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## 1. Introduction

In [3], [8], and [2], it was shown that if $T$ is an essentially Hermitian operator on $l_{p}, 1 \leqq p<\infty$, or on $L_{p}[0,1], 1<p<\infty$, then $T$ is a compact perturbation of a Hermitian operator. In [1], this result was established for operators on Orlicz sequence space $l_{M}$, where $2 \notin\left[\alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right]$ (the associated interval for $M$ ). In that same paper, it was conjectured that this result does not in general hold if $2 \in\left[\alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right]$. In this paper, we show that this conjecture is correct by exhibiting an Orlicz sequence space $l_{M}$ and an essentially Hermitian operator on $l_{M}$ which is not a compact perturbation of a Hermitian operator.

## 2. Orlicz sequence spaces

We refer the reader to [1] and [9] for detailed information concerning the following facts on Orlicz sequence spaces, and to [4] and [5] for material on numerical ranges.

If $M$ is an Orlicz function, then $l_{M}$ is the corresponding Orlicz sequence space with the norm

$$
\|\vec{a}\|_{M}=\left\|\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right\|_{M}=\inf \left\{k: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\left|a_{n}\right|}{k}\right) \leqq 1\right\}
$$

and $l_{(M)}$ is the same space with the norm

$$
\|\bar{a}\|_{(M)}=\left\|\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right\|_{(M)}=\sup \left\{\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n}\right|: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N\left(\left|b_{n}\right|\right) \leqq 1\right\},
$$

where $N$ is the complementary Orlicz function to $M$. If $M$ and $N$ both satisfy the $\Delta_{2^{-}}$ condition, then $l_{M}^{*}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $l_{(N)}$. For each unit vector $\bar{a}=\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ in $l_{M}$, let $\bar{a}^{\prime}=\left\{a_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$, where $a_{n}^{\prime}=\alpha M^{\prime}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|\right) \operatorname{sgn} a_{n}$ and $\alpha=\left\|\left\{M^{\prime}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|\right)\right\} \mid\right\|_{(N)}^{-1}$. Then $\bar{a}^{\prime}$ is the unique unit vector in $l_{(N)}$ satisfying $\left\langle\bar{a}, \bar{a}^{\prime}\right\rangle=1$. Furthermore, there is a $K>0$ such that $1 \leqq \alpha \leqq K$ for all unit vectors $\bar{a} \in l_{M}$.

The spatial numerical range of an operator $T$ on $l_{M}$ is the set $V(T)=\left\{\left\langle T a, \bar{a}^{\prime}\right\rangle:\|\vec{a}\|_{M}\right.$ $=1\}$ and the essential numerical range is $V_{\text {ess }}(T)=\cap\{V(T+K): K$ is a compact operator on $\left.l_{M}\right\} . T$ is Hermitian if $V(T) \subseteq R$ and essentially Hermitian if $V_{\text {ess }}(T) \subseteq R$. Tam has shown in [10] that unless $l_{M}$ is isometrically isomorphic to Hilbert space, the only

[^0]Hermitian operators on $l_{M}$ are represented by real diagonal matrices with respect to the natural basis vectors on $l_{M}$.

## 3. The example

Let $M(t)$ be an Orlicz function which agrees with $t^{2}$ on $[0, \sqrt{3 / 2}]$, but does not agree with $t^{2}$ on $[\sqrt{ } 3 / 2, \infty]$.

Lemma 1. Let $T_{n}$ be the operator on $l_{M}$ defined by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & A_{n} & 0 \\
A_{n} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{n}$ is an $n \times n$ block of elements, all equal to $1 / n$. Then there are constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that $1 \leqq\left\|T_{n}\right\| \leqq C_{1}$ and

$$
r_{i}\left(T_{n}\right)=\sup \left\{|\operatorname{Im} z|: z \in V\left(T_{n}\right)\right\} \leqq C_{2} / \sqrt{ } n
$$

Proof. Let $\bar{a}_{0}=\left\{a_{k}\right\}$, where $a_{k}=1$ for $k=1, \ldots, 2 n$, and $a_{k}=0$ for $k>2 n$. Then $\left\|\bar{a}_{0}\right\|_{M} \neq 0$ and $T \bar{a}_{0}=\bar{a}_{0}$, hence $\left\|T_{n}\right\| \geqq 1$. Since $l_{M}$ is isomorphic to $l_{2}$, we can think of $T_{n}$ as an operator on $l_{2}$, in which case its norm is 1 . It follows that there is a constant $C_{1}$ such that $\left\|T_{n}\right\| \leqq C_{1}$ when considered as an operator on $l_{M}$.

To establish the second inequality, let $\bar{a}=\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ be an arbitrary unit vector. Then since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\left|a_{k}\right|\right)=1$, at most one $a_{k}$ satisfies $\left|a_{k}\right| \geqq \sqrt{ } 3 / 2$. Now if all $a_{k}$ satisfy $\left|a_{k}\right|<\sqrt{ } 3 / 2$, then $M^{\prime}\left(\left|a_{k}\right|\right)=2\left|a_{k}\right|$, and it is easy to see that $\left\langle T_{n} \bar{a}, \bar{a}^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$. Hence we assume, without loss of generality, that $\left|a_{1}\right| \geqq \sqrt{ } 3 / 2$, but $\left|a_{k}\right|<\sqrt{ } 3 / 2$ for $k>1$. We can also assume that $a_{k}=0$ for $k>2 n$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\operatorname{Im}\left\langle T_{n} \bar{a}, \bar{a}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|= \\
& \quad \begin{array}{|l}
\operatorname{Im}\left[\left(\frac{a_{n+1}+\cdots+a_{2 n}}{n}\right)\left(\alpha M^{\prime}\left(\left|a_{1}\right|\right) \operatorname{sgn} \bar{a}_{1}+\cdots+\alpha M^{\prime}\left(\left|a_{n}\right|\right) \operatorname{sgn} \bar{a}_{n}\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad+\left(\frac{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}}{n}\right)\left(\alpha M^{\prime}\left(\left|a_{n+1}\right|\right) \operatorname{sgn} \bar{a}_{n+1}+\cdots+\alpha M^{\prime}\left(\left|a_{2 n}\right|\right) \operatorname{sgn} \bar{a}_{2 n}\right)\right] \mid \\
=\alpha\left|\operatorname{Im}\left[\left(\frac{a_{n+1}+\cdots+a_{2 n}}{n}\right) M^{\prime}\left(\left|a_{1}\right|\right) \operatorname{sgn} \bar{a}_{1}+\frac{a_{1}}{n}\left(2\left|a_{n+1}\right| \operatorname{sgn} \bar{a}_{n+1}+\cdots+2\left|a_{2 n}\right| \operatorname{sgn} \bar{a}_{2 n}\right)\right]\right| \\
\quad \leqq \alpha\left(\frac{\left|a_{n+1}\right|+\cdots+\left|a_{2 n}\right|}{n}\right)\left(M^{\prime}\left(\left|a_{1}\right|\right)+2\left|a_{1}\right|\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it is easy to show that the maximum value of $\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right) / n$ subject to $x_{1}^{2}+\cdots$
$+x_{n}^{2} \leqq 1$ is $1 / \sqrt{ } n$. Also,

$$
\alpha\left(M^{\prime}\left(\left|a_{1}\right|\right)+2\left|a_{1}\right|\right) \leqq K\left[M^{\prime}\left(M^{-1}(1)\right)+2 M^{-1}(1)\right] \equiv C_{2} .
$$

Hence the above calculation shows that $\left|\operatorname{Im}\left\langle T_{n} \bar{a}, \bar{a}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right| \leq C_{2} / \sqrt{ } n$ for all unit vectors $\bar{a}$. It follows that $r_{i}\left(T_{n}\right) \leqq C_{2} / \sqrt{ } n$.

Theorem 2. Let $T$ be the operator on $l_{M}$ defined by the block matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
T_{n_{1}} & & \\
& & \\
& T_{n_{2}} & \\
& & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $T_{n_{k}}$ is defined as in Lemma 1 and $n_{k}=4^{k}$. Then $T$ is an essentially Hermitian operator on $l_{M}$ which is not a compact perturbation of a Hermitian operator.

## Proof.

$$
\text { Let } K_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
T_{n_{1}} & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & T_{n_{j}} & \\
& & & 0 \\
& & & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then clearly $K_{j}$ is compact, and

$$
r_{i}\left(T-K_{j}\right) \leqq C_{2} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad j \rightarrow \infty
$$

Hence $T$ is essentially Hermitian. However, since $l_{M}$ is not Hilbert space, the only Hermitian operators on $l_{M}$ are diagonal. Clearly $T$ is not a compact perturbation of a diagonal operator.

Remarks. The techniques of (1) and (2) can clearly be adapted to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3. Let $L_{M}[0,1]$ be the Orlicz function space on $[0,1]$ associated with the Orlicz function $M$. Assume $M$ and its complementary function $N$ both satisfy the $\Delta_{2^{-}}$ condition. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{M}=\sup \left\{p: \sup _{0<\lambda, t} \frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda) t^{p}}<\infty\right\} \\
& \beta_{M}=\inf \left\{p: \inf _{0<\lambda, 1} \frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda) t^{p}}>0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $2 \notin\left[\alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right]$, then any essentially Hermitian operator on $L_{M}[0,1]$ can be written as $D$ $+K$, where $D$ is a real multiplication operator ( $D f=h f$ for some real valued $h \in L_{\infty}[0,1]$ ) and $K$ is a compact operator.

A good reference for Orlicz function spaces is [7].
Hence the Hermitian lifting problem has been settled in the affirmative for operators on $\bar{X}$, where $\bar{X}=l_{p}, \quad 1 \leqq p<\infty ; \quad \bar{X}=l M, \quad 2 \notin\left[\alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right] ; \quad \bar{X}=L_{p}[0,1], 1<p<\infty ;$ and $\bar{X}=L_{M}[0,1], 2 \notin\left[\alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right]$. Counterexamples have been found in $\bar{X}=A(D)$ (see [6, Example 4.1]) and $\bar{X}=l_{M}$ where $M$ is some Orlicz function with $2 \in\left[\alpha_{M}, \beta_{M}\right]$.
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