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Let $A$ be a commutative algebra contained in $M_{n}(F), F$ a field. Then $A$ is nilpotent if there exists $v$ such that $A^{v}=(0)$, and is said to have nilpotency class $k$ (denoted $C l(A)=k$ ) if $A^{k}=(0)$, but $A^{k-1} \neq(0)$. A well known result asserts that matrix algebras are nilpotent if and only if every element is nilpotent. Let $\mathbf{N}=$ $\left\{A \mid A\right.$ is a nilpotent commutative subalgebra of $\left.M_{n}(F)\right\}$.

If $A \in \mathbf{N}$, and $A$ is not properly contained in any other algebra in $\mathbf{N}$, then $A$ is maximal in $\mathbf{N}$. Let $\mathbf{M}=\{A \mid A$ is maximal in $\mathbf{N}\}$. For $a, b \in M_{n}(F)$, if $a^{v}=b^{v}=0$ and $a b=b a$, then $(a b)^{v}=(a+b)^{2 v}=0$. Therefore $A \in \mathbf{M}$ if and only if $a^{\prime} a=a a^{\prime}$, for all $a \in A$ and $\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{k}=0$ for some $k$ imply $a^{\prime} \in A$.

Let $\mathbf{A}_{k}=\{A \mid A$ is maximal among those algebras of class $k$ in $\mathbf{N}\}$. Clearly $\mathbf{M} \subset \bigcup_{k} \mathbf{A}_{k}$. We prove the converse.

Theorem. If $M \in \mathbf{A}_{k}$ for some $k$, then $M \in \mathbf{M}$, or $M=(0)$.
Proof. Let $M$ be a nontrivial algebra in $\mathbf{A}_{k}$. Then $M$ is contained in some $N \in \mathbf{M}$. (See [1, p. 35].) For a nontrivial algebra $C \in \mathbf{N}$, with $C l(C)=s>1$, define

$$
H_{C}=\{x \in C \mid x C=(0)\} .
$$

$H_{C} \neq(0)$ since $C^{s-1} \subseteq H_{C}$.
Lemma. $H_{M}=H_{N}$

Proof. (i) $H_{N} \subseteq H_{M}$
Since $x \in H_{N}$ implies $x M=(0)$, it suffices to show $H_{N} \subseteq M$. Let $S$ be the algebra generated by $M$ and $H_{N}$. Then $M \subseteq S$, and $C l(M)=C l(S)$; thus, since $M \in \mathbf{A}_{k}$, we have $M=S$. Therefore $H_{N} \subseteq M$.
(ii) $H_{M} \subseteq H_{N}$

Since $N$ is nilpotent, there exists $x \in F^{n}-\{0\}$, such that $a x=0$ for all $a \in N$ (See [1]); and there exists $y \in F^{n}-\{0\}$, linearly independent of $x$ such that $y \notin N F^{n}$. Complete $x, y$ to a basis $y, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}, x$ for $F^{n}$ and rewrite the matrices of $N$ in terms of this basis.

Then all the matrices of $N$ are of the form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{1}\\
& & & & & & 0 \\
& & & & & & 0 \\
& & * & & & & \cdot \\
& & & & & & \cdot \\
& & & & & & 0 \\
& & & & & & 0 \\
& & & & & & 0
\end{array}\right]_{n \times n}
$$

Define $z$ to be the matrix with a 1 in the $(n, 1)$ position, and 0 elsewhere. It is clear from (1) that $z N=N z=(0), z^{2}=0$, and so $z \in N$, since $N \in \mathbf{M}$. Hence $z \in H_{N}$. Suppose there exists $b^{\prime} \in H_{M}-H_{N}$; i.e. there exists $a^{\prime} \in N-M$, such that $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}=$ $b^{\prime} a^{\prime} \neq 0$ but $b^{\prime} M=M b^{\prime}=(0)$. Choose $W \in F^{n}-\{0\}$ such that $a^{\prime} b^{\prime} W \neq 0$. Define $c$ to be the $n \times n$ matrix whose first column is $b^{\prime} W$, and whose remaining columns are 0 . Since $z b^{\prime}=0, z b^{\prime} W=0$; hence $z c=0$. Thus the $(1,1)$ entry of $c$ is 0 , and therefore $c^{2}=0$. Since $b b^{\prime}=0, b c=0$ for all $b \in M$. From (1), $c N=(0)$; so $c M=(0)=M c$. But the class of the algebra generated by $c$ and $M$ is clearly equal to $C l(M)$; hence $c \in M$, since $M \in \mathbf{A}_{k}$. However $c a^{\prime}=0 \neq a^{\prime} c$ by construction, so $c \notin N$. This is a contradiction since $c \in M$ and $M \subseteq N$. Therefore, no such $b^{\prime}$ exists, i.e. $H_{M} \subseteq H_{N}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Continuing the proof of the theorem:
If $M^{k-p} N^{p}=(0)$, then $M^{k-(p+1)} N^{p} \subseteq H_{M}=H_{N}$ and so $M^{k-(p+1)} N^{p+1}=(0)$. Applying this $k$ times to $M^{k}=(0),(p=0)$ we reach the conclusion $N^{k}=(0)$. That is, $C l(M)=C l(N)$. But since $M \subseteq N$, and $M \in \mathbf{A}_{k}$, this implies $M=N$. So $M$ is maximal, as desired.

Remark. If $F$ is an integral domain (instead of a field), the result is also true. We may reduce the problem to that of a field by considering the quotient field; the induced commutative nilpotent algebra is maximal of its class.
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