x_n(t) \in \mathbf{R}^E$  is nonnegative and  $\mu$ -measurable,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , and if  $x_n(t)$  increases to  $x(t)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then for each  $\eta \in {\mathfrak{A}}^*,$ 

$$
\int^* x(t) \ d|\eta| = \sup_n \int^* x_n(t) \ d|\eta|,
$$

by (2, Theorem 3, p. 110). Thus

424 H. W. ELLIS

$$
\mathfrak{N}^A(x) = \sup_{\eta \in \mathfrak{N}_u^*} \int^* x(t) \, d|\eta| = \sup_{\eta \in \mathfrak{N}_u^*} \sup_{\eta \in \mathfrak{N}_u^*} \int^* x_n(t) \, d|\eta|
$$

$$
= \sup_{\eta \in \mathfrak{N}_u^A} \mathfrak{N}^A(x_n).
$$

If (L1) (5) holds every  $\mu$ -negligible set is  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible. Conversely if every  $\mu$ -negligible set is  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible,  $\mathfrak{R}^A$  is defined and non-negative for every  $x(t)$  that is non-negative a.e. ( $\mu$ ) (and therefore a.e.  $(\mathfrak{A}^*)$ ) and if  $x(t)$  is  $\mu$ negligible and  $e = [t : x(t) \neq 0]$ , *e* is *µ*-negligible (2, Theorem 1, p. 119) and therefore  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible. This implies that  $x(t)$  is  $\eta$ -negligible for every  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ and (3.2) then shows that  $\mathfrak{R}^A(x) = 0$  giving (L1).

We note that there exist  $\mathfrak{N}^A$ -extensible  $MT^*$ -spaces, in fact  $MT$ -spaces on a compact set  $E$ , for which  $\mathfrak{N}^A$  cannot define a length function for any measure  $\mu$ . Consider the *MT*-space  $A = \mathfrak{E}_c(E)$  of complex valued functions continuous in  $E = [0, 1]$  with semi-norm  $\mathfrak{N}^A(x) = \sup_{t \in E} |x(t)|$  and suppose that  $\mathbb{R}^4$  defines a length function for some positive measure  $\mu$ . Then, since  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ contains all the point measures, the empty set is the only  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible set and therefore, by the preceding theorem, the only  $\mu$ -negligible set. For each  $t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , the set  $\{t\}$  consisting of the point *t* is closed and therefore  $\mu$ measurable and  $\mu({t}) > 0$ . For some  $a > 0$  there is a collection of points  $t_i$ of *E* with  $\mu({t_i}) > a$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Thus for the characteristic function of  $E$ ,  $\chi_E$ ,

$$
\mu(\chi_E) = \mu(E) \geqslant \lim_{n} \mu(\bigcup_{1}^{n} t_i) \geqslant \lim_{n} na = \infty,
$$

contradicting the assumption that  $\mu$  is a measure since  $\chi_E \in \mathfrak{C}_C$ .

The following theorem is a partial converse of Theorem 3.3.

THEOREM 4.2. Let A be an  $\mathfrak{N}^A$ -extensible MT<sup>\*</sup>-space,  $\mu$  a positive measure *on E and suppose that all of the elements of*  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  *are of base*  $\mu$ . Suppose that every *fi-negligible set is %\*-negligible and that every* 21\**-negligible set is locally [\x-neg](file:///x-neg-)ligible.* Then  $A \subset \overline{A} \subset \mathcal{R}_{c^{\lambda}} = \Omega_{0}{}^{A} \subset \mathfrak{F}^{A}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.1  $\mathfrak{N}^A$  determines a length function  $\lambda$  for  $\mu$ . We denote by  $\ell_c^{\lambda}$  the  $\lambda$ -space determined by  $\lambda$ . By hypothesis every  $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}^*$  can be written  $\eta = g \cdot \mu$  where  $g(t)$  is locally  $\mu$ -integrable. We identify the functions  $g(t)$  with  $A^*$ , the measures  $g \cdot \mu$  with  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ . If  $E(g) = (t : g(t) \neq 0)$ ,  $E(g)$  is  $\mu$ -measurable and, for every  $x \in \Omega^A$ ,  $x_{E(g)}(t)$  is  $\mu$ -measurable (3, Proposition 3, p. 43). Given a compact set K in E with  $\mu(K) > 0$  consider, for all  $g \in A^*$ , the collection of subsets  $E(g)$  of K with  $\mu[E(g)] > 0$ . From this collection form a maximal collection of disjoint sets and let *B* denote their union. Since this collection will be at most countable *B* will be  $\mu$ -measurable. If  $g \in A^*$ ,  $g_{K-B} \in A^*$  and  $\mu[E(g_{K-B})] = 0$  for otherwise  $B \cup E(g_{K-B})$  properly contains *B* contradicting the definition of *B*. Thus, for every  $g \in A^*$ ,  $g(t) = 0$  almost everywhere in  $K - B$ ,  $g \cdot \mu(K - B) = 0$  and  $K - B$  is  $A^*$ -negligible and therefore, by hypothesis,  $K - B$  is  $\mu$ -negligible. If  $x \in \Omega^A$ ,  $x_B$  is  $\mu$ -measurable and therefore  $x_K$  is  $\mu$ -measurable. It follows from (2, Proposition 4, p. 182)

that every  $x \in \Omega^A$  is  $\mu$ -measurable. If  $x \in \Omega_0^A$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}^A(x) < \infty$  and  $x \in \Omega_c^A$ . Thus  $A \subset \Omega_0^A \subset \mathfrak{C}_c^\lambda$ . Since  $\mathfrak{C}_c^\lambda$  is complete it is closed in  $\mathfrak{F}^A$  and contains  $\overline{A}$ , the closure of *A.* 

To prove that  $\ell_c \in \Omega_0^A$  we must show that every  $\mu$ -measurable function  $x(t)$  with  $\mathfrak{R}^A(x) < \infty$  is in  $\mathfrak{C}_c^{-1}(g, \mu)$  for every  $g \in A^*$ . Every  $x(t) \in \mathfrak{C}_c^{\lambda}$  is  $\mu$ -measurable by definition so that the Riesz components of  $x(t)$  are  $\mu$ -measurable (6, p. 168). The Riesz components are then measurable  $(|g \, . \, \mu| = |g| \, . \, \mu)$ for every  $g \in A^*$  (3, Proposition 3, p. 43). Thus  $x(t)$  is measurable  $(g \cdot \mu)$  for every  $g \in A^*$ . Since for each  $g \in A^*$ ,  $|g \cdot \mu|_e \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ , it follows from (3.2) and **(6.** Theorem 9.4) that  $x(t) \in \mathcal{R}_c^{-1}(g \cdot \mu)$ .

We note that if to each compact set K corresponds  $g(t) \in A^*$  with  $g(t) \neq 0$ a.e. ( $\mu$ ) in K, every  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible set is locally  $\mu$ -negligible. This is true in particular if  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  contains  $\mathfrak{R}_c$  or the characteristic function of every compact set.

THEOREM 4.3. Suppose that E is countable at infinity or that  $E = E_0 \cup_i K_i$ , *with each*  $K_i$  compact and  $E_0$  locally  $\mu$ -negligible,  $\mu$  a positive measure. Let A *be an yi<sup>A</sup> -extensible MT\*-space for which all of the elements of A\* are of base* 

 $\mathfrak{P}^A$  $\mu$ . Then, if  $E_0$  is  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible, the normed spaces  $\mathbf{L}_c^{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\Omega_0{}^A$  associated with  $\Omega_0{}^A$  $2^{\alpha \alpha}_c$  and  $\Omega_0^{\ A}$  are equivalent and contain A, the normed space associated with A.

*Proof.* As in Theorem 4.2 each  $K_i$  is the union of a  $\mu$ -measurable set  $B_i$  and an  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible set. If  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ ,  $x_B$  is  $\mu$ -measurable for every  $x \in \Omega^4$ . Every  $g \in A^*$  vanishes a.e.  $(\mathfrak{A}^*)$  in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i - B$ . If not, for some  $g, i$ ,

$$
\mu[E(g_{K_i-B})] > 0,
$$

contradicting the definition of  $B_i$ . It follows that  $B' = E - B$  is  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible. Thus for each  $x(t) \in \Omega^A$ ,  $x_B(t)$  is  $\mu$ -measurable and  $\Re^A(x - x_B) = 0$ . If then  $x(t) \in \Omega_0{}^A$ ,  $x_B(t) \in \mathcal{R}_c{}^{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda = \mathfrak{N}^A$ , with  $\mathfrak{N}^A(x) = \mathfrak{N}^A(x_B)$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}_0{}^A \subset \mathbf{L}_c{}^{\lambda}$ . The proof that  $\mathbf{L}_{c}^{\lambda} \subset \tilde{\Omega}_{0}{}^{A}$  is similar to the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 4.2.

When *E* is countable at infinity  $\Omega_0^A = \Omega^A$ . The space *E* defined in (2, Exercise 4, p. 116) is of the form  $D \cup_{i} {}^{\infty}K_i$  with *D* locally  $\mu$ -negligible for the measure  $\mu$  defined there. For the spaces  $\bar{\mathfrak{C}}_{c}$ ,  $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ , *D* is  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligible.

We note that if  $\Re e$  is dense in  $\Re e^{\lambda}$ ,  $\bar{A} = \Re e^{\lambda}$  in Theorem 4.2 and  $\bar{A} = \mathbf{L} e^{\lambda}$ in Theorem 4.3.

**5. MT\*-spaces of Cauchy type.** If *A* is an Mr\*-space, let *B* be the vector subspace of *A* over *R* of real mappings in *A,* B the associated real normed vector space. As in (8), with a natural definition of a partial order on B, B becomes a "Riesz space."

*Definition* 5.1. A complete  $\mathfrak{N}^A$ -extensible  $MT^*$ -space will be called an  $MT^*$ -space of *Cauchy type* if each subset H of B, bounded in norm and filtering for the relation  $\leq$  defines a Cauchy filter.

## 426 H. W. ELLIS

For a maximal MT-space the definition reduces to that given in  $(8, \S 1)$ . The theory of MT-spaces of Cauchy type given in (8) extends to MT<sup>\*</sup>-spaces of Cauchy type with A'-negligibility replaced by  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ -negligibility and with  $\Omega^A$  replaced by  $\Omega_0^A$ .

THEOREM 5.1. If A is an MT<sup>\*</sup>-space of Cauchy type then  $A = \Omega_0^A$ . If the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 are then satisfied,  $A = \mathcal{R}_c^{\lambda} = \Omega_0^A$  and, if the hypo*theses of Theorem* 4.3 are satisfied  $\tilde{A} = L_c^{\lambda} = \tilde{\Omega}_0^A$ .

We note that if  $A = \mathcal{R}_c^{\lambda}$  is an  $MT^*$ -space of Cauchy type, the analogue of (8, Corollary 6.1) implies that  $\lambda$  satisfies (L9) (4, ((L9) as modified on p. 592)). Thus if  $E = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mu$  Lebesgue measure, the space  $\mathcal{R}^{\infty}$  (*E*,  $\mu$ ) is not of Cauchy type.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de Mathématique,* Fasc. XVIII, ''Espaces Vectoriels Topologiques," chaps, III-V (Paris, 1955).
- 2. *Éléments de Mathématique,* Fasc. XIII, "Integration," chaps, I-IV (Paris, 1952).
- 3. *Éléments de Mathématique,* Fasc. XXI, "Integration," chap, v (Paris, 1956).
- **4.** H. W. Ellis, On the MT<sup>\*</sup>- and  $\lambda$  conjugates of  $\mathbb{R}^{\lambda}$  spaces, Can. J. Math., 10 (1958), 381–91.
- 5. H. W. Ellis and I. Halperin, *Function spaces determined by a levelling length function,*  Can. J. Math., *5* (1953), 576-92.
- 6. Marston Morse and William Transue, *Semi-normed vector spaces with duals of integral type,* Jour. d'Analyse Math., *4* (1955), 149-86.
- 7. *Products of a C-measure and a locally integrable mapping,* Can. J. Math., *9* (1957), 475-86.
- 8. *Vector subspaces A of*  $C^E$  with duals of integral type, J. Math. pures et appl., Series 9, 37 (1958), 343-363.

### *Queen s University,*

*Summer Research Institute of the Canadian Mathematical Congress*