

ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH STRONG HAMBURGER MOMENT PROBLEMS

OLAV NJÅSTAD

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Norwegian University of Science and Technology,
7491 Trondheim, Norway (olav.njastad@math.ntnu.no)

(Received 14 October 2005)

Abstract We complete the investigation of growth properties of analytic functions connected with the Nevanlinna parametrization of the solutions of an indeterminate strong Hamburger moment problem.

Keywords: strong moment problem; Nevanlinna parametrization; Nevanlinna matrix

2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 30D15; 30E05; 42C05; 44A60

1. Introduction

A solution of the *strong* (or *two-point*) Hamburger moment problem for a given doubly infinite sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ of real numbers is a positive measure σ on the real line \mathbb{R} such that

$$c_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^n d\sigma(t) \quad \text{for } n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

An important tool in the study of moment problems is the *Stieltjes transform* $F(z, \sigma)$ of a given measure σ , which we define here as

$$F(z, \sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{z - t}. \quad (1.2)$$

The correspondence between measures and their Stieltjes transform is one-to-one. We shall also need the concept of a *Pick function* (or *Nevanlinna function*), that is, a function which is holomorphic in the open upper half-plane of the complex plane \mathbb{C} and maps this half-plane into the closed upper half-plane; the function with constant value ∞ (on the Riemann sphere) is included as a Pick function.

We shall in the following assume that the given moment problem is *indeterminate*, i.e. it has more than one (hence, infinitely many) solutions. There then exists a one-to-one correspondence (depending on a real parameter) between all Pick functions φ and all solutions σ of the moment problem described by the formula

$$F(z, \sigma) = \frac{\alpha(z)\varphi(z) - \gamma(z)}{\beta(z)\varphi(z) - \delta(z)}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are functions which are holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfy the identity $\alpha(z)\delta(z) - \beta(z)\gamma(z) = 1$ (Nevanlinna parametrization of the strong moment problem). We refer the reader to [8, 11, 14] for this result. For the classical Hamburger moment problem and its associated Nevanlinna parametrization, see, for example, [1–7, 10, 16–20].

In [14] the following result was proved: for fixed numbers ε and η , with $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$, there exists a constant $M(\varepsilon, \eta)$ such that

$$|F(z)| \leq M(\varepsilon, \eta) \exp \left[\varepsilon \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] \quad (1.4)$$

for $0 < |z| < \infty$, $\eta \leq |\arg z| \leq \pi - \eta$, where F is any of the functions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$.

The analogous result for the classical moment problem provides an analogous inequality (where the exponential factor contains only the term $\varepsilon|z|$) valid in the whole complex plane (see, for example, [1–3, 16–18]). Our aim in this note is to extend the inequality (1.4) to an inequality valid in the whole deleted complex plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

2. Orthogonal Laurent polynomials

For detailed treatments of the topics discussed in this section, see [8, 11–15].

The linear space spanned by all the monomials z^n , $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, is denoted by Λ , and the elements of Λ are called *Laurent polynomials*. The doubly infinite sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ defining the given strong moment problem gives rise to a linear functional S and an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on Λ through the formulae

$$\langle f, g \rangle = S[f(z) \cdot \bar{g}(z)], \quad S[z^n] = c_n, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

Let $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the essentially unique orthonormal sequence of Laurent polynomials with respect to this inner product corresponding to the ordering $\{1, z^{-1}, z, z^{-2}, z^2, \dots\}$. These functions have the form

$$\varphi_{2m}(z) = \frac{u_{2m}}{z^m} + \dots + v_{2m}z^m, \quad v_{2m} > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\varphi_{2m+1}(z) = \frac{v_{2m+1}}{z^{m+1}} + \dots + u_{2m+1}z^m, \quad v_{2m+1} > 0, \quad (2.3)$$

for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. All the coefficients of φ_n are real.

The orthonormal Laurent polynomial φ_n is called *regular* if $u_n \neq 0$. At least one of the functions φ_n, φ_{n+1} is regular for every n ; hence, there is always an infinite subsequence of $\{\varphi_n\}$ consisting of regular elements. *For simplicity we assume that all the φ_n are regular.* This does not restrict the validity of the final result.

The *associated Laurent polynomials* $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are defined by

$$\psi_n(z) = S_t \left[\frac{\phi_n(t) - \phi_n(z)}{t - z} \right]. \quad (2.4)$$

All the coefficients of ψ_n are real.

Let x_0 be an arbitrary fixed point in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. We define the functions $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$ and δ_n (depending on x_0) by

$$\alpha_n(z) = (z - x_0) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k(x_0)\psi_k(z), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\beta_n(z) = -1 + (z - x_0) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k(x_0)\varphi_k(z), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\gamma_n(z) = 1 + (z - x_0) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(x_0)\psi_k(z), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\delta_n(z) = (z - x_0) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(x_0)\varphi_k(z). \tag{2.8}$$

These functions are Laurent polynomials with real coefficients. They satisfy the identity

$$\alpha_n(z)\delta_n(z) - \beta_n(z)\gamma_n(z) = 1 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Furthermore, for a given x_0 (except possibly for one value) they are all regular in a sense analogous to that given above (see [13]). We fix a value of x_0 where this regularity property holds for all n .

In addition to the Laurent polynomials introduced above, we consider the functions ω_n and π_n given by

$$\omega_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\varphi_k(z)|^2, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\pi_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\psi_k(z)|^2. \tag{2.11}$$

The two sequences $\{\omega_n(z)\}$ and $\{\pi_n(z)\}$ converge or diverge simultaneously, and the moment problem is indeterminate if and only if these sequences converge for all (or, equivalently, for some) $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. When the moment problem is indeterminate, the sequence $\{\omega_n(z)\}$ converges locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ to a function $\omega(z)$ and the sequence $\{\pi_n(z)\}$ converges locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ to a function $\pi(z)$. Furthermore, the sequences $\{\alpha_n(z)\}, \{\beta_n(z)\}, \{\gamma_n(z)\}$ and $\{\delta_n(z)\}$ converge locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ to functions $\alpha(z), \beta(z), \gamma(z)$ and $\delta(z)$, which are then holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfy

$$\alpha(z)\delta(z) - \beta(z)\gamma(z) = 1 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2.12}$$

These functions are those appearing in the Nevanlinna parametrization of the strong Hamburger moment problem stated in § 1.

A further important fact is that when the moment problem is indeterminate the inequality

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\ln[\omega(t)]}{1+t^2} dt < \infty \tag{2.13}$$

holds. This is the *Riesz criterion for the strong Hamburger moment problem* (see [12]).

3. Inequalities

For proofs of the results in this section, see [14].

The following inequalities hold (with $z = x + iy$):

$$\omega_n(z) \leq \frac{|\beta_n(z)\delta_n(z)|}{|y|} \quad \text{for } y \neq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$|\alpha_n(z)| \leq \frac{c_0}{|y|} |\beta_n(z)|, \quad |\gamma_n(z)| \leq \frac{c_0}{|y|} |\delta_n(z)| \quad \text{for } y \neq 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$|g_n(z)| \leq \frac{c_0}{|y|} [1 + \pi(x_0)|z - x_0|\sqrt{\omega(z)}] \quad \text{for } y \neq 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$|h_n(z)| \leq 1 + \pi(x_0)|z - x_0|\sqrt{\omega(z)} \quad \text{for } z \neq 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where g_n is either of the functions α_n or γ_n and h_n is either of the functions β_n or δ_n . From the Poisson formula it follows that

$$\ln |h_n(z)| = \frac{|y|}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\ln |h_n(\xi)| d\xi}{(x - \xi)^2 + y^2} \quad (3.5)$$

for z outside the real axis and h_n is either of the functions β_n or δ_n .

We introduce the angular regions Ω_η given by

$$\Omega_\eta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \eta \leq |\arg z| \leq \pi - \eta, |z| > 0\}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$. By using the Riesz criterion (2.13) it can be shown that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $B(\varepsilon, \eta)$ independent of n such that

$$|h_n(z)| \leq B(\varepsilon, \eta) \exp \left[\varepsilon \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] \quad (3.7)$$

for all z in Ω_η . From this result, together with the inequalities (3.1)–(3.4), it follows that there exists a constant $M(\varepsilon, \eta)$ independent of n such that

$$|F_n(z)| \leq M(\varepsilon, \eta) \exp \left[\varepsilon \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] \quad (3.8)$$

for all z in Ω_η , where F_n is any of the functions $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n, \delta_n, \omega_n$. From this the inequalities (1.4) follow.

4. The general growth theorem

Theorem 4.1. *For every positive ε there exists a constant $A(\varepsilon)$ such that*

$$|F(z)| \leq A(\varepsilon) \exp \left[\varepsilon \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] \quad (4.1)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, where F is any of the functions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$.

Proof. We first note that, because of the locally uniform convergence of the sequences $\{\alpha_n(z)\}$, $\{\beta_n(z)\}$, $\{\gamma_n(z)\}$, $\{\delta_n(z)\}$, there is a constant μ such that

$$|\alpha_n(z)| \leq \mu, \quad |\beta_n(z)| \leq \mu, \quad |\gamma_n(z)| \leq \mu, \quad |\delta_n(z)| \leq \mu$$

for all z on the unit circle and all n . In the following (until (4.11)) we assume that $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$.

Let F_n be any of the functions $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n, \delta_n$, and let η be an arbitrary value in $(0, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, according to (3.8), there exists a constant $M(\varepsilon \cos \eta, \eta)$, independent of n , such that

$$|F_n(z)| \leq M(\varepsilon \cos \eta, \eta) \exp \left[\varepsilon \cos \eta \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] \tag{4.2}$$

for all $z \in \Omega_\eta$.

We let S_η denote the region given by

$$S_\eta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega_\eta : |z| > 1\} \tag{4.3}$$

and define the function Q_n by

$$Q_n(z) = F_n(z)e^{-\varepsilon z}. \tag{4.4}$$

This function is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and $|Q_n(z)| = |F_n(z)|e^{-\varepsilon x}$ (with $z = x + iy$). For z on the line segments of the boundary ∂S_η we have

$$|Q_n(z)| \leq M(\varepsilon \cos \eta, \eta) \exp \left[\varepsilon \cos \eta \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] e^{-\varepsilon |z| \cos \eta},$$

hence,

$$|Q_n(z)| \leq M(\varepsilon \cos \eta, \eta)e^{\varepsilon \cos \eta} \quad (\text{since } |z| \geq 1).$$

For z on the circular arc of ∂S_η we have $|Q_n(z)| \leq \mu e^{-\varepsilon \cos \eta}$ (since $e^{\varepsilon x} \geq e^{\varepsilon \cos \eta}$). Thus, for all $z \in \partial S_\eta$, we have $|Q_n(z)| \leq C(\varepsilon, \eta)$, where

$$C(\varepsilon, \eta) = \max[M(\varepsilon \cos \eta, \eta)e^{\varepsilon \cos \eta}, \mu e^{-\varepsilon \cos \eta}].$$

Furthermore, since F_n is a Laurent polynomial, there is a constant Γ_n such that $|F_n(z)| \leq \Gamma_n e^{\varepsilon |z| \cos \eta}$ for sufficiently large $|z|$. Consequently, $|Q_n(z)| \leq \Gamma_n$ for all sufficiently large $|z|, z \in S_\eta$. (Again recall that $e^{\varepsilon x} \geq e^{\varepsilon |z| \cos \eta}$). Thus,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln[\ln M(r)]}{\ln r} = 0, \quad \text{where } M(r) = \max_{|z|=r, z \in S_\eta} |Q_n(z)|.$$

Then, according to the Phragmén–Lindelöf theorem (see, for example, [9, Part II, Theorem 7.5 with proof]),

$$|Q_n(z)| \leq C(\varepsilon, \eta) \quad \text{for } z \in S_\eta. \tag{4.5}$$

Consequently (since $x < |z| + 1/|z|$),

$$|F_n(z)| \leq C(\varepsilon, \eta) \exp \left[\varepsilon \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] \quad (4.6)$$

for all z in S_η .

Next, let T_η denote the region given by

$$T_\eta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega_\eta : |z| < 1\} \quad (4.7)$$

and define the function R_n by

$$R_n(z) = F_n(z)e^{-\varepsilon/z}. \quad (4.8)$$

The function is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and $|R_n(z)| = |F_n(z)| \exp(-\varepsilon x|z|^{-2})$. For z on the line segments of $\partial T_\eta \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$|R_n(z)| \leq M(\varepsilon \cos \eta, \eta) \exp \left[\varepsilon \cos \eta \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] \exp(-\varepsilon|z|^{-1} \cos \eta)$$

(note that $\exp(\varepsilon|z|^{-2}) = \exp(\varepsilon|z|^{-1} \cos \eta)$).

Hence, $|R_n(z)| \leq M(\varepsilon \cos \eta, \eta)e^{\varepsilon \cos \eta}$ (since $|z| \leq 1$). For z on the circular arc of ∂T_η we have $|R_n(z)| \leq C(\varepsilon, \eta)$. Furthermore, since F_n is a Laurent polynomial, there is a constant Δ_n such that

$$|F_n(z)| \leq \Delta_n \exp(\varepsilon|z|^{-1} \cos \eta)$$

for sufficiently small $|z|$. Consequently, $|R_n(z)| \leq \Delta_n$ for all sufficiently small $|z|$, $z \in T_\eta$. (Recall that $\exp(\varepsilon x|z|^{-2}) \geq \exp(|z|^{-1} \cos \eta)$.) Thus,

$$\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow 0, z \in E_\eta} |R_n(z)| \leq \Delta_n.$$

Then according to a version of the maximum principle (see, for example, [9, Part II, p. 208]) we have

$$|R_n(z)| \leq C(\varepsilon, \eta) \quad \text{for } z \in T_\eta. \quad (4.9)$$

Consequently (since $x/|z|^2 < |z| + 1/|z|$),

$$|F_n(z)| \leq C(\varepsilon, \eta) \exp \left[\varepsilon \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] \quad (4.10)$$

for all z in T_η .

In a similar way we obtain an estimate

$$|F_n(z)| \leq \tilde{C}(\varepsilon, \eta) \exp \left[\varepsilon \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] \quad (4.11)$$

for all z in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega_\eta$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z) < 0$.

Now recall that η is an arbitrary fixed value. Taking into account (4.2), (4.6), (4.10) and (4.11), we find that there exists a constant $A(\varepsilon)$ independent of n such that

$$|F_n(z)| \leq A(\varepsilon) \exp \left[\varepsilon \left(|z| + \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \right] \quad (4.12)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. From this we conclude that (4.1) holds. \square

Remark 4.2. The inequality (4.1) is equivalent to two inequalities of the form

$$|F(z)| \leq A_0(\varepsilon) \exp \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{|z|} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad |F(z)| \leq A_\infty(\varepsilon) \exp[\varepsilon|z|].$$

It may therefore be natural to state Theorem 4.1 in the following form: the functions α , β , γ and δ (which are holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$) are of order less than 1 or of order 1 and type 0 at the origin and at ∞ .

References

1. N. I. AKHIEZER, *The classical moment problem and some related question in analysis* (Hafner, New York, 1965).
2. C. BERG, Indeterminate moment problems and the theory of entire functions, *J. Computat. Appl. Math.* **65** (1995), 27–55.
3. C. BERG AND H. L. PEDERSEN, On the order and type of the entire functions associated with an indeterminate Hamburger moment problem, *Ark. Mat.* **32** (1998), 1–11.
4. H. BUCHWALTER AND G. CASSIER, La paramétrization de Nevanlinna dans le problème des moments de Hamburger, *Expo. Math.* **2** (1984), 155–178.
5. H. HAMBURGER, Über eine Erweiterung des Stieltjesschen Momentproblems, I, *Math. Annalen* **81** (1920), 235–319.
6. H. HAMBURGER, Über eine Erweiterung des Stieltjesschen Momentproblems, II, *Math. Annalen* **82** (1921), 120–164.
7. H. HAMBURGER, Über eine Erweiterung des Stieltjesschen Momentproblems, III, *Math. Annalen* **82** (1921), 168–187.
8. W. B. JONES AND O. NJÅSTAD, Orthogonal Laurent polynomials and strong moment theory: a survey, *J. Computat. Appl. Math.* **105** (1999), 51–91.
9. A. J. MARKUSHEVICH, *Theory of functions of a complex variable* (Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1977).
10. R. NEVANLINNA, Über beschränkte analytische Funktionen, *Annales Acad. Sci Fenn. A* **32** (1929), 1–75.
11. O. NJÅSTAD, Solutions of the strong Hamburger moment problem, *J. Math. Analysis Applic.* **197** (1996), 227–248.
12. O. NJÅSTAD, Riesz criterion for the strong Hamburger moment problem, *Commun. Analytic Theory Contin. Fractions* **7** (1999), 34–50.
13. O. NJÅSTAD, *Regularity of certain Laurent polynomials*, *Proc. Int. Conf. Analysis and Its Applications, Chennai, 2000*, pp. 139–145 (Allied Publishers, 2001).
14. O. NJÅSTAD, Nevanlinna matrices for the strong Hamburger moment problem, *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **33** (2003), 475–488.
15. O. NJÅSTAD AND W. J. THRON, Unique solvability of the strong Hamburger moment problem, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. A* **40** (1986), 5–19.
16. M. RIESZ, Sur le problème des moments, I, *Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys.* **16**(12) (1922), 1–21.
17. M. RIESZ, Sur le problème des moments, II, *Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys.* **16**(19) (1922), 1–23.
18. M. RIESZ, Sur le problème des moments, III, *Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys.* **17**(16) (1923), 1–52.
19. J. A. SHOHAT AND J. D. TAMARKIN, *The problem of moments*, Mathematical Surveys, Volume 1 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1943).
20. M. H. STONE, *Linear transformations in Hilbert space and their applications to analysis*, Colloquium Publications, Volume 15 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1932).