- 3. Greenland S, Mansournia MA. Penalization, bias reduction, and default priors in logistic and related categorical and survival regressions. Statist Med 2015;34:3133-3143.
- 4. Ayubi E, Safiri S. Predictors of failure after single faecal microbiota transplantation in patients with recurrent Clostridium difficile infection: results from a three-year cohort study; methodological issues. Clin Microbiol Infect 2017 Apr 22;pii: S1198-743X(17) 30228-30228. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.017.04.017.
- 5. Ayubi E, Safiri S. Profile and outcome of first 109 cases of paediatric acute liver failure at a specialized paediatric liver unit in India: methodological issues. Liver Int 2017 Apr 19. doi: 10.1111/liv.13455.
- 6. Ayubi E, Safiri S. Bias in association between FEV1/FVC % predicted at 7 years and asthma-COPD overlap syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017 Mar 31. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201702-0370LE.
- 7. Safiri S, Ayubi E. Dual photon microscopy based quantitation of fibrosis-related parameters (q-FP) to model disease progression in steatohepatitis: Methodological issues. Hepatology 2017 May 16. doi: 10.1002/hep.29261.

Long-Term Care Facility (LTCF) Residents Colonized With Multidrug-Resistant (MDR) Klebsiella pneumoniae Lineages Frequently Causing Infections in Portuguese Clinical **Institutions**

To the Editor—The recent increase of extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)–producing Klebsiella pneumoniae (Kp) and the emergence of carbapenemase-producing Kp in Portuguese clinical settings parallels epidemiological trends described in other countries. 1-4 Moreover, Kp isolates causing hospital infection often correspond to the patient's own colonizing strains, stressing the need to survey fecal carriage of multidrugresistant (MDR) Kp in patients from different clinical settings. Long-term care facilities (LTCFs) are fundamental institutions in contemporary healthcare services, mainly assisting elderly people who, due to frequent hospitalizations, recurrent antibiotic consumption, and communal living, are at a high risk of infection by MDR bacteria.⁶ Different studies among European LTCFs residents have reported high rates of colonization by CTX-M-15-producing Escherichia coli (Ec) B2-ST131, but little is known regarding the prevalence and diversity of other MDR Enterobacteriaceae species (and particularly Kp) colonizing LTCFs residents.^{6,7} The aim of this study was to assess the fecal carriage rate and epidemiological features of non-Ec Enterobacteriaceae isolates resistant to extended-spectrum β-lactams among Portuguese LTCF residents.

Fecal samples from residents (n = 47) at LTCF 1 (25 beds; n = 25 samples) and LTCF 2 (40 beds; n = 22 samples) in northern Portugal were collected during July 2015 and January 2016, respectively. Demographic and clinical characteristics of

the residents are summarized in Online Supplemental Table S1. Rectal swabs were suspended in 2 mL saline solution, and 0.2 mL were seeded on chromID ESBL (bioMèrieux, Marcyl'Étoile, France) and chromID Carba SMART plates (bioMèrieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) directly and after a preenrichment step in 10 mL of trypticase soy broth containing a 10-µg meropenem disk, and incubation at 37°C for 18 hours for the screening of ESBL and/or plasmid-mediated AmpC and carbapenemase producers at 37°C for 24-48 hours, respectively.^{8,9} All presumptive non-Ec Enterobacteriaceae (representative morphotypes per plate) were selected for further characterization. ESBL/plasmid-mediated AmpC or carbapenemase production was confirmed by phenotypic or Blue-Carba tests, respectively, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and sequencing.9 Susceptibility testing to non-β-lactams antibiotics was performed by the disk diffusion 10 and bacterial identification was confirmed by species-specific PCR, and/or matrix-assisted laser-desorption/ ionization-time-of-flight mass spectroscopy. Clonal relatedness among Kp isolates was evaluated by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, multilocus sequence typing (MLST), and wzi capsular typing. 11-13

Air samples (250 L) from different common indoor (3 from LTCF 1 and 1 from LTCF 2) and outdoor spaces were also collected using an MAS100 (Merck Millipore, Germany) air sampler to assess microbiological air quality. The different culture medium plates (ie, plate count agar [PCA], chromID ESBL, and chromID Carba SMART) used were incubated at 25°C for 72 hours (PCA, for fungi quantification) or at 37°C for 48 hours (PCA and selective medium, for bacteria quantification). Microbiological air quality (expressed in CFU/m³) was categorized according to the Portuguese law.¹⁴

A high proportion of fecal samples (19 of 47; 40.4%) was positive for non-Ec Enterobacteriaceae producing ESBL (29.8%) or plasmid-mediated AmpC (10.6%). Despite some epidemiological differences, similar colonization rates were observed in both institutions: 44% in LTCF 1 and 36% in LTCF 2 (Table 1). Notably, in 53% of the samples, we also identified ESBL-producing Ec, leading to an overall rate of fecal carriage with ESBL producers of 81% (data not shown). ESBL carriage was significantly associated with the gender, length of stay, and residents of shared rooms, whereas plasmidmediated AmpC carriage was only significantly associated with consumption of β -lactams in the previous 3 months (Online Supplemental Table S1). Air quality was within the established standards only at LTCF 1, although in both institutions no growth was detected on selective media. The colonization rates by ESBL-producing non-Ec Enterobacteriaceae (29.8%) were significantly higher than those observed in these species among LTCFs and nursing home residents years ago in Portugal in 2008-2012 (~6%) or in LTCFs in other European countries in 2012-2013 (~8%).6 Despite the low sample size, this extraordinary increase (~5-fold) is worrisome in this at-risk population; it is probably influenced by the recent global expansion of MDR Kp isolates in Portuguese clinical institutions.⁴ Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae were

TABLE 1. Epidemiological Data of ESBL- or Plasmid-Mediated AmpC-Producing Non-E. coli Isolates Identified in Residents From Portuguese Long-Term Care Facilities (LTCFs)

Resident(s)	Gender/Age	Date of LTCF Admission	Location of Previous Internment	Strain	Room Type	Species (No.)	ESBL or Plasmid- Mediated AmpC	ST	FTIR Clusters	wzi	Resistance to Non-β-Lactam ^a
LTCF 1 (July 20	015)										
LT1–LT6	F(4)/74-85 M(2)/60-71	14.10.2014- 24.06.2015	H1, H2, H3, LTCF1, LTCF2, LTCF3	LT1.1-LT6.1	S (3), I (3)	<i>Kp</i> (6)	CTX-M-15	ST15	A	wzi19	(AMK), CIP, CLO, (GEN), KAN, NAL, NET, STR, SUL, SXT, (TET), TMP,TOB
LT7	F/78	02.06.2015	LTCF3	LT7.1	Ι	Кр	CTX-M-15	ST15	A	wzi19	CIP, CLO, GEN, KAN, NAL, NET, STR, SUL, SXT, TMP, TOB
				LT7.2		Кр	CTX-M-15	ST15	В	wzi24	CIP, GEN, KAN, NAL, STR, SUL, SXT, TET, TMP
LT8	F/90	08.07.2915	H4	LT8.1	S	Кр	CTX-M-15	ST15	B1	wzi24-like	AMK, CIP, CLO, GEN, KAN, NAL, NET, STR, SUL, SXT, TMP, TOB
LT9	M/75	15.06.2015	LTCF3	LT9.1	I	Кр	CTX-M-15	ST15	В	wzi24	CIP, GEN, KAN, NAL, NET, STR, SUL, SXT, TET, TMP, TOB
				LT9.2		Еа	CTX-M-15	NA	NA	NA	AMK, CIP, GEN, KAN, NAL, NET, STR, SUL, SXT, TET, TMP, TOB
LT10	M/61	06.02.2015	Н3	LT10.1	Ι	Кр	CTX-M-15	ST262 ^b	С	wzi143	CIP, GEN, KAN, NAL, NET, STR, SUL, SXT, TET, TMP, TOB
LT11	F/76	27.05.2015	H5	LT11.1	I	Кр	DHA-1	ST11	D	wzi75	CIP, CLO, KAN, NAL, STR, SUL, TOB
LTCF 2 (Januar LT21-22	ry 2016) M(2)/53–79	05.05.2015 11.04.2013	LTCF4, H2	LT21.1-LT22.1	S (1), I (1)	<i>Kp</i> (2)	CTX-M-15	ST348	Н	wzi94	CIP, (CLO), (GEN), (KAN), NAL, (NET), STR, SUL, SXT, TMP, (TET), (TOB)
LT23	M/80	12.07.2013	H(NI)	LT23.1	S	Кр	CTX-M-15	ST15	В	wzi24	CIP, KAN, NAL, NET, SUL, SXT, STR, TMP, TOB
LT24	M/93	07.08.2015	Н6	LT24.1	Ι	Еа	CTX-M-15	NA	NA	NA	AMK, GEN, KAN, NET, TET, TOB
LT25	F/84	08.07.2015	LTCF5	LT25.1	S	Кр	DHA-1	NI	E	wzi193-like	CIP, KAN, NAL, SUL, STX, TMP, TOB
LT26	F/84	21.09.2015	LTCF4	LT26.1	S	Кр	DHA-1	ST252	F	wzi81	CIP, KAN, NAL, SUL, TOB
LT27	F/81	15.10.2015	LTCF6	LT27.1	S	Кp	DHA-1	ST661	G	wzi373	CIP, KAN, NAL, SUK, TET, TOB
LT28	F/48	21.08.2012	LTCF7	LT28.1	I	Ent.	DHA-1	NA	NA	NA	CIP, KAN, SUL, STR
				LT28.2		Pm	DHA-1	NA	NA	NA	CIP, KAN, NAL, SUL, TOB

NA, not applicable; NI, not identified; F, female; H, hospital; LTCF, long-term care facility; S, shared room; I, individual room; *Kp, K. pneumoniae*; *Ea, E. aerogenes*; *Ent., Enterobacter* spp.; *Pm, Proteus mirabilis*; ST, sequence type; AMK, amikacin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CLO, chloramphenicol; GEN, gentamicin; KAN, kanamycin; NAL, nalidixic acid; NET, netilmicin; STR, streptomycin; SUL, sulphonamides; SXT, sulfamethoxazole; TET, tetracycline; TMP, trimethoprim; TOB, tobramycin.

"Variability among isolates is shown in parenthesis.

^bSingle locus variant (SLV) of ST405.

detected even though they have been increasingly identified among clinical isolates in our country.^{2,3}

CTX-M-15 (16 isolates; 14 samples) and DHA-1 (6 isolates; 5 samples) were, respectively, the only ESBL and plasmidmediated AmpC variants identified (Table 1). In LTCF 1, CTX-M-15 was predominant (n = 12 isolates in 91% of the samples), especially among Kp ST15 lineages (7 wzi19-K19, 3 wzi24-K24). A DHA-1-producing ST11 (wzi75) Kp isolate was sporadically detected (Table 1). Both ST15 Kp clonal lineages (wzi19, wzi24) or ST11-wzi75 have been frequently identified among isolates producing CTX-M-15, KPC-3 or DHA-1 in Portuguese clinical institutions. 1-3 Conversely, in LTCF 2, CTX-M-15 (4 isolates in 50% of the samples) was detected in 2 Kp clones (2 ST348-wzi94 and 1 ST15-wzi24) and 1 Enterobacter aerogenes, whereas DHA-1 (n=5 isolates in 50% of the samples) was identified in diverse species and Kp lineages (Table 1). Notably, the cocolonization by isolates from the same or different species harboring the same enzyme suggests in vivo transfer of bla gene.

Our study reveals alarming (and increased) colonization rates by MDR non-Ec Enterobacteriaceae among LTCFs residents. These rates represent a challenge for these institutions in terms of antibiotic stewardship and infection prevention and control, and they highlight the risk of further dissemination to healthcare professionals, residents' families, and the community in general. Most of the Kp lineages (ST11 [wzi75], ST15 [wzi19/wzi24], and ST348 [wzi94]) identified are the same lineages involved in infections in clinical institutions from the same region, evidencing a higher risk of infection among this vulnerable population. The variability observed on the acquired antibiotic resistance genes among colonization/infection isolates in our settings suggests an open genome of such lineages for plasmid exchange.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the residents, the healthcare staff, and management personnel of the LTCFs enrolled in this study. We thank BioMérieux for kindly providing chromID ESBL/chromID Carba SMART media for this study.

Financial support: Support for this study was provided by the European Union (grant no. POCI/01/0145/FEDER/007728) and National Funds (FCT/ MEC, Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia and Ministério da Educação e Ciência) under the Partnership Agreement PT2020 UID/MULTI/04378/2013. C.R. and Â.N. were supported by fellowships from FCT (grant nos. SFRH/BD/ 84341/2012 and SFRH/BPD/104927/2014, respectively).

Potential conflicts of interest: All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

> Carla Rodrigues, MSc;¹ Ana C. Mendes, MSc;^{1,2} Filip Sima, MSc; Jan Bavlovič, MSc;^{3,4} Elisabete Machado, PhD;1,5 Ângela Novais, PhD;1 Luísa Peixe, PhD¹

Affiliations: 1. UCIBIO/REQUIMTE. Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal; 2. Serviço de Microbiologia, Centro Hospitalar do Porto, Portugal; 3. Faculty of Pharmacy in Hradec Králové, Charles University,

Prague, Czech Republic; 4. Faculty of Military Health Sciences, University of Defense, Brno, Czech Republic; 5. FP-ENAS/CEBIMED, Faculdade de Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Fernando Pessoa, Porto, Portugal.

Address correspondence to Luísa Peixe, PharmaD, PhD, UCIBIO/ REQUIMTE, Laboratório de Microbiologia, Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade do Porto, Rua Jorge de Viterbo Ferreira, n. 228, 4050-313 Porto, Portugal (lpeixe@ff.up.pt or lpeixe@gmail.com).

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2017;38:1127-1130

© 2017 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2017/3809-0025. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2017.144

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2017.144

REFERENCES

- 1. Rodrigues C, Machado E, Ramos H, Peixe L, Novais Â. Expansion of ESBL-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in hospitalized patients: a successful story of international clones (ST15, ST147, ST336) and epidemic plasmids (IncR, IncFIIK). Int J Med Microbiol 2014;304:1100-1108.
- 2. Rodrigues C, Bavlovič J, Machado E, Amorim J, Peixe L, Novais Â. KPC-3-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in Portugal linked to previously circulating non-CG258 lineages and uncommon genetic platforms (Tn4401d-IncFIA and Tn4401d-IncN). Front Microbiol 2016;7:1000.
- 3. Manageiro V, Ferreira E, Almeida J, et al. Predominance of KPC-3 in a survey for carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Portugal. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015;59:3588-3592.
- 4. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in Europe 2015. Annual Report of the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net). Stockholm; 2017.
- 5. Martin RM, Cao J, Brisse S, et al. Molecular epidemiology of colonizing and infecting isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae. mSphere 2016;1:1-12.
- 6. Aschbacher R, Pagani E, Confalonieri M, et al. Review on colonization of residents and staff in Italian long-term care facilities by multidrug-resistant bacteria compared with other European countries. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2016;5:33.
- 7. Gonçalves D, Cecílio P, Ferreira H. Nursing homes and longterm care facilities: reservoirs of CTX-M-15-producing Escherichia coli O25b-ST131 in Portugal. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2016;7:69-71.
- 8. Laboratory protocol for detection of carbapenem-resistant or carbapenemase-producing, Klebsiella spp. and E. coli from rectal swabs. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website. https://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/labsettings/klebsiella_or_ecoli.pdf. Accessed June 16, 2017.
- 9. Rodrigues C, Machado E, Fernandes S, Peixe L, Novais Â. An update on faecal carriage of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae by Portuguese healthy humans: detection of the H30 subclone of B2-ST131 Escherichia coli producing CTX-M-27. J Antimicrob Chemother 2016;71:1120-1122.
- 10. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters, version 7.1, 2017. http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/

- media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_7.1_Breakpoint_ Tables.pdf. Accessed June 16, 2017.
- 11. Diancourt L, Passet V, Verhoef J, Grimont PA, Brisse S. Multilocus sequence typing of Klebsiella pneumoniae nosocomial isolates. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:4178-4182.
- 12. Brisse S, Passet V, Haugaard AB, et al. wzi Gene sequencing, a rapid method for determination of capsular type for Klebsiella strains. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51:4073-4078.
- 13. Sousa C, Novais Â, Magalhães A, Lopes J, Peixe L. Diverse high-risk B2 and D Escherichia coli clones depicted by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. Sci Rep 2013;3:3278.
- 14. Portaria no. 353-A/2013 de 4 de dezembro. Ministérios do Ambiente, Ordenamento do Território e Energia, da Saúde e da Solidariedade, Emprego e Segurança Social website. https://www.academiaadene.pt/download/pt/portaria-n-353-a2013recs-ventilacao-e-qai.pdf. Published 2013. Accessed June 16, 2017.

Room Occupancy-Associated Transmission of MDRO, Clostridium difficile, or Norovirus: Results From a Room Surveillance Project

To the Editor—Mitchell et al1 conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to determine the risk of pathogen acquisition for patients associated with prior room occupancy. Overall, pooled acquisition odds ratio was 2.14 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.65-2.77) for study pathogens: methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA); vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE); Clostridium difficile; Acinetobacter spp; extendedspectrum β-lactamase–producing coliforms; *Pseudomonas* spp. When comparing data between gram-positive and gramnegative organisms, the pooled acquisition odds ratios were 2.65 (95% CI, 2.02–3.47) for gram-negative organisms and 1.89 (95% CI, 1.62-2.21) for gram-positive organisms. Most of the included studies were performed in areas highly endemic for multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs).

In January 2016, we initiated a prospective room surveillance project to determine whether the room is a risk factor for transmission to future occupants in a tertiary-care center with a low endemic MDRO burden. In addition, we considered rooms occupied by patients with symptomatic C. difficile or norovirus infection with a presumed high level of environmental contamination, for which a virucidal and sporicidal disinfectant was used for terminal cleaning and disinfection. Only routine infection control quality assurance or mandatory reportable surveillance data were used for this study, which was conducted according to German Federal Infection Prevention Law (IfSG) and German Federal Data protection law (Bundesdatenschutzgesetz).

All positive microbiological results of MDROs, Clostridium difficile, and norovirus cases were reported to the infection control registry. A likely case or room occupancy-associated

transmission was defined as an occurrence of the same organism in a patient in the same room in the 6 weeks following discharge of a patient with known colonization or infection. For this report, we analyzed our experience during the first year of surveillance:

We identified 130 MDRO-cases: 95 MRSA, 9 MDR Escherichia coli, 9 MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa, 5 MDR Klebsiella pneumoniae, 5 MDR Serratia marcescens, 2 MDR Enterobacter cloacae, 2 VRE, 1 MDR Acinetobacter baumannii, and 1 MDR Citrobacter freundii. Among these, 20 cases were classified as nosocomial according to current German surveillance definitions (www.nrz-hygiene.de) and 19 of these were not related to prior room occupancy.

A single case of MDR Klebsiella pneumoniae met inclusion criteria and was related to a small outbreak in the neonatal intensive care unit with transmission by a suctioning device.

We reported 7 cases of norovirus and 39 patients with C. difficile to the registry. A single norovirus case was nosocomial and 7 C. difficile cases were nosocomial, but none was related to prior room occupancy.

In contrast to previous studies,² we could not demonstrate an increased risk for newly admitted patients to a room that had been occupied by a patient with an MDRO, C. difficile, or norovirus. Thus, we conclude that routine terminal cleaning and disinfection of all reachable surfaces in the room is sufficient to prevent the spread of these organisms, even in cases with a presumed high level of environmental contamination (eg, vomiting and diarrhea). The only case primarily linked to a room was falsely positive; it was part of a point-source outbreak not related to room cleaning or disinfection.

Our study is limited by the low endemic rate of MDRO and the lack of compliance checks of terminal cleaning procedures,^{3,4} but it reflects realistic daily practice in a large tertiarycare center in Germany. Enhanced cleaning and disinfections strategies⁵ may therefore only be necessary if the room is identified as a risk factor for transmission within an institution by surveillance such as ours.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support: No financial support was provided relevant to this article. Potential conflicts of interest: S.S.S. is shareholder and employee of the Deutsches Beratungszentrum für Hygiene (BZH GmbH).

> Sebastian Schulz-Stübner, MD, PhD;1 Peter Leonards, HFK;² Petra Zimmer, HFK²

Affiliations: 1. Deutsches Beratungszentrum für Hygiene (BZH GmbH). Schnewlinstr. 10, 79098 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany; 2. Klinikum Mutterhaus der Borromäerinnen, Feldstr. 16, 54290 Trier, Germany.

Address correspondence to Privatdozent Dr Sebastian Schulz-Stübner, Deutsches Beratungszentrum für Hygiene (BZH GmbH), Schnewlinstr. 10, 79098 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany (Schulz-stuebner@bzh-freiburg.de). Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2017;38:1130-1131

© 2017 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2017/3809-0026. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2017.145