

Corrigendum

Legislative Bargaining and the Dynamics of Public Investment

MARCO BATTAGLINI *Princeton University*

SALVATORE NUNNARI and THOMAS R. PALFREY

California Institute of Technology

doi:10.1017/S0003055412000160, published by Cambridge University Press, 11 June 2012

This corrigendum provides details of corrections to Tables 5, 6, and 7 from our recent article (Battaglini, Nunnari, and Palfrey 2012). In the course of some followup work with our data, we discovered that the estimates for the U sessions reported in Tables 5 and 7 are incorrect, as were several entries in Table 6. The only notable change is in the significance level of the constant terms in the last two columns of Table 5. These two corrected constant terms were reported in the article as being insignificant. They are significantly different from zero. The claim made in the last sentence of Finding 6 (p. 420, lines 5–8) is still supported by the corrected constant term estimates from columns (2) and (4) of Table 5. The claim is not

supported by the constant term estimates reported in columns (1) and (3), but those two constrained models are both rejected by the unconstrained models of columns (2) and (4) at the 1% and 5% significance levels, respectively. The estimates of both constant terms from the more elaborate model in Table 7 also support the claim. The signs and significance levels of all other coefficients in both tables are unaffected. A few other minor errors have also been corrected. The constant term in column 1 of Table 5 was mislabeled in the article as significant at the 10% level, when it was significant at the 5% level. Column (4) of Table 5 was mislabeled as (5). The corrections to Tables 6 are all minor. Cells with corrected entries are highlighted in gray.

TABLE 5. Logit estimates. Dependent var: Pr {vote = yes}. SE clustered by subject in parentheses; *significant at 10% level; **significant at 5% level; *significant at 1% level**

<i>Treatment</i>	(1) M	(2) M	(3) U	(4) U
EU(proposal)-EU(sq)	0.12*** (0.03)		0.03*** (0.01)	
EU(proposal)		0.13*** (0.03)		0.03*** (0.01)
EU(status quo)		-0.12*** (0.02)		-0.03*** (0.01)
Constant	0.25** (0.11)	-1.65 (1.17)	1.05*** (0.17)	2.71* (1.45)
Pseudo-R2	0.2815	0.2885	0.1049	0.1104
Observations	936	936	1032	1032

TABLE 6. Proposal Acceptance Rates, Theory vs. Experiments. “% Acc as Pr” is the percentage of accepted proposal that theory predicts to pass and “% Rej as Pr” is the percentage of refused proposals that theory predicts to be refused. The number of observations is in parentheses.

Proposal Type	Simple Maj		Unanimity	
	% Acc as Pr	% Rej as Pr	% Acc as Pr	% Rej as Pr
INVEST W	100% (84)	0% (2)	100% (115)	0% (28)
PROPOSER ONLY				
* with positive inv	100% (9)	0% (2)	—	0% (7)
* with no inv	—	—	—	—
* with negative inv	—	—	—	—
PROPOSER + 2				
* with positive inv	100% (10)	0% (7)	—	—
* with no inv	100% (13)	0% (6)	—	—
* with negative inv	80% (5)	50% (4)	—	—
UNIVERSAL				
* with positive inv	100% (42)	0% (3)	100% (48)	0% (23)
* with no inv	0% (1)	67% (9)	100% (1)	0% (17)
* with negative inv	33% (6)	100% (9)	0% (3)	69% (13)

TABLE 7. Logit estimates. Dependent var: Pr {vote = yes}. SE clustered by subject in parentheses; *significant at 10% level; **significant at 5% level; *significant at 1% level.**

Treatment	(1) M	(2) U
EU(status quo)	-0.08*** (0.03)	-0.06*** (0.02)
EU(proposal)	0.08*** (0.03)	0.06** (0.02)
P	0.21*** (0.05)	0.68* (0.36)
l	0.05*** (0.01)	0.12* (0.07)
h	-2.04* (1.1)	3.31 (4.41)
greed	-1.21** (0.50)	-1.24 (1.21)
constant	-0.29 (1.08)	-9.00 (6.90)
Pseudo-R2	0.3752	0.1686
Observations	936	1032

Reference

Battaglini, Marco, Salvatore Nunnari, and Thomas R. Palfrey. 2012. Legislative Bargaining and the Dynamics of Public Investment. *American Political Science Review* 106 (3): 407–29. doi:10.1017/S0003055412000160.

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 3.236.122.9, on 18 May 2021 at 05:05:21, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055412000482