ON CERTAIN REMARKABLE TRIANGLES

O. BOTTEMA

1. Introduction. In his fine and stimulating book Introduction to geometry (1), Coxeter considers three mutually tangent circles C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 (Fig. 1) and calculates the radii of the two circles E_1 and E_2 that touch all



FIGURE 1

these three. If the centres of C_i are the vertices of a triangle ABC their radii are s - a, s - b, and s - c; α , β , and γ are defined by

$$\alpha(s-a) = \beta(s-b) = \gamma(s-c) = 1.$$

The radii R_1 and R_2 of E_1 and E_2 are then found to be

(1)
$$R_{1,2} = [\alpha + \beta + \gamma \pm 2(\beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha + \alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{-1}.$$

 R_1 , the radius of the smaller circle, is obviously always positive; the other radius, R_2 , is said to be "usually" negative (which means that E_2 encloses C_i as in our figure), but there are "very obtuse" triangles for which $R_2 > 0$ so that the circles C_i are all outside E_2 . In the border-case E_2 is a straight line and the condition for this to happen is

(2)
$$(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)^2 = 4(\beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha + \alpha\beta)$$

or, what is shown to be the same thing,

Received May 15, 1962.

$$4R+r-2s=0,$$

where R and r are the radii of the circumscribed and inscribed circles of ABC.

We determine here the triangles for which (2) holds; in fact, we express the sides a, b, c and angles A, B, C of such a triangle explicitly as functions of a parameter; in this manner the description "very obtuse" may be given a more precise meaning.

2. Alternative methods. A proof of (1) is given by Coxeter in a purely elementary way. He remarks that the well-known chemist Soddy considered the problem and that the formulae for R_i may be found in Hobson's *Trigonometry* (2). We add to this that (1) was already known to Steiner, who published a proof, by means of Heron's formula for the height of a triangle, in his "Einige geometrische Betrachtungen" which appeared in the first volume of *Crelle's Journal* in 1826 (pp. 161–184, 252–288). The paper is republished in his collected works (4) and the formulae are given with a clarifying note by Weierstrass on the signs of the radii concerned.

We remark that (1) may also easily be shown by *inversion*. If the contactpoint P_3 of C_1 and C_2 is taken as the centre of inversion and its modulus is 2, then the images C_1^1 and C_2^1 are two parallel lines (Fig. 2), that of the inscribed



circle C_0 is the line C_0^1 , C_3^1 has M as its centre, $P_3 S_1 = \alpha$, $P_3 S_2 = \beta$, $P_3 D = \rho = r^{-1}$. Obviously E_1^1 and E_2^1 are circles congruent to C_3^1 and touching it at the right- and the left-hand side. From

$$P_{3} M_{1^{2}} = (\rho + \alpha + \beta)^{2} + \frac{1}{4} (\alpha - \beta)^{2}$$

and

(3)

$$P_3 M_2{}^2 = (\rho - \alpha - \beta)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\alpha - \beta)^2$$

it follows that

(4) $R_1 = (\alpha + \beta)[(\rho + \alpha + \beta)^2 - \alpha\beta]^{-1}$, $R_2 = (\alpha + \beta)[(\rho - \alpha - \beta)^2 - \alpha\beta]^{-1}$. E_2 is a straight line if P_3 is on E_2^1 , or what is the same thing, if (5) $\rho^2 - 2(\alpha + \beta)\rho + \alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + \beta^2 = 0$. In the same way we obtain

(6)
$$\rho^2 - 2(\beta + \gamma)\rho + \beta^2 + \beta\gamma + \gamma^2 = 0$$

The third analogous equation is seen to be linearly dependent on (5) and (6), which also imply that

(7)
$$\rho^2 = \beta \gamma + \gamma \alpha + \alpha \beta, \qquad 2\rho = \alpha + \beta + \gamma.$$

We thus have again the condition (2), of which both sides are now seen to be equal to $4r^{-2}$.

3. The deltoid. If we multiply both sides of (2) by $(s - a)^2(s - b)^2(s - c)^2$ it becomes

(8)
$$(bc + ca + ab - s^2)^2 = 4s(s - a)(s - b)(s - c).$$

This is therefore the relation between the sides of a triangle for which E_2 is a straight line. We regard a, b, c as the barycentric co-ordinates of a point in a Euclidean plane with respect to an equilateral triangle $O_1 O_2 O_3$ (Fig. 3).



For real triangles the image points are inside the triangle $D_1 D_2 D_3$. Points on the line-segments $D_2 D_3$, $D_3 D_1$, $D_1 D_2$ correspond to improper triangles. The line *l* at infinity is given by s = 0; bc + ca + ab = 0 is the equation of the circumcircle Ω of $O_1 O_2 O_3$; s - a = 0, s - b = 0, s - c = 0 are the lines $D_2 D_3$, $D_3 D_1$, and $D_1 D_2$ respectively.

We see that (8) is the equation of a quartic curve K, which has the three lines $O_i D_i$ as axes of symmetry. For s = 0 we obtain the isotropic points each

320

counted twice, but these points are one-fold intersections of K and Ω . Hence l touches K in the isotropic points. For s - a = 0 we obtain the points D_2 and D_3 each counted twice and so on; therefore D_1 , D_2 , and D_3 are double points of K. The axis of symmetry b = c meets K three times at D_1 (the fourth point of intersection being $Q_1 = (8, 5, 5)$), which means that D_1 (and D_2 and D_3) is a cusp. From these properties it follows, in view of a theorem of Cremona-Clebsch (3), that K is *Steiner's hypocycloid*. It is drawn in Figure 3 and we see that no points of K are outside $D_1 D_2 D_3$. Therefore if a, b, c satisfy (8) they are the sides of a real triangle, which is improper only if a = 0, b = c, etc. K is generated by a point of a circle of radius $\frac{1}{3}$ which rolls within the inscribed circle of $O_1 O_2 O_3$, which is given the radius 1 (Fig. 4). If g is the



moving point, $\angle D_1 OD = \phi$, then $\angle g ND = 3\phi$ and by projecting the broken line $D_1 ONg$ on $O_1 D_1$, $O_2 D_2$, and $O_3 D_3$ the co-ordinates of g are easily found. If we write $\alpha = \pi/3$ the result is

(9)
$$\lambda a = 3 - 2\cos\phi - \cos 2\phi,$$
$$\lambda b = 3 + 2\cos(\phi + \alpha) - \cos 2(\phi + \alpha),$$
$$\lambda c = 3 + 2\cos(\phi - \alpha) - \cos 2(\phi - \alpha),$$

where λ is a proportionality factor. By (9) the sides of our remarkable triangles are given explicitly as functions of the parameter ϕ with $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$. For $\phi = 0$, 2α , and 4α we have the cusps D_1 , D_2 , and D_3 ; for $\phi = \alpha$, 3α , and 5α the points Q_3 , Q_1 , and Q_2 . If ϕ increases with 2α the sides a, b, c are cyclically interchanged.

We remark that (9) may be written:

(10)
$$\lambda a = 2(1 - \cos \phi)(2 + \cos \phi),$$
$$\lambda b = 2\{1 + \cos(\phi + \alpha)\}\{2 - \cos(\phi + \alpha)\},$$
$$\lambda c = 2\{1 + \cos(\phi - \alpha)\}\{2 - \cos(\phi - \alpha)\}.$$

Furthermore from (9) it follows that

(11)

$$2\lambda s = 9,$$

$$2\lambda(s-a) = (2\cos\phi+1)^2 = 16\cos^2\frac{\phi+\alpha}{2}\cos^2\frac{\phi+5\alpha}{2},$$

$$2\lambda(s-b) = \{2\cos(\phi+\alpha)-1\}^2 = 16\cos^2\frac{\phi+5\alpha}{2}\cos^2\frac{\phi+3\alpha}{2},$$

$$2\lambda(s-c) = \{2\cos(\phi-\alpha)-1\}^2 = 16\cos^2\frac{\phi+3\alpha}{2}\cos^2\frac{\phi+\alpha}{2},$$

and therefore, if Δ stands for the area of triangle ABC:

(12)
$$\lambda^2 \Delta = 48 \cos^2 \frac{\phi + \alpha}{2} \cos^2 \frac{\phi + 3\alpha}{2} \cos^2 \frac{\phi + 5\alpha}{2} = 3 \sin^2 \frac{3\phi}{2}$$
,

(13)
$$\lambda r = \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \frac{3}{2} \phi,$$

(14)
$$\lambda r_a = 6 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \phi, \quad \lambda r_b = 6 \sin^2 (\frac{1}{2} \phi - \alpha), \quad \lambda r_c = 6 \sin^2 (\frac{1}{2} \phi + \alpha),$$

(15)
$$\tan \frac{1}{2}A = \frac{4}{3}\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi$$
, $\tan \frac{1}{2}B = \frac{4}{3}\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\phi - \alpha)$, $\tan \frac{1}{2}C = \frac{4}{3}\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\phi + \alpha)$,

(16)
$$12\lambda R = 27 - 2\sin^2\frac{3}{2}\phi$$
.

4. Bounds for the angles. The formulae (9) and (12) to (16) give some characteristic values for the triangles ABC. Obviously all triangles of the set are essentially determined if ϕ is chosen in the interval $0 < \phi \leq \alpha$, the image-point then lying on the arc $D_1 Q_3$ of K. We have then $A \leq B \leq C$. From (15) we draw the conclusion that $1 < \tan \frac{1}{2}C \leq \frac{4}{3}$, from which it follows that ABC is always an obtuse-angled triangle, but the largest angle is at most 2 arcsin $\frac{4}{5}$ (that is, about 106°); this maximum is found for the isosceles triangle of the set, namely a:b:c = 5:5:8. Furthermore $0 < \tan \frac{1}{2}A \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and therefore $A \leq \arcsin \frac{3}{5} \leq B < \frac{1}{2}\pi$.

5. Centres of similitude. G. R. Veldkamp has noticed that the centres of similitude of the circles E_1 and E_2 are the incentre I and the Gergonne point M (which lies on the lines joining the vertices to the points of contact of the opposite sides with the incircle). Therefore, in a "remarkable" triangle (such that E_2 is a straight line l), the circle E_1 has IM as a diameter; moreover, l is the polar line of M with respect to the incircle.

References

- 1. H. S. M. Coxeter, Introduction to geometry (New York, 1961), pp. 13-16.
- 2. E. W. Hobson, A treatise on plane trigonometry (London, 1925), p. 216.
- Gino Loria, Spezielle algebraische und transzendente ebene Kurven, I (2. Aufl., Leipzig, 1910), p. 161.
- 4. Jacob Steiner, Gesammelte Werke, I (Berlin, 1881), pp. 60-63, 524.

Technological University Delft, Holland

322