# COMMON TRANSVERSALS 

by R. A. RANKIN<br>(Received 5th July 1966)

1. A well-known theorem in group theory $[(8)$, p. 11, Satz 3] asserts that, when $H$ is a subgroup of finite index in a group $G$, there exists a system of common representatives of the right cosets and the left cosets of $H$ in $G$. Various proofs and generalisations, mainly involving combinatorial rather than grouptheoretical ideas, are known, and an excellent account of the subject is to be found in Chapter 5 of Ryser's book (6), where references to the literature are given. The purpose of the present paper is to use group-theoretical ideas to prove theorems of a similar nature. The motivation for this work comes from the theory of Hecke operators, and one of the main objects is to provide a simple proof of a result given by Petersson (4), which is needed in order to prove the normality of these operators.

If a set $S$ is partitioned in $r$ ways as a union of disjoint non-void subsets, a subset $C$ of $S$ is called a system of common representatives, or a common transversal, for the $r$ partitions when $C$ contains exactly one element in common with each of the subsets. Usually we shall take $r=2$, but we also give some results for $r>2$ in $\S 3$.

We shall find the following notation useful. Let $S$ be a set on whose elements a binary operation (denoted by juxtaposition) is defined. Let $A$ and $B$ be subsets of $S$ and put

$$
C=\{c: c=a b, a \in A, b \in B\} .
$$

Then we write, as usual,

$$
C=A B .
$$

If, however, each $c \in C$ can be expressed uniquely in the form $c=a b$, where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, we write

$$
C=A . B .
$$

Thus, if $H$ is a subgroup of a group $G$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=H . R=L . H \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to denote that $R$ is a right transversal of $H$ in $G$ and $L$ is a left transversal of $H$ in $G$. The index of $H$ in $G$ is denoted by [ $G: H$ ] and the order of a finite set $C$ by $|C|$. Hence, when the index is finite, we have, by (1),

$$
[G: H]=|R|=|L| .
$$

2. The following theorem, apart from the final remark, is essentially Theorem 1.7.1 of Marshall Hall (1); it is, however, the final remark which is of importance for our purpose.

Theorem 1. Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be subgroups of a group $G$ and let $g$ be any element of $G$. Write
so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{12}(g)=g^{-1} H_{1} g \cap H_{2}, H_{21}\left(g^{-1}\right)=g H_{2} g^{-1} \cap H_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and suppose that the indices

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=\left[H_{2}: H_{12}(g)\right], n_{2}=\left[H_{1}: H_{21}\left(g^{-1}\right)\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are finite. Then the double coset $H_{1} g H_{2}$ is a union of $n_{1}$ different right cosets of $H_{1}$ in $G$, and is also the union of $n_{2}$ different left cosets of $H_{2}$ in $G$. Further, each of the $n_{1}$ right cosets of $H_{1}$ has a non-void intersection with each of the $n_{2}$ left cosets of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.

For completeness we give a proof. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}=H_{12}(g) \cdot R, \quad H_{1}=L \cdot H_{21}\left(g^{-1}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $|R|=n_{1}$ and $|L|=n_{2}$. Then

$$
H_{1} g H_{2}=H_{1} g H_{12}(g) R=\left(H_{1} g \cap H_{1} g H_{2}\right) R=H_{1} g R .
$$

In fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1} g H_{2}=H_{1} g . R \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For if $h_{1} g r_{1}=h_{1}^{\prime} g r_{2}$, where $h_{1}, h_{1}^{\prime} \in H_{1}$ and $r_{1}, r_{2} \in R$, then $r_{2} r_{1}^{-1} \in g^{-1} H_{1} g$. Since $r_{2} r_{1}^{-1} \in H_{2}$, we have $r_{2} r_{1}^{-1} \in H_{12}(g)$ and hence, by (5), $r_{2}=r_{1}$ and $h_{1}^{\prime}=h_{1}$. We can show similarly that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1} g H_{2}=L . g H_{2} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, if $h_{1} \in H_{1}$ and $h_{2} \in H_{2}$, the two cosets $H_{1} g h_{2}$ and $h_{1} g H_{2}$ have the common member $h_{1} g h_{2}$; note that this is the case even when $n_{1}$ or $n_{2}$ is infinite.

Theorem 2. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold and that $n_{1}=n_{2}$. Then there exists a common transversal of those right cosets of $H_{1}$ and left cosets of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ that are contained in $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}$. In particular, this is the case when $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ have equal finite index in $G$.

This is an immediate consequence of the final sentence in the enunciation of Theorem 1; in fact a common transversal can be chosen in $n_{1}$ ! different ways. To prove the last part of the theorem we observe that, if

$$
\left[G: H_{1}\right]=\left[G: H_{2}\right]=m<\infty,
$$

then $\left[G: H_{12}(g)\right]=m n_{1},\left[G: H_{21}\left(g^{-1}\right)\right]=m n_{2}$ and these are equal, by (3). If $C$ is a common transversal, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1} g H_{2}=H_{1} . C=C . H_{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that Theorem 2 holds also when $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are infinite, provided that the sets $L$ and $R$ in (5) have the same cardinal.

Theorem 3. Suppose that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are subgroups of equal finite index in a group $G$. Then there exists a common transversal of the right cosets of $H_{1}$ and the left cosets of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in $G$.

For $G$ is a disjoint union of double cosets $H_{1} g H_{2}(g \in G)$. When $H_{1}=H_{2}$, Theorem 3 is the theorem proved by Zassenhaus [(8), p. 11]; for different subgroups $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ of the same index it is well known in the case when $G$ is a finite group, but I cannot find a reference to it in the case when $G$ is infinite.

There is a corresponding result when only right (or only left) cosets are considered.

Theorem 4. Suppose that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are subgroups of equal finite index in a group $G$. Then there exists a common right (left) transversal of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ in $G$.

I cannot give a group-theoretical proof of this result. However, it can be deduced from the following combinatorial theorem, of which several proofs are known [(6), Theorem 2.2, p. 51].

Theorem 5. If a set $S$ of mn elements is partitioned in two ways as a union of $m$ disjoint sets each containing $n$ elements, then there exists a common transversal of both partitions.

To deduce Theorem 4, put $\left[G: H_{1}\right]=\left[G: H_{2}\right]=m$ and

$$
\left[G: H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right]=m n,
$$

so that $n \leqq m$, by Theorem 1.5 .5 of (1). We take $S$ in Theorem 5 to be the set of $m n$ right cosets $\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right) g$ of $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ in $G$. Each right coset $H_{i} a$ is a union of $n$ of these cosets $(i=1,2)$, and the result follows. Theorem 5 can also be used to provide an alternative proof of Theorem 3.

The following theorem enables one to conclude that $n_{1}=n_{2}$ in Theorem 1 in certain cases, so that Theorem 2 can be applied.

Theorem 6. Let $H$ be a subgroup of a group $G$ and $g$ an element of $G$. Suppose that $g^{-1}=a g b$, where $a$ and $b$ belong to the normaliser of $H$ in $G$, and that [ $\mathrm{H}: H \cap \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{Hg}$ ] is finite. Then there exists a common transversal of those right and left cosets of $H$ that are contained in the double coset HgH .

Proof. Let $H_{12}=g^{-1} H g \cap H, H_{21}=g H^{-1} \cap H$, as in Theorem 1. Then, since $g^{-1}=a g b, H_{21}=a^{-1} H_{12} a$. Let $H=H_{12} . R$ so that

$$
H=a^{-1} H a=H_{21} \cdot a^{-1} R a
$$

and it follows that

$$
\left[H: H_{12}\right]=|R|=\left|a^{-1} R a\right|=\left[H: H_{21}\right]
$$

so that Theorem 2 is applicable.
3. We consider here partitions of a group $G$ into several families of cosets with respect to subgroups of equal index.

Theorem 7. Suppose that $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{k}$ are subgroups of a group $G$ of finite index $m$ and that $K$ and $N$ are subgroups of $G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[K: N]=m, N=K \cap H_{i}, \quad(1 \leqq i \leqq k) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is possible to find a common transversal for the $2 k$ partitions of $G$ into right and left cosets of the $k$ subgroups $H_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq k)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3 we can find a subset $A$ of $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=N . A=A . N \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=H_{i} \cdot A=A \cdot H_{i} \quad(1 \leqq i \leqq k) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For, if $a, a^{\prime} \in A$, then $H_{i} a=H_{i} a^{\prime}$ if and only if $a^{\prime} a^{-1} \in H_{i}$; but $a^{\prime} a^{-1} \in K$ and so $a^{\prime} a^{-1} \in N$, which gives $a^{\prime}=a$. Hence the $m$ cosets $H_{i} a(a \in A)$ are distinct and, since $\left[G: H_{i}\right]=m$, we have $G=H_{i} . A$; similarly, $G=A . H_{i}$.

We illustrate this theorem by taking $G$ to be the inhomogeneous modular group $\Gamma(1)$, and write

$$
I=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad T=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are integers and $a d-b c=\operatorname{det} T=1$. Two matrices $T$ and $-T$ yield the same element of $\Gamma(1)$. For any positive integer $Q$ we write, as usual,
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(Q) & =\{T: T \equiv \pm 1(\bmod Q)\} \\
\Gamma_{0}(Q) & =\{T: c \equiv 0(\bmod Q)\} \\
\Gamma^{2} & =\{T: a b+b c+c d \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\} \\
\Gamma^{3} & =\{T: a b+c d \equiv 0(\bmod 3)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also denote by $\Gamma^{4}$ any one of the four conjugate "cycloidal" subgroups of level 4 and index 4 in $\Gamma(1)$; see Petersson (5).

In the accompanying table $n_{Q}$ denotes the number of different conjugates of the group $\Gamma_{0}(Q)$ in $\Gamma(1)$. The conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied with $K$ and $N$ as in the table and $k=n_{Q}$. The subgroups $H_{i}(i \leqq k)$ are the groups conjugate to $\Gamma_{0}(Q)$.

| $Q$ | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\Gamma(1): \Gamma_{0}(Q)\right]$ | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| $n_{Q}$ | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| $K$ | $\Gamma^{2}$ | $\Gamma^{3}$ | $\Gamma^{4}$ |
| $N$ | $\Gamma(2)$ | $\Gamma(3)$ | $\Gamma(4)$ |

We deduce that, in each of the cases $Q=2,3,4$, there exists a common transversal of the $2 n_{Q}$ partitions of $\Gamma(1)$ into right and left cosets of the $n_{Q}$ subgroups conjugate to $\Gamma_{0}(Q)$. In all these cases it is easy to give the common transversal explicitly.

A similar result holds whenever $Q$ is a prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$; we take $p>3$. There are then $p+1$ groups $H_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq p+1)$ conjugate to $H_{1}=\Gamma_{0}(p)$, and $\left[H_{1}: \Gamma(p)\right]=\frac{1}{2} p(p-1)$. Take $K$ to be any one of the $\frac{1}{2} p(p-1)$ conjugate groups
containing $\Gamma(p)$ as a subgroup of index $p+1$. Here $K / \Gamma(p)$ is a dihedral groupof order $p+1[(7), \S 114]$ and is the normaliser in $\Gamma(1) / \Gamma(p)$ of one of the $\frac{1}{2} p(p-1)$ conjugate cyclic subgroups of order $\frac{1}{2}(p+1)$. Since $p+1$ and $\frac{1}{2} p(p-1)$ are coprime, $K \cap H_{i}=N=\Gamma(p)(1 \leqq i \leqq p+1)$, and Theorem 7 shows that there exists a common transversal of the $2(p+1)$ partitions of $\Gamma(1)$ into right and left cosets of the groups $H_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq p+1)$.

Finally, we observe that, when a family of subgroups of $\Gamma(1)$ has a common right transversal, these subgroups possess a common fundamental region.
4. We now turn to the problem that initiated this investigation. Petersson [(4), Hilfssatz 2] shows that there exists a common transversal for the right and left classes of $2 \times 2$ integral matrices $S$ of determinant $p$, such that

$$
S \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & p
\end{array}\right] \quad(\bmod Q)
$$

for each matrix $S$ in the transversal. Here $p$ is a prime that does not divide $Q$. The proof given by Petersson is hard to follow since part of it appears to have been omitted.

In this section we apply Theorem 2 to yield a proof of a generalisation of this result. Throughout $n, N$ and $Q$ denote fixed non-zero integers satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \geqq 1, \quad Q \geqq 1, \quad(N, Q)=1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\Gamma$ the group of all $n \times n$ matrices $T$ with integral entries and with $\operatorname{det} T=1$. The group of all non-singular $n \times n$ matrices with rational entries is denoted by $G$, so that $G$ contains $\Gamma$ as a subgroup of infinite index. Let also $\Omega$ be the semigroup of all non-singular matrices with integral entries. Then

$$
\Gamma \subseteq \Omega \subseteq G
$$

Further, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}=\{T: T \in \Omega, \operatorname{det} T=N\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the set of all integral matrices of " order" $N$, and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{Q}=\{T: T \in \Gamma, T \equiv I(\bmod Q)\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity in $\Gamma . \Gamma_{Q}$ is a normal subgroup in $\Gamma$ of finite index (3)

$$
Q^{n^{2}-1} \prod_{p \mid n}\left\{\prod_{j=2}^{n}\left(1-p^{-j}\right)\right\}
$$

We now reduce each element $T$ of $\Omega_{N}$ to Hermite's normal form [(2), Theorem 22.1]. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}=\Gamma . P_{N} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T \in P_{N}$ if and only if $T$ is of the form

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
t_{1} & Q t_{12} & Q t_{13} & \ldots & Q t_{1 n}  \tag{16}\\
0 & t_{2} & Q t_{23} & \ldots & Q t_{2 n} \\
0 & 0 & t_{3} & \ldots & Q t_{3 n} \\
\cdots & \ldots & & \ldots & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & Q t_{n-1, n} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & t_{n}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} \ldots t_{n}=N, 0 \leqq t_{i j}<\left|t_{j}\right| \quad(1 \leqq i<j \leqq n)
$$

and

$$
t_{i}>0 \quad(1 \leqq i<n), \operatorname{sgn} t_{n}=\operatorname{sgn} N
$$

(In Hermite's normal form as given in (2) the zeros are above the main diagonal, rather than below it, but the form (16) is more usual in the application to modular forms, where $n=2$.)

Alternatively, each element $T$ of $\Omega_{N}$ can be expressed in Smith's normal form [(2), Theorem 26.2], from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}=\Gamma \Sigma_{N} \Gamma \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H \in \Sigma_{N}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\operatorname{diag}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{1} h_{2} \ldots h_{n}=N, h_{i}>0(1 \leqq i<n), \operatorname{sgn} h_{n}=\operatorname{sgn} N$ and $h_{i} \mid h_{i+1}(1 \leqq i<n)$.
Both $P_{N}$ and $\Sigma_{N}$ are finite sets and we put
and

$$
f_{n}(N)=\left|P_{N}\right|, g_{n}(N)=\left|\Sigma_{N}\right|,
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N= \pm p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}>0(1 \leqq i \leqq k)$ and $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k}$ are different primes. Then it is easily shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(N)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{p_{i}^{x_{i}+j}-1}{p_{i}^{j}-1}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(N)=\sum_{d^{n} \mid N} g_{n-1}\left(N / d^{n}\right) \leqq f_{n}(N) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21), $g_{n}(N)$ can be found in a finite number of steps, since $g_{1}(N)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}(N)=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(1+\left[\alpha_{i} / 2\right]\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8. Let $n, N$ and $Q$ be integers satisfying (12) and let $J$ be any fixed member of $\Omega_{N}$. Then there exists a subset $C_{N}$ of $\Omega_{N}$ consisting of $f_{n}(N)$ elements such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}=\Gamma \cdot C_{N}=C_{N} \cdot \Gamma \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that, for each $T \in C_{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \equiv J(\bmod Q) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (17) we can find $S_{1}, S_{2} \in \Gamma$ and $H \in \Sigma_{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=S_{1} H S_{2}^{-1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

we suppose $H$ given by (18). Write

$$
\Omega_{N}^{J}=\left\{T: T \in \Omega_{N}, \quad T \equiv J(\bmod Q)\right\} .
$$

For each $T \in P_{N}$, as given by (16), choose $S_{T} \in \Gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{T} \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left(h_{1} t_{1}^{-1}, h_{2} t_{2}^{-1}, \ldots, h_{n} t_{n}^{-1}\right)(\bmod Q) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $t^{-1}$ means any integer $x$ such that $x t \equiv 1(\bmod Q)$. Since the product of the diagonal elements on the right of (26) is congruent to 1 modulo $Q$, such a matrix $S_{T}$ exists. Now write

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}^{J}=\left\{S_{1} S_{T} T S_{2}^{-1}: T \in P_{N}\right\}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}=S_{1} \Omega_{N} S_{2}^{-1}=\Gamma . P_{N}^{J} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, if $T_{1} \in P_{N}^{J}$, then

$$
T_{1} \equiv S_{1} S_{T} T S_{2}^{-1} \equiv S_{1} H S_{2}^{-1} \equiv J(\bmod Q)
$$

It follows from this and (28) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}^{J}=\Gamma_{Q} \cdot P_{N}^{J} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, since $\Omega_{N}^{J} \Gamma_{Q}=\Omega_{N}^{J}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}^{J}=\Gamma_{Q} P_{N}^{J} \Gamma_{Q} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is the disjoint union of $f_{n}(N)$ right cosets of $\Gamma_{Q}$ in $G$. It is also, of course, the disjoint union of $f_{n}(N)$ left cosets of $\Gamma_{Q}$ in $G$. Also, by (28) and (29),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}=\Gamma \Omega_{N}^{J}=\Omega_{N}^{J} \Gamma \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that, for each $T \in P_{N}^{J}$, Theorem 2 applies to the double coset $\Gamma_{Q} T \Gamma_{Q}$. For this purpose we have to show that $n_{1}=n_{2}$, where

$$
n_{1}=\left[\Gamma_{Q}: \Gamma_{Q}^{1}\right], \quad n_{2}=\left[\Gamma_{Q}: \Gamma_{Q}^{2}\right]
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{Q}^{1}=T^{-1} \Gamma_{Q} T \cap \Gamma_{Q}, \quad \Gamma_{Q}^{2}=T \Gamma_{Q} T^{-1} \cap \Gamma_{Q}
$$

Since $\Gamma_{Q}^{2}=T \Gamma_{Q}^{1} T^{-1}$, the groups $\Gamma_{Q}^{1}$ and $\Gamma_{Q}^{2}$ are isomorphic, but it does not follow immediately that they have the same index in $\Gamma_{Q}$.

However, since $T \in \Omega_{N}$, we can find $S_{3}, S_{4} \in \Gamma$ and $H_{1} \in \Sigma_{N}$ such that $T=S_{3} H_{1} S_{4}^{-1}$, and so

$$
\Gamma_{Q}^{1}=S_{4} \Gamma_{Q}^{3} S_{4}^{-1}, \quad \Gamma_{Q}^{2}=S_{3} \Gamma_{Q}^{4} S_{3}^{-1}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{Q}^{3}=H_{1}^{-1} \Gamma_{Q} H_{1} \cap \Gamma_{Q}, \quad \Gamma_{Q}^{4}=H_{1} \Gamma_{Q} H_{1}^{-1} \cap \Gamma_{Q}
$$

and $n_{1}=\left[\Gamma_{Q}: \Gamma_{Q}^{3}\right], n_{2}=\left[\Gamma_{Q}: \Gamma_{Q}^{4}\right]$. Since $H_{1}$ is its own transpose, and since $\Gamma_{Q}$ contains the transpose of each of its elements, it follows that $\Gamma_{Q}^{4}$ consists of the transposes of all elements in $\Gamma_{Q}^{3}$ and conversely. Hence $n_{1}=n_{2} ; n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are finite, of course, since the groups $\Gamma_{Q}^{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq 4)$ contain $\Gamma_{Q N}$ as a subgroup.

It follows that there is a common transversal for the right and left cosets of $\Gamma_{Q}$ contained in each double coset $\Gamma_{Q} T \Gamma_{Q}\left(T \in P_{N}^{J}\right)$. Hence a subset $C_{N}$ of $\Omega_{N}$ consisting of $f_{n}(N)$ elements exists such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{N}^{J}=\Gamma_{Q} \cdot C_{N}=C_{N} \cdot \Gamma_{Q} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each member of $C_{N}$ is congruent to $J$ modulo $Q$. By (31),

$$
\Omega_{N}=\Gamma C_{N}=C_{N} \Gamma
$$

and (23) follows since $\left|C_{N}\right|=f_{n}(N)$.
E.M.S.-L

In the case when $n=2$ and

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & N
\end{array}\right]
$$

the proof can be abbreviated.
We conclude by considering the case $n=2, Q=1$, and use Theorem 6 to give an alternative to prove that there exists a subset $C_{N}$ of $\Omega_{N}$ such that (23) holds.

By (17), $\Omega_{N}$ is the union of $g_{2}(N)$ double cosets $\Gamma T \Gamma$, where $T \in \Sigma_{N}$. Now $T^{-1}=A T B$
where

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{cr}
0 & 1 / N \\
-1 / N & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

so that both $A$ and $B$ belong to the normaliser of $\Gamma$ in $G$. Also $\left[\Gamma: \Gamma \cap T^{-1} \Gamma T\right]$ is finite, and so the required conclusion follows from Theorem 6.

Note added 6 February, 1967. Since this paper was submitted, the comprehensive survey article (9) by Mirsky and Perfect has appeared. In $\S 6.4$ of this article references supplementing those mentioned in $\S 2$ of the present paper are given. In particular, double cosets have been used in a similar way by Ore (10) to prove results that include Theorem 3 above.
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