

## FOURIER-YOUNG COEFFICIENTS OF A FUNCTION OF WIENER'S CLASS $V_p$

RAFAT N. SIDDIQI

**1. Introduction.** N. Wiener [12] introduced the idea of the class  $V_p$ . A  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $f$  is said to have *bounded  $p$ -variation*  $V_p(f)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), or to *belong to the class  $V_p$* , if

$$(1) \quad V_p(f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\mu(P) \leq \epsilon} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})|^p \right\}^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where  $P : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = 2\pi$  is an arbitrary partition of  $[0, 2\pi]$  with  $\mu(P) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |t_i - t_{i-1}|$ . We write simply  $V_p$  for the class of functions of bounded  $p$ -variation on  $[0, 2\pi]$ . When  $p = 1$ ,  $V_1$  is an ordinary class of functions of bounded variation. We have  $V_{p_1} \subset V_{p_2}$  ( $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty$ ), (see [11]), a strict inclusion. Hence for ( $1 < p < \infty$ ), Wiener's class  $V_p$  is strictly larger class than the class  $V_1$ . In connection with the existence of Riemann-Stieltjes integral of functions of  $V_p$ , Young [13] proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM A.** *If an  $f \in V_p$  and a  $g \in V_q$  where  $p, q > 0, 1/p + 1/q > 1$ , have no common points of discontinuity, their Stieltjes integral  $\int_0^{2\pi} fdg$  exists in the Riemann sense.*

From Theorem A,  $\hat{f}(n)$  defined by

$$\hat{f}(n) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{int} df(t) \quad (n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots)$$

exists for every  $f \in V_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). We shall call the series  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(k)e^{ikx}$  the *Fourier-Young series* of  $f$  and  $\hat{f}(n)$  will be called a *sequence of Fourier-Young coefficients* of  $f \in V_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ).

**2.** An infinite matrix  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{n,k})(n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$  of real or complex numbers is called *admissible* if  $\sup_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{n,k}| < \infty$ . A sequence  $\{s_k\}$  is said to be *summable*  $\Lambda$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,k} s_k$  exists; it is said to be *summable*  $F_\Lambda$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,k} s_{k+\nu}$  exists uniformly in  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The summability method  $F_\Lambda$  corresponding to the arithmetic mean is called *almost convergence*

Received June 25, 1975 and in revised form March 4, 1976. This research work was supported in part by NRC of Canada grant given to the Department of Physics-Mathematics, Université de Moncton, Moncton, N.B., and in part by the grant of a fellowship of SRI of the Canadian Mathematical Congress held at Dalhousie University, Halifax, N.S.

[7]. Recently Siddiqi [10] generalized a classical theorem of Fejér [3] on determination of jump of a function of the class  $V_1$ .

**THEOREM B.** *If  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{n,k})$  is an admissible matrix, then for every  $f \in V_1$  and for every  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ , the sequence*

$$(2) \quad \{\hat{f}(k)e^{ikx} + \hat{f}(-k)e^{-ikx} - \pi^{-1}D(x)\}$$

*is summable  $\Lambda$  (or  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero if and only if  $\{\cos kt\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  (respectively,  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero for all  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , where  $D(x) = f(x + 0) - f(x - 0)$ .*

In this paper we study the problem of summability of the sequence (2) and of allied sequences in the strictly larger class  $V_p (1 < p < \infty)$ . This enables us to obtain extensions of various theorems of Fejér [3], Wiener [12], Lozinskiĭ [8], Matveyev (cf. Bari [1, p. 256]), Keogh and Petersen [6], Siddiqi [10] and DeLeeuw and Katznelson [2]. We give a simple proof of Theorem 1 which depends only on the application of Fatou’s lemma and on the properties of limit superior. More precisely we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{n,k})$  is an admissible matrix such that  $\{\cos kt\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  (or  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero for all  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , then for every  $f \in V_p (1 < p < \infty)$  and for every  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ , the sequence (2) is summable  $\Lambda$  (respectively,  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero. Conversely, if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,k} (\hat{f}(k)e^{ikx} + \hat{f}(-k)e^{-ikx} - \pi^{-1}D(x)) \right) = 0$$

*for every  $f \in V_1$  and for every  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ , then*

$$\lim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,k} \cos kt = 0$$

*for all  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ .*

**3. Proof.** We shall give the proof of summability  $\Lambda$  only. The proof of summability  $F_\Lambda$  is similar. Suppose that  $\{\cos kt\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  to zero for all  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ . We can write

$$\hat{f}(k)e^{ikx} + \hat{f}(-k)e^{-ikx} = \pi^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos k(x - t)df(t).$$

If  $d(x_j)$  denotes the jump of  $f$  at  $x_j \in [0, 2\pi]$ , then  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [d(x_j)]^p \leq V_p(f)$  which is finite (cf. Wiener [12, p. 76]). Hence we can define

$$(3) \quad h(t) = f(t) - \pi^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d(x_j) \phi(t - x_j)$$

where  $\phi(t) = (\pi - t)/2$  ( $0 < t < 2\pi$ ),  $\phi(0) = \phi(2\pi) = 0$ , and outside of  $[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $\phi$  is defined by periodicity. It is clear that  $h \in V_p (1 \leq p < \infty)$  and is

continuous everywhere, and hence we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_k(x)\} &= \left\{ \hat{f}(k)e^{ikx} + \hat{f}(-k)e^{-ikx} - \pi^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d(x_j) \cos k(x - x_j) \right\} \\ &= \pi^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos k(x - t) dh(t), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,k} A_k(x) = \pi^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} K_n(x - t) dh(t)$$

where

$$K_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,k} \cos kt.$$

Breaking  $K_n(t)$  into its positive and negative parts, we can write

$$K_n(t) = K_n^+(t) - K_n^-(t)$$

where  $K_n^+(t) = \max(K_n(t), 0)$  and  $K_n^-(t) = \max(0, -K_n(t))$ . We also denote

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(x) &= \int_0^{2\pi} K_n(x - t) dh(t) \\ (4) \quad &= \int_0^{2\pi} K_n^+(x - t) dh(t) - \int_0^{2\pi} K_n^-(x - t) dh(t) \\ &= \phi_n^+(x) - \phi_n^-(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of limit superior (cf. Royden [9, p. 36]), we obtain

$$(5) \quad \overline{\lim} \phi_n(x) \leq \overline{\lim} \phi_n^+(x) - \underline{\lim} \phi_n^-(x).$$

But by Fatou's lemma (cf. Hildebrandth [4, p. 25]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \overline{\lim} \phi_n^+(x) &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\lim} K_n^+(x - t) dh(t) \quad \text{and} \\ -\underline{\lim} \phi_n^-(x) &\leq -\int_0^{2\pi} \underline{\lim} K_n^-(x - t) dh(t) \end{aligned}$$

Adding (6) together and using (5), we obtain

$$(7) \quad \overline{\lim} \phi_n(x) \leq \int_0^{2\pi} (\overline{\lim} K_n^+(x - t) - \underline{\lim} K_n^-(x - t)) dh(t).$$

But  $\overline{\lim} K_n^+(x - t) = \underline{\lim} K_n^-(x - t)$  by hypothesis, hence

$$(8) \quad \overline{\lim} \phi_n(x) \leq 0.$$

Similarly using Fatou's lemma [4] again and by the properties of limit inferior, we obtain

$$(9) \quad \overline{\lim} \phi_n(x) \geq \underline{\lim} \phi_n(x) \geq \underline{\lim} \phi_n^+(x) - \overline{\lim} \phi_n^-(x) \\ \geq \int_0^{2\pi} (\underline{\lim} K_n^+(x-t) - \overline{\lim} K_n^-(x-t)) dh(t).$$

which is equal to zero by hypothesis. Hence

$$(10) \quad \overline{\lim} \phi_n(x) \geq 0.$$

From (8) and (10), we conclude that  $\overline{\lim} \phi_n(x) = 0$ . Also it follows from (9) that  $\underline{\lim} \phi_n(x) \geq 0$  and from (8), we have  $\underline{\lim} \phi_n(x) \leq 0$ . Hence  $\underline{\lim} \phi_n(x) = 0$ , and hence we obtain finally

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(x) = 0.$$

This implies that if  $K_n(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , then the sequence  $\{A_k(x)\}$  and hence the sequence

$$\{\hat{f}(k)e^{ikx} + \hat{f}(-k)e^{-ikx} - \pi^{-1}D(x)\}$$

is summable  $\Lambda$  to zero for every  $f \in V_p$  and for every  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Conversely if

$$\underline{\lim} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,k} (\hat{f}(k)e^{ikx} + \hat{f}(-k)e^{-ikx} - \pi^{-1}D(x)) \right) = 0$$

for every  $f \in V_p$  and for every  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ , then we choose  $f(t) = 2\phi(t)$  where  $\phi(t)$  has already been defined in (3). It can easily be verified that  $f \in V_p (1 \leq p < \infty)$  and  $\hat{f}(k) = \hat{f}(-k) = 1, D(x) = 0$  so that

$$\hat{f}(k)e^{ikx} + \hat{f}(-k)e^{-ikx} - \pi^{-1}D(x) = 2 \cos kx.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 1 contains as a special case the following extended version of Fejér's Theorem (cf. Zygmund [14, p. 107, Theorem 9.3]).

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $f \in V_p (1 < p < \infty)$  and let  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=\nu}^{n+\nu} A_k(x) = 0$$

*uniformly in  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$*

Using an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{n,k})$  is an admissible matrix such that  $\{e^{ikt}\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  to zero for all  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$  then for every  $f \in V_p (1 < p < \infty)$  and for every*

$x \in [0, 2\pi]$ , the sequences  $\{\hat{f}(k)e^{ikx} - \hat{f}(-k)e^{-ikx}\}$  and  $\{\hat{f}(\pm k)e^{\pm ikx} - (2\pi)^{-1}D(x)\}$  both are summable  $F_\Lambda$  to zero. The converse is also true in the sense of Theorem 1.

4. If  $f \in V_p (1 < p < \infty)$ , then from Theorem A, the convolution of  $f$  defined by

$$f^*(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x+t) \overline{df(t)}$$

exists for every point  $x$  of continuity of  $f$  and for the values of  $1 \leq p < 2$  only. If  $x_j$  is a point of discontinuity of  $f$ , then we define  $f^*(x_j) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_j} f^*(x)$ . It is easily seen (cf. Zygmund [14, p. 108]) that  $f^* \in V_p (1 \leq p < 2)$  and its Fourier-Young series is  $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(k)|^2 e^{ikx}$ . Since

$$f^*(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x+t) \overline{dh(t)} + (2\pi)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(x+x_j) \overline{d(x_j)},$$

It follows that

$$f^*(+0) - f^*(-0) = (2\pi)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |d(x_j)|^2$$

where summation is over all points of discontinuity of  $f$  in  $[0, 2\pi]$  and  $h$  is defined above in (3). Hence applying Theorem 1 at  $x = 0$  for the Fourier-Young series of  $f^*$ , we deduce the following generalization of a theorem of Wiener [12].

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{n,k})$  is an admissible matrix such that  $\{\cos kt\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  (or  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero for all  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , then for every  $f \in V_p (1 < p < 2)$  the sequence*

$$\left\{ |\hat{f}(k)|^2 + |\hat{f}(-k)|^2 - (2\pi^2)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |d(x_j)|^2 \right\}$$

*is summable  $\Lambda$  (respectively,  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero. The converse is also true in the sense of Theorem 1.*

Applying Theorem 3 and Schwarz's inequality, we obtain the following extended version of a theorem of Wiener [12].

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{n,k})$ , is a positive admissible matrix such that  $\{\cos kt\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  (or  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero for all  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ , then for every  $f \in V_p (1 < p < 2)$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is continuous.
- (2)  $\{|\hat{f}(k)|^2 + |\hat{f}(-k)|^2\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  (respectively,  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero.
- (3)  $\{|\hat{f}(k)| + |\hat{f}(-k)|\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  (respectively,  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero.

If  $f$  is a real-valued function of  $V_p$ , then  $|\hat{f}(k)| = |\hat{f}(-k)|$ . Hence under the hypothesis of Theorem 4, the statements (1), (2) and (3) will be equivalent to

the statement that the sequence  $\{|\hat{f}(k)|^2\}$  or  $\{|\hat{f}(-k)|\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  (respectively,  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero.

Since  $f^*$  exists for the values of  $1 \leq p < 2$  only, the theorems of Wiener [12], Keogh and Petersen [6], Lozinskii [8], Matveyev (cf. Bari [1, p. 256, Exc. 9]) and of Siddiqi [10] can be extended to the class  $V_p$  ( $1 \leq p < 2$ ) by making special choices of the matrix  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{n,k})$ .

**5.** Now we shall give some applications of our theorems. Recently, DeLeeuw and Katznelson [2] have given some results for the convergence of  $\{|\hat{f}(n)|\}$  of functions of the class  $V_1$  only. More precisely, they [2] proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM C.** *If  $\{|\hat{f}(n)|\}$  converges to zero then  $\{|\hat{f}(-n)|\}$  converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $f \in V_1$ .*

They [2] also gave an example of a function  $f \in V_1$  for which

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{f}(n)| \neq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{f}(-n)|.$$

Applying Theorem 4, we can extend Theorem C into strictly larger class  $V_p$  in the following way.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{n,k})$  be a positive admissible matrix such that  $\{\cos kt\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  (or  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero for all  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ . Then for every continuous function  $f$  of the class  $V_p$  ( $1 \leq p < 2$ ), the sequence  $\{|\hat{f}(k)|\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  (respectively,  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero if and only if  $\{|\hat{f}(-k)|\}$  is summable  $\Lambda$  (respectively,  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero.*

*Proof.* If  $f \in V_p$  and is continuous, then from Theorem 4, we have that the sequence defined by

$$(12) \quad \{|\hat{f}(k)| + |\hat{f}(-k)|\}$$

is summable  $\Lambda$  (respectively,  $F_\Lambda$ ) to zero. The equivalence of the summability of the sequences  $\{|\hat{f}(k)|\}$  and  $\{|\hat{f}(-k)|\}$  follows immediately from the summability of the sequence (12).

*Remark.* If we drop the hypothesis of continuity in Theorem 5, we can establish a criterion for the summability of the sequences  $\{|\hat{f}(k)|\}$  and  $\{|\hat{f}(-k)|\}$  to a number different from zero.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Bari, *A treatise on trigonometric series, Vol. I* (Oxford, Pergamon Press, 1964).
2. K. DeLeeuw and Y. Katznelson, *The two sides of Fourier-Stieltjes transform and almost idempotent measures*, Israel J. Math. 8 (1970), 213–229.
3. L. Fejér, *Über die Bestimmung des Springes einer Funktionen aus ihrer Fourierreihe*, J. Reine Angew Math. 142 (1913), 165–168.
4. T. H. Hildebrandth, *Introduction to the theory of integration* (New York, Academic Press, 1963).

5. Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis* (New York, Wiley, 1968).
6. F. R. Keogh and G. M. Petersen, *A strengthened form of a theorem of Wiener*, *Math. Zeit.* 71 (1959), 31–35.
7. G. G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, *Acta Math.* 80 (1948), 167–190.
8. S. Lozinskii, *On a theorem of N. Wiener*, *Comptes rendus (Doklady) de l'académie des sciences de l'URSS* 49 (1945), 542–545.
9. H. L. Royden, *Real analysis* (New York, Macmillan, 1963).
10. J. A. Siddiqi, *A strengthened form of a theorem of Fejér*, *Compositio Math.* 21 (1969), 262–270.
11. R. N. Siddiqi, *The order of Fourier coefficients of function of higher variation*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 48 (1972), 569–572.
12. N. Wiener, *The quadratic variation of a function and its Fourier coefficients*, *Massachusetts J. Math.* 3 (1924), 72–94.
13. L. C. Young, *An inequality of Hölder type, connected with Stieltjes integration*, *Acta Math.* 67 (1936), 251–282.
14. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series, Vol. I* (Cambridge, 1959).

*Université de Moncton,  
Moncton, New Brunswick*