

## ON THE NUMBER OF CRITICAL POINTS OF A $C^1$ FUNCTION ON THE SPHERE

RAFFAELE CHIAPPINELLI

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Siena, Via del Capitano 15, 53100 Siena, Italy*  
*e-mail: chiappinelli@unisi.it*

To my mother, Isa

(Received 16 October, 1998)

**Abstract.** For a  $C^1$  function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), we consider the least number  $k$  of distinct critical points that  $f$  must possess when restricted to the sphere  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| = 1\}$ . Clearly  $k \geq 2$  (for  $f$  attains its absolute minimum and maximum on  $S$ ), and a result of Lusternik and Schnirelmann establishes that  $k = n$  if  $f$  is even. Here we prove that  $k = n$  if, for a given orthonormal system  $(e_i)$ ,  $\max_{S \cap V_i} f < \min_{S \cap V_i^\perp} f$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , where  $V_i$  is the subspace spanned by  $e_1, \dots, e_i$  and  $V_i^\perp$  its orthogonal complement. It is shown that this criterion is satisfied by suitably restricted perturbations of quadratic forms having  $n$  distinct eigenvalues.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26BXX, 47H15, 57R70, 58E05.

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  function, and let  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| = 1\}$  be the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; we denote by  $(x, y)$  the usual scalar product of  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and by  $\|x\| = (x, x)^{1/2}$  the euclidian norm. If  $f$  is *even*, i.e.  $f(-x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then according to a famous result of Lusternik and Schnirelmann [4] - reviewed, for example, in the surveys [7], [8], [9] and [11] -  $f|_S \equiv f|_S$  has at least  $n$  distinct (pairs of) critical points, i.e.  $n$  points  $x_i \in S$  at which the derivative  $f'_S(x_i) = 0$  or equivalently  $\nabla f(x_i) = \lambda_i x_i$ ,  $\lambda_i = (\nabla f(x_i), x_i)$ , with  $\nabla f$  denoting the gradient of  $f$ . (Note that critical points occur in antipodal pairs  $(x, -x)$  because of the evenness of  $f$ .) Moreover if  $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $V_i = [e_1, \dots, e_i]$  denotes the subspace spanned by  $e_1, \dots, e_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $V_i^\perp$  the subspace orthogonal to  $V_i$ , then the corresponding critical values  $c_i = f(x_i)$  satisfy

$$\beta_{i-1} \leq c_i \leq \alpha_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n) \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \max_{S \cap V_i} f, \quad \beta_{i-1} = \min_{S \cap V_{i-1}^\perp} f \tag{1.2}$$

with the understanding that  $\beta_0 = \min_S f$  (while  $\alpha_n = \max_S f$ ). The estimate (1.1) follows easily by the minimax expression of the  $c_i$ , which will be recalled later.

It is natural to ask what can be said for an  $f \in C^1$  which is *not* even. In this note we use the same ideas introduced by Lusternik and Schnirelmann, and later extended by Krasnoselskii [3], Palais [6], Schwartz [10], Rabinowitz [7, 8, 9], to give a simple criterion for the existence of  $n$  distinct critical points of a general  $f \in C^1$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $n > 2$ , let  $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  be defined as in (1.2). If  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , then  $f_S$  has  $n$  distinct critical values  $c_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), which moreover satisfy the inequality (1.1).*

We can say this in other terms: if the  $n$  subintervals  $J_i \equiv [\beta_{i-1}, \alpha_i]$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) of the range  $[\beta_0, \alpha_n]$  of  $f$  do not overlap, then  $f$  has (at least)  $n$  critical values, one in each  $J_i$ .

Theorem 1 is an easy consequence of the following result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and let  $V, W$  be complementary subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $\mathbb{R}^n = V \oplus W$ . Set*

$$\alpha = \max_{S \cap V} f, \quad \beta = \min_{S \cap W} f. \quad (1.3)$$

*Then if  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $f_S$  has a critical value  $c \leq \alpha$ . Moreover, given any subspace  $V_0$  of  $V$ , we have  $c \geq \theta \equiv \min_{S \cap (V_0 \oplus W)} f$ .*

*Proof.* Set

$$c = \inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \max_{x \in F} f(x) \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F \subset S \setminus W : F \text{ compact, } \text{Cat}(F; S \setminus W) > 1\} \quad (1.5)$$

and  $\text{Cat}(F; S \setminus W)$  denotes the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of the set  $F$  in  $S \setminus W$ . That is to say,  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of all compact subsets of  $S \setminus W$  that are not contractible to a point by a continuous deformation in  $S \setminus W$ .

To prove that  $c$  is a critical value having the required properties, we first use the following two facts, proofs of which can be found, for example, in [3, Chapter 6, Lemma 2.6 and 2.7].

- (i)  $S \cap V \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (ii) If  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $F \cap (V_0 \oplus W) \neq \emptyset$  for any subspace  $V_0$  of  $V$ .

Using (i) and (ii), the estimate  $\theta \leq c \leq \alpha$  follows readily from the definitions. It remains to show that  $c$  is critical. We argue by contradiction, using the standard deformation technique due to Palais [6], Rabinowitz [8, 9] et al. (though for our purposes, the simpler version given in [7] would suffice). Indeed if not, then there would be a (continuous) deformation  $H : [0, 1] \times S \rightarrow S$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $f(H(t, x)) \leq f(x)$ ,  $\forall (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times S$ ;
- (b) there exists an  $\epsilon$  with  $0 < \epsilon < \beta - \alpha$  such that  $f(x) \leq c + \epsilon$  implies that  $f(H(1, x)) \leq c - \epsilon$ .

Let  $F_\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}$  be such that  $f(x) < c + \epsilon$  for all  $x \in F_\epsilon$ ; then by (a) we have

$$f(H(t, x)) \leq f(x) < c + \epsilon < \beta \quad ((t, x) \in [0, 1] \times F_\epsilon),$$

which implies that  $H(t, x) \notin W$ , for all  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times F_\epsilon$ . Therefore  $H$  deforms  $F_\epsilon$  in  $S \setminus W$  to the set  $G_\epsilon \equiv \{H(1, x) : x \in F_\epsilon\}$ , and since the category of a set does not

decrease under deformations, it follows that  $G_\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}$ . Also by (b),  $\max_{G_\epsilon} f \leq c - \epsilon$  and so  $c = \inf_{\mathcal{F}} \max_F f \leq c - \epsilon$ , a contradiction.

Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 on taking, for  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ,  $V = V_i = [e_1, \dots, e_i]$ ,  $W = V_i^\perp$  and  $V_0 = [e_i]$ , so that  $V_0 \oplus W = V_{i-1}^\perp$  and  $\theta = \beta_{i-1}$ . The corresponding critical values  $c_i$  are thus defined by

$$c_i = \inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i} \max_{x \in F} f(x) \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \{F \subset S \setminus V_i^\perp : F \text{ compact, } \text{Cat}(F; S \setminus V_i^\perp) > 1\}. \tag{1.7}$$

For  $i = 1$  and  $i = n$ , the existence of a critical value in  $[\beta_0, \alpha_1]$  and  $[\beta_{n-1}, \alpha_n]$ , respectively, is trivial since  $\beta_0 = \min_S f$  and  $\alpha_n = \max_S f$ . However, the conditions  $\alpha_1 < \beta_1$  and  $\alpha_{n-1} < \beta_{n-1}$  ensure that  $\beta_0$  and  $\alpha_n$  “do not mix” with the remaining  $c_i$ ’s. Let us further remark that  $\alpha_n = c_n$ , with  $c_n$  defined by (1.6), (1.7) for  $i = n$ . Indeed, it is easily seen that  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{S\}$ ; i.e. the only subset of  $S$  which is not contractible to a point in  $S$  is  $S$  itself. We are unable to prove in general the corresponding equality  $\beta_0 = c_1$ .

REMARK 1. More generally,  $f_S$  has (at least)  $s + 1$  distinct critical values, where  $s$  is the total number of indices  $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  such that  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$  (of course, the case  $s = 0$  is included). It remains an open question as to what properties (if any) the numbers  $c_i$  - which are well-defined anyway by the formulas (1.6),(1.7) - have in general and what happens in particular when  $c_i = c_{i+1} = \dots = c_{i+p}$ , for some  $i$  and  $p > 0$ . One obvious remark is that if the stronger condition

$$\left( \min_{S \cap V_{i-1}^\perp} f = \right) \beta_{i-1} = \alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1} = \dots = \alpha_{i+p} \left( = \max_{S \cap V_{i+p}^\perp} f \right)$$

holds, then  $f$  is constant on  $S \cap [e_i, \dots, e_{i+p}]$ , and so there is a  $p$ -dimensional continuum of critical points of  $f_S$  corresponding to this constant value.

REMARK 2. Returning to Theorem 1 - whose assumptions imply *a priori* that the  $c_i$ ’s are all distinct - an independent open problem is to see how many critical points of  $f_S$  correspond to the same critical value  $c_i$ . In analogy with the case in which  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(Ax, x)$  is the quadratic form associated with a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , or more generally the case in which  $f$  is an even function, one expects that there are at least two.

REMARK 3. In case  $f$  is even,  $f_S$  possesses at least  $n$  distinct (pairs of) critical points. They are associated with the critical values  $c_i = \inf_{\mathcal{Z}_i} \max_F f$  where

$$\mathcal{Z}_i = \{F \subset S : F \text{ compact, symmetric, } \gamma(F) \geq i\},$$

$\gamma(F)$  denoting the *genus* of  $F$ ; for this matter, see in particular Rabinowitz [7, 8, 9] and Szulkin [11]. (In the original work of Lusternik and Schnirelmann [4], the  $c_i$ ’s were defined via the category of sets in the projective space obtained by identifying

antipodal points of  $S$ ; that the two approaches are equivalent was proved in [7].) The estimate  $\beta_{i-1} \leq c_i \leq \alpha_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) mentioned in the Introduction follows easily from the properties of  $\gamma$ ; in particular the following two:

- (i)  $\gamma(S \cap V) = i$  if  $V$  is a subspace of dimension  $i$ ;
- (ii) if  $F \in \mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\dim W < i$ , then  $F \cap W^\perp \neq \emptyset$ .

**REMARK 4.** A more general version of Theorem 2 is given in [1] (see also [2]), where it is used to prove the existence of normed eigenfunctions for a class of semi-linear elliptic problems. It was suggested by a result of Krasnoselskii ([3, Chapter 6, Theorem 2.2]) and provides a sort of constrained version of Rabinowitz' Saddle Point Theorem ([9, Theorem 4.6]; see also [5, Theorem 4.7]).

**REMARK 5.** The above results hold unaltered if  $S$  is replaced by  $rS = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| = r\}$  or more generally by a  $C^1$  submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that is *sphere-like*; i.e. diffeomorphic to  $S$  via the radial projection  $p(x) = x/\|x\|$  ( $x \neq 0$ ).

One disadvantage of Theorem 1 is that it relates the existence of critical points of  $f$  to its behaviour along a given orthonormal system. Sometimes however, the choice of this system is transparent from the problem itself, as shown by the following example.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix having  $n$  simple eigenvalues  $\lambda_1^0 < \lambda_2^0 < \dots < \lambda_n^0$ , and let*

$$d = \min\{\lambda_{i+1}^0 - \lambda_i^0 : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

*Also, let  $F \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  be a gradient vector field such that  $F(0) = 0$  and, for some  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$p \leq \frac{(F(x), x)}{(x, x)} \leq q \quad (0 < \|x\| \leq 1). \quad (\text{H})$$

*If  $q - p < d$ , then  $A + F$  has  $n$  distinct norm-one eigenvectors; i.e.  $n$  points  $x_i \in S$  such that*

$$Ax_i + F(x_i) = \lambda_i x_i.$$

*Proof.* We use Theorem 1, taking as orthonormal basis the normalized eigenvectors  $e_i$  of  $A$ ,  $Ae_i = \lambda_i^0 e_i$ . Then for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have

$$\lambda_i^0 = \max_{x \in S \cap V_i} (Ax, x) = \min_{x \in S \cap V_{i-1}^\perp} (Ax, x). \quad (1.8)$$

Set  $f_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}(Ax, x)$  and  $f(x) = f_0(x) + h(x)$ , where

$$h(x) = \int_0^1 (F(tx), x) dt \quad (1.9)$$

is the primitive of  $F$  vanishing at  $x = 0$ ; thus  $\nabla f(x) = Ax + F(x)$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then using (1.9) and (H), it follows easily that for  $x \in S$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2}p \leq h(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}q. \quad (1.10)$$

Given  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , (1.8) and (1.10) imply that

$$\begin{cases} f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_i^0 + q), & x \in S \cap V_i, \\ f(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{i+1}^0 + p), & x \in S \cap V_i^\perp, \end{cases}$$

and the result follows, since by assumption  $\lambda_i^0 + q < \lambda_{i+1}^0 + p$ . It also follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\lambda_i^0 + p \leq c_i = f(x_i) \leq \lambda_i^0 + q \quad (1 \leq i \leq n). \quad (1.11)$$

## REFERENCES

1. R. Chiappinelli, Bounds on eigenvalues of nonlinearly perturbed compact selfadjoint operators, *Panamer. Math. J.* **8** (1998), No. 2, 1–29.
2. R. Chiappinelli, Constrained critical points and eigenvalue approximation for semi-linear elliptic operators, *Forum Math.* **11** (1999), 459–481.
3. M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations* (Pergamon Press, 1964).
4. L. Lusternik and L. Schnirelmann, *Méthodes topologiques dans les problèmes variationnels* (Hermann, 1934).
5. J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems* (Springer-Verlag, 1989).
6. R. Palais, Critical point theory and the minimax principle, in *Global Analysis*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Vol. 15 (Amer. Math. Soc., 1970), 185–212.
7. P. Rabinowitz, Some aspects of nonlinear eigenvalue problems, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **3** (1973), 161–202.
8. P. Rabinowitz, Variational methods for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, in *Eigenvalues of nonlinear problems* (G. Prodi, ed.) (Cremonese, 1974), 141–195.
9. P. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series Math. Vol. 65 (Amer. Math. Soc., 1986).
10. J. T. Schwartz, Generalizing the Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory of critical points, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **17** (1964), 307–315.
11. A. Szulkin, Critical point theory of Lusternik-Schnirelmann type and applications to partial differential equations, in *Minimax results of Lusternik-Schnirelmann type and applications*, Proc. NATO ASI (Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1989), 35–96.