

Letters to the Editor

Prior Publication of Data

To the Editor:

I am writing in response to the recent *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* editorial policy statement regarding duplicate publication.¹ In the same issue of *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, we published a manuscript relating to healthcare workers' occupational exposures to blood and other body fluids.² We had published previously a paper describing the same data base as an invited paper in an acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) issue of a subspecialty nursing journal.³ We were simply trying to disseminate this information to populations of individuals who might benefit from it.

In light of the new editorial policy statement, I thought we should make certain that *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* was aware of the invited publication in the *Journal of Nurse-Midwifery*. Had we had access to the policy statement prior to submission or publication of our

paper, we would have submitted the earlier paper with our *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* submission.

David K. Henderson, MD

National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

REFERENCES

1. Wenzel RP, Maki DG, Crow S, Schaffner W, McGowan JE Jr. Duplicate publication of a manuscript. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 1990;11:341-342.
2. Willy ME, Dhillon GL, Loewen NL, Wesley RA, Henderson DK. Adverse exposures and universal precautions practices among a group of highly exposed health professionals. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 1990;11:351-356.
3. Loewen NL, Dhillon GL, Willy ME, Wesley RA, Henderson DK. Use of precautions by nurse-midwives to prevent occupational infection with HIV and other blood-borne diseases. *J Nurse-Midwifery* 1989;34:309-317.

Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Long-Term Care Facilities

To the Editor:

The recent article "Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*

aureus (MRSA) in Long-Term Care Facilities" by Kauffman and colleagues¹ provides an excellent review of the available information about this problem. In addition, they point out the many questions that remain unanswered about MRSA in long-term care facilities.

As an infectious diseases consultant, hospital epidemiologist, and infection control consultant to two nursing homes, I have had considerable experience with MRSA. My experience parallels, for the most part, that described by Kauffman and associates. However, I would like to emphasize the point made by these investigators about variations in findings depending on the population studied. Kauffman et al appropriately point out that their findings in a veterans' hospital-based nursing home population may not be applicable to patients in a private nursing home. This is an extremely important point for several reasons. First, the population in most nursing homes is predominantly female (mentioned by Dr. Kauffman) unlike the pre-

Continued on page 276

**This
publication is
available in
microform.**

University Microfilms

International reproduces this publication in microform: microfiche and 16mm or 35mm film. For information about this publication or any of the more than 13,000 titles we offer, complete and mail the coupon to: University Microfilms International, 300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106. Call us toll-free for an immediate response: 800-521-3044. Or call collect in Michigan, Alaska and Hawaii: 313-761-4700.

**University
Microfilms
International**

Please send information about these titles:

Name _____

Company/Institution _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Phone () _____

TABLE
MONTHLY FREQUENCY OF *S. AUREUS* INFECTION AT A VMAC

Month/Year	No. Episodes	No. Episodes
	MSSA	MRSA
October 1989	2	11
November	2	5
December	5	12
January 1990	5	11
February	5	12
March	6	11
April	3	7
May	3	12
June	2	7

Continued from page 274

dominantly male veteran population. Second, it should be emphasized that hospital-based nursing homes may have a greater chance of admitting patients with MRSA than private nursing homes. This is because hospital-based homes receive most of their patients from one facility (the parent hospital). This situation easily can perpetuate MRSA among nursing home residents if the parent institution has a problem with MRSA. Third, there has been recent documentation that substantial changes have taken place in the types of patients admitted to non-veterans' affairs long-term care facilities in the 1980s.² For example, patients entering nursing homes that serve those who need medical and skilled nursing care after treatment for acute conditions were found to have greater clinical needs in 1986 compared to those in 1982. This may be creating an even more susceptible population for MRSA colonization/infection. I am not aware of such information for veterans in nursing home settings.

Kauffman et al pose the question 'Will decreasing the number of patients colonized with MRSA in an institution decrease the risk of spread and the number of infec-

tions within that facility?' Intuitively, the answer is "yes"; however, this is not known with any certainty. In the acute hospital setting, however, the burden of staphylococcal infection is increased in the presence of MRSA, over and above the endemic rate of infection due to methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA).³ This also may be true in the long-term care setting, as recently reported by Muder and colleagues⁴ in a veterans' affairs long-term care facility. In another unpublished study I participated in at a veterans affairs hospital providing primarily intermediate and long-term care, MRSA infections added to the number of staphylococcal infections occurring in the institutions as well (Table). Although I have shown only information for a very recent period in the table, this phenomenon can be documented beginning in January 1988. This type of finding suggests to me that at least in some long-term care settings, eliminating the reservoir of MRSA (colonized patients) may be important.

Based on this experience, I would have to disagree with the authors' statement that "routine use of antibiotics in an attempt to eradicate MRSA carriage should be discouraged." Although we

have stressed specific isolation procedures and cohorting patients with MRSA in a hospital-based nursing home, we only gained control of the problem by finally eradicating MRSA using systemic and topical therapy. Unfortunately, this tends to be a short-lived situation because the parent institution is overrun with MRSA. Nevertheless, we have been able to minimize MRSA and resultant infections with eradication procedures with minimal toxicity and minor resistance problems.

In conclusion, Kauffman and associates have summarized the problem of MRSA in long-term care facilities well. Those of us dealing with MRSA on a daily basis are using various methods to try to deal with this organism. It has a special ecologic niche among humans. We are cognizant of the increasing antibiotic resistance among MRSA strains. Nonetheless, the overriding concern, in my opinion, is to decrease/eliminate the reservoir of MRSA because, at least in some institutions, it has markedly increased the burden of staphylococcal infection with its associated morbidity and mortality.

Joseph M. Mylotte, MD

State University of New York
at Buffalo
Buffalo, New York

REFERENCES

1. Kauffman CA, Bradley SE, Terpenning MS. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in long-term care facilities. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 1990;11:600-603.
2. Shaughnessy PW, Kramer AM. The increased needs of patients in nursing homes and patients receiving home health care. *N Engl J Med.* 1990;322:21-27.
3. Mylotte JM, McDermott C, Spooner JA. Prospective study of 114 consecutive episodes of *S. aureus* bacteremia. *Rev Infect Dis.* 1987;9:891-907.
4. Muder JW, Brennen C, Wagener MM, et al. Methicillin-resistant staphylococ-

Continued on page 278

SOME PEOPLE TAKE DRUG ABUSE **TO** HEART.



Most cocaine users are so dedicated to the drug that what it does to their hearts never enters their minds. They don't realize that every time they use cocaine, they put themselves at risk for such potentially fatal cardiovascular complications as hypertensive crisis.. . myocarditis.. .myocardial infarction . . .ventricular tachycardia.. .ventricular fibrillation.. . even cardiac arrest.

Chances are, at least some of these people are your patients. And many of them may feel more comfort-

able telling a nurse what they can't-or won't-tell a doctor. That's why your role becomes critical in helping suspected drug abusers understand what illegal drug use does to their bodies.

As key members of the health care team, nurses can make a difference in helping to curb drug abuse, and in preventing some of its deadliest consequences. The next time you suspect a patient may be using cocaine-or *any*

illegal substance—have a heart-to-heart talk about the damage these drugs can do.

**A short talk
can go a long way.**

Partnership for a Drug-Free America

Continued from page 276

cal colonization and infection in a long-term care facility. *Ann Intern Med.* 1991;114:107-114.

The authors were asked to respond to this letter.

We appreciate Dr. Mylotte's observations about his experience with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in a long-term care veteran's affairs (VA) facility in Buffalo, New York. We absolutely agree that the population studied is very important. Results in a VA long-term care facility attached to an acute-care hospital and staffed by house officers from the university may be very different from those in a private, predominantly female population in a community nursing home with no house staff coverage.

Whether one should try to eradicate colonization with MRSA depends on the situation. For example, we have found a monthly MRSA colonization rate of $22.7 \pm 1\%$, but an overall infection rate over the course of one year of only 2.6% (9 of 341 patients prospectively followed.)¹ Thus, risk of infection in our facility is low; in addition, most of our MRSA are resistant to TMP/SMX and ciprofloxacin,² so the choice of oral antibiotics to eliminate the carrier state is low. We do not have data on the number of infections surveyed by Dr. Mylotte or

the types of patients (intermediate care presumably implies a sicker cohort than we followed), but he has noted many more infections each month than we ever have had. In this circumstance, it may be very reasonable to try to eradicate MRSA from the facility, presumably by culturing all residents and treating all those who are positive for MRSA.

It would be interesting to know if Dr. Mylotte has established modes of transmission within his long-term care facility. When we looked closely at transmission in our facility, we found multiple different phage types and little evidence of direct patient-to-patient transmission within a given room.³ Thus, cohorting and isolation may not be as important in a facility such as ours and would be exceedingly difficult to carry out.

We are assessing prospectively the role of long-term mupirocin for elimination of the MRSA carrier state in the long-term care setting. Although we know it is effective in the short-term to eliminate MRSA carriage,³ the risk of long-term usage is the development of resistance, which we and others have already noted. Whether mupirocin's use will lead to a decrease in the number of infections in the long-term care setting is under study, but currently is not known.

Carol A. Kauffman, MD;
Suzanne F. Bradley, MD;
Margaret S. Terpenning, MD
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan

REFERENCES

1. Bradley SF, Terpenning MS, Sottile WA, Schaberg DR, Kauffman CA. Bannmission of MRSA in a nursing home. 30th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. October 21-25, 1990, Atlanta GA. Abstract #467.
2. Daum TE, Schaber DR, Terpenning MS, Sottile W, Kauffman CA. Increasing resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* to ciprofloxacin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1990;34:1862-1863.
3. Cederna JE, Terpenning MS, Endberg M, Bradley SF, Kauffman CA. *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal colonization in a nursing home: eradication with mupirocin. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1990;11:13-16.

Letters to the editor should be addressed to INFECTION CONTROL AND HOSPITAL EPIDEMIOLOGY Editorial Offices, C41 General Hospital, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA 52242. All letters must be typed, double spaced, and may not exceed four pages nor include more than one figure or table. The editors reserve the right to edit for purposes of clarity or brevity.