I compared these data with results from a previous audit in January 2022 which had highlighted failings in meeting the standards recommended by Royal College of Psychiatrists. The initial audit led to the creation of an Admission checklist to improve practice. The results from August 2022 demonstrated the impact of the checklist.

Results. On 7th August 2022, there were 18 inpatients in Ward 4. Duration of admission varied from 1 day to 1,259 days.

The 3 routine investigations of Physical Examination, ECG and Bloods were completed within 24 hours of admission much more reliably than the initial audit.

Bloods were completed in 100% of cases compared to 52.9% in January 2022. Physical Examination was completed in 94.4% compared to 76.4% in January 2022. ECG was also completed in 94.4% compared to 58.8% in previous audit.

Conclusion. There was a marked improvement in completion of examination, investigations and recording of the results since creation of a checklist. This could be due to increased awareness of the requirements aided by the visible prompt of the checklist on the ward.

Staff are recognizing that mental health cannot be viewed in isolation from physical health which improves the quality of care patients receive during admission. Any health needs can be identified early allowing time for referral if required.

Reducing Restrictive Practice on a Medium Secure High Dependency Forensic Inpatient Unit

Dr Brandon Wong* and Dr Mohsin Khan

West London NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom
*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2023.331

Aims. Restrictive practice can include physical and chemical restraint and should be utilised as a last resort. It has been found to negatively impact patients causing psychological distress, re-traumatisation, and a sense of helplessness. Restrictive practice also negatively impacts staff, causing emotional distress, moral conflict and the risk of physical harm. Since 2018, there has been a drive to reduce restrictive practice in inpatient mental health wards across England by the National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health, which has been further developed by NHS England in 2021 within the Mental Health Safety Improvement Programme (MH-SIP). This study aims to reduce restrictive practice on a 10-bedded Medium Secure High Dependency Male Forensic Mental Health Unit over a 6-month period, incorporating staff and patient feedback and utilise QI methodology.

Methods. Number of total seclusion hours, seclusion episodes and secluded patients per day were measured at baseline utilising the Rio clinical system and continuously tracked during the study period. Interventions were discussed by a multi-disciplinary team including nurses, pharmacists, health care assistants, occupational therapists, psychologists, and doctors. Patients were invited to give feedback on restrictive practice during ward rounds. Potential interventions were then implemented utilising PDSA methodology with iterative changes tested and analysed. Staff and patients were also invited to complete surveys and semi-structured interviews to give further comments during the study.

Results. Baseline data of monthly activity showed 3,758 total seclusion hours, 10 seclusion episodes and 5.3 seclusions per day. Iterative interventions included; (i) MDT discussions to support positive risk taking (ii) Improved collaborative care planning with patients (iii) Incident calendars for patients (iv) excel spreadsheet indicating progress towards leave / referral to stepdown ward and (v) improving transparency on impact of incidents on progress. Month 6 activity showed 174 total seclusion hours (95% reduction), 1 seclusion episode (90% reduction), and 1 average seclusion per day (82% reduction). A survey completed at the end of the study period showed all patients either strongly agreed or agreed that they understood the process for termination of seclusion, with 100% either responding between “neutral" to "strongly agree” that this had improved.

Conclusion. It was hypothesised that a more collaborative approach with positive risk taking could lead to the reduction of restrictive practice. The interventions enacted have significantly reduced the use of restrictive practice. Further study is recommended into these interventions to review if similar results can be replicated in other inpatient wards.

An Audit of Information Provided to Paramedics / A&E Staff on Transfer to the Colchester General Hospital

Dr Oksana Zinchenko[1]*, Dr Ahmed Shoka[1], Dr Yaser Hamza[2], Dr Aamir Mujtaba[1], Dr Vincent Mtika[1] and Dr Stephanie Riding[1]

[1]Essex Partnership University NHS Foundation Trust, Colchester, United Kingdom and [2]East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust, Colchester, United Kingdom
*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2023.332

Aims. Following feedback from paramedics and staff, escorting patients to the A&E, concerns were raised when some information was missed during the verbal handover from patient/escorting staff to the ambulance/A&E staff. At times the purpose of the transfer was not clear. Essex Partnership University NHS Foundation Trust (EPUT) “Discharge and Transfer Clinical Guidelines” (CG24) provides clear guidelines to staff when a person is transferred while in the care of the Trust to another service such as another acute trust or, discharged from EPUT services completely. However, there are no current guidelines available for transferring patients for clinical reasons: in case of emergency or acute medical condition, for specialist treatment or investigation. The standard was used: the “Ambulance handover to emergency care standard V1.0” created by Professional Record Standards Body (PRSB). 100% of patients should have a support letter from doctors with relevant information shared with paramedics or the A&E department on transfer to a general hospital. The scope of the audit was Peter Bruff Mental Health Assessment Unit and Ardleigh Acute Inpatient Ward.

Methods. The data were collected retrospectively from notes available on the electronic health record database (Paris). The audit tool focused on quantitative and qualitative data collection on patient transfer.

Inclusion criteria: all patients admitted to the Peter Bruff MH Assessment Unit (male and female) and the Ardleigh Ward (female) over the period from 1 September to 15 September 2022. All data were anonymised. Results were tabulated and presented in statistical form back to the clinical teams.

Results. There were identified 18 male and 33 female patients on the Peter Bruff MH Assessment Unit. 2 patients were sent to the A&E via ambulance and 4 patients attended the A&E with staff escort. A support letter was available on one occasion. Compliance 17%.