M.-C. Liu and K.-M. Tsang Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 85 (1982), 241-249

ON THE DISTRIBUTION (MOD 1) OF POLYNOMIALS OF A PRIME VARIABLE

MING-CHIT LIU AND KAI-MAN TSANG

§1. Introduction

Throughout, ε is any small positive number, θ any real number, n, n_j , k, N some positive integers and p, p_j any primes. By $\|\theta\|$ we mean the distance from θ to the nearest integer. Write $C(\varepsilon)$, $C(\varepsilon, k)$ for positive constants which may depend on the quantities indicated inside the parentheses.

Dirichlet's theorem says that for any θ , N there exists n such that

$$(1.1) n \leqslant N ext{ and } \|\theta n\| < N^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, as a direct consequence of (1.1), there are infinitely many n such that

(1.2)
$$\|\theta n\| < n^{-1}$$
.

Improving an estimate of Vinogradov [12], Heilbronn [6] extended (1.1) by showing that for any θ, ε, N there are n and $C(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$(1.3) n \leqslant N ext{ and } \|\theta n^2\| < C(\varepsilon) N^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$$

Later, Davenport [3] extended (1.3) by proving that if g is a polynomial of degree $k \ge 2$ with real coefficients and without constant term then for any ε , N there are n and $C(\varepsilon, k)$ such that

(1.4)
$$n \leqslant N$$
 and $\|g(n)\| < C(\varepsilon, k) N^{-1/(2^{k-1})+\varepsilon}$

The results of Heilbronn [6] and Davenport [3] sparked off a series of investigations (see [9]). In particular, recently Schmidt has made remarkable progress in [9, 10]. However all these developments concerning (1.1) have no parallel results for prime. This can be seen from the following example. Let q be any positive integer having at least two

Received June 6, 1980.

distinct prime factors and $\{\alpha_j\}_{1}^{\infty}$ a sequence of irrationals which converges to the rational a/q with (a, q) = 1. Obviously

$$(1.5) ||p^k a/q|| \ge 1/q$$

for any prime p. Suppose that when θ is irrational, (1.4) has a parallel result for prime, i.e. for any α_j , ε , N there are p and $C(\varepsilon, k)$ such that

(1.6)
$$p \leqslant N \text{ and } \|\alpha_j p^k\| < C(\varepsilon, k) N^{-\delta + \varepsilon}$$

where $\varepsilon < \delta$ and δ depends on k only. Now if $N^{\delta-\epsilon} > q(C(\varepsilon, k) + 1)$ and α_j satisfies $|\alpha_j - a/q| < N^{-k-\delta}$, then by (1.6),

$$\|p^{k}a/q\|\leqslant \|lpha_{j}p^{k}\|+p^{k}\|lpha_{j}-a/q\|< N^{-\delta+\epsilon}(C(arepsilon,k)+1)<1/q\;.$$

This contradicts (1.5).

On the contrary, concerning (1.2) there is indeed a parallel result for prime. It was mentioned in [5] that by a result of Vinogradov [14, Chapter 9] for any ε and irrational α , there are infinitely many primes psuch that $\|\alpha p\| < p^{-1/5+\varepsilon}$. Recently this inequality was improved by Vaughan [11] to $\|\alpha p\| < p^{-1/4} (\log p)^{\varepsilon}$. The object of our present paper is to extend Dirichlet's theorem (1.2) to polynomials of a prime variable as that (1.3) and (1.4) extend Dirichlet's theorem (1.1). We shall prove

THEOREM. If f is any polynomial of degree $k \ge 2$ with real coefficients and irrational leading coefficient then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there are infinitely many primes p such that

 $||f(p)|| < p^{-A(k)+\varepsilon}$,

where $A(k) = (3(k + 1)4^{k+1})^{-1}$.

By (1.5) we see that the irrationality in our theorem is essential. In our proof, unlike most previous work in this field, we make no use of the Heilbronn argument [6] presented by Davenport in [3] but we modify an earlier method due to Davenport and Heilbronn [1]. Also in §4 we are able to use the full-strength of a result of Vinogradov [13] which determines the exponent, A(k) in our Theorem.

§2. Notation

Let δ be a small positive number (<1) and x a real variable. Write $e(x) = \exp(i2\pi x)$ and denote the integral part of x by [x]. Let α be the

leading coefficient of the given polynomial f. Since α is irrational, by Theorem 183 [4] there are infinitely many convergents a/q such that

(2.1)
$$|lpha-a/q| < (2q^2)^{-1}$$
.

For sufficiently large q, put

(2.2)
$$X = q^{1/(k-2/3)}, \qquad L = \log X,$$
$$I_j(x) = \int_x^{2x} e((-1)^j x y^k) dy \qquad (j = 1, 2),$$

(2.3)
$$\begin{cases} S_{j}(x) = \sum_{X < n \leq 2X} e((-1)^{j} x n^{k}) & (j = 1, 2), \\ S_{3}(x) = \sum_{\delta X < p \leq 2\delta X} e(x f(p)), & S_{4}(x) = \sum_{\delta X < p \leq 2\delta X} e(x p^{k}), \\ S_{j}(x) = \sum_{\delta X < n \leq 2\delta X} e(x n^{k}) & (j = 5, 6, \cdots, s), \end{cases}$$

where

(2.4)
$$s = 2^k + 2$$
 .

Trivially,

(2.5)
$$|S_j(x)| \leqslant X \ (j = 1, \dots, s) \text{ and } |I_j(x)| \leqslant X \ (j = 1, 2)$$
.

Furthermore we put

(2.6)
$$V(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} S_j(x) , \qquad W(x) = I_1(x)I_2(x) \prod_{j=3}^{s} S_j(x) ,$$

(2.7)
$$A(k) = (3(k+1)4^{k+1})^{-1},$$

(2.8)
$$\tau = X^{-A(k)+\epsilon},$$

$$K_{\tau}(x) = egin{cases} au^2 & ext{if } x = 0 \ , \ \left(rac{\sin \pi au x}{\pi x}
ight)^2 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously,

$$(2.9) K_{\tau}(x) \leqslant \tau^2 .$$

We partition the real line into

$$\begin{array}{l} (2.10) \qquad \qquad \left\{ \begin{aligned} E_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} &= \{x \colon |x| \leqslant X^{\scriptscriptstyle -k+1/3}\} \;, \\ E_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} &= \{x \colon X^{\scriptscriptstyle -k+1/3} < |x| \leqslant X^{\scriptscriptstyle 2A(k)}\} \;, \\ E_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} &= \{x \colon X^{\scriptscriptstyle 2A(k)} < |x|\} \;. \end{aligned} \right.$$

If Y > 0 we use $Z \ll Y$ (or $Y \gg Z$) to denote |Z| < CY where C is some positive constant. The constants implied by O, \ll, \gg may depend on the given constants, k, ε, δ and the coefficients of f only.

§ 3. Integration over E_1

LEMMA 1. For any real y we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(xy)K_{\tau}(x)dx = \max(0, \tau - |y|).$$

Proof. See Lemma 2 in [8].

LEMMA 2. We have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(x) K_{\mathfrak{r}}(x) dx \gg au^2 X^{s-k} L^{-2} \; .$$

Proof. Let B denote the cartesian product of the intervals, $X^* \ll y_j \ll (2X)^*$ (j = 1, 2) and let the set B^* of (y_1, y_2) be defined by the following (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4).

$$(3.1) 2X^k \leqslant y_1 \leqslant 3X^k ,$$

(3.2)
$$y_2 = y_1 + \phi - f(p_3) - p_4^k - \sum_{5 \le j \le s} n_j^k ,$$

where

$$\delta X \leqslant p_3, p_4, n_5, \cdots, n_s \leqslant 2\delta X$$

and ϕ is a real variable satisfying

(3.4)
$$|\phi| < \tau/2$$
 .

By (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) we see that

$$|y_2 \leqslant 3X^k + au/2 + 2|lpha| (2\delta X)^k + (2\delta X)^k + (s-4)(2\delta X)^k < 4X^k \; .$$

Similarly $y_2 > X^k$. So

$$(3.5) B^* \subset B .$$

By (2.6), (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(x) K_{ au}(x) dx = \sum_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^2 \int_{X^k}^{(2X)^k} (k y_j^{1-1/k})^{-1} e((-1)^j x y_j) dy_j
ight) \ & imes e \Big(x \Big\{ f(p_3) + p_4^k + \sum_{5 \leqslant j \leqslant s} n_j^k \Big\} \Big) K_{ au}(x) dx \;, \end{aligned}$$

244

where \sum_{i} is a summation taken over all p_{j} , n_{j} satisfying (3.3). Then by Lemma 1, (3.5), (3.2), (3.4) and (3.1) we have

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W\!(x) K_{ au}(x) \, dx \gg X^{2(1-k)} \sum_1 \int_B \max \Big(0, \ au - \Big| -y_1 + y_2 + f(p_3) + p_4^k \ &+ \sum_{5 \leqslant j \leqslant s} n_j^k \Big| \Big) dy_1 dy_2 \ &\gg X^{2(1-k)} \sum_1 \left(au - (au/2)
ight) \int_{B^*} dy_1 dy_2(\phi) \ &\gg X^{2(1-k)} \sum_1 au(au X^k) \ &\gg au^2 X^{2-k} X^{s-4}(X/L)^2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from (3.3) and the prime number theorem. This proves Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. If $|x| \ll X^{-k+1/3}$ then for j = 1, 2

$$S_{i}(x) = I_{i}(x) + O(1)$$
.

Proof. This is essentially the Corollary in [2, p. 85].

LEMMA 4. We have

$$\int_{E_1} V(x) K_{\scriptscriptstyle au}(x) dx \gg au^2 X^{s-k} L^{-2} \; .$$

Proof. By (2.6), Lemma 3 and (2.5) we have, when $x \in E_1$

$$egin{aligned} |V(x)-W(x)| &= |S_1(S_2-I_2)+I_2(S_1-I_1)|\prod\limits_{j=3}^s |S_j(x)| \ &= O(X)\prod\limits_{j=3}^s |S_j(x)| = O(X^{s-1}) \;. \end{aligned}$$

So in view of (2.9) and (2.10)

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \int_{E_1} V(x) K_{\tau}(x) dx - \int_{E_1} W(x) K_{\tau}(x) dx \right| \ll \tau^2 X^{s-1} \int_{E_1} dx \ll \tau^2 X^{s-k-2/3} .$$

On the other hand, by integration by parts and (2.2) if $x \neq 0$ we have (3.7) $I_j(x) = O(|x|^{-1}X^{-k+1})$.

It follows from (2.9), (3.7), (2.5) and (2.10) that

(3.8)
$$\int_{x \in E_1} W(x) K_{\tau}(x) dx \ll \tau^2 X^{s-2} X^{2(1-k)} \int_{x \in E_1} |x|^{-2} dx \ll \tau^2 X^{s-k-1/3}$$

Lemma 4 follows from Lemma 2, (3.6) and (3.8).

§4. Integration over E_2

LEMMA 5. Let $\lambda_3 = \alpha$ (the leading coefficient of f) and $\lambda_4 = 1$. Suppose that for j = 3 or 4 there are integers a_j, q_j with $(a_j, q_j) = 1, 1 \leq q_j$ and

$$|\lambda_j x - a_j/q_j| \leqslant q_j^{-2}$$
 .

If

(4.1)
$$Q = \min(q_j, [2\delta X]^k/q_j), \quad U = \min(Q, [2\delta X]^{1/3})$$

and

$$(4.2) Q \ge (k \log [2\delta X])^{(2k+1)4^{3k-1}}$$

then

$$S_j(x) \ll X U^{-3A(k)}$$

where A(k) is defined in (2.7).

Proof. This is the Theorem in [13].

LEMMA 6. We have

$$\sup_{x \in E_2} \min (|S_3(x)|, |S_4(x)|) \ll X^{1-A(k)}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_3 = \alpha$, which is the leading coefficient of the polynomial f, and $\lambda_4 = 1$. By Dirichlet's theorem [4, p. 30] for each $x \in E_2$ there are integers a_j, q_j with $(a_j, q_j) = 1$ and

 $(4.3) 1 \leqslant q_j \leqslant \delta^{-1} X^{k-1/3}$

such that

$$|\lambda_j x - a_j/q_j| \leqslant \delta X^{-\,k\,+\,1/3} q_j^{-1} \qquad (j=3,4) \;,$$

By the same argument as that in Lemma 13 of [8] we can prove that $\max(q_3, q_4) \ge X^{1/3}$. In the proof we need (2.1), that is the irrationality of α . Then Lemma 6 follows from Lemma 5.

LEMMA 7. For $j \neq 3, 4$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |S_j(x)|^{2k} K_{\mathfrak{r}}(x) dx \ll au X^{2k-k} L^c$$
 ,

where c is some positive constant depending on k only.

Proof. This is a consequence of Hua's Lemma [Theorem 4, 7]. See Lemma 21 in [8].

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019747 Published online by Cambridge University Press

246

LEMMA 8. We have

$$\int_{E_2} |V(x)| \ K_{ au}(x) dx \ll au^2 X^{s-k} L^{-s} \ .$$

Proof. By Lemma 6 we have

(4.4)
$$\int_{E_2} |V(x)| K_{\mathfrak{r}}(x) dx \leq \sup_{x \in E_2} \min \left(|S_{\mathfrak{z}}(x)|, |S_{\mathfrak{z}}(x)| \right) \\ \times \left\{ \int_{E_2} \left(|S_{\mathfrak{z}}(x)| + |S_{\mathfrak{z}}(x)| \right) \left| \prod_{j \neq \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}} S_j(x) \right| K_{\mathfrak{r}}(x) dx \right\} \\ \ll X^{1 - A(k)} \{ J_1 + J_2 \}, \quad \text{say.}$$

Note that by (2.4) there are 2^k factors in the above product $\prod_{j \neq 3,4} S_j(x)$. We denote the products taken over the first 2^{k-1} and last 2^{k-1} factors by \prod_1 and \prod_2 respectively. By (2.5) and Hölder's inequality we have

$$egin{aligned} &J_{1} \ll X \int_{E_{2}} \left| \prod\limits_{j
eq 3,4} S_{j}(x)
ight| K_{ au}(x) dx \ & \ll X \left\{ \prod_{1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |S_{j}(x)|^{2^{k}} K_{ au}(x) dx
ight)^{2^{1-k}}
ight\}^{1/2} \left\{ \prod_{2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |S_{j}(x)|^{2^{k}} K_{ au}(x) dx
ight)^{2^{1-k}}
ight\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

The same argument holds for J_2 , then by Lemma 7 we have

 $J_1 \quad ext{and} \quad J_2 \ll au X^{2^{k-k+1}} L^c \;.$

This, together with (4.4), (2.4) and (2.8), proves Lemma 8.

§5. Completion of the proof

LEMMA 9. Let $\Omega(x) = \sum e(x\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n))$, where ω is any real-valued function and the summation is over any finite set of values y_1, \dots, y_n . Then for any $R > 4/\tau$ we have

$$\int_{|x|>R} |arOmega(x)|^2 \, K_{ au}(x) dx \ll (R au)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |arOmega(x)|^2 \, K_{ au}(x) dx \; .$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2 in [2]. See Lemma 16 in [8].

LEMMA 10. We have

$$\int_{E_3} |V(x)| \; K_z(x) dx \ll au^2 X^{s-k} L^{-3} \; .$$

Proof. By (2.5), Lemma 9 with $R = X^{2A(k)}$ and a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 8, we have

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000019747 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{E_3} |V(x)| \ K_{ au}(x) dx \ll X^2 (X^{{}_{2.4}(k)} au)^{{}_{-1}} \Big\{ \prod_1 \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty |S_j(x)|^{2^k} K_{ au}(x) dx
ight)^{2^{1-k}} \Big\}^{1/2} \ & imes \left\{ \prod_2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty |S_j(x)|^{2^k} K_{ au}(x) dx
ight)^{2^{1-k}}
ight\}^{1/2} \ &\ll au^2 X^{s-k} L^{-3} \;. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 10.

We come now to the proof of our Theorem. By Lemma 1 we have

$$J=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}V(x)K_{ au}(x)dx=\sum_{2}\max\left(0, au-\left|n_{1}^{k}-n_{2}^{k}+f(p_{3})+p_{4}^{k}+\sum\limits_{5\leqslant j\leqslant s}n_{j}^{k}
ight|
ight),$$

where the summation \sum_{2} is taken over all s-tuples $(n_1, n_2, p_3, p_4, n_5, \cdots, n_s)$ lying in

(5.1)
$$X \leqslant n_1, n_2 \leqslant 2X; \qquad \delta X \leqslant p_3, p_4, n_5, \cdots, n_s \leqslant 2\delta X.$$

Then

$$(5.2) J \leqslant \tau N \, ,$$

where N is the number of $(n_1, n_2, p_3, p_4, n_5, \dots, n_s)$ satisfying (5.1) and

(5.3)
$$\left| n_1^k - n_2^k + f(p_3) + p_4^k + \sum_{5 \leq j \leq s} n_j^k \right| < \tau = X^{-A(k) + \epsilon}$$

Now, by Lemmas 4, 8 and 10 we have

$$J = \sum_{
u=1}^{3} \int_{E_{
u}} V(x) K_{
u}(x) dx \gg au^{2} X^{s-k} L^{-2} \; .$$

So by (5.2)

$$(5.4) N \gg \tau X^{s-k} L^{-2} \longrightarrow \infty as X \longrightarrow \infty .$$

Since $n_1^k - n_2^k + p_4^k + \sum_{5 \le j \le s} n_j^k$ is an integer and $\delta X \le p_3 \le 2\delta X$, by (5.3), (5.4) we see that

$$\|f(p_3)\| < p_3^{-A(k)+\varepsilon}$$

has infinitely many solutions in primes p_3 . This proves our Theorem.

References

- H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn, On indefinite quadratic forms in five variables, J. London Math. Soc., 21 (1946), 185-193.
- [2] H. Davenport and K. F. Roth, The solubility of certain diophantine inequalities, Mathematika, 2 (1955), 81-96.

248

DISTRIBUTION (MOD 1)

- [3] H. Davenport, On a theorem of Heilbronn, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, (2), 18 (1967), 339-344.
- [4] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 4th ed. Oxford, 1965.
- [5] S. Hartman and S. Knapowski, Bemerkungen über die Bruchteile von $p\alpha$, Ann. Polon. Math., 3 (1957), 285–287.
- [6] H. Heilbronn, On the distribution of the sequence $n^2\theta \pmod{1}$, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, **19** (1948), 249-256.
- [7] L. K. Hua, Additive Theory of Prime Numbers, Translations of Mathematical Monographs Vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1965.
- [8] M. C. Liu, Approximation by a sum of polynomials involving primes, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 30 (1978), 395-412.
- [9] W. M. Schmidt, Small Fractional Parts of Polynomials, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics No. 32, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I. 1977.
- [10] —, On the distribution modulo 1 of the sequence $\alpha n^2 + \beta n$, Canad. J. Math., 29 (1977), 819-826.
- [11] R. C. Vaughan, On the distribution of αp modulo 1, Mathematika, 24 (1977), 135–141.
- [12] I. M. Vinogradov, Analytischer Beweis des Satzes über die Verteilung der Bruchteile eines ganzen Polynoms, (in Russian), Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR (6), 21 (1927), 567-578.
- [13] —, A new estimate of a trigonometric sum containing primes, (in Russian with English summary), Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR ser. Math., 2 (1938), 3–13.
- [14] —, The Method of Trigonometrical Sums in the Theory of Numbers, New York: Interscience 1954.

Department of Mathematics University of Hong Kong Hong Kong