Industrial and Organizational Psychology

Perspectives on Science and Practice





Industrial and Organizational Psychology Perspectives on Science and Practice

The novel format of the journal focuses on an interactive exchanges on topics of importance to science and practice in the field of industrial and organizational psychology. The journal takes a focal article—peer commentary format.

A focal article is a position paper on an important issue for the field (or potentially a pair of papers taking opposite sides in a debate). Such a focal article might summarize evidence on an issue and take a position as to implications for science, practice, or public policy. The paper might focus on a basic science issue, an applied science issue, a practice issue, or a public policy issue; many would be a blend. The focal article is then followed by a series of peer commentaries. These could challenge or critique the original article, expand on issues not addressed in the focal article, or draw out implications not developed in the focal article. The goal is to include commentaries from various perspectives, including science, practice, and international perspectives.

EDITOR:

Satoris S. Howes, Oregon State University, USA

SR. MANAGER, PUBLICATIONS AND EVENTS

Jenny Baker, Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, USA

PRACTICE FORUM EDITOR:

Alexis Fink, Facebook, USA

ASSOCIATE EDITORS:

Maura J. Mills, *University of Alabama*, *USA*Whitney Botsford Morgan, *University of Houston-Downtown*, *USA*Hannes Zacher, *Leipzig University*

EDITORIAL BOARD:

Neil R. Anderson, Brunel University, UK Winfred Arthur, Texas A & M University, USA Talya N. Bauer, Portland State University, USA Michael J. Burke, Tulane University, USA Emily D. Campion, Old Dominion University, USA Stuart C. Carr, Massey University, New Zealand José M. Cortina, Virginia Commonwealth University, USA Deborah DiazGranados, Virginia Commonwealth University, USA David Dorsey, Human Resources Research Organization (HumRRO), USA Fritz Drasgow, University of Illinois at Urbana- Champaign, USA Mark Griffin, Curtin University, Australia Peter D. Harms, University of Alabama, USA Theodore Hayes, Arlington, VA, USA Leaetta M. Hough, Dunnette Group, Ltd, USA John C. Howes, qChange, USA Alexander Jackson, Middle Tennessee State

University, USA

Jeff W. Johnson, SHL, USA

Tracy Kantrowitz, Personnel Decisions

Research Institute (PDRI), USA Lisa M. Kath, San Diego State University, USA Richard J. Klimoski, George Mason University, USA Allen I. Kraut, Kraut Associates, USA Hennie J. Kriek, TTS-Top Talent Solutions and University of South Africa, South Africa YoungAh Park, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA Ann Marie Ryan, Michigan State University, USA Lise M. Saari, New York University, USA Eduardo Salas, Rice University, USA John C. Scott, APTMetrics, USA Mindy Shoss, University of Central Florida, USA Robert F. Silzer, HR Assessment & Development and Baruch, Graduate Center, CUNY, USA Nancy T. Tippins, The Nancy T. Tippins Group, LLC, USA Steven Toaddy, Louisiana Tech University, USA Aharon Tziner, Netanya Academic College, Israel Shonna Waters, BetterUp, USA Annika Wilhelmy, University of Zurich, Switzerland

A journal of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology

SIOP is the premier membership organization for those practicing and teaching I-O psychology. Although an independent organization with its own governance, SIOP is also a division within the American Psychological Association and an organizational affiliate of the Association for Psychological Science.

SIOP membership includes online access to all issues of the journal as well as an optional print copy. Individuals can become members at http://www.siop.org/Dues/payment.aspx. Membership rates are \$160 for professional members (Fellows, Members, Associates, International Affiliates) and \$55 for Student Affiliates & Retired statuses.

© Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Inc.

Industrial and Organizational Psychology

Volume 16, Issue 1, March 2023

CONTENTS

FOCAL ARTICLE

Neurodiversity in the workplace: Considering neuroatypicality as a form of diversity Rose LeFevre-Levy, Arturia Melson-Silimon, Rebecca Harmata, Anna L. Hulett, and Nathan T. Carter	
Commentaries	
How can work from home support neurodiversity and inclusion? Hanna Kalmanovich-Cohen and Steven J. Stanton	20
The biopsychosocial model and neurodiversity: A person-centered approach Christopher E. Whelpley, Holly D. Holladay-Sandidge, Haley M. Woznyj, and George C. Banks	25
Neurodiversity and talent measurement: Revisiting the basics Jeremiah T. McMillan, Benjamin Listyg, and Jeh Cooper	3
Promoting neurodiversity without perpetuating stereotypes or overlooking the complexity of neurodevelopmental disorders MATT I. BROWN AND HEIDI R. FISHER	36
From environmental niches to unique contributions: Reconsidering fit to foster inclusion across neurotypes Maira E. Ezerins, Timothy J. Vogus, Allison S. Gabriel, Lauren S. Simon, Charles Calderwood, and Christopher C. Rosen	4
Beyond the business case: Universally designing the workplace for neurodiversity and inclusion ELISABETH R. SILVER, CHRISTINE L. NITTROUER, AND MICHELLE R. HEBL	4:
Not your "typical" research: Inclusion ethics in neurodiversity scholarship Liana Bernard, Stefanie Fox, Kay Kulason, Alex Phanphackdy, Xander Kahle, Larry Martinez, Ludmila Praslova, and Nicholas A. Smith	50
The devil you know versus the devil you don't: Disclosure versus masking in the workplace Kate E. Kidwell, Rebecca L. Clancy, and Gwenith G. Fisher	5 5
Examining personality testing in selection for neurodiverse individuals Lauren Wegmeyer and Andrew Speer	6:

Ludmila Praslova, Liana Bernard, Stefanie Fox, and Aviva Legatt	66
Contextualizing cases for neuroatypical inclusion in the workplace Annika L. Benson, Kelsie L. Colley, Joshua J. Prasad, Colin M.G. Willis, and Tracy E. Powell-Rudy	70
Conceptualizing neurodiversity as individual differences in self-regulation Erin M. Richard	74
FOCAL ARTICLE	
All we like sheep: The need for reflection and reflexivity in I-O psychology Patrick Hyland	77
Commentaries	
Reflection in I-O psychology: Herding sheep Barbara Kennedy, Benita Stiles-Smith, and Shanika Yoshini Koreshi	96
Challenging assumptions in research and practice using problematization principles RICK LAGUERRE	101
Bringing our humanness to the workplace: Fostering reflection and reflexivity via mindful relating Maura J. Mills, Christopher S. Reina, and Dana McDaniel Sumpter	105
The importance of reflective practices for decision makers: A possible part of the solution for helping the field Andres Käosaar, Krisztina Szabó, Alexandra Kandah, and Wei-Cheng Chang	108
Serving decision makers and their employees simultaneously: Adopting a balanced approach RICK LAGUERRE, JENNIFER BRAGGER, EDILEIDE CAVALCANTI, CHRISTINA CHRISTODOULOU, SARA STAVELY, AND MORGAN RUSSELL	113
Reflection and reflexivity in I-O psychology: A graduate student's perspective Meghan E. Kane, Hannah A. Crandell, and Boram Kim	117
Critical race theory as a guide for White I-O psychologists' reflection and reflexivity Brittany N. Lynner	121
Future-proofing I-O psychology: The need for updated graduate curriculum Dillon Stewart, Karyssa A. Courey , Yaojia R. Chen, and Nick J. Banerjee	125
PRACTICE FORUM	
Planned missingness: An underused but practical approach to reducing survey and test length Charlene Zhang and Paul R. Sackett	129