

ON THE NOMENCLATURE OF DIFFUSE FRAGMENTARY OBJECTS

P. Polechova

Observatory Petrin, Prague, Czechoslovakia

ABSTRACT

For the purpose of cataloguing diffuse objects in a wide range of dimensions, two alternative proposals are presented.

Problems similar to those discussed in connection with the nomenclature of optical HII-regions may occur with any diffuse objects such as molecular clouds, large reflection nebulae, HI-regions, and, of course, radio HII-regions.

The radio HII-regions are most commonly designated by the galactic numbers "G". The galactic longitude forms the first part of this number, the galactic latitude the second part, both parts being usually accurate to the first decimal digit and separated by the sign of latitude. This system (also used sometimes for optical objects) was introduced by Mezger and Henderson (1967) in a survey at 5 GHz, with a half power beam width of the telescope of about 6'. The angular dimensions of their objects were in the range from 3 to 11'.

The angular diameters of the HII-regions stretch over a wide extent of at least three orders of magnitude - from several arc sec up to several degrees or tens of degrees. For larger objects, galactic numbers accurate to two decimal digits would be inconvenient since large absolute errors may occur in the coordinates, which would change the name. Galactic numbers accurate to one decimal digit are not usable throughout the catalogue, either since among the optical HII-regions (represented on the northern sky by the catalogue of Sharpless) there exists at least one triple (S 192, S 193, S 194) and one couple (S 256, S 257) of objects the galactic coordinates of which are identical for all the three or the two objects, respectively, if they are denoted with accuracy of the first decimal digit. On the other side there is an example of the radio counterpart of NGC 2024 for which Wilson et al. (1970) and Reifenstein et al. (1970) give G 206.5-16.4, while Schraml and Mezger (1969) give G 206.6-16.4. This example shows that the names should not be introduced freely by the authors.

I think the new objects should be named by running numbers with the mark of the discoverer firstly. The incorporation to the system of the general catalogue should then be made cautiously. The system should consider the following conditions.

It should be open to later discoveries, including both the discoveries of "new" emission centers and the discoveries of new extensions of "old" objects.

It should include rough information about the position in the Galaxy and the advantage of the galactic coordinates lies also in their constancy with time.

The number should not be regarded as a substitute of any kind of coordinates, and the once established name should not be changed.

Since generally a higher resolution of a sky survey implies a more detailed fragmentation of the objects, every survey of the sky can only be appropriate for a limited range of angular dimensions.

The coordinates in a general system may depend not only on the resolution but also on the frequency.

I think that the best workable system is one which uses and refines the system already in use. The result of such considerations is a proposal of a multiscale nomenclature system, where the information about the dimensions would be included in the name of the object, in addition to the information about its galactic coordinates. (Moreover, it can contain also information about the frequency in place of the, formerly constant, G.).

Each object can be affixed to a definite size of a coordinate box according to its angular diameter. Thus the appropriate accuracy of the coordinates (which determines the names of the object) would be assured. The correspondence of the objects' dimensions to the boxes is shown in Table 1. Table 2 presents an alternative which is a logical extension of Table 1.

In the range of the one-decimal coordinate boxes (former G-numbers) the catalogue of Rodgers et al. (1960) and for instance that of Bok et al. (1955) would be usable as a guide for the purpose of the general catalogue of the optical HII-regions.

Objects	small	medium	large
Size θ of the objet	less than 6'	$6' \leq \theta < 60'$	larger than 60'
Size of the box	0.01°	0.1°	1°
Name	LLL.LL±BB.BB	LLL.L±BB.B	LLL±BB

Table 1. The proposed rules for the range of the accuracy of the coordinates determining the names of the objects - alternative 1

Size θ of the object	... $3.6'' \leq \theta < 36''$	$36'' \leq \theta < 6'$	$6' \leq \theta < 60'$	$60' \leq \theta$
Size of the box	... 0.001°	0.01°	0.1°	1°
Name	... LLL.LLL±BB.BBB	LLL.LL±BB.BB	LLL.L±BB.B	LLL±BB

Table 2. The proposed rules for the range of the accuracy of the coordinates determining the names of the objects - alternative 2.

REFERENCES

- Bok B.J., Bester M.J., Wade C.M. : *Daedalus* 86, 9 or Harvard reprint N° 416 (1955)
- Gum C.S. : *Memoirs Roy. Astron. Soc.* 67, 155 (1955)
- Lynge G., Hansson N. : *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.* 6, 327 (1972)
- Marsalkova P. (=Polechova P.) : *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 27, 3 (1974)
- Mezger P.G., Henderson A.P. : *Astrophys. J.* 147, 471 (1967)
- Münch L. : *Bol. Obs. Tonantz. Tac.* 2 N° 13, 28 (1955)
- Perek L., Kohoutek L. : *Catalogue of Galactic Planetary Nebulae*, Praha (1967)

- Polechová P. : Ph. D. Thesis, Charles University, Praha (1979)
- Rodgers A.W., Campbell C.T., Whiteoak J.B. : Monthly Notices Roy. Astron. Soc. 121, 103 (1960)
- Reifenstein E.C. III, Wilson T.L., Burke B.F., Mezger P.G., Altenhoff W.J. : Astron. Astrophys. 4, 357 (1970)
- Schraml J., Mezger P.G. : Astrophys. J. 156, 269 (1969)
- Sharpless S. : Astrophys. J. Suppl. 4, 257 (1959)
- Sivan J.P. : Thèse de Doctorat d'Etat, Université de Provence, France (1977)
- Van den Bergh S. : Astron. J. 71, 990 (1966)
- Walmsley C.M. : unpublished (1973)
- Wilson T.L., Mezger P.G., Gardner F.F., Milne D.K. : Astron. Astrophys. 6, 364 (1970)
- Wilson T.L., Downes D. (Ed.) : Lecture Notes in Physics 42, HII-regions and related topics, Springer-Verlag (1975)