# ON THE LATTICE OF $\sigma$-ALGEBRAS 

MARLON C. RAYBURN

1. Introduction. In this paper I consider the relations between the lattice of topologies on a fixed space and the lattice of $\sigma$-algebras on that space. It is found that the intersection of these two lattices is the lattice of complete Boolean algebras, and that this lattice is anti-atomically generated. Some sufficient conditions for a topology to contain a maximal $\sigma$-algebra are noted.
2. Preliminary remarks. Fröhlich (1) characterized the ultraspaces (anti-atoms) in the lattice $\Sigma$ of topologies as being of the form $G(x, U)=$ $P(X \backslash\{x\}) \cup U$, where $U$ is an ultrafilter on $X$ other than the principal ultrafilter at $x$. If $U$ is a principal ultrafilter at some other point, say $U(y)$, then $G(x, U(y))$ is called a principal ultraspace. A principal topology is a topology which is the intersection of principal ultraspaces. Steiner (7) characterized principal topologies as those which have a base of open sets minimal at each point, or equivalently, those which are closed under arbitrary intersection.

On a finite space, every topology is principal. Hence, it is possible to discuss any topology on a finite space by explicitly exhibiting its base of open sets minimal at each point.

An $n \times n$ " $K$-matrix" is a $0-1$ matrix ( $a_{i j}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i i}=1 \quad \text { for all } i, \\
& a_{i j}=1 \Rightarrow\left[\left(a_{j k}=1\right) \Rightarrow\left(a_{i k}=1\right)\right] \text { for all } i, j .
\end{aligned}
$$

Krishnamurthy (3) established a one-to-one correspondence between these matrices and the topologies on a space of $n$ points. Indeed, for each $x_{i} \in X$, the $i$ th row of the $K$-matrix corresponds to the minimal open set containing $x_{i}$. This minimal open set contains $x_{j}$ if $a_{i j}=1$. In (5), it was shown that those symmetric with respect to the main diagonal correspond to the $\sigma$-algebras.

## 3. Complete Boolean algebras.

Theorem 1. Every $\sigma$-algebra over $X$ is a complete Boolean algebra if and only if $X$ is countable.

Proof. If $X$ is uncountable, let $B$ be the countable, co-countable sets of $X$;

[^0]i.e., $B=\{T: T$ is countable or $X \backslash T$ is countable $\}$. It is easy to check that $B$ is a $\sigma$-algebra, but is not closed under arbitrary unions.

For the converse, the only point perhaps not immediately obvious is that an uncountable $\sigma$-algebra, $B$, over a countably infinite set is closed under arbitrary unions. Let $\left\{B_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ be an arbitrary family of sets in $B$. However, $\cup_{\Delta} B_{\alpha} \subseteq X$, thus,

$$
\bigcup_{\Lambda} B_{\alpha}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\{p_{i}\right\}, \quad p_{i} \in X
$$

For each $i=1,2, \ldots$, choose one $B_{i}$ in the family for which $p_{i} \in B_{i}$. Then

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\{p_{i}\right\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{i} \subseteq \bigcup_{\Lambda} B_{\alpha}
$$

Since $B$ is closed under countable unions, the result follows.
Theorem 2. The lattice of complete Boolean algebras is precisely the inter. section of the lattice of $\sigma$-algebras with the lattice of topologies.

The proof is immediate from De Morgan's laws.
Corollary. The complete Boolean algebras on $X$ are principal topologies.
Proof. The complete Boolean algebras on $X$ are precisely the closed-open topologies on $X$. Hence, in them, arbitrary intersections of open sets are open. However, this characterizes the principal topologies.
4. A generating map of $\sigma$-algebras. Every topology can be generated by a Kuratowski closure operator from $P(X)$ to $P(X)$ (2). By analogy with topology, we ask about operators from $P(X)$ to $P(X)$ which generate $\sigma$ algebras. The method of outer measures is close to this approach: If $m^{*}: P(X) \rightarrow$ \{real numbers $\}$, such that $m^{*}(\emptyset)=0$, and $m^{*}$ is monotone and subadditive, then $B=\left\{T: \forall A \subseteq X, m^{*}(A) \geqq m^{*}(A \cap T)+m^{*}(A \backslash T)\right\}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra on $X(4$, p. 87).

Partial success is given by the following approach. Let the complementation operator $C: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ be given by $C(A)=X \backslash A$.

Definition. Let $k: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ be such that for all $A, B$ in $P(X)$,
(1) $A \subseteq k(A)$;
(2) $k(A)=k^{2}(A)$;
(3) $k(A \cup B)=k(A) \cup k(B)$;
(4) $k \circ C=C \circ k$.

Theorem 3. If $B=\{A: k(A)=A\}$, then $B$ is a complete Boolean algebra.
Proof. From (1) and (4), $X$ and $\emptyset$ are in $B$. Since $k=C \circ k \circ C$, if $k(A)=A$, then $C \circ k \circ C(X \backslash A)=X \backslash A=k(X \backslash A)$. Therefore, $A \in B$ if and only if $X \backslash A \in B$. Thus, $k$ is a Kuratowski closure operator, and $B$ is a closedopen topology. However, these are the complete Boolean algebras.

Corollary. On a countable space, every $\sigma$-algebra can be so obtained.
5. Topological $\sigma$-algebras and topologies from $\sigma$-algebras. Let $\Sigma$ be the lattice of topologies and $\Delta$ the lattice of $\sigma$-algebras over a fixed space $X$. Suppose that $\tau: \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta$ is given by $\tau(T)=\cap\{B \in \Delta: T \subseteq B\}$.

Notice that $\tau$ comes close to being a Kuratowski operator.
Theorem 4. (a) $\tau(\emptyset, X)=\{\emptyset, X\}$.
(b) $T \subseteq \tau(T)$ and $T=\tau(T)$ if and only if $T$ is a complete Boolean algebra.
(c) If $T_{1} \subseteq T_{2}$, then $\tau\left(T_{1}\right) \subseteq \tau\left(T_{2}\right)$.
(d) If $\tau(T)$ is a complete Boolean algebra, then $\tau^{2}(T)=\tau(T)$.
(e) $\tau\left(T_{1} \wedge T_{2}\right) \subseteq \tau\left(T_{1}\right) \wedge \tau\left(T_{2}\right)$.
(f) $\tau\left(T_{1} \vee T_{2}\right) \supseteq \tau\left(T_{1}\right) \vee \tau\left(T_{2}\right)$.

The proofs are immediate. Note that in (d), it suffices that the space be countable. To see, e.g., that (e) is sharp, let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be the two Sierpiński (proper) topologies on a space of two points.

It is equally easy to define a map from $\Delta$ to $\Sigma$ in exactly the same manner.
Definition. Let $\mu: \Delta \rightarrow \Sigma$ be given by $\mu(B)=\cap\{T \in \Sigma: B \subseteq T\}$. Since for any $B$ in $\Delta, B \subseteq P(X) \in \Sigma$, this is well-defined.

Theorem 5. The same results hold as in Theorem 4 , when $\Delta$ and $\Sigma$ are interchanged, and $\tau$ is replaced by $\mu$ everywhere.

There are several unanswered questions concerning these maps, e.g., in parts (e) and (f) of Theorem 4, under what conditions will equality hold? Furthermore, since $P(X)$ is a complete Boolean algebra and the intersection of any family of complete Boolean algebras is a complete Boolean algebra, every topology $T$ is contained in a unique smallest complete Boolean algebra, $A(T)$. For what $T$ in $\Sigma$ will the ascending chain:

$$
T \rightarrow \tau(T) \rightarrow \mu \tau(T) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow A(T)
$$

terminate in a finite number of steps? For atomic topologies, ultraspaces, door spaces, and $T_{1}$ topologies (see Theorem 17 below), the chain terminates in not more than two steps. What about, e.g., extremally disconnected spaces?
6. Components. For each point $p$ in the topological space $(X, T)$, let $C(p)$ be the $T$-component of $p$. Note that each component is closed and

$$
\{C(p): p \in X\}
$$

is a partition of $X$.
Definition. (a) Let $C[T]$ be the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\{C(p): p \in X\}$. Note: $C[T] \subseteq \tau(T)$.
(b) The "component topology" $T_{c}$ of $T$ is given by the base

$$
\{\emptyset, C(p): p \in X\} .
$$

Note: $T_{c} \subseteq \mu C[T]$.

Theorem 6. If $T$ has a finite number of components, or if $T$ is locally connected, then $C[T] \subseteq T$.

Proof. In either case, each $C(p)$ in $C[T]$ is $T$-open as well as $T$-closed.
Corollary. In these cases, $T$ is a complete Boolean algebra if and only if $C[T]=\tau[T]$.

Theorem 7. If $C[T] \subseteq T$ and $T$ has at most a countable number of components, then $C[T]$ is the largest $\sigma$-algebra contained in $T$.

Proof. Let $B \in \Delta$ and $B \subseteq T$. Then each $H \in B$ is closed and open in $T$. Let $p \in H$. Claim: $C(p) \subseteq H$. For suppose not. Then $H \cap C(p) \neq \emptyset$ and is closed and open in $C(p)$. Moreover, $(X \backslash H) \cap C(p) \neq \emptyset$ and is closed and open in $C(p)$. These disconnect $C(p)$, a contradiction. Hence, $H=\bigcup\{C(p): p \in H\}$. Since this is a countable union, $H \in C[T]$. Hence, $B \subseteq C[T]$.

Corollary 1. If $C[T]$ is the largest $\sigma$-algebra contained in $T$, then $T$ and $T_{c}$ are Borel-equivalent (see § 8 below).

Proof. Since $C[T] \subseteq T$, then every component of $T$ is open in $T$. Indeed, $C[T] \subseteq \mu C[T]=T_{c} \subseteq T \subseteq \tau(T)$.

Notice that if $T$ is connected or if $X$ is finite, then $T$ has only a finite number of components.

Corollary 2. If $T$ is a connected topology, then it contains no proper $\sigma$-algebras.

In (5), it was shown that if $X$ is finite and $T$ has $K$-matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$, then $\boldsymbol{\tau}(T)$ has $K$-matrix $\left(b_{i j}\right)$, where $b_{i j}=1$ if and only if $a_{i j}=a_{j i}=1$.

Corollary 3. If $X$ is finite and $T$ has K-matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$, then $C[T]$ has K-matrix $\left(c_{i j}\right)$, where $c_{i j}=1$ if and only if $a_{i j}=1$ or $a_{i i}=1$.

## 7. Atoms and anti-atoms in $\Delta$.

Theorem 8. $\Delta$ is an atomically generated lattice.
Proof. Let $\{\emptyset, X\} \neq B \in \Delta$. If $\emptyset \neq A \in B$, then clearly

$$
B_{A}=\{\emptyset, X, A, X \backslash A\} \subseteq B
$$

and is atomic. Claim: $B=\vee\left\{B_{A}: \emptyset \neq A \in B\right\}$. For $\cup B_{A} \subseteq B$, thus $\vee B_{A} \subseteq B$. If $\emptyset \neq C \in B$, then $C \in B_{C} \subseteq \vee B_{A}$. Therefore, $B \subseteq \vee B_{A}$.

Theorem 9. If $B$ is a proper complete Boolean algebra over $X$, then $B$ must miss at least two singletons.

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Suppose that $\{p\}$ is the only singleton not in $B$. Then $B$ contains all other singletons, hence contains their union, and also the complement of that union, namely, $\{p\}$. Hence, $B$ contains all singletons,
and is closed under arbitrary union. But then $B$ must be $P(X)$, contradicting $B$ proper.

Corollary. If $X$ is a countable space, and $B$ is a proper $\sigma$-algebra over $X$, then $B$ must miss at least two singletons.

Definition. Suppose $\operatorname{card}(X) \geqq 3$ and that $p \neq q$ in $X$. Suppose that $\{p, q\} \subseteq A$. Then we let $G(p, q, U(A))=P(X \backslash\{p, q\}) \cup U(A)$, where $U(A)$ is the family of all subsets of $X$ which contain $A$. If $A=\{p, q\}$, write $G(P, q, U(p, q))$.

Theorem 10. $G(p, q, U(A))$ is a principal topology.
Proof. $X \in U(A)$ and $\emptyset \in P(X \backslash\{p, q\})$. Clearly, each of $P$ and $U$ are closed under arbitrary unions and arbitrary intersections. Let $D \in P$ and $E \in U$. Then $D \cup E \in U$ and $D \cap E \subseteq D$, thus $D \cap E \in P$. Hence, $G$ is closed under arbitrary unions and arbitrary intersections.

Theorem 11. The $\sigma$-algebras generated by the $G(p, q, U(A)$ ) are of the form $G(p, q, U(p, q))$, and are anti-atomic in the lattice of complete Boolean algebras.

Proof. It follows from the definition that $G(p, q, U(p, q))$ is a $\sigma$-algebra, and contains $G(p, q, U(A))$. Notice that for any set $B,\{p, q\} \subseteq B \subseteq A$, we see that $X \backslash B \in P(X \backslash\{p, q\}) \subseteq G(p, q, U(A))$. Therefore, the smallest family containing $G(p, q, U(A))$ and closed under complementation is $G(p, q, U(p, q))$. Hence, this is the generated $\sigma$-algebra.

Now suppose that $B$ is a complete Boolean algebra and

$$
G(p, q, U(p, q)) \subset B \subseteq P(X)
$$

(in this paper, $\subset$ denotes proper containment). However, $P(X) \backslash G(p, q, U(p, q))=\{H: H \subseteq X, p \in H$ and $q \notin H\}$
$\cup\{K: K \subseteq X, q \in K$ and $p \notin K\}$.
Thus, $B$ must contain at least one of these, say $H$ with $p \in H$. Since $H \backslash\{p\} \in P(X \backslash\{p, q\})$, we find $\{p\} \in B$. Therefore, $B$ contains all but at most one singleton, and $B=P(X)$.

Corollary. If $X$ is countable, the $\sigma$-algebras generated by the $G(p, q, U(A))$ are anti-atomic in $\Delta$.

Lemma. $G(p, q, U(p, q))=G(p, U(q)) \cap G(q, U(p))$.
The proof is immediate upon expanding by the definitions. Notice that $G(p, U(q))$ and $G(q, U(p))$ are principal ultraspaces in $\Sigma$.

Theorem 12. The lattice of complete Boolean algebras is anti-atomically generated.

Proof. By the corollary to Theorem 2, if $B$ is a complete Boolean algebra,
then it is a principal topology. Hence, $B=\wedge\{G(x, U(y)): B \subseteq G(x, U(y))\}$. Claim: if $B \subseteq G(x, U(y))$, then $B \subseteq G(y, U(x))$, thus

$$
B \subseteq G(x, U(y)) \cap G(y, U(x))=G(x, y, U(x, y))
$$

For, let $T \in B$. Then $X \backslash T \in B$, thus $x \notin X \backslash T$ or $y \in X \backslash T$. Hence, $x \in T$ or $y \notin T$, and $T \in G(y, U(x))$.

Corollary. On a countable space, the lattice $\Delta$ of $\sigma$-algebras is anti-atomically generated.

Theorem 13. If $\operatorname{card}(X)=n$, then $\Delta$ has precisely $n(n-1) / 2$ anti-atoms.
Note the anti-atom $G\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, U\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\right)$ has $K$-matrix $\left(a_{k m}\right)$, where $a_{k k}=1$, $a_{i j}=a_{j i}=1$, and $a_{k m}=0$ otherwise. Call a matrix of this type an $i-j$ elementary $K$-matrix. It is easy to decompose a symmetric $K$-matrix as the meet of its $i-j$ elementary $K$-matrices; e.g.,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \wedge\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \wedge\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

8. A sublattice of $\Delta$. In $\S 5$, given a topology $T$ on $X, \tau(T)$ was defined to be the generated $\sigma$-algebra. In a previous paper (5), I defined two topologies to be "Borel-equivalent", $T_{1} \sim T_{2}$, if $\tau\left(T_{1}\right)=\tau\left(T_{2}\right)$.

It follows from a result of Sharp (6), that on a finite space, columns in a $K$-matrix can be interpreted as the closures of the points. In (5), it was shown that if ( $a_{i j}$ ) is a $K$-matrix, then $\left|a_{i j}\right|=0$ or 1 , and $\left|a_{i j}\right|=1$ if and only if ( $a_{i j}$ ) generates the $K$-matrix of the power set $P(X)$.

Noting that distinct points have distinct closures with respect to a topology over a finite space if and only if the $K$-matrix of the topology has pairwise distinct columns, we deduced that over a finite space, topology $T$ is Borelequivalent to $P(X)$ if and only if $T$ is $T_{0}$.

My thanks are due to the referee for his remark that the same result holds true if the space is countable, and indeed obtain a more general result.

Theorem 14. Over an arbitrary space, if $T \sim P(X)$, then $T$ is $T_{0}$.
Proof. Suppose that $T$ is not $T_{0}$. Then there are distinct points $p$ and $q$ such that each open set containing $p$ contains $q$ and vice versa. Consider the $\sigma$-algebra $G(p, q, U(p, q))$. Plainly, $T \subseteq G(p, q, U(p, q))$, hence

$$
T \subseteq \tau(T) \subseteq G(p, q, U(p, q)) \subseteq P(X)
$$

Hence, $\tau(T) \neq P(X)$ and $T$ and $P(X)$ are not Borel equivalent.
Corollary. If $X$ is countable, then $T \sim P(X)$ if and only if $T$ is $T_{0}$.

Proof. Suppose that $T$ is $T_{0}$ and let $p \in X$. Write $X \backslash\{p\}=\left\{q_{n}: n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$. Then for each $n$, there exists $U_{n}$ (which is either open or closed in $T$ ) such that $p \in U_{n}$ and $q_{n} \notin U_{n}$. Note that $U_{n} \in \tau(T)$. Hence, $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{n}=\{p\} \in \tau(T)$. This is true for each point of $X$, thus $\tau(T)$ contains all singletons and is closed under countable unions. Thus, $\tau(T)=P(X)$ and $T \sim P(X)$.

Note that the converse of the corollary is also true. If the space is uncountable, then there are certainly $T_{0}$ topologies which do not generate $P(X)$.

Definition. Let $S_{B}$ be the family of all singletons in a $\sigma$-algebra $B$, let $S=S_{P(X)}$, and let $W$ be the family of all $\sigma$-algebras $B$ for which $S_{B}=S$.

Theorem 15. $W$ is a complete sublattice of $\Delta$, with the countable, co-countable $\sigma$-algebra as 0 -element, and $P(X)$ as I-element.

Proof. Clearly, if $B \in W$, then the countable, co-countable $\sigma$-algebra is contained in $B$. Let $B, C \in W$. Then $S \subseteq B$ and $S \subseteq C$, hence, $S \subseteq B \cap C=$ $B \wedge C$ and $S \subseteq B \cup C \subseteq B \vee C$. This argument clearly holds for arbitrary families of elements of $W$.

Corollary. On a countable space, the only element of $W$ is $P(X)$.
Theorem 16. If $T$ is a $T_{1}$ topology, then $\tau(T) \in W$. Moreover, the 0 -element of $W$ is generated by the 0-element of the lattice of $T_{1}$ topologies, namely the co-finite topology.

Theorem 17. If $T$ is a $T_{1}$ topology, then $\mu \tau(T)=P(X)$.
Proof. If $T$ is $T_{1}$, then each point is closed. Hence, every singleton is an element of $\tau(T)$, and so of $\mu \tau(T)$. However, $\mu \tau(T)$ is a topology. Thus, $\mu \tau(T)=P(X)$.
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