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#### Abstract

We study some classes of planar harmonic mappings produced with the shear construction devised by Clunie and Sheil-Small in 1984. The first section reviews the basic concepts and describes the shear construction. The main body of the paper deals with the geometry of the classes constructed.


2000 Mathematics subject classification: primary 30C45.
Keywords and phrases: univalent harmonic mappings, shear construction.

## 1. Introduction

A complex-valued function $f$ on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ that is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies Laplace's equation $f_{z \bar{z}}=0$ will be called harmonic. By a theorem of Lewy [3], the Jacobian $J_{f}=\left|f_{z}\right|^{2}-\left|f_{\bar{z}}\right|^{2}$ of a locally univalent harmonic mapping never vanishes, so we may assume that $J_{f}>0$ (that is, $f$ is orientation-preserving), and consequently $\left|f_{z}\right|>0$ everywhere in $\mathbb{D}$. It is easily verified that $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are analytic on $\mathbb{D}$. Since $f_{z}=h^{\prime}$ and $f_{\bar{z}}=\overline{g^{\prime}}$, we see that $\omega=\overline{f_{\bar{z}}} / f_{z}=g^{\prime} / h^{\prime}$ is analytic and that $|\omega(z)|<1$ on $\mathbb{D}$. By analogy with the complex dilation $\mu=f_{\bar{z}} / f_{z}$ the function $\omega$ will be called the analytic (or second complex) dilation of $f$.

Clunie and Sheil-Small introduced an effective tool for constructing univalent harmonic mappings with prescribed dilation. For completeness, we quote their theorem.

THEOREM 1.1 [1]. Suppose that $f=h+\bar{g}$ is harmonic and locally univalent on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. Then $f$ is univalent and its range is convex in the horizontal direction if and only if the analytic function $\varphi=h-g$ is a univalent mapping of $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain that is convex in the horizontal direction.

[^0]Henceforth, a domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be convex in the horizontal direction if its intersection with each horizontal line is connected (or empty).

According to the theorem above, one begins with a conformal mapping $\varphi$ of $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain that is convex in the horizontal direction, such that $\varphi(0)=0$, and an analytic function $\omega$ such that $|\omega(z)|<1$ on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\omega(0)=0$. The relations $\varphi=h-g$ and $\omega=g^{\prime} / h^{\prime}$ lead to a pair of linear equations for $h^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ that, together with the initial conditions $h(0)=g(0)=0$, determine $h$ and $g$. It follows immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}=\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{z} \varphi^{\prime}(\zeta) p(\zeta) d \zeta+i \operatorname{Im} \varphi(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=(1+\omega) /(1-\omega)$; furthermore, $p$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}$ of all analytic functions $q$ with positive real part in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $q(0)=1$.

For any $p \in \mathcal{P}$, the harmonic mapping $f$ defined by (1.1) is orientation-preserving and univalent on $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, Theorem 1.1 shows that the range of $f$ is convex in the horizontal direction. On account of the remark above, it is natural to consider the family

$$
\mathcal{F}=\{K(\cdot, p) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\}
$$

of univalent and orientation-preserving harmonic mappings, where

$$
K(z, p)=\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{z} \varphi^{\prime}(\zeta) p(\zeta) d \zeta+i \operatorname{Im} \varphi(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

The Riesz-Herglotz representation theorem states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\int_{|\eta|=1} \frac{1+\eta z}{1-\eta z} d \mu(\eta) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \in P_{\mathbb{T}}$, the family of all Borel probability measures on the boundary $\mathbb{T}$ of $\mathbb{D}$. Hence, if we set

$$
k(z, \eta)=\int_{0}^{z} \varphi^{\prime}(\zeta) \frac{1+\eta \zeta}{1-\eta \zeta} d \zeta
$$

then it may be concluded from (1.2) that, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$
f(z)=\operatorname{Re} \int_{|\eta|=1} k(z, \eta) d \mu(\eta)+i \operatorname{Im} \varphi(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

for a unique $\mu \in P_{\mathbb{T}}$. On the other hand, $P_{\mathbb{T}}$ is a weak-star compact and convex set, and all of its extreme points are unit point masses. Since

$$
\mu \mapsto \operatorname{Re} \int_{|\eta|=1} k(\cdot, \eta) d \mu(\eta)
$$

is a linear homeomorphism, it follows that $\mathcal{F}$ is convex and compact (with respect to the topology of locally uniform convergence), and finally that

$$
\operatorname{Ext} \mathcal{F}=\left\{k_{\eta}(\cdot)=\operatorname{Re} k(\cdot, \eta)+i \operatorname{Im} \varphi(\cdot):|\eta|=1\right\}
$$

where Ext $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the set of extreme points of $\mathcal{F}$.

## 2. Main results

Fix a number $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, and consider the function $\varphi_{\alpha}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{1}{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)+\cos ^{2} \alpha \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

where log denotes the principal branch of the logarithm. Note that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-z)^{2} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)\right\}>0 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

so a theorem of Royster and Ziegler [5, Theorem 1] shows that for each $\alpha$ in $\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, the function $\varphi_{\alpha}$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ univalently onto a domain that is convex in the horizontal direction. By direct calculation,

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}|\operatorname{Re} w \leq A(\alpha) \wedge| \operatorname{Im} w \left\lvert\,=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\}
$$

where

$$
A(\alpha)=\operatorname{Re} \varphi_{\alpha}\left(-e^{-2 i \alpha}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha \log (\tan \alpha)-\frac{1}{4}
$$

For a fixed $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, let $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ be the class of all mappings of the form

$$
f(z)=\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{z} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\zeta) p(\zeta) d \zeta+i \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\alpha}(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Theorem 1.1 and our preliminary considerations prove the following result.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$. Then $f$ is harmonic, orientation-preserving and univalent on $\mathbb{D}$, and $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex in the horizontal direction. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ is convex and compact (with respect to the topology of locally uniform convergence), and the set of its extreme points is $\left\{k_{\eta}:|\eta|=1\right\}$, where

$$
k_{\eta}(z)=\operatorname{Re} k(z, \eta)+i \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\alpha}(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

and

$$
k(z, \eta)=\int_{0}^{z} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\zeta) \frac{1+\eta \zeta}{1-\eta \zeta} d \zeta \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

A simple calculation shows that for any mapping $f \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=0, \quad f_{z}(0)=1, \quad f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following corollary is immediate.
Corollary 2.2. Let $S_{H}^{0}$ denote the class of all harmonic, orientation-preserving and univalent mappings $f$ that are normalized by (2.1). For any fixed $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, the inclusion $\mathcal{F}(\alpha) \subseteq S_{H}^{0}$ holds.

Note also that, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha), f(z)$ is real if and only if $z$ is real. Since $\operatorname{Re} p>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}>0$ in $(-1,1)$, the function $f$ is increasing on $(-1,1)$. Therefore the (possibly infinite) radial limits

$$
\hat{f}(-1)=\lim _{r \rightarrow-1^{+}} f(r), \quad \hat{f}(1)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} f(r)
$$

exist, and $f((-1,1))=(\hat{f}(-1), \hat{f}(1))$. This leads to the following lemma.
Lemma 2.3. Fix a number $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$ and let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$. Then:
(a) $f$ is a typically-real harmonic mapping;
(b) $\quad k_{-1}(r) \leq f(r) \leq k_{1}(r)$ for all $r \in(-1,1)$;
(c) $\hat{f}(-1) \in\left[\hat{k}_{-1}(-1), \hat{k}_{1}(-1)\right]=\left[-\infty,-\frac{1}{6}\left(1+2 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\right], \hat{f}(1)=\infty$.

Proof. Part (a) of the lemma is evident. Assume that

$$
f(r)=\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t) p(t) d t \quad \forall r \in(-1,1)
$$

for some function $p \in \mathcal{P}$. From the well-known inequality

$$
\frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} \leq \operatorname{Re} p(z) \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

it follows that

$$
k_{-1}(r)=\int_{0}^{r} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t) \frac{1-t}{1+t} d t \leq f(r) \leq \int_{0}^{r} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t) \frac{1+t}{1-t} d t=k_{1}(r) \quad \forall r \in(0,1)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(r) & =\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{r} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(t) p(t) d t=-\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{-r} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(-t) p(-t) d t \\
& \leq-\int_{0}^{-r} \varphi_{\alpha}^{\prime}(-t) \frac{1-t}{1+t} d t=k_{1}(r) \quad \forall r \in(-1,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

justifying inequality (b). Finally, letting $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$and $r \rightarrow-1^{+}$in (b), we obtain (c).
Lemma 2.1 is useful for describing the family $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$. Roughly speaking, further properties of $f \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ can be obtained by studying the ranges $k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D})$. We first observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} k_{\bar{\eta}}(z)=\operatorname{Re} k(z, \bar{\eta})=\operatorname{Re} k(\bar{z}, \eta)=\operatorname{Re} k_{\eta}(\bar{z}) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}, \forall \eta \in \mathbb{T} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\alpha}(z)=-\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\alpha}(\bar{z})$ for any $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, equality (2.2) shows that the sets $k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D})$ and $k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D})$ are symmetric with respect to the real axis. We are now ready to describe some geometric properties of the extreme points.

Theorem 2.4. Fix $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$. Suppose that $k_{\eta} \in \operatorname{Ext} \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$, where $\eta=e^{i \beta}$, and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}(c, \alpha, \beta)=\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \tan \frac{1}{2} \beta-\frac{\beta}{2 \sin \beta}\right) \sin ^{2} \alpha \\
&+\left(\frac{\beta \sin \beta}{8 \sin ^{4} \frac{1}{2} \beta}-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta}-\frac{\left(4 c-\pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \cot \frac{1}{2} \beta}{4 \cos ^{2} \alpha}\right) \cos ^{2} \alpha, \\
& \lambda_{2}(c, \alpha, \beta)=\left(\frac{c}{\sin ^{2} \alpha} \tan \frac{1}{2} \beta-\frac{\beta}{2 \sin \beta}\right) \sin ^{2} \alpha \\
&+\left(\frac{\beta \sin \beta}{8 \sin ^{4} \frac{1}{2} \beta}-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta}\right) \cos ^{2} \alpha, \\
& \lambda_{3}(c, \alpha, \beta)=\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} \tan \frac{1}{2} \beta-\frac{\beta-2 \pi}{2 \sin \beta}\right) \sin ^{2} \alpha+\left(\frac{(\beta-2 \pi) \sin \beta}{8 \sin ^{4} \frac{1}{2} \beta}-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta}\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{\left(4 c+\pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \cot \frac{1}{2} \beta}{4 \cos ^{2} \alpha}\right) \cos ^{2} \alpha,
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{1}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \left\lvert\, v<\lambda_{1}(u, \alpha, \beta) \wedge v \geq \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{D}_{2}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\left|v<\lambda_{2}(u, \alpha, \beta) \wedge\right| v \left\lvert\,<\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{D}_{3}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \left\lvert\, v<\lambda_{3}(u, \alpha, \beta) \wedge v<-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then:
(i) for all $\beta \in(0, \pi-2 \alpha), k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D})$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{1}(\alpha, \beta) \cup \mathcal{D}_{2}(\alpha, \beta) \cup \mathcal{D}_{3}(\alpha, \beta) \\
& \quad \cup\left\{u-i \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha: u>\lambda_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha, \alpha, \beta\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) for all $\beta \in[\pi-2 \alpha, \pi), k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D})$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{1}(\alpha, \beta) \cup \mathcal{D}_{2}(\alpha, \beta) \cup \mathcal{D}_{3}(\alpha, \beta) \\
& \quad \cup\left\{u-i \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha: u>\lambda_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha, \alpha, \beta\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $k_{1}(\mathbb{D})$ is equal to

$$
\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} w \leq-\frac{1}{6}\left(1+2 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \wedge|\operatorname{Im} w| \leq \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right\} ;
$$

(iv) $k_{-1}(\mathbb{D})$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} w \leq-\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 \alpha \wedge|\operatorname{Im} w|<\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} w>-\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 \alpha\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We treat case (i) only. Fix $\beta \in(0, \pi)$ and let $\eta=e^{i \beta}$. Then, after integration,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re} k_{\eta}(z) \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
= & \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{2}\left[\cot \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta\right) \arg (1-z)+\tan \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta\right) \arg (1+z)-\frac{2}{\sin \beta} \arg (1-\eta z)\right] \\
+\cos ^{2} \alpha & {\left[\frac{\sin \beta}{4 \sin ^{4} \frac{1}{2} \beta} \arg \left(\frac{1-\eta z}{1-z}\right)-\cot \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta\right) \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2}}\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta} \operatorname{Re} \frac{z}{1-z}+\cot \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta\right) \operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{1-z}\right]
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

where we assume that $\arg (\cdot) \in(-\pi, \pi]$. Since any mapping from $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ is convex in the horizontal direction, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} k_{\eta}(z)=\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\alpha}(z)=c \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and find the bounds on $\operatorname{Re} k_{\eta}(z)$. The main idea of the proof is to set $r e^{i \theta}=(1+z) /(1-z)$, where $r>0$ and $\theta \in\left(-\frac{1}{2} \pi, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, and replace the variable $z$ by the variables $r$ and $\theta$. This transforms (2.4) to the form $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\left(r e^{i \theta}-1\right) /\left(r e^{i \theta}+1\right)\right)=c$, or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \theta \sin ^{2} \alpha+r^{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha \sin 2 \theta=4 c \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c>\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha$, then (for given $\alpha$ and $c$ ) the positive solution

$$
r=r_{c}(\theta)=\left(\frac{4 c-2 \theta \sin ^{2} \alpha}{\cos ^{2} \alpha \sin 2 \theta}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

of (2.5) is defined on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$. Substituting $r_{c}(\theta)$ into $\operatorname{Re} k_{\eta}\left(\left(r e^{i \theta}-1\right) /\left(r e^{i \theta}+1\right)\right)$ (see (2.3)) yields

$$
g_{c}(\theta)=\operatorname{Re} k_{\eta}\left(\frac{r_{c}(\theta) e^{i \theta}-1}{r_{c}(\theta) e^{i \theta}+1}\right) .
$$

All mappings $k_{\eta} \in \operatorname{Ext} \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ are open, and consequently the function $g_{c}(\theta)$ cannot assume boundary values inside the interval $\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$. Calculation shows that $\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0^{+}} g_{c}(\theta)=+\infty$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-}} g_{c}(\theta)= & \left(\frac{1}{4} \pi \tan \frac{1}{2} \beta-\frac{\beta}{2 \sin \beta}\right) \sin ^{2} \alpha \\
& +\left(\frac{\beta \sin \beta}{8 \sin ^{4} \frac{1}{2} \beta}-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta}-\frac{\left(4 c-\pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \cot \frac{1}{2} \beta}{4 \cos ^{2} \alpha}\right) \cos ^{2} \alpha \\
= & \lambda_{1}(c, \alpha, \beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence if $\operatorname{Im} k_{\eta}(z)=c$ and $c>\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha$, then $\operatorname{Re} k_{\eta}(z)$ varies over the interval ( $\left.\lambda_{1}(c, \alpha, \beta),+\infty\right)$, and finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D}) & \cap\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} w>\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\} \\
= & \left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \left\lvert\, v<\lambda_{1}(u, \alpha, \beta) \wedge v>\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, if we choose $c \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)$, then the function $r_{c}$ is defined on the interval $\left(0, \theta_{1}(c)\right)$, where $\theta_{1}(c)=2 c \operatorname{cosec}^{2} \alpha$. This, in turn, forces $\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0^{+}} g_{c}(\theta)=+\infty$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\left.\theta \rightarrow \theta_{1}(c)\right)^{-}} g_{c}(\theta)= & \left(\frac{c}{\sin ^{2} \alpha} \tan \frac{1}{2} \beta-\frac{\beta}{2 \sin \beta}\right) \sin ^{2} \alpha \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{\beta \sin \beta}{8 \sin ^{4} \frac{1}{2} \beta}-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta}\right) \cos ^{2} \alpha \\
= & \lambda_{2}(c, \alpha, \beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D}) & \cap\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} \left\lvert\, 0<\operatorname{Im} w<\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\} \\
& =\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \left\lvert\, v<\lambda_{2}(u, \alpha, \beta) \wedge 0<v<\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case where $\operatorname{Im} k_{\eta}(z)=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha$, the function $r_{\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha}$ is defined in $\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$. We see at once that

$$
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0^{+}} g_{\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha}(\theta)=+\infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-}} g_{\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha}(\theta)=\lambda_{1}\left(\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha, \alpha, \beta\right)=\lambda_{2}\left(\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha, \alpha, \beta\right)=a_{\alpha}(\beta), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

say, which is due to the fact that

$$
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0^{+}} r_{\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha}(\theta)=0, \quad \lim _{\theta \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-}} r_{\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha}(\theta)=\tan \alpha
$$

From this it may be concluded that

$$
k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D}) \cap\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} w=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\}=\left\{\left.u+i \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha \right\rvert\, u>a_{\alpha}(\beta)\right\}
$$

Application of Lemma 2.3 enables us to write

$$
k_{\eta}((-1,1))=\left(\hat{k}_{\eta}(-1), \hat{k}_{\eta}(1)\right)=\left(\hat{k}_{\eta}(-1),+\infty\right)
$$

where

$$
\hat{k}_{\eta}(-1)=\lambda_{2}(0, \alpha, \beta)=-\frac{\beta \sin ^{2} \alpha}{2 \sin \beta}+\left(\frac{\beta \sin \beta}{8 \sin ^{4} \frac{1}{2} \beta}-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta}\right) \cos ^{2} \alpha
$$

Now we take $\operatorname{Im} k_{\eta}(z)=c$, where $c \in\left(-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha, 0\right)$. In this case, the function $r_{c}$ is defined in $\left(\theta_{1}(c), 0\right)$, and it is easy to verify that

$$
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow \theta_{1}(c)^{+}} r_{c}(\theta)=0, \quad \lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0^{-}} r_{c}(\theta)=+\infty
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow \theta_{1}(c)^{+}} g_{c}(\theta)=\lambda_{2}(c, \alpha, \beta), \quad \lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0^{-}} g_{c}(\theta)=+\infty
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D}) & \cap\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} \left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha<\operatorname{Im} w<0\right.\right\} \\
= & \left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \left\lvert\, v<\lambda_{2}(u, \alpha, \beta) \wedge-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha<v<0\right.\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now assume that $c<-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha$. It is easy to check that $r_{c}$ is defined on $\left(-\frac{1}{2} \pi, 0\right)$, and moreover, $\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0^{-}} g_{c}(\theta)=+\infty$, while $\lim _{\theta \rightarrow-\frac{1}{2} \pi^{+}} g_{c}(\theta)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right. & \left.\tan \frac{1}{2} \beta-\frac{\beta-2 \pi}{2 \sin \beta}\right) \sin ^{2} \alpha \\
& +\left(\frac{(\beta-2 \pi) \sin \beta}{8 \sin ^{4} \frac{1}{2} \beta}-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta}-\frac{\left(4 c+\pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \cot \frac{1}{2} \beta}{4 \cos ^{2} \alpha}\right) \cos ^{2} \alpha \\
& =\lambda_{3}(c, \alpha, \beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

This clearly forces

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D}) & \cap\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} w<-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\} \\
& =\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \left\lvert\, v<\lambda_{3}(u, \alpha, \beta) \wedge v<-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\operatorname{Im} k_{\eta}(z)=-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha$, the function $r_{-\frac{1}{4} \pi} \sin ^{2} \alpha(\theta)$ is defined on $\left(-\frac{1}{2} \pi, 0\right)$, and one can show that

$$
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0^{-}} g_{-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha}(\theta)=+\infty,
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0^{-}} g_{-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha}(\theta)= \begin{cases}\lambda_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha, \alpha, \beta\right)=c_{\alpha}(\beta) & \text { if } \beta \in(0, \pi-2 \alpha)  \tag{2.7}\\ \lambda_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha, \alpha, \beta\right)=d_{\alpha}(\beta) & \text { if } \beta \in(\pi-2 \alpha, \pi)\end{cases}
$$

(observe that $c_{\alpha}(\beta)=d_{\alpha}(\beta)$ when $\beta=\pi-2 \alpha$ ). This completes the proof.
REMARK 2.5. It is easy to check (see (2.6) and (2.7)) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\alpha}(\beta)-c_{\alpha}(\beta) & =-\frac{\pi \cos \left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2} \beta\right) \cos \left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2} \beta\right)}{2 \sin ^{3} \frac{1}{2} \beta \cos \frac{1}{2} \beta} \\
a_{\alpha}(\beta)-d_{\alpha}(\beta) & =\frac{\pi\left(\cot ^{2} \alpha-\sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta\right) \sin ^{2} \alpha}{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta \tan \frac{1}{2} \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives:
(i) for any fixed $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{\alpha}(\beta)<c_{\alpha}(\beta) \quad \forall \beta \in(0, \pi-2 \alpha) \\
& d_{\alpha}(\beta)>c_{\alpha}(\beta) \quad \forall \beta \in(\pi-2 \alpha, \pi) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) for any fixed $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4} \pi\right]$,

$$
d_{\alpha}(\beta)<a_{\alpha}(\beta) \quad \forall \beta \in(0, \pi) ;
$$

(iii) for any fixed $\alpha \in\left(\frac{1}{4} \pi, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d_{\alpha}(\beta)<a_{\alpha}(\beta) \quad \forall \beta \in\left(0, \beta_{0}(\alpha)\right) \\
d_{\alpha}(\beta)>a_{\alpha}(\beta) \quad \forall \beta \in\left(\beta_{0}(\alpha), \pi\right),
\end{array}
$$

where $\beta_{0}(\alpha)=2 \arcsin (\cot \alpha)$.
The following lemma will be extremely useful in proving our next results.
Lemma 2.6. Suppose that $a_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha}, d_{\alpha}$ are given by (2.6) and (2.7), and that $\beta_{0}(\alpha)=$ $2 \arcsin (\cot \alpha)$. Then:
(i) for any fixed $\alpha \in\left(\frac{1}{4} \pi, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, the function $a_{\alpha}$ is increasing on $\left(\beta_{0}(\alpha), \pi\right)$;
(ii) for any fixed $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, the function $c_{\alpha}$ is decreasing on $(0, \pi)$;
(iii) for any fixed $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4} \pi\right.$ ], the function $d_{\alpha}$ is increasing on $(0, \pi)$;
(iv) for any fixed $\alpha \in\left(\frac{1}{4} \pi, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, the function $d_{\alpha}$ is increasing on $\left(\pi-2 \alpha, \beta_{0}(\alpha)\right)$.

Proof. We justify case (ii) only. Fix $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$. By straightforward computation,

$$
c_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\beta)=\frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{8 \cos ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta} f_{1}(\beta)+\frac{\cos ^{2} \alpha}{8 \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta} f_{2}(\beta),
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}(\beta)=-\pi-2 \cot \frac{1}{2} \beta+\beta\left(\cot ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta-1\right) \\
f_{2}(\beta)=6 \cot \frac{1}{2} \beta-\beta\left(3 \cot ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \beta+1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

It is evident that $f_{1}(\beta)<0$ for $\beta \in\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi, \pi\right)$. Write $\beta=2 \operatorname{arccot} t$, where $\beta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$; then

$$
f_{1}(2 \operatorname{arccot} t)=-\pi-2 t+2\left(t^{2}-1\right) \operatorname{arccot} t \quad \forall t \in(1,+\infty)
$$

The inequality

$$
\operatorname{arccot} t \leq \frac{1}{t} \quad \forall t \in(1,+\infty)
$$

implies that $f_{1}(2 \operatorname{arccot} t) \leq-\pi-2 / t<0$ for all $t>1$. By the above, $f_{1}<0$ holds in $(0, \pi)$. Similarly, $f_{2}<0$ in the interval $(0, \pi)$, and finally $c_{\alpha}^{\prime}<0$ in $(0, \pi)$.

Parts (i), (iii) and (iv) follow in the same way, so we leave details to the reader.
We illustrate our considerations concerning the sets $k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D})$ in Figure 1. Note that

$$
A_{\alpha}(\beta)=a_{\alpha}(\beta)+i \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha, \quad C_{\alpha}(\beta)=c_{\alpha}(\beta)-i \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha
$$

and

$$
D_{\alpha}(\beta)=d_{\alpha}(\beta)-i \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha .
$$

Making use of Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.6, we shall now prove the main theorem of this section.


Figure 1. Domains $k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D})$, where $\arg \eta=\beta$.

THEOREM 2.7. Fix $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, and suppose that $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)=\bigcup_{k \in \operatorname{Ext} \mathcal{F}(\alpha)} k(\mathbb{D})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(\alpha)=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} w \leq-\frac{1}{8} \pi \sin 2 \alpha-\frac{1}{2} \wedge|\operatorname{Im} w|=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first observe that

$$
\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im} w|=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right\} \subseteq k_{1}(\mathbb{D}) \cup k_{-1}(\mathbb{D})
$$

for any fixed $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$. Consequently, it is enough to find the set

$$
\bigcup_{|\eta|=1} k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D}) \cap\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im} w|=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right\}
$$

Due to the symmetry of the domains $k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D})$ and $k_{\bar{\eta}}(\mathbb{D})$, we need only consider the case where $\arg \eta=\beta \in[0, \pi]$. By Theorem 2.4, $k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D}) \cap\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} w=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{cases}\left\{\left(u, \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \left\lvert\, u>-\frac{1}{6}\left(1+2 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\right.\right\} & \text { if } \beta=0, \\ \left\{\left.\left(u, \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \right\rvert\, u>a_{\alpha}(\beta)\right\} & \text { if } \beta \in(0, \pi), \\ \left\{\left.\left(u, \frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \right\rvert\, u>-\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 \alpha\right\} & \text { if } \beta=\pi\end{cases}
$$

and $k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D}) \cap\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} w=-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{cases}\left\{\left(u,-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \left\lvert\, u>-\frac{1}{6}\left(1+2 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)\right.\right\} & \text { if } \beta=0 \\ \left\{\left.\left(u,-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \right\rvert\, u>c_{\alpha}(\beta)\right\} & \text { if } \beta \in(0, \pi-2 \alpha] \\ \left\{\left.\left(u,-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \right\rvert\, u>d_{\alpha}(\beta)\right\} & \text { if } \beta \in(\pi-2 \alpha, \pi) \\ \left\{\left.\left(u,-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \right\rvert\, u>-\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 \alpha\right\} & \text { if } \beta=\pi,\end{cases}
$$

where $c_{\alpha}(\pi-2 \alpha)=d_{\alpha}(\pi-2 \alpha)=-\frac{1}{8} \pi \sin 2 \alpha-\frac{1}{2}$. When $\beta=\arg \eta$, let $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\beta)$ denote the projection of the set

$$
k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D}) \cap\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im} w|=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right\}
$$

onto the real axis. Note that $a_{\alpha}(\beta)-c_{\alpha}(\beta)>0$ for any $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$ and $\beta \in(0, \pi)$. Therefore $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\beta)=\left(c_{\alpha}(\beta), \infty\right)$ for all $\beta \in(0, \pi-2 \alpha)$, by Remark 2.5. Lemma 2.6 now implies that

$$
\bigcup_{\beta \in(0, \pi-2 \alpha)} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\beta)=\left(c_{\alpha}(\pi-2 \alpha), \infty\right)
$$

The case where $\beta \in[\pi-2 \alpha, \pi)$ depends on $\alpha$. If $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4} \pi\right]$, then

$$
\bigcup_{\beta \in[\pi-2 \alpha, \pi)} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\beta)=\left(c_{\alpha}(\pi-2 \alpha), \infty\right)
$$

If $\alpha \in\left(\frac{1}{4} \pi, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, then Remark 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 show that $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\beta)=\left(d_{\alpha}(\beta), \infty\right)$, for any $\beta \in\left[\pi-2 \alpha, \beta_{0}(\alpha)\right)$, and

$$
\bigcup_{\beta \in\left[\pi-2 \alpha, \beta_{0}(\alpha)\right)} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\beta)=\left(d_{\alpha}(\pi-2 \alpha), \infty\right)
$$

Similarly,

$$
\bigcup_{\beta \in\left[\beta_{0}(\alpha), \pi\right)} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\beta)=\bigcup_{\beta \in\left[\beta_{0}(\alpha), \pi\right)}\left(a_{\alpha}(\beta), \infty\right)=\left(a_{\alpha}\left(\beta_{0}(\alpha)\right), \infty\right)
$$

Since

$$
a_{\alpha}\left(\beta_{0}(\alpha)\right)=d_{\alpha}\left(\beta_{0}(\alpha)\right) \geq d_{\alpha}(\pi-2 \alpha)=c_{\alpha}(\pi-2 \alpha)
$$

we finally have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{\beta \in(0, \pi)} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\beta)=\left(d_{\alpha}(\pi-2 \alpha), \infty\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$. Moreover, Theorem 2.4 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(0)=\left(-\frac{1}{6}\left(1+2 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right), \infty\right), \quad \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\pi)=\left(-\frac{1}{2} \cos 2 \alpha, \infty\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.9) with (2.10), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{\beta \in[0, \pi]} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}(\beta)=\mathcal{T}(\alpha)=\left(d_{\alpha}(\pi-2 \alpha), \infty\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bigcup_{|\eta|=1} k_{\eta}(\mathbb{D}) \cap\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im} w|=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right\} \\
& \quad=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} w \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha) \wedge|\operatorname{Im} w|=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

We can now formulate our main result.
THEOREM 2.8. Fix $\alpha, \alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, and suppose that $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ is given by (2.8). Then

$$
\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha)} f(\mathbb{D})=\mathcal{K}(\alpha)
$$

Proof. We first recall that for any fixed $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, the family $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ is convex and compact. By the Krein-Milman theorem, the closed convex hull $\overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\operatorname{Ext} \mathcal{F}(\alpha))$ is all of $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$. Hence, the convex hull $\operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{Ext} \mathcal{F}(\alpha))$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ in the topology of locally uniform convergence (which makes $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ compact). This implies that each function $f \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ can be locally uniformly approximated by functions $f_{n}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{s} k_{\eta_{s}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{s}>0, s=1,2, \ldots, n, \sum_{s=1}^{n} \mu_{s}=1$ and $k_{\eta_{s}} \in \operatorname{Ext} \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$. Taking any mapping $k_{\eta} \in \operatorname{Ext} \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$, we see that $\operatorname{Im} k_{\eta}(z)=\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\alpha}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, so for $f_{n}$ defined by (2.12),

$$
\operatorname{Im} f_{n}(z)=\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\alpha}(z), \quad \operatorname{Re} f_{n}(z)=\sum_{s=1}^{n} \mu_{s} \operatorname{Re} k_{\eta_{s}}(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Observe that if we restrict ourselves to the set $\left\{z \in \mathbb{D} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\}$, then $\operatorname{Im} f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha$ and $\operatorname{Re} f_{n}(z) \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha)$, and this follows from Theorem 2.7.

The same reasoning applies to the case $\left\{z \in \mathbb{D} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\alpha}(z)=-\frac{1}{4} \pi \sin ^{2} \alpha\right.\right\}$.
Our knowledge of extreme points is very useful for solving extremal problems on $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$. In particular, if $\Lambda$ is a real continuous convex functional on $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$, it is sufficient (by the Krein-Milman theorem) to find the maximum of $\Lambda$ over the set of extreme points Ext $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$. Repeating the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we can prove the following result.
Lemma 2.9. Fix a number $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$, and suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$. Then

$$
\left|\operatorname{Re} f\left(-e^{-2 i \alpha}\right)\right| \leq\left|\operatorname{Re} k_{-e^{2 i \alpha}}\left(-e^{-2 i \alpha}\right)\right|=\left|c_{\alpha}(\pi-2 \alpha)\right|=\frac{1}{8} \pi \sin 2 \alpha+\frac{1}{2}
$$

From this lemma we deduce that

$$
\left|\operatorname{Re} \varphi_{\alpha}\left(-e^{-2 i \alpha}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{8} \pi \sin 2 \alpha+\frac{1}{2} \quad \forall \alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)
$$

and hence establish the following corollary.
COROLLARY 2.10. Fix $\alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$ and let $\varphi_{\alpha}$ be the generating function for the class $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$. Then

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathcal{K}(\alpha),
$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ is given by (2.8).

Note that when $\alpha \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-}$, conformal slits vanish and we obtain the class $\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$ of harmonic univalent functions related to the strip $\Omega=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im} z|<\frac{1}{4} \pi\right\}=\varphi_{\frac{1}{2} \pi}(\mathbb{D})$. In fact, Hengartner and Schober [2] showed that $\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$ is the closure of the family of harmonic orientation-preserving univalent mappings from $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\Omega$, normalized by $f(0)=f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0$ and $f_{z}(0)>0$. On the other hand, $\varphi_{0}$ is the Koebe function and

$$
\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(0)} f(\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left(-\infty,-\frac{1}{2}\right]
$$

so the family $\mathcal{F}(0)$ is related to the whole plane $\mathbb{C}$ slit along an infinite ray $(-\infty, a]$ where $a<0$ (see [4]).
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