

## Erratum: Corrigendum to “Thermochemistry of glass forming Y-substituted Sr-analogues of titanite (SrTiSiO<sub>5</sub>)” [J. Mater. Res. 24(11), 3380 (2009)]

Tae-Jin Park, Simon Li, and Alexandra Navrotsky<sup>a)</sup>

Peter A. Rock Thermochemistry Laboratory, and NEAT ORU, University of California at Davis, Davis, California 95616

(Received 13 July 2010; accepted 26 July 2010)

This recently published paper<sup>1</sup> reported the thermodynamic stability of Sr-loaded titanium silicate waste form and their potential beta-decay product series with charge balance in the titanite composition (Sr<sub>1-x</sub>Y<sub>0.67x</sub>TiSiO<sub>5</sub>). The crystallization behavior and glass stability of our samples were studied using x-ray diffraction (XRD) and thermogravimetry and differential scanning calorimetry (TG-DSC). SrTiSiO<sub>5</sub> undergoes bulk crystallization, while potential decay products undergo surface crystallization. With proper thermochemical cycle, the enthalpies of formation from oxides ( $\Delta H_{f,ox}^{\circ}$ ) were obtained from drop solution calorimetry in a molten lead borate (2PbO·B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) solvent at 702 °C.  $\Delta H_{f,ox}^{\circ}$  were exothermic but became less so with increasing Y substitution. The destabilizing effect in titanite compositions with Y substitution was discussed in terms of the basicity difference between SrO and YO<sub>1.5</sub> and the ratios of non-tetravalent cations to tetravalent cations [ $R_{cat} = (Sr + Y)/(Ti + Si)$ ].  $\Delta H_{f,ox}^{\circ}$  of SrTiSiO<sub>5</sub> and CaTiSiO<sub>5</sub> glasses were compared.

While our scientific reasoning and conclusions remain valid, unfortunately we found a calculation mistake in our thermochemical cycle. The reference enthalpy of drop solution ( $\Delta H_{ds}$ ) value of SrO is wrong. Instead of using the  $\Delta H_{ds}$  value of SrO in lead borate solvent, we used that in sodium molybdate. Therefore, the purpose of this corrigendum is to report the correct enthalpies of formation and further compare the glass stability related to the titanite analogues.

The  $\Delta H_{ds}$  of SrO in 2PbO·B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solvent at 702 °C is  $-56.6 \pm 2.2$  kJ/mol and can be derived from the thermodynamic cycle listed in Table I. Thus, the enthalpies of formation from the constituent oxides ( $\Delta H_{f,ox}^{\circ}$ ) for SrTiSiO<sub>5</sub>, Sr<sub>0.75</sub>Y<sub>0.17</sub>TiSiO<sub>5</sub>, and Sr<sub>0.5</sub>Y<sub>0.33</sub>TiSiO<sub>5</sub> glasses are  $-88.1 \pm 2.7$ ,  $-63.4 \pm 2.5$ , and  $-38.9 \pm 1.6$  kJ/mol, respectively. The values reported in the original publication were  $-162.9 \pm 2.4$ ,  $-119.5 \pm 2.4$ , and  $-76.3 \pm 1.9$  kJ/mol, respectively. The corrected enthalpy values are shown in Table II. The linear relationship between

$\Delta H_{f,ox}^{\circ}$  and  $x$  (YO<sub>1.5</sub> content) and between  $\Delta H_{f,ox}^{\circ}$  and  $R_{cat}$  are observed.

We now compare the enthalpy of formation of the analogues (Ca, Sr, Ba) of the titanite composition.  $\Delta H_{f,ox}^{\circ}$  of CaTiSiO<sub>5</sub>, SrTiSiO<sub>5</sub>, and BaTiSiO<sub>5</sub> glass are  $-38.8 \pm 3.4$ ,  $-88.1 \pm 2.7$  (this work), and  $-127.6 \pm 3.0$  kJ/mol, respectively. Among titanite analogues, BaTiSiO<sub>5</sub> glass is most stable, SrTiSiO<sub>5</sub> is intermediate,

TABLE I. Thermochemical cycle used for calculation of the enthalpy of drop solution of SrO in lead borate solvent (2PbO·B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) at 702 °C.

	Reaction	Enthalpy
1	SrCO <sub>3</sub> (solid, 25 °C) → SrO (dissolved, 702 °C) + CO <sub>2</sub> (gas, 702 °C)	$\Delta H_1 = \Delta H_{ds}(\text{SrCO}_3)^2$
2	SrO (solid, 25 °C) + CO <sub>2</sub> (gas, 25 °C) → SrCO <sub>3</sub> (solid, 25 °C)	$\Delta H_2 = \Delta H_{f,ox}^{\circ}(\text{SrCO}_3)^3$
3	CO <sub>2</sub> (gas, 702 °C) → CO <sub>2</sub> (gas, 25 °C)	$\Delta H_3 = -32.0$ kJ/mol
4	SrO (solid, 25 °C) → SrO (dissolved, 702 °C)	$\Delta H_4 = \Sigma \Delta H_i$ ( $i = 1-3$ )

$\Delta H_1 = 209.3 \pm 1.3$  kJ/mol<sup>2</sup>;  $\Delta H_2 = -233.9 \pm 1.8$  kJ/mol<sup>3</sup>;  $\Delta H_4 = -56.6 \pm 2.2$  kJ/mol.

TABLE II. Enthalpies of drop solution in lead borate at 702 °C ( $\Delta H_{ds}$ ) and enthalpies of formation from the oxides ( $\Delta H_{f,ox}^{\circ}$ ) and from the elements ( $\Delta H_{f,el}^{\circ}$ ) at 25 °C for Y-substituted Sr-analogue of titanite samples Sr<sub>1-x</sub>Y<sub>0.67x</sub>TiSiO<sub>5</sub> ( $x = 0, 0.25, 0.5$ ), and reference values of binary oxides.

x	$\Delta H_{ds}$ (Jg <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta H_{ds}$ (kJmol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta H_{f,ox}^{\circ}$ (kJmol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta H_{f,el}^{\circ}$ (kJmol <sup>-1</sup> )
0	517.2 ± 5.5	126.0 ± 0.8	-88.1 ± 2.7	-2608.1 ± 2.9
0.25	496.6 ± 5.6	117.4 ± 1.4	-63.4 ± 2.5	-2575.9 ± 2.8
0.5	475.4 ± 2.5	109.1 ± 0.6	-38.9 ± 1.6	-2543.8 ± 2.1
SrO	...	-56.6 ± 2.2	...	-591.3 ± 1.0
YO <sub>1.5</sub>	...	12.0 ± 0.6	...	-952.7 ± 1.1
TiO <sub>2</sub>	...	55.4 ± 1.2	...	-944.0 ± 0.8
SiO <sub>2</sub>	...	39.1 ± 0.3	...	-910.7 ± 1.0

Uncertainty is two standard deviations of the mean.

<sup>a)</sup>Address all correspondence to this author.

e-mail: anavrotsky@ucdavis.edu

DOI: 10.1557/JMR.2009.0413e

and  $\text{CaTiSiO}_5$  is least stable. This reflects the basicity:  $\text{BaO} > \text{SrO} > \text{CaO}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. T-J. Park, S. Li, and A. Navrotsky: Thermochemistry of glass forming Y-substituted Sr-analogues of titanite ( $\text{SrTiSiO}_5$ ). *J. Mater. Res.* **24**(11), 3380 (2009).
2. W.H. Casey, L. Chai, A. Navrotsky, and P.A. Rock: Thermochemistry of mixing strontianite [ $\text{SrCO}_3(\text{s})$ ] and aragonite [ $\text{CaCO}_3(\text{s})$ ] to form  $\text{Ca}_x\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{CO}_3(\text{s})$  solid solutions. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **60**(6), 933 (1996).
3. R.A. Robie and B.S. Hemingway: Thermodynamic properties of minerals and related substances at 298.15 K and 1 Bar ( $10^5$  Pascals) pressure and higher temperatures. *U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull.* **2131**, 181, 221, 274 (1995).