PW01-264 - HOW WOULD EUROPEAN TRAINEES TREAT BIPOLAR DISORDER FOR THEIR PATIENTS AND THEMSELVES, AND WHAT INFLUENCES DECISION-MAKING?

S. Jauhar¹, G. Lydall², F. Riese³, J. Gama Marques⁴, M. Bendix⁵, O. Andlauer⁶, S. Gerber⁷, N. De Vriendt⁸, I. Dumitrescu⁹, A. Nawka¹⁰, S. Guloksuz¹¹, L. Mendonca¹², I. Nwachukw¹³, R. Psaras¹⁴, C. Roventa¹⁵, D. Giacco¹⁶, A. Mufic¹⁷, E. Dobrzynska¹⁸, A. Nazaraliev¹⁹, J. Van Zanten²⁰, European Federation of Psychiatric Trainees (EFPT) Research Group

¹Department of Psychiatry, Hairmyres Hospital, Glasgow, ²University College London, London, UK, ³Zurich University Psychiatric Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland, ⁴Clinica Psiquiatrica II Centro, Lisbon, Portugal, ⁵Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden, ⁶Université de Franche- Comté, Besançon, France, ⁷Dept of Psychiatry, Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ⁸Brugmann University Hospital, Université Libre de Bruxelles (U.L.B.), Brussels, Belgium, ⁹Bucharest University, Bucharest, Romania, ¹⁰Prague Psychiatric Centre and 3rd Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic, ¹¹Bakirkoy Research and Training Hospital for Psychiatry, Neurology and Neurosurgery, Psychiatry Dept. Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey, ¹²Centro Hospital for Psychiatrico de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal, ¹³University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland, ¹⁴Psychiatric Hospital of Attica, Athens, Greece, ¹⁵Department of Psychiatry, Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania, ¹⁶Dipartimento di Psichiatria, Università di Napoli SUN, Naples, Italy, ¹⁷Dept Psychiatry, Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia, ¹⁸Cygnet Hospital, Bristol, UK, ¹⁹Department of Psychiatry, Moscow, Moscow, Russia, ²⁰Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Dept. of Psychiatry, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Objectives: Guidelines produced for management of Bipolar Disorder illustrate change in evidence-base for treatment of acute and maintenance phases of illness. Our Pan-European Research Group assessed clinical practice and desired treatments amongst amongst Psychiatry trainees.

Methods: A semi-structured survey was piloted, and homogenous sample size (at least 50) agreed upon from each country, with 50% minimum response rate. It was distributed via web-link, questioning preference of mood stabiliser for patients, trainees themselves and factors influencing choice.

Results: Tables 1 summarise choices.

Number (n)		Percentage		Drug(s)	
263/224		40.8/34.8		Lithium	
121/101		18.8/15.7		Semisodium Va	alproate
133/85		20.7/13.2		Sodium Valproa	ate
21/50		3.3/7.8		Lamotrigine	
27/18		4.2/2.8		Lithium and So	dium Valproate
10/15		1.6/2.3		Carbamezapine)
24/12		3.7/1.9		2nd Generation Atypical antipsychotics	
8/4		1.2/0.7		Various combin	ations
34/134		5.3/21		Left blank	
[Choice	of m	ood	stabiliser	for	patient/themselves]

Factors influencing decision-making mapped onto cost, efficacy and side-effect profile (less than 4% other reasons). 66% (n=538) of respondents felt efficacy most important, 25% (n=202) felt side-effect profile most important and 3% (n=24) considered cost of most importance.

Conclusions: No clear difference exists in choice of mood stabiliser for European trainees and their patients, and decisions based on perceived efficacy are generally in keeping with established guidelines.