

From the Table to the Trenches: The Chapati in *The Wife and the Ward*

MEGHNA SAPUI 

From thannah [police station] after thannah there arrived little chupattees about two inches in diameter. They were accompanied by all kinds of reports from puzzled thannahdars [policemen], and set the European world in a fever of speculation. . . .

What does it mean? . . . In India . . . conspiracies are possible, secret societies are not; and speculation is again at fault. Are all the chowkeydars [constables] about to strike for wages? or is anybody trying a new scheme for a parcel-dawk [mail]? Is it treason, or a jest? Is there to be an “explosion of feeling?” or only of laughter? Is the chupattee a fiery cross, or only an indigestible edible? a cause of revolt, or only of the cholice? Is the act that of an influential malcontent, or only of a fool?

Edward Money, *The Wife and the Ward; or, A Life's Error*, 248–49

EDWARD Money's *The Wife and the Ward; or, A Life's Error* (1859) is the first English-language novel to treat the Indian Revolt of 1857 directly.¹ Critics believe that Money's novel sets the template for later “Mutiny” novels, particularly with regard to how this genre grapples with the tendentious history of the event itself.² The narrator of *The Wife and the Ward* frequently notes the distinction between fact and fiction, history and narrative: “we are not writing a treatise on the Indian mutiny, or even its history, but only one act in the drama.”³ The only instance where the thin (but pronounced) line between fact and fiction, “history” and “act,” is blurred is when the itinerant chapati finds its way into the narrative. One such occasion is the passage above. Its setting is one of those “early tea-gatherings so usual in India” on the veranda of Captain Edgington's “roomy bungalow” in Kanpur (247). Here, the drinking of the most “excellent” tea and the smoking of “first chop” manillas are accompanied by the reading of an actual newspaper report published in the popular Anglo-Indian weekly, *The Friend of India* (247). Edgington, one of the protagonists, reads aloud the case of the peregrinating chapatis to his wife, his ward, and his other regimental friends.

Meghna Sapui is a PhD candidate in the Department of English at the University of Florida. Her research focuses on representations of food cultures and eating practices in nineteenth-century Indian anglophone literatures.

Victorian Literature and Culture, Vol. 50, No. 4, pp. 639–667.

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

doi:10.1017/S1060150321000103

The appearance of the chapati enacts a narrative disruption: it reproduces a real-life newspaper article verbatim in its entirety in the midst of a fictional breakfast-table conversation.

The Revolt of 1857 presents a challenge for historiography in more ways than one—is it an army mutiny or a civil rebellion? Who participated in it and for what reasons? Was there a structure and plan to the uprisings? Priti Joshi concludes that the Indian “Mutiny” can indeed never be “fixed”:

[W]e need to acknowledge both the many and varied experiences of uprising—the many “mutinies” . . . —as well as the loosely unified, nascent or ‘fetal’ [*sic*] community the events spawned. 1857 was one thing and multiple; it was an “event,” yet it lacked a center; it was a birth and stillborn.⁴

As the Revolt of 1857 continues to challenge attempts to “fix” its historical truth, previous readings of its representations in fiction have dealt with this genre’s treatment of history.⁵ I look at the first fictional response to it in Money’s novel to examine the slippery, ungraspable intervention of the giant, nebulous category of “history” on a much smaller scale—the radius of the unleavened bread made of coarse wheat or flour, the chapati. In *The Wife and the Ward*, the chapati (also spelled here as “chupattee”) is eaten by bachelor soldiers at breakfast, consumed with “dall” (i.e., daal or lentil soup) as meager Indian food in the Kanpur entrenchment (324), and circulated as the “totemic food” of the rebellion.⁶ Following the trajectory of the chapati’s consumption in this novel—from the breakfast table to the hastily prepared war entrenchment—allows me to understand the anxieties of consumption and contamination that dominated nineteenth-century perceptions of the Revolt (141).

Representing and focusing on the consumption and circulation of food items is in keeping with the peculiar importance of food to the history of the Revolt. British and Anglo-Indian⁷ histories of 1857 describe the rumor that cartridges of the new Enfield rifle were coated with cow and pig fat.⁸ Biting them off was thus sacrilegious to the caste of the Hindu as well as the religion of the Muslim sepoy. Even before the introduction of the cartridges, there were numerous rumors of the contamination of flour and salt sold in bazaars with cow and pig bone dust.⁹ In contemporary British and Anglo-Indian narratives, food, food panics, and contamination rumors form the proximate causes of the Revolt.¹⁰ Reports of the mysterious circulation of chapatis in North Indian villages threw the Anglo-Indian world into a state of panic. As colonial authorities

struggled to articulate its meaning, the chapati nonetheless figured in all accounts of 1857.¹¹ From biting (cartridges) through ingesting (flour, salt) to interpreting (chapatis), contemporary British and Anglo-Indian narratives imagine the Revolt *orificially*. Parama Roy notes the centrality of “chapatis and cartridges” to “every tale of the Mutiny.”¹² Roy suggests that “the British or Anglo-Indian historian or writer’s focus on the Mutiny as a peculiar problem of cartridges and chapatis—and therefore of caste embodiment and caste anxiety—exists in a complex, productive relationship with Anglo-Indian experiences of bodily purity and bodily violation during the Mutiny.” Given the persistent presence of different food items and edibles in nineteenth-century narratives of 1857, this article examines the rich gustatory grammar that sutures the novelistic narration of the Revolt.

The emphasis on food and consumption also corresponds with the interests of the author of the first “Mutiny” novel. Lieutenant-Colonel Edward Money (1823–1889) was something of an expert in the purity/impurity of foods from the empire.¹³ A retired East India Company soldier in India and then a Crimean war veteran, Money later became a tea planter and tea expert in India. He authored several books on Indian tea and was only too aware of the debates surrounding food and drug adulteration in the metropole from the 1850s onward.¹⁴ In his award-winning book-length essay, *The Cultivation and Manufacture of Tea*,¹⁵ published in 1870, Money makes a case for the “purity” of Indian tea as opposed to the adulterated and impure tea from China.¹⁶ *The Wife and the Ward* was Money’s second literary endeavor, the first being *Twelve Months with the Bashi-Bazouks* (1857)—a tale of his adventures during the fall of Sebastopol.¹⁷ Money’s authorial career was entirely devoted to empire’s moments of crisis and the purity of the body through the consumption of unadulterated food. The chapati, as that which registers anxieties about the long life of empire and the life of the British body in empire, makes legible both these concerns.

Money’s attempt at fictionalizing the Revolt of 1857 in *The Wife and the Ward* met with little contemporary success, and it has almost completely disappeared from current scholarship on “Mutiny” novels. It has received limited but substantial critical attention in Gautam Chakravarty’s work on “Mutiny” fictions, which is also the only sustained engagement with this novel. Chakravarty uses the “articulated structure” of *The Wife and the Ward* to foreground “structural tropes and elements” that will orient readings of later novels, and even histories, on this subject.¹⁸ He contends that Money’s representation of the intersection of

Anglo-India with the rebellion lays the groundwork for later representations of the insurgency, in both history and fiction.¹⁹ In Money's text, "history" through "rebel agency cuts short the progress of love, marriage, and domesticity too peremptorily." This allows Chakravarty to examine the ways in which "history" is treated in subsequent "Mutiny" novels. However, Chakravarty's use of *The Wife and the Ward* as a generic template to read later novels does not allow for critical engagement with this first novel itself.

I take up Chakravarty's mandate of reading "history" in this novel as inimical to Anglo-Indian domesticity.²⁰ However, I focus my reading on the site that combines, confuses, and crosses history, domesticity, and the racialized boundaries between bodies and materials—the chapati or unleavened bread. Through its traditional association with Indian domesticity and the hearth, the chapati enters the Anglo-Indian world as an item of domestic consumption. If the Orient is a textual universe that is readable and knowable, then the chapati in figuring as "the text of India" through rebel agency materializes the textual as edible, and the edible as the semiotic of rebellion. The chapati brings the Indian world into the exclusive domain of the white world of Anglo-India through the servants who cook and serve it, and subsequently through the rebels who receive and circulate it. The difficulty of fixing the chapati's signification and thus, consequently, of fictionalizing it, pervades the genre of the "Mutiny" novel at large.²¹ Despite this generic problem, little critical attention has been paid to foods in novels of the Revolt. Roy, in her study of the centrality of food to narratives of 1857, has examined historical sources, diaries, autobiographies, and journalistic reportage. This article expands the gustatory archive of 1857 by examining novelistic representations of the foods of the Revolt.

As in narratives of 1857, so also in its scholarship, the indeterminacy of the chapati as a sign of the Revolt has made it rife for much critical speculation. Ranajit Guha reads the Anglo-Indian response to the peregrinating chapati as a "miscognition": whereas the British saw it as "a fiery cross" and thus located it in terms of a convention pertinent to their own culture and history, Indian circulators of the chapati saw it as a "chalawa" or the carrier of pestilence.²² Guha thus locates the chapati in the colonial archive as an "omen": "that which is predictive and anticipates the future so that no event is absolutely unexpected and independent."²³ Building on Guha's theorization of the chapati, Homi K. Bhabha has argued that the panic resulting from the chapati's inscrutability "does not simply hold together the native people but binds them affectively, if

antagonistically—through the process of projection—with their masters.”²⁴ Bhabha, therefore, views the chapati as a text of British affective projection. The lacuna between “cultural codes”—of popular mobilization in rural Scotland (treason, revolt, fiery cross) versus the ritual immunization against epidemics (jest, indigestible food, cholera) in rural India—becomes the “temporal caesura” where “panic . . . speaks.”²⁵ In considering the chapati as a readable/unreadable text of the Revolt, there is, however, no consideration of the chapati as an edible. Roy accounts for the edibility of the chapati, noting its “remarkable fluid properties, disaggregating into its component parts of flour, salt, water, ghee, and sugar.”²⁶ However, Roy does not examine contamination or adulteration of/through consumption. There is therefore no discussion of how the chapati as a food of the Revolt reflects the centrality of bodily contamination (and not just consumption) in Anglo-Indian narratives of 1857. I trace the chapati in the first English novel of the Revolt to add what is currently missing from this historical and literary scholarship: a discussion of anxieties not just about consumption but, more importantly, about contamination.

Examining the chapati allows for a reading that nuances the dominant contemporary narrative of the Revolt as the rape of white women by brown men.²⁷ Jenny Sharpe has argued that the rape narrative displaces attention from the bodies of British men dying at the hands of Indian insurgents.²⁸ The chapati, on the other hand, refocuses narrative attention on the body of the Anglo-Indian man who is vulnerable in his propensity to consume. My argument here has two parts. In the first section, I examine the chapati as an index of assimilation in *The Wife and the Ward*. I show how as Anglo-Indian men eat the chapati, their bodies are not just assimilated in India but also adulterated. Assimilated white men appear in the middle of a spectrum that extends from “white” to “dark,” where they not only oppose but also mirror the Indian “savage” (217, 271). The white woman’s purity and whiteness are instrumental in policing the racialization that occurs through bodily adulteration. Examining the novel’s alimentary order thus reveals the split and confusion in its racial politics.

In the second section, the chapati is not just a domestic food article but one of the key foods of the Revolt. As discussed earlier, British and Anglo-Indian histories position Indian anxieties of bodily contamination through adulterated foods as the proximate cause for the Revolt. I thus frame my reading of the chapati in *The Wife and the Ward* in the context of contemporaneous food adulteration debates. Adulteration in the

nineteenth century was more than a “health issue”—it was a “moral failing” and a “crime” where the criminal adulterator was racially coded as foreign.²⁹ While scholars place the emergence of the “scientific anxiety” of food adulteration in India in the 1890s,³⁰ *The Wife and the Ward*, by routing concerns of bodily adulteration through consumption, *anticipates* these later concerns. Anglo-Indian characters in the novel read and interpret the chapati as a register of Indian anxieties of bodily contamination through foods adulterated by the British colonizer. Such a reading is well aligned with contemporary colonial discussions of adulteration that similarly map Anglo-Indian anxieties of adulterated foods onto Indian servants. *The Wife and the Ward*, therefore, displaces Anglo-Indian fears of bodily contamination onto the Indian rebels. I examine how the novel registers and *reworks* this panic to analyze its schizophrenic nature—consuming chapatis in India while also upholding British racial politics of purity and contamination in the colony. This bifurcation is conjured in the very term “Anglo-Indian”: always imbricated in the simultaneous and contradictory impulses to assimilate while maintaining difference.

ON THE TABLE: EATING CHAPATIS AND WHITE WOMEN

Before I make my argument about how the chapati racializes the body of its eater in this novel, I will briefly summarize the plot of this relatively obscure text here. The hero of *The Wife and the Ward*, Captain Arthur Edgington of the 99th Native Infantry, is stationed at the civil station at Dinapore (Dinapur/Danapur). This leads to lengthy descriptions of Anglo-Indian society in the cantonment. Edgington’s marriage to the cold, unaffectionate, and calculating Beatrice Plane forms the climax of the first part of the book. Unhappy in his marriage, Edgington writes home to recall his ward, eighteen-year-old Marion Paris, to console him with her company. Sweet, affectionate, and naïve, Marion, on her return to India, becomes popular in the cantonment and has many suitors, including Edgington’s close friend, Ensign Hoby. Edgington is drawn to Marion and no longer sexually attracted to Beatrice: the narrative repeatedly hints at Edgington’s adulterous feelings toward his ward. Rather conveniently, a few chapters following Marion’s return, the titular “wife,” Beatrice, exits the plot to take care of her ailing mother in Patna.

It is only in chapters 20–25 of the twenty-five-chapter-long novel that the Revolt enters the plot. Edgington, Marion, Hoby, and the rest of the regiment march to Kanpur (spelled here as “Cawnpore”) where they meet Nana Sahib, the Raja of Bithoor (spelled here as “Bhitoor”).

Marion sees the Nana as predatory and becomes uncomfortable in his presence. The Revolt breaks out in Kanpur, and the Christian population takes refuge in the poorly constructed “intrenchment” (292). They remain here some twenty days, fending off rebel fire, extreme heat, dirt, cholera, and smallpox—all of which claim a fair number of Anglo-Indian lives. Nana Sahib, now the leader of the Kanpur rebels, negotiates the surrender of the garrison and promises the survivors safe passage. Marion has an ominous feeling and persuades Edgington to kill her in case the Nana takes her captive. As they get on the boats to go to Allahabad, the rebels open fire. Nana Sahib sees Marion and asks his men to cease firing at her boat. Edgington, as per his promise, kills her and plunges into the fray, only to be slain by the rebels. The novel thus ends with the death of all its Anglo-Indian characters. It is worth noting here that *The Wife and the Ward* would later be reprinted as *Woman’s Fortitude*.³¹

In *The Wife and the Ward*, the chapati serves as an index of assimilation that marks its Anglo-Indian eater as assimilated to a certain degree. Anglo-Indian men eat chapatis in domestic settings. At breakfast with his roommate, Ensign Earnest, Hoby says: “Pass me one of those chupatties Earnest, that early parade this morning has made me peckish” (88). As Earnest and Hoby sit in their bachelors’ bungalow at the “light, free, intellectual repast” of breakfast in their “pajamahs and shirt-sleeves,” the narrator provides a footnote explaining what the chapati is: “Unleavened cakes of coarse flour, much eaten by Europeans in India.” The narrator similarly justifies the peculiarity of Hoby and Earnest’s attire to “English ears,” noting that though it sounds “very horrible . . . the reader, possibly, knows not what India is in the month of May.” While military men, like Hoby, eat chapatis because they are “peckish,” the bread as well as the behavior of the eaters must be explained to British audiences. As a part of the novelty of the Indian landscape, the chapati is a way in which Anglo-Indian men assimilate through ingestion. This allows them to sustain their Britishness while also adapting to survive in the colony. Thus, the chapati locates its Anglo-Indian male consumers as assimilated to some degree. The Anglo-Indian chapati eater is simultaneously both, epidermally “Anglo” and gustatorily “Indian.”

Women and food appear concomitantly in this narrative. The first title (*The Wife and the Ward*) and its later reworking (*Woman’s Fortitude*) squarely place the book’s women—the wife and the ward, Beatrice and Marion—at the center of the narrative.³² The first mention of the titular wife, Beatrice Plane, as well as of the chapati are both voiced by Hoby at

meal settings. Remarkably, in these parallel occurrences, the chapati appears as a substitute for women. Where Hoby was talking about women in the first chapter, he is now calling for and eating chapatis. Whereas women are the managers of the hearth and by association the bread, here the chapati is eaten in a household devoid of any women and thus prepared by a *khansamah* (Indian cook) and served by a *khitmutgar* (Indian table-attendant). Consuming the chapati in a domestic setting thus eliminates women from the Anglo-Indian home. Later, on their way to “tiffin,” Hoby and Edgington discuss Edgington’s choice to court Beatrice (97). Hoby euphemistically refers to the choice of an appropriate wife as eating a “good tiffin” (99). He warns Edgington: “The tiffin you allude to may disagree with you; and remember, ere it’s too late, that it is not alone what we put into our stomachs that we find hard to digest.” Selecting a wife to ensure conjugal happiness is the equivalent of eating a digestible Indian meal. In this novel, then, he who eats the chapati can also expertly trope women as edible.

The chapati, deployed to represent women as food, also shows how assimilated male bodies risk becoming contaminated through their consumption in the empire. Edgington’s tiffin table conversation with Beatrice sets the tone for their future relationship. While Edgington is nervous, clumsy, and obviously infatuated with Beatrice, Beatrice is unaffected, restrained, and aware of her effect on Edgington. Beatrice is frequently described as “frigid” (15, 16, 75) and “cold” (2, 17, 24, 89, 106). Anjali Arondekar, in her study of the prevalent theme of failed marriages in Anglo-Indian novels, has argued that “sexual abstinence” is the predominant cause of “the misery of married life” in this genre.³³ Marital dissatisfaction leads Edgington to recall Marion from Britain so that she can distract him with her presence. As the narrative progresses, he grows increasingly attracted to her. Indian edibles contaminate their Anglo-Indian eaters bodily as well as morally. As Edgington’s eating practices adulterate his bodily integrity, they also make him prone to committing adultery. Adultery forms a prevalent, if an underlying, theme in *The Wife and the Ward*, as is evident in the title itself. The adulterous possibilities of Edgington’s future marriage are understood and predicted by Hoby when he tries to warn Edgington against courting Beatrice. To this end, Hoby declares that a woman named Beatrice will have a “frigid and self-wrapping nature” (15). Assimilated and therefore adulterated men foreground the anxieties surrounding the integrity of the British body through consumption in Anglo-India. Assimilation through food can result in the eater’s moral adulteration through adultery.

Assimilation and adulteration anxieties reveal how eating is related to the novel's racial politics. The novel's characters appear on a spectrum from "dark" to "white" (217). *The Wife and the Ward* uses such racial framing to locate the purity of its emphatically white heroine, Marion Paris. The narrator establishes Marion's pure "ivory whiteness" in contrast to the "dark visage" of her beautiful and young Indian *ayah* (217). Marion's youth, sexual innocence, and affectionate nature are similarly contrasted with the unaffectionate and "frigid" Beatrice (15). While Marion is "charity itself" and "child-like" in her subservience to her guardian, Edgington, Beatrice "believes woman to be superior to man" and does not understand "why obedience in marriage should be demanded" (220, 221, 15). Though both Beatrice and Marion are born in India and sent to England as children, Marion is an orphan who lives with Edgington's widowed mother whereas Beatrice's Anglo-Indian parents have a marriage that the narrator and Edgington disparage. Beatrice's mother dominates her submissive father in their daily lives, and because "girls look naturally to their mother," Beatrice tries to re-create their marital dynamics in her own marriage as well (62). This causes much domestic strife between her and Edgington. On the other hand, Marion, free of even partial Indian influence in her upbringing, grows up in the English countryside and is a more complete example of English femininity and innocence than Beatrice. Thus, on this spectrum from "dark" to "white," Marion's Indian *ayah* falls on one end, with innocent and pure Marion on the other, and the assertive and self-aware Beatrice somewhere in the middle.

This mapping from "dark" to "white" is further complicated when the racial hierarchization of male characters is based on their desire to consume (217). Since the popular response to the Revolt coded interracial desire as rape through the creation of the "brown-skinned rapist,"³⁴ Marion's racial purity is emphasized to frame the severity of potential sexual violence against her. She attracts sexual attention from Anglo-Indian and Indian men alike. Thus, Nana Sahib desires her. When the Nana is first introduced in the narrative, his mouth is particularly emphasized: "a moustache shaded his lips, which were peculiarly thick and African in their character, and a large bushy black beard descended to the jewelled hilts of the dagger" (271). Marion's first sight of him repels her, for even though she "can scarcely see him for his jewels," she does not like "the expression of his face at all . . . such great ugly thick lips, and such a savage face altogether." Marion later dreams that the Nana has laid siege to her bungalow and his men have dug a tunnel through the basement to

enter it. As Marion, Edgington, and their Anglo-Indian friends take their tea in the house under siege, they feel the tremors from the Nana's digging. The Nana, in Marion's dream, wishes to take her captive. Repulsed by the Nana's mouth, Marion unconsciously locates herself as an ingestible. The narrative positions the Nana as a sexual predator who wishes to devour the pure English woman. He is the transgressive eater of inappropriate food: the body of the woman as a part of military conflict (as it will become in the Kanpur entrenchment) and the brown, almost black, body that desires to ingest the white body. Even though Marion turns from Nana Sahib to Edgington in the end, both men transgress by desiring her. The Nana defies racial boundaries by desiring the pure white woman, whereas Edgington violates his marriage vows through adultery. Eating the chapati and other Indian foods, then, makes Anglo-Indian men disturbingly similar to "savage" men (271).

Marion's whiteness does not just narratively hierarchize assimilated white men, it also polices their degeneration into savagery. The last meal in the entrenchment before embarking on the fateful safe passage is a breakfast of chapatis (395). Edgington and Mr. and Mrs. Merton eat them as Marion refuses this offer of bread. The chapati has been the staple food for the twenty days spent in the entrenchment. Among the many sufferings of the "delicate ladies" is this "coarse food improperly cooked" (342). The trope of female suffering in the Kanpur entrenchment recurs in other narratives of the siege, such as in George Trevelyan's *Cawnpore* (1865).³⁵ In *The Wife and the Ward*, the chapati eaten in the entrenchment becomes constitutive of such female suffering. Remarkably, white women in this novel are not shown eating chapatis, if eating at all. They order food to the table, drink wine and tea, discuss food preparation, but never bite, chew, swallow, or eat solid foods. Mrs. Merton, the only woman who eats chapatis, is a biracial Eurasian woman. Marion's refusal to take the chapati, even in the face of food scarcity and debilitating hunger, figures the pure white woman's bodily closure to any assimilation. Whereas everyone is killed by the rebels, Marion's resistance to Indian food not only preserves her purity but also determines her as too pure to become food for the "brown-skinned rapist,"³⁶ Nana Sahib. Instead, she turns to Edgington and chooses to die by (and in) his hands. Marion's whiteness alerts the reader to assimilated Anglo-Indian men's potential for savagery. She polices their possibility of any further degeneration by refusing to dilute her purity through the consumption of chapatis.

In *The Wife and the Ward*, the chapati eaten in domestic settings is thus an index for bodily assimilation in India. It also marks the assimilated eater as morally adulterated vis-à-vis his propensity to commit adultery. Such transgressive desires bring the assimilated Anglo-Indian body dangerously close to the “savage” Indian body, which also transgresses by desiring the pure white woman (271). Moreover, the chapati signifies other food: its constituent flour and salt, which were rumored to be adulterated, greased cartridges, edible women, the daughters of England who become food for carrion birds and rebels in the entrenchment, and Indian food that is only consumed by assimilated bodies. When seen as a food that introduces the trope of edibility, the chapati opens up a system of bodily signification and meaning-making in this novel. As it transforms from the staple of the Anglo-Indian home to the signifier of the event that disrupts such domesticity, it also registers fears and anxieties of bodily purity and contamination through food. The chapati exposes the vulnerability of the white male body—something that traditional responses to the Revolt, such as the construct of the brown-skinned rapist of white women, elide. In my next section, I will examine the chapati as it narrates the Revolt in this novel.

IN THE TRENCHES: CHAPATIS AND “MUTINOUS FEELING”

As the chapati indicates Anglo-Indian bodily contamination through consumption, this section will discuss the implication of such representation for the novel’s understanding of the Revolt of 1857. *The Wife and the Ward* presents bodily adulteration through consumption while later in the century, food adulteration becomes a scientific concern in India.³⁷ Since the novel demonstrates how bodies can be adulterated through food, it anticipates later food adulteration anxieties. British and Anglo-Indian narratives depict the Revolt “as a peculiar problem of cartridges and chapatis,”³⁸ that is, of Indian fears of bodily contamination through adulterated foods. I will examine contemporary food adulteration debates to argue that the “mutinous” chapati in *The Wife and the Ward* is a text of displaced Anglo-Indian anxieties (271). Metropolitan views of food adulteration frame it as a “moral failing.”³⁹ In colonial India, Anglo-Indians suspected their Indian servants of this grave moral failing. Anglo-Indian characters in the novel, terrifyingly anxious about their own “adulterated” bodies—adulterated by eating chapatis, adulterous in their sexual impulses—displace their panic onto Indian rebels. Such a

displacement is ironical as it positions the assimilated Anglo-Indian man as a threat to his own tenuously constructed bodily fiction of racial purity.

Debates on food adulteration were becoming increasingly prominent both in England as well as in colonial India in the 1850s. Metropolitan discussions of food adulteration framed it not just as a “health issue” but also as a “moral failing” and a “crime” against British consumers.⁴⁰ The leading figure of this debate, Arthur Hill Hassall, proclaimed in his 1857 book that “adulteration is therefore a great national question.”⁴¹ As repeated attempts were made to fix the origin of adulterants outside Britain, the “moral failing” of adulteration took on an increasingly racialized tone—the Chinese poisoner was imagined as the adulterator par excellence of products such as tea.⁴² Similar fears in India identified the Indian servant as the adulterator who harmed the Anglo-Indian body.⁴³ Around this time, Mr. (later Sir) Barnes Peacock was working to codify the Indian Penal Code (IPC).⁴⁴ In his Indian efforts, Peacock imitated contemporary English attempts to make adulteration of food and drink into a penal offense.⁴⁵ Peacock’s fear of adulteration was rooted in the deception that he believed his Indian servant to be capable of—his *khitmutgar* (table attendant) might serve him chalk and water instead of milk. His primary concern was that “adulteration by one’s own servants, who afterwards place the adulterated article on the table, will go unpunished.” The white body of the paranoid Anglo-Indian is under constant threat in the colony, even in his own home, and the enemy is suggestively intimate: his “own servants.”⁴⁶

As Peacock argues to enhance the bodily (as opposed to the commercial) nature of this offense—from adulteration with the intent to sell the adulterated item (as it had existed in previous versions of the IPC) to adulteration without the knowledge and consent of the item’s owner—he locates the possibilities of such adulteration in the person of his Indian servant.⁴⁷ It was a prevalent medical belief among Anglo-Indians that Indian servants adulterated edibles with drugs and intoxicants.⁴⁸ The dishonesty of Indian servants was much discussed by writers of Anglo-Indian domestic manuals and cookbooks.⁴⁹ Portrayals of dishonest Indian servants prone to drugging their Anglo-Indian employers also found their way into fiction.⁵⁰ In all such instances, there is particular emphasis not on the adulterated food itself but on how it implicates the purity of the body: the white body of the eater as well as the brown bodies that prepare and serve the food. Colonial narratives of food adulteration thus displace the white consumer’s anxiety of bodily contamination onto the body of the imagined Indian

adulterator. In contemporary Anglo-Indian prejudice, the tendency to adulterate food indicates a moral defect that is symptomatic of inherent racial inferiority. Peacock, therefore, reiterates that the impulse to adulterate food stems from “dishonesty,”⁵¹ and none but the most dishonest should fear the heightened criminality of this offense. Examining colonial food adulteration anxieties demonstrates the bifurcation inherent in Anglo-Indian identity: a foot in India and another in British racial politics, such that any assertion of similarity (by consuming chapatis) or difference (by identifying the Indian servant as the dishonest adulterator) must always expose Anglo-Indian vulnerability.

The gustatory narratives of the Revolt, in general, and *The Wife and the Ward*, in particular, *anticipate* food adulteration before its existence as a scientific field of inquiry in India. David Arnold, in his history of the development of food adulteration as a science in India, has argued that it was only toward the end of the nineteenth century that poisoning moved from the rural countryside to urban spaces. What was previously poisoning now “became subsumed into a new language of toxicity, adulteration, contamination and pollution, and entered a new era of public, state and scientific anxiety.”⁵² Even though the “language” of adulteration came into being only in the last decade of the nineteenth century in India, Arnold notes that before this transition “poison, pollution, adulteration, contamination all existed within the same broad spectrum of social concern and collective unease.”⁵³ While Arnold charts the colonial beginnings of food adulteration as a scientific branch of study, it is evident in Revolt narratives that colonial food adulteration had fictional and imaginative currency even before it existed as a science. Using the framework of food adulteration to read the “mutinous” chapati in *The Wife and the Ward* undoes the inconsistencies of the novel’s racial and bodily politics (271).

In *The Wife and the Ward*, when the chapati that circulated on the eve of the Revolt enters the narrative, it is interpreted as a text of Indian anxieties about bodily contamination. Contemporary discussions of food adulteration framed the adulterated food as “fictional commodities or at least products that contained elements that added a fictional value.”⁵⁴ Thus, to identify them is to engage in practices of reading and interpretation (272). The alleged circulation of chapatis across North India caused widespread panic amongst Anglo-Indians. Contemporaneous journalistic reportage registers this confusion. As in the newspaper report that Money quotes verbatim: “Is it treason, or a jest? Is there to be an ‘explosion of feeling’? or only of laughter? Is the

chupattee a fiery cross, or only an indigestible edible? a cause of revolt, or only of the choleric?" (248–49). At the breakfast gathering (with which this paper opens), where the chapati comes up for the first time, only Hoby associates it to a broader feeling of Indian discontent stemming from the new greased cartridges. He sees their circulation as connected to army uprisings elsewhere, such as “the mutiny at Berhampore [*sic*; Behrampore]” where “the 19th Native Infantry who got an idea into their heads that the new cartridges had pig and bullock fat in them” has rebelled (250). Hoby’s association of the chapati circulation to disaffection in the army arising from greased cartridges and caste sympathies is met by general disbelief and laughter from other Anglo-Indians at the table. After all, Hoby’s interpretation of the “chupattee mystery” mirrors and inverts Anglo-Indian fears of bodily adulteration. Anglo-Indians, worried about their bodily purity, imagine their Indian subjects as similarly anxious.

To the Anglo-Indian men at the table, Hoby’s reading, despite its apparent absurdity, has an immediately recognizable structural logic: the paranoia of bodily contamination. Hoby’s colonel, the highest-ranking officer at the table, declares: the “chupattee business . . . is the sort of thing to frighten old women with, and it’s never likely to happen with Jack Sepoy, as long as he gets his pay regularly” (252). However, the colonel also recalls an Indian *subadar* (Indian equivalent of a captain) asking him of the government conspiracy to convert “all sepoys to Christianity.” To this, the colonel laughed till “the subadar saw the absurdity of the idea, and laughed with [him].” Similarly, Hoby’s reading of the chapati circulation as related to rebellion elsewhere is met with “a roar of laughter” (252). There is, however, general consensus that “the sepoys think *something is going to happen*” (251; emphasis in original). Hoby’s interpretation of the “chupattee mystery” elicits laughter but also the suggestive partial agreement that “*something*” identifiable and yet inscrutable is indeed underway. Any Indian fear of bodily adulteration is recognizable because it is identical to Anglo-Indian fears of the same. This “*something*” cannot be articulated beyond its visible identification precisely because it is the terrifying reality of Anglo-Indian experience: eating chapatis in India adulterates the white male body. In this regard, the narrative makes a familiar move. It presents the chapati as a register of the Revolt by displacing Anglo-Indian fears of bodily contamination onto Indian rebels. That which terrifies Anglo-Indians—bodily contamination through adulterated food—is mapped on to Indians and read as one of the primary

causes of their rebellion. Such mapping conveniently overshadows the extent of dissatisfaction with British colonial rule.

The novel's self-contradictory nature is evident in its portrayal of the chapati's Indian interlocutors. Representing an event that has already (but also *just*) occurred, *The Wife and the Ward* sets out to textualize "the proficiency most Asiatics attain in the art of deception" (283). The leader of the Kanpur rebels, Nana Sahib, lies to trusting Anglo-Indians. At the ball where Marion encounters the Nana for the first time, Anglo-Indian officers and civilians ask him about the meaning of the "chupattee circular" (272). The Nana laughs at the English for trying to "unravel the mystery." He reaffirms the Anglo-Indian belief that Indians are too ignorant to either hatch or keep a consequential "secret" (250): "What! an important secret in the hands of thousands of chowkeydars [constables]! The English are much wanting in wisdom if they give it any value. . . . I know it is nothing which can engage the attention of sensible men" (272; my ellipsis). The Nana, ironically, can lie with proficiency only by echoing Anglo-Indian characters in the novel. When asked about the veracity of rumors about Indian fears of conversion, the Nana's answer is evocative of the colonel's response to his Anglo-Indian regiment at the breakfast table. Where the colonel dismissed the rumors as "the sort of thing to frighten old women with" (252), the Nana calls them "foolish tales, fit only to amuse and astonish children" (273). Indian rebels can expertly deceive unsuspecting Anglo-Indians by ventriloquizing Anglo-Indian prejudices.

Paying attention to such depictions of Indian rebels unravels the tenuous logic of displacement at work in this narrative of the Revolt. After the Kanpur siege, Hoolas Sing, one of the rebels, mockingly asks Peters, his previous commanding officer, if he now understands the meaning of the "chupattee secret" (392). Before the siege, Sing had assured Peters that he was "as much in the dark" about the "circular," which was probably "connected with the pay of the police . . . and at all events of no consequence" (247). This resonates with Anglo-Indian speculation about the chapati: "Are all the chowkeydars [constables] about to strike for wages?" (248). Sing's statement is also aligned with the colonel's widely accepted opinion that "nothing is likely to happen with Jack Sepoy, as long as he gets his pay regularly" (252). The chapati's deceptive Indian interlocutors outwit their honest colonial masters by telling lies that Anglo-Indians believe to be the truth. The novel does not just displace Anglo-Indian anxieties onto Indian rebels, it also makes its Indian characters ventriloquize Anglo-Indian biases.

The narrative of Anglo-Indian heroism then contradicts itself by showing how these biases are untrue: Indians *are* capable of consequential rebellion, they *can* plan and strategize successfully, their discontents *cannot* be kept at bay with just timely wages. This is the strained and flimsy logic that binds this narrative of the Revolt: its presentation of Indian anxieties, absurdities, and deceptions all work to reflect Anglo-Indian fears, vacuous prejudices, and gross misrule and ineptitude.

The novel's volatile ending demonstrates how it negotiates the instabilities inherent in its narrative of the Revolt as an imagined battle between racialized bodies. *The Wife and the Ward* is exceptional as a "Mutiny" novel because it is the only one that does not follow the traditional narrative route from insurgency to counterinsurgency. Contemporary reviews expressed dissatisfaction with this ending, seeing it as a violation of the "historic truth" of British revenge.⁵⁵ The novel ends with the death of all its Anglo-Indian characters. Edgington kisses Marion and then kills her. Following this, he jumps into the rebel fray where he is slain as well. Hoby has died in rebel crossfire in the entrenchment (375). The narrative, having run the course of its frayed racial logic, can resolve its inconsistencies only by killing all its Anglo-Indian characters. The Revolt, either directly or indirectly (through disease, starvation, infection in the entrenchment), annihilates all assimilated Anglo-Indians. The pure white woman chooses death at the hands of a white man, who is not only adulterated through his assimilation but is also an adulterer in his extramarital desires for her. But even this is a better option for the heroine than being subsumed by "savage" interracial desire (271). The implication of the narrative displacement of Anglo-Indian anxieties onto Indian rebels is the moral culpability of the Anglo-Indian. The Anglo-Indian must self-identify as the criminal adulterator of Indian bodies. The narrative employs Marion's whiteness and its conservation through death to override this implication. The white woman, troped as edible, upholds the comparative moral superiority of the assimilated white man who saves her honor, as opposed to the "savage" Nana who apparently wishes to dishonor her.

Even as the white woman is used to foreclose this messy tale of bodily purity, this conclusion only draws attention to the deep, indistinguishable entanglement of the "Anglo" with the "Indian." Amid intense firing, Marion's beauty draws the Nana's attention to their boat. She is "still beautiful" after weeks of food scarcity and abject physical suffering, "with a wild light in her eyes, and her partly-untrammelled golden hair" (403). Edgington, in the face of this unforeseen rebel attack, "envied

those of his comrades who had no female charge to attend to" (402). It is only the "dark promise" made to Marion that keeps him from taking a more active role in the fight. This scene illustrates the contrast between "the courage, the devotion, of the Anglo-Saxon, and the base treachery of the Asiatic!" The only way that the "arch-fiend," Nana Sahib, and his "treacherous and cowardly rebels" can defeat the leonine Englishman is by a protracted and sustained "plot" of deception (402). In this scene, fraught with desire and death, Marion calls Edgington by his Christian name, Arthur, for the first and last time. She then reminds him of his promise. As Edgington holds her close and kisses her, she closes her eyes "for she could not look on the instant death which she knew awaited her" (403). Such martyrizing narrative strategy eludes the fact that Marion "closes her eyes" not just to death but also to Edgington's consummation of his adulterous desire. Instead, the narrator suggestively notes that this is "the first and last kiss he ever gave her." Edgington is promptly killed after this. Thus, even as the novel concludes, it foregrounds the dangerous similarity between the Nana and its assimilated and adulterous hero, Edgington. The white woman is to hierarchically order Edgington—who though untrue to his marriage vows, protects the Englishwoman's "ivory whiteness" (217)—in contrast to the Nana, "England's deadly foe" (403). However, she only demonstrates how similar the treacherous Nana is to the honorable Edgington: while she turns away from one's illicit desire, she does not consent, but only resigns herself, to the other's adulterous intimacy.

The anxieties of whiteness, maleness, and adulteration that undergird this Anglo-Indian novel also expose the messiness of Anglo-Indian writing and authorship. An 1859 review of *The Wife and the Ward* declares that "Most Indian novels are trash."⁵⁶ "Indian" novels—novels about India—appeal neither to English readership nor to Anglo-Indian readership.⁵⁷ However, the reviewer is confident "that genius could overcome these defects, and do for English life in India what Thackeray and Anthony Trollope have done for the various phases of that in England in our own day."⁵⁸ Decidedly, Edward Money is not that genius. While it is unclear if Money was present in India at the time of the Revolt of 1857, he did spend a substantial portion of his life in India: born in Calcutta, ten years of service in the East India Company's army before 1857, and a long career as a tea planter from at least 1859.⁵⁹ *The Wife and the Ward*, published in London for an English readership, is written as the Revolt is still underway in India. Even after the plot's conclusion, the postscript refers to further reports of Kanpur "lately received from

India, which appeared in the London papers last December” (405). Money is writing about subjects that no Thackeray or Trollope would write about. He is, however, writing with Thackeray and Trollope and to an audience of their readers. *The Wife and the Ward* must channel the hyphenated identity of Anglo-India to binarize “Anglo” and “India.” It can only meet this challenge through infinite displacement and eventual self-annihilation. The challenge of Anglo-Indian authorship is therefore informed by the same simultaneity and contradiction that it seeks to narrate.

The inconsistencies inherent in *The Wife and the Ward* make this novel difficult to read and interpret. The novel eludes any neatly drawn conclusion that is the goal of literary criticism. To read this novel is to read the hyphen in “Anglo-India.” The narrative is messy— informed by the liminalities that bridge “Anglo-India.” The Anglo-Indian male body assimilates by eating the chapati. Even as the “Anglo” body is Indianized, it does not identify with “India.” Its bodily Indianization is partial and problematic, as it nullifies its Anglo agency. Consuming chapatis, dressing in loose “pajamahs,” and eating “tiffins” are necessary for the Anglo body’s survival in India (88, 197). Thus, Anglo-Indian eating practices become a source of anxiety about bodily and moral purity. The chapati compromises, by Indianizing, the whiteness and the maleness of its Anglo eater. The Anglo body projects its Indian anxieties outward through its suspicion of Indian servants who might contaminate it through adulterated food. Similarly, the chapati, when read by Anglo-Indian men, threatens Anglo-Indian domesticity. It does so by reflecting the threat that the Anglo-Indian man himself poses to his carefully constructed, fragile domestic life. Anglo-Indian identity cannot narrate itself without tearing itself apart. No definitive critical reading of this identity is possible without overwriting the fundamental instabilities of such shifting categories as “colonizer” and “colonized.”⁶⁰

The breakfast-table gathering that this article opens with marks the moment when the chapati confounds domesticity and rebellion. Even before the rebel siege of Kanpur, the chapati destabilizes the Anglo-Indian narrative of domesticity by introducing a “mutinous feeling” in this racially exclusive gathering (272). It introduces the trope of edibility in *The Wife and the Ward*. As Anglo-Indians move from the table to the trenches, so does the meager chapati. As white eating bodies are threatened with becoming edible themselves, the chapati threatens to transcend its mere edibility. The edible, ephemeral, yet menacing

chapati tenuously stitches together this narrative of contradictory racial and bodily politics. It exposes the fragility of the Anglo-Indian male body in India. The white male body's whiteness and maleness are particularly vulnerable in this colonial setting. It must constantly self-constitute the fiction of its impenetrable bodily purity. One way to do this is to create intimate enemies that threaten white purity—Indian domestic servants, rebels who were trusted sepoys, Indian rulers who were once received in Anglo-Indian drawing rooms. These enemies threaten the white woman's purity as well as the chapati's easy domesticity. However, as tracing the chapati from the household to the garrison demonstrates, the white woman's purity and the chapati's domesticity are both incontrovertibly threatened by the adulterous and adulterated Anglo-Indian man himself. *The Wife and the Ward*, in representing the Indian Revolt of 1857, makes a familiar colonial move: it reads Indian agency as a reflection of the fears of the Anglo-Indian, thus betraying the limitations of colonial power and knowledge.

NOTES

1. The Revolt of 1857 was characterized by mass uprisings, not just in the army but also in many other sections of Indian society. Peasants, landowners, and former nobles who had lost their territories to the British, alongside the army, rebelled against British authority and any representations of such authority all across Northern India. To use the word "Mutiny" uncritically would therefore overshadow the breadth and depth of Indian discontent with British colonial rule. I use "Mutiny" here only when quoting directly from my primary and secondary sources where the term appears, or when referring to the critical body of scholarship on the genre of the "Mutiny" novel. Thus, throughout this essay, the term "Mutiny," if used at all, is always held up to scrutiny by being placed in quotation marks and capitalized. As I hope to show here, the chapati itself provides a robust critique of the colonial archive's discursive and narrative methods of containing anticolonial sentiments.
2. Chakravarty, *The Indian Mutiny*, 111.
3. Money, *The Wife and the Ward*, 279. All subsequent references to this edition are noted parenthetically in the text.
4. Joshi, "1857."

5. For a discussion on the “Mutiny” novel’s treatment of history, see chapters 4, 5, and 6 in Chakravarty, *Indian Mutiny*.
6. Roy, *Alimentary Tracts*, 31.
7. I use the term “Anglo-Indian” here as it was used roughly from the eighteenth century to the first decade of the twentieth century: to describe the British who lived and worked in India. After the Indian census of 1911, this term would be used to designate people of mixed Indian and British parentage (who had hitherto been called Eurasians).
8. See, for example, Malleson, *Kaye’s and Malleson’s History*, 1:3.
9. Malleson, *Kaye’s and Malleson’s History*, 5:341.
10. Even as British and Anglo-Indian sources frame food-related fears and panics as the most prevalent and proximate “causes” of the Revolt, there were numerous other “causes” for it. Governor-General Dalhousie’s expansionist policies in the 1840s and 1850s, which climaxed in the unpopular annexation of Oudh in 1856, changes in landholding policies that stripped landholders of their properties and inflated taxes, and the deteriorating conditions of sepoy employment were but a few of the other causes. It is, however, also worth noting that anxieties related to the purity of food and consumption became a recurrent theme that connected the various rebelling groups and communities. For a discussion of these causes, see Roy, *Alimentary Tracts*, 38–41.
11. The chapati prevails in all narratives of 1857. While historians like Kaye and Malleson list the chapati and corresponding food panics as one of its “causes,” the first Indian historian of the Revolt, Sir Syed Ahmed Khan, declares that the chapati circulation had no significance to events of 1857: the chapatis may have been related to the cholera but “could never have been used with the object of spreading conspiracy” (*The Causes of the Indian Revolt*, 3). Popular “Mutiny” novels, such as F. A. Steel’s *On the Face of the Waters* (1897) and G. A. Henty’s *In the Time of Peril* (1881), and even not so popular novels like James Grant’s *First Love and Last Love* (1876) and Henry Kingsley’s *Stretton* (1869), to name a few, have scenes of chapati circulation, where the circulation is either shown (as in Steel’s case) or discussed secondhand (as in Kingsley’s *Stretton*). Newspaper reports also carried incidents of chapati circulation, for instance, the oft-quoted passage from *The Friend of India*, which also appears in Money’s novel and is quoted above.
12. Roy, *Alimentary Tracts*, 32.

13. Edward James Thrayle D'Oyley de Bourbel Money was born to George Osborne Money and his wife, Pulcherie de Bourbel, in 1823 in Calcutta. Edward was the fifth of six children. His father, George Osborne, was an East India Company civil servant. Edward Money married four times: to Harriett Kathleen Elizabeth Jonstone in 1852 (which ended in her death in 1856); to Georgiana Mary Russell in 1858 (which ended in Money divorcing Georgiana for her adultery in 1879); to Marion Martha Pittard in 1880 (which ended when Marion divorced Money, citing his cruelty and adultery); to Hannah (Amy) Lewis in 1887 (Money's widow). He died in 1889 in Worthing in the county of Sussex. While I had initially assumed that Edward Money's life was difficult to piece together from the lack of accessible historical documentation on him, I am extremely grateful to Troy J. Bassett for helping me find biographical information on Edward Money by way of genealogy websites like *Find My Past* and *The King's Candlesticks*.
14. *John Bull* in its June 1858 "Married" section announced the marriage of "Lieut.-Col. Edward Money of the Turkish Service to Georgiana" on May 31 of the same year. *Twelve Months* identifies Money as "Lieut.-Col. Imperial Ottoman Army, and Late Captain Bashi-Bazouks." In recounting his adventures amongst the Bashi-Bazouks during the fall of Sebastopol, Money recalls his resignation from ten years of prior service in the East India Company's army in India (3). See Money, *Twelve Months*, 3.
15. The essay won the Grant Gold Medal and Rs. 300 awarded by the Agricultural and Horticultural Society of India in 1871. Immensely popular, it went through at least four editions and is often cited in nineteenth-century food and drug scholarship. See Money, *Cultivation and Manufacture*; Rappaport, *A Thirst for Empire*, 113; Dey, *Tea Environment and Plantation Culture*, 53.
16. Food and drug adulteration debates expounded Assam tea from India as a "pure" alternative (209). Money declares tea from China and Japan as impure—an English conviction, apparently, shared by American and Australian markets (185). He even provides exact concoctions of Chinese and Assam tea to slowly but surely turn British consumer tastes toward Indian tea (195). See Money, *Cultivation and Manufacture*, 209, 195.
17. Money, with his brothers, A. (Alonzo) and George Henry, left England in July 1855 to see the fall of Sevastopol. Out of this trip to Sevastopol, the brothers wrote and published three accounts:

Edward's *Twelve Months with Bashi-Bazouks* (1857) and *Sevastopol* (1856), which combines A.'s *Our Tent in the Crimea* and George Henry's *Wanderings in Sevastopol*. Contemporary reviews of Money's *Twelve Months* commends how it "fully upholds the literary powers of the family" (148). See "Review of *Twelve Months*," *The Sportsman*, 147–48.

18. Chakravarty, *The Indian Mutiny*, 111.
19. Chakravarty, *The Indian Mutiny*, 112.
20. Chakravarty, *The Indian Mutiny*, 112.
21. Even the most popular of these novels, Steel's *On the Face of the Waters*, will take a step outside its narrative in Lucknow, Delhi, and Meerut into a representative and timeless Indian village to give its only account of a "Mutiny" episode where none of the novel's Anglo-Indian or Indian characters are present. As villagers speculate over what the chapatis mean, the wife of one of the village elders refers to the chapati as "an old tale" (133). There is similar narrative description of the numerous conquerors under whose yoke the villagers have lived—"Mohammedan, Marhatta, Christian" (133, 135). Even as Jim Douglas, Steel's spy-hero, later tells the Anglo-Indian hunting party that the chapati has reached a village nearby, his presence at the scene is never shown or confirmed. The chapati incident is thus narrated, but the chapati retains its fantastic and indeterminate inscrutability. See Steel, *On the Face of the Waters*, 130–46.
22. Guha, *Elementary Aspects*, 241, 243.
23. Guha, *Elementary Aspects*, 242.
24. Bhabha, *The Location of Culture*, 291.
25. Guha, *Elementary Aspects*, 242; Bhabha, *The Location of Culture*, 290. Derrida's *Aufhebung* (*relever/ la relève*), understood as lifting up, preservation and cancellation, and sublation, is useful here in reading the chapati as a polysemous signifier eternally at odds with and constantly negating itself. Fredric Jameson sees *Aufhebung* as a critique of interpretation. If interpretation is understood as saying that "one thing is really secretly . . . something else . . . other than itself," then Derridean *Aufhebung* is the function of the "hermeneutic process" of interpretation. Thus, the changing of one thing into its more essential meaning is "prepared and imperiously summoned" by the movement of the dialectical *Aufhebung*. It ensures "the preservation of the canceled meaning which is to be revealed by

- interpretation in the first place.” See Derrida, *Margins of Philosophy*, 29–67, 69–108; Jameson, *Valences of the Dialectic*, 103–10.
26. Roy, *Alimentary Tracts*, 52.
 27. See Sharpe, *Allegories of Empire*, 86.
 28. Sharpe, *Allegories of Empire*, 67.
 29. Rappaport, *A Thirst for Empire*, 124.
 30. Arnold, *Toxic Histories*, 166, 82.
 31. The fourth edition (1883) of Money’s best-known book, *The Cultivation and Manufacture of Tea*, carries an advertisement of *Woman’s Fortitude*, “A Tale of the Indian Mutiny. By Lieut. –Col. Edward Money,” along with favorable “Opinions of the Press.”
 32. Of note here in the later title *Woman’s Fortitude* is the singular—“woman’s”—subject of “fortitude.” Thus, even Beatrice, the “wife,” becomes redundant in this later title. Only Marion’s (whom the narrator identifies as “our heroine” on her first appearance) fortitude, and later martyrdom, becomes the focus. Marion, however, does not appear in the plot till chapter 14, and she must be considered here in conjunction with the other titular “woman,” Beatrice, the “wife.”
 33. Arondekar, “Too Fatally Present,” 156.
 34. Sharpe, *Allegories of Empire*, 86.
 35. George Trevelyan in his 1865 “Mutiny epic,” *Cawnpore*, describes the loss of female privation in the entrenchment as follows: “By a sudden turn of fortune they [English women] had been placed beneath the heel of those beings whom they had ever regarded with that unconscious aversion and contempt of race. . . . Accustomed to those frequent ablutions which . . . are in India a necessity, they had not a single spongel of water for washing from the commencement to the close of the siege. . . . Unshod, unkempt, ragged and squalid, haggard and emaciated, parched with drought and faint with hunger, they sat waiting to hear that they were widows.” See Trevelyan, *Cawnpore*, 122–24.
 36. Sharpe, *Allegories of Empire*, 86.
 37. Arnold, *Toxic Histories*, 166.
 38. Roy, *Alimentary Tracts*, 32.
 39. Rappaport, *A Thirst for Empire*, 124.
 40. Rappaport demonstrates how food adulteration is framed as “a health issue, a moral failing, and a crime” (124). Without a clear legal definition, food adulteration in Britain was both a criminal act that would make its victims ill as well as a civil crime that would

defraud the consumer. However, the perpetrators of the crime of food adulteration were the immoral and profit-seeking capitalists who participated in the tea trade after the East India Company's monopoly over the tea trade ended in 1833. Their victims were mostly the manufacturing and working classes. Over the course of the century, however, mass media representations of food adulteration leaned into race science to perpetuate the stereotype of the deceptive Chinese poisoner as the adulterator while using tea advertisements to bolster claims of "purity" on the part of popular English tea sellers, like Horniman's. In the Indian colony, on the other hand, food adulteration discourse maps this victimhood onto the powerful ruling class of English colonizers while imagining Indian servants as deceptive adulterators. Contemporaneous representations of food adulteration in India invert the dynamics of victimhood—food adulteration affects not the poor and powerless but the powerful. They nonetheless keep intact the racial dynamics of this "crime"—whereas the Chinese are villainized in Britain, in India, it is attributed to the inherent dishonesty of Indian servants. For a detailed discussion of food and tea adulteration debates in nineteenth-century England, see Rappaport, *A Thirst for Empire* (particularly chapter 4).

41. Hassall, *Adulterations Detected*, 17.
42. Rappaport, *A Thirst for Empire*, 124, 126–27.
43. "Speech of the Hon'ble Mr. Peacock," *Calcutta Review*, 73.
44. The original draft of the Penal Code was produced in 1837 by the Governor-General's Legislative Council under the guidance of T. B. Macaulay. It was then revised, debated, and modified for nearly twenty years till the appointment of Mr. Barnes Peacock as a member of the Legislative Council in 1852. Peacock was instrumental in pushing the codification of the IPC as it was passed in 1860 and finally enacted in 1862. See Patra, "Historical Introduction," 352–64.
45. The *Westminster Review* in April 1882 summarizes Peacock's role in the passing of the IPC as follows: "The Indian Penal Code was the result of the labours of thirty years, during which it passed through the hands of many distinguished jurists. In the form in which it ultimately became the law, it bears more of the impress of the exact judicial mind of Sir Barnes Peacock than of the discursive genius of Lord Macaulay" (285). It goes on to declare "Lord Macaulay, the man of talent; and Sir Barnes Peacock, the man of law." Peacock would be knighted for his work in passing the IPC. See "Codification of

English Law,” *Westminster Review*, 493; Hamilton and Stern, “Peacock, Sir Barnes.”

46. “Speech of the Hon’ble Mr. Peacock,” 72.
47. The 1837 Macaulay Code, the prototype for the final version of the IPC, made such adulteration an offense in chapter 14 only if “the article [was] adulterated to such an extent that it [was] ‘noxious’ and with such an intention of selling the article.” Peacock was “disappointed” by such limited measures as, under the present clause, it would be difficult to ascertain whether the article was “noxious” and to prove it thus. He believed that adulteration of any kind should be an offense, “if made with the intent to sell the article or without the knowledge and consent of the owner of it.” See “Speech of the Hon’ble Mr. Peacock,” 72.
48. Sir William James Moore, in his popular medical manual for India, wrote about the treatment of opium poisoning since “solid opium, or a solution of opium in water” is a common form of poison in India (429). He tells his reader how to detect opium poisoning in children since “. . . to keep children quiet the drug [opium] is frequently given by Native servants” (430). Moore’s sound medical advice on the matter is this: “When servants are suspected of giving opium, committing the child to some other care is the only option” (431). See Moore, *Manual of Family Medicine*, 429–30.
49. Indian servants were stereotyped as dishonest by Anglo-Indian domestic guides and cookbooks. In his 1849 household guide for Anglo-India, Robert Riddell states that the management of Indian servants is the biggest concern for anyone setting up a household in India. He states that “the complaint of them is universal—laziness, dishonesty, falsehood, with a host of other vices” (1). This Riddell attributes in large part to the hereditary nature of caste. Similarly, the most lauded authority on Indian curries in the nineteenth century, Col. Robert Arthur Kenney-Herbert, in his famous 1878 cookbook (published under the pseudonym “Wyvern”), provides numerous workarounds for his Anglo-Indian reader to navigate a house full of dishonest servants. He advises his readers on particular cooking methods to ensure that there is not much for the Indian cook to siphon into his own curry (83). He also recommends maintaining amicable relations with one’s Indian cook or face being harassed by “petty larceny,” among other characteristic faults (8). See Riddell, *Indian Domestic Economy*; Wyvern, *Culinary Jotting for Madras*.

50. Similar fears and suspicions surface in Anglo-Indian novels and memoirs as well. Anglo-Indians, with retinues of native servants to indicate their social standing in the colony, were particularly aware of their physical vulnerability. In Steel's *On the Face of the Waters*, Mrs. Seymour suspects that her infant daughter is excessively attached to the native *ayah* because the *ayah* "gives opium to the child, so that she may get a little rest" (26). Florence Marryat, in her Indian autobiography, recalls a family that got cholera, and were almost immediately wiped out, on drinking water from a well. Marryat speculates that the water had perhaps been poisoned by the natives: "[K]nowing what I do of the eastern character, I should never feel satisfied, when losing friends in so mysterious a manner, that they had not been murdered" (164). See Marryat, *Gup*, 80; Steel, *On the Face of the Waters*, 26.
51. "Speech of the Hon'ble Mr. Peacock," 73.
52. Arnold, *Toxic Histories*, 166.
53. Arnold, *Toxic Histories*, 166, 82. He also notes that even as this sublation of poisoning into the new scientific rhetoric of adulteration and contamination occurred over time, it still retained its class contours: "respectable" classes (both Europeans and Indians) were still keen to hold their servants, milkmen, grooms responsible for the faulty handling of their food or for the adulteration of their milk (166).
54. Rappaport, *A Thirst for Empire*, 124.
55. "Review of *The Wife and the Ward*," *Calcutta Review*, xxvii.
56. "Review of *The Wife and The Ward*," xxv.
57. "Review of *The Wife and the Ward*," xxv–xxvi.
58. "Review of *The Wife and the Ward*," xxvi.
59. Whether Edward Money was present in the midst of the violence of 1857 in India is not clear. In *Twelve Months with the Bashi-Bazouks* (1857), Money recalls his resignation from ten years of prior service in the East India Company's army in India (3). There is also no doubt that he eventually returned to India. In the preface to the first edition of *The Cultivation and Manufacture of Tea* published in 1870, Money speaks of the expertise that he has collected "in the course of eleven years." This places him in the business of tea planting in India from 1859.
60. For the ways in which these categories have shifted and cohered historically, see Stoler, "Rethinking Colonial Categories," 134–201; and Stoler, "Making Empire Respectable," 634–60.

WORKS CITED

- Arnold, David. *Toxic Histories: Poison and Pollution in Modern India*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- Arondekar, Anjali. “‘Too Fatally Present’: The Crisis of Anglo-Indian Literature.” *Colby Quarterly* 37, no. 2 (2001): 145–63.
- Ball, Charles. *History of the Indian Mutiny: Giving a Detailed Account of the Sepoy Insurrection in India and a Concise History of the Great Military Events Which Have Tended to Consolidate British Empire in Hindostan*. 2 vols. London: London Printing and Publishing, 1858.
- Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. New York: Routledge, 2004.
- Brantlinger, Patrick. *Rule of Darkness: British Literature and Imperialism, 1830–1914*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1988.
- Chakravarty, Gautam. *The Indian Mutiny and the British Imagination*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- “Codification of English Law—A Retrospect and a Prospect.” *Westminster Review* 121 (January and April 1894), www.google.com/books/edition/_/jCygAAAAMAAJ?hl=en&gbpv=1&pg=PP7.
- Derrida, Jacques. *Margins of Philosophy*. Translated by Alan Bass. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1982.
- Dey, Arnab. *Tea Environments and Plantation Culture: Imperial Disarray in Eastern India*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- Fisher, Judith L. “Tea and Food Adulteration, 1834–75.” In *BRANCH: Britain, Representation and Nineteenth-Century History*, edited by Dino Franco Felluga, www.branchcollective.org/?ps_articles=judith-l-fisher-tea-and-food-adulteration-1834-75 (last modified December 2012).
- Forrest, George W., ed. *Selections from the Letters, Despatches, and Other State Papers Preserved in the Military Department of the Government of India 1857–58*. 4 vols. Calcutta: Military Department Press, 1893.
- Guha, Ranajit. *Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in Colonial India*. Durham: Duke University Press, 1999.
- Hamilton, J. A., and Roger T. Stearn. “Peacock, Sir Barnes (1810–1890), judge.” In *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ref:odnb/21670> (accessed 22 April 2020).
- Hassall, Arthur Hill. *Adulterations Detected; or, Plain Instructions for the Discovery of Frauds in Food and Medicine*. London: Longman, Brown, Longmans, and Roberts, 1857.
- Jameson, Fredric. *Valences of the Dialectic*. Brooklyn: Verso, 2010.
- Joshi, Priti. “1857; or, Can the Indian ‘Mutiny’ Be Fixed?” *BRANCH: Britain, Representation and Nineteenth-Century History*, edited by Dino Franco Felluga, www.branchcollective.org/?ps_articles=priti-joshi-1857-or-can-the-indian-mutiny-be-fixed (last modified November 2013).
- Kaye, John William. *A History of the Sepoy War in India: 1857–1858*. 9th ed. 3 vols. London: W. H. Allen, 1880.
- Khan, Syed Ahmed. *The Causes of the Indian Revolt*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2000.

- Malleson, G. B. *Kaye's and Malleson's History of the Indian Mutiny of 1857–8*. Edited by G. B. Malleson. 6 vols. London: W. H. Allen, 1889.
- “MARRIED.” *John Bull* 38, no. 1,956 (June 7, 1858), 368. *Nineteenth Century UK Periodicals*, <https://go-gale-com.ezproxy.cul.columbia.edu/ps/i.do?p=NCUK&u=columbiau&id=GALE%7CDX1901002297&v=2.1&it=r&sid=NCUK&asid=df741392>.
- Marryat, Florence. *Gup: Sketches of Anglo-Indian Life and Character*. London: Richard Bentley, 1868.
- Money, Edward. *Twelve Months with the Bashi-Bazouks*. London: Chapman and Hall, 1857.
- . *The Wife and the Ward; or, A Life's Error*. London: Routledge, Warnes, and Routledge, 1859.
- . *The Cultivation and Manufacture of Tea*. Calcutta: Thacker, 1883.
- Moore, William James. *A Manual of Family Medicine for India*. 2nd ed. London: J & A Churchill, 1877.
- Patra, Atul Chandra. “An Historical Introduction to the Indian Penal Code.” *Journal of the Indian Law Institute* 3, no. 3 (1961): 351–56.
- Rappaport, Erika. *A Thirst for Empire: How Tea Shaped the Modern World*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2017.
- Review of “*The Wife and the Ward; or a Life's Error*. By Lieutenant Colonel Edward Money, Turkish Service, (late Bengal Army), Author of ‘Twelve Months with the Bashi-Bazouks.’ London: Routledge. 1859.” *Calcutta Review* 32 (January–June 1859, June 1859): xxv–xxxii, *Hathi Trust*, <https://hdl.handle.net/2027/coo.31924066399688>.
- Riddell, Robert. *Indian Domestic Economy and Receipt Book; Comprising Numerous Directions for Plain Wholesome Cookery, Both Oriental and English, with Much Miscellaneous Matter, Answering All General Purposes of Reference Connected with Household Affairs Likely to Be Immediately Required by Families, Messes, and Private Individuals, Residing at the Presidencies or Out-stations*. Rev. 5th ed. Madras: Press for the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge, 1860.
- Roy, Parama. *Alimentary Tracts: Appetites, Aversions, and the Postcolonial*. Durham: Duke University Press, 2010.
- Said, Edward W. *Orientalism*. New York: Vintage Books, 1979.
- Sen, S. N. “Writings on the Mutiny.” In *Historians of India, Pakistan and Ceylon*, edited by C. H. Philips, 373–84. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1961.
- Sharpe Jenny. *Allegories of Empire: The Figure of Woman in the Colonial Text*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1993.
- “Speech of the Hon’ble Mr. Peacock in the Legislative Council, 24th January, 1857, on Introducing the Criminal Procedure Bills.” *Calcutta Review* 28 (January–June 1857, March 1857): 47–80, *Hathi Trust*, <https://hdl.handle.net/2027/coo.31924066399647>.
- Steel, Flora Annie. *On the Face of the Waters. A Tale of the Mutiny*. New York: Macmillan, 1897.
- . *The Garden of Fidelity: Being the Autobiography of Flora Annie Steel, 1847–1929*. London: Macmillan, 1930.

- Stoler, Ann Laura. "Making Empire Respectable: The Politics of Race and Sexuality in 20th-Century Colonial Cultures." *American Ethnologist* 16, no. 4 (1989): 634–60.
- . "Rethinking Colonial Categories: European Communities and the Boundaries of Rule." *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 31, no. 1 (1989): 134–201.
- Trevelyan, George. *Cawnpore*, Shilling Edition. London: Macmillan and Co., Limited, 1910.
- "Twelve Months with the Bashi-Bazouks. By Edward Money, Lieutenant-Colonel Imperial Ottoman Army, and the Late Captain Bashi-Bazouks. Chapman and Hall, Piccadilly." *The Sportsman*, January 1857, 147–48, www.google.com/books/edition/The_Sportsman/DCgGAAAAQAAJ?hl=en&gbpv=1.
- Two Brothers (A. Money and G. H. Money). *Sevastopol: Our Tent in the Crimea and Wanderings in Sevastopol*. London: Richard Bentley, 1856.
- Wagner, Kim A. *The Great Fear of 1857: Rumors, Conspiracies, and the Making of the Indian Uprising*. Oxford: Peter Lang, 2010.
- Wyvern (A. R. Kenney-Herbert). *Culinary Jottings for Madras: A Treatise in Thirty Chapters on Reformed Cookery for Anglo-Indian Exiles, Based upon Modern English, and Continental Principles, with Thirty Menus for Little Dinners Worked Out in Detail, and an Essay on Our Kitchens in India*. 2nd ed. Madras: Higginbotham, 1879.