# ON PRIMITIVE SOLVABLE LINEAR GROUPS 

C. R. B. WRIGHT

1. Introduction. Let $V$ be a vector space over the field $K$. A group $G$ of $K$-linear transformations of $V$ onto itself is primitive in case no proper nontrivial subspace of $V$ is $G$-invariant and $V$ cannot be written as a direct sum of proper subspaces permuted among themselves by $G$. Equivalently, $G$ is primitive on $V$ in case $G$ is irreducible and is not induced from a proper subgroup.

Suprunenko showed [3, Theorem 12, p. 28] that the $n$-dimensional general linear group GL $(n, K)$ has a solvable primitive subgroup only if
(1) there is a divisor, $m$, of $n$ such that $K$ has an extension field of degree $m$ containing a primitive $p$-th root of 1 for each prime $p$ dividing $n / m$.

The main result of this note is the converse fact.
Theorem 1. If the field $K$ and positive integer $n$ satisfy (1), then $\operatorname{GL}(n, K)$ contains a solvable primitive subgroup.

In [3, Chapter 1, p. 28], Suprunenko states that in Chapter 2 he will prove Theorem 1 in case $K$ is algebraically closed and $n$ is odd. The argument given in [3, Section II.4] is somewhat mysterious, but does apparently lead to the result claimed. The restriction on $n$ is never specifically imposed, although it is tacitly used in the construction of the group $\Gamma$ [3, p. 48], since for even $n$ it is not enough to find symplectic groups; they must be orthogonal as well. It seems easier to produce a direct argument for general $K$ and $n$ than to try to disentangle the cross references and notation of [3] and build upon the special case it handles.

The outline of this argument is based on the treatment in [3] and consists of dealing with one prime-power factor of $n$ at a time, using facts about finite symplectic and orthogonal groups and then pasting the results for the factors together. The prime 2 causes a certain amount of trouble at various stages and must sometimes be handled separately. (It appears that Suprunenko, in considering only symplectic groups, has overlooked one of the points at which 2 behaves differently from the odd primes.)

Notation is fairly standard. If $K$ is a field, $K^{n}$ is the direct sum of $n$ copies of $K$ and $\mathrm{M}(n, K)$ is the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over $K$. If $S \subseteq \mathrm{M}(n, K)$, then $[S]$ is the subspace of $\mathrm{M}(n, K)$ spanned by $S$. For every choice of $n$ and $K$ we denote the centre of $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ by $Z$ and the identity by $I$.
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2. Some finite solvable irreducible linear groups. The proof of Theorem 3 in the next section hinges upon the existence of solvable irreducible subgroups of the symplectic groups $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(q)$ for $q$ an odd prime-power and certain orthogonal groups $\mathrm{O}_{2 n}(q)$ for $q$ a power of 2 . In this section we establish this existence by a method which handles both cases at once. For $q$ odd or a power of 4 there is a somewhat more transparent construction (see [3, p. 48]) which consists of taking the wreath product of a 2 -dimensional group with an $n$-cycle. The construction below, however, has the virtue of providing groups for all cases. (For background on symplectic and orthogonal groups see [2, sections II. 9 and II.10].)

Theorem 2. Let $q$ be a prime-power and let $n$ be a natural number. If $q^{n} \geqq 3$, then GL $(2 n, q)$ contains an irreducible solvable subgroup which is symplectic if $q$ is odd and preserves the form $x_{1} y_{1}+\ldots+x_{n} y_{n}$ if $q$ is even. If $q^{n} \geqq 5$, the subgroup can be chosen to be metacyclic.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Sp}_{2}(3)=\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$, a solvable group, the result is correct if $q^{n}=3$. If $n=1$ and $q=4$, a subgroup of order 5 in $\operatorname{SL}(2,4)$ is irreducible and leaves $x_{1} y_{1}$ invariant.

Suppose that $q=n=2$. Let

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad N=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad J=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

in $\mathrm{GL}(4,2)$. One can check that $J^{2}=I, B^{-1} N B=N^{-1}, J B J=B^{-1}$ and $J N J=N^{-1}$, and that the group $\langle B, N, J\rangle$ is an irreducible subgroup of GL $(4,2)$ of order 36 leaving $x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}$ invariant.

From now on suppose that $q^{n} \geqq 5$. Let $V$ be GF $\left(q^{n}\right)$ viewed as an $n$-dimensional space over GF $(q)$. Let $Z$ be a Singer cycle of GF $\left(q^{n}\right)$ over GF $(q)$ (see [2, p. 187]). For $X$ in $\operatorname{GL}(n, q)$ let $X^{*}=\left(X^{t}\right)^{-1}$. Let

$$
W=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
Z & 0 \\
0 & Z^{*}
\end{array}\right]
$$

in $\mathrm{GL}(2 n, q)$ acting on $V \oplus V$. One can check that

$$
W^{t} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & I \\
-I & 0
\end{array}\right] \cdot W=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
0 & I \\
-I & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

so that $W$ is symplectic, and

$$
W \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
X \\
Y
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
Z X \\
Z * Y
\end{array}\right],
$$

so that, since $\left(Z^{*} Y\right)^{t} \cdot(Z X)=Y^{t} \cdot X, W$ preserves $x_{1} y_{1}+\ldots+x_{n} y_{n}$.
Now $Z$ has order $q^{n}-1$ and acts irreducibly on $V$. Since $Z$ and its transpose $Z^{t}$ have the same invariant factor, $Z^{t}=P^{-1} Z P$ for some $P$ in GL $(n, q)$. Let

$$
R=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & P \\
-P^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

A routine check shows that $R^{-1} W R=W^{-1}$ and that $R$ is symplectic and sends $x_{1} y_{1}+\ldots+x_{n} y_{n}$ to its negative. Let $G=\langle W, R\rangle$. Then $G$ is metacyclic and is symplectic or orthogonal accordingly as $q$ is odd or even.

Suppose that $Z^{-1}=Q^{-1} Z Q$ for some $Q$ in $\operatorname{GL}(n, q)$. Then

$$
Q \in N(\langle Z\rangle)=\langle Z\rangle \cdot\langle B\rangle,
$$

where $B^{-1} Z B=Z^{q}$ (see [2, p. 187]). So $Z^{-1}=B^{-i} Z B^{i}$ for some $i$ with $0 \leqq i<n$, and thus $q^{n}-1$, the order of $Z$, divides $q^{i}+1$. Easy calculation shows that $q^{n} \leqq 4$, contrary to assumption. Hence $Z^{-1}$ and $Z$ are not conjugate in GL $(n, q)$, so that $Z^{*}$ and $Z$ are not either.

Viewed as a $\langle W\rangle$-module, $V \oplus V$ has the obvious irreducible submodules $V \oplus 0$ and $0 \oplus V$, which we have just shown are inequivalent. By the Jordan-Hölder Theorem these must be the only two $W$-submodules. Since $R$ interchanges them, $G$ acts irreducibly on $V \oplus V$, as desired.
3. The case $n=p^{e}$. This section uses the groups just constructed to help produce primitive solvable subgroups of $\operatorname{GL}(q, K)$ for $q=p^{e}$ a prime-power.

Theorem 3. Let $p$ be a prime and let $q=p^{e}$. Let $K$ be a field which contains a primitive $p$-th root of 1 . If $q=2$, suppose that -1 is a sum of two squares. Then $\mathrm{GL}(q, K)$ contains solvable subgroups $B$ and $W$ such that
(a) $Z<B \triangleleft W$,
(b) $[B]=M(q, K)$,
(c) $B / Z$ is a chief factor of $W$ of order $q^{2}$,
(d) $B=C_{W}(B / Z)$.

Proof. Suppose first that $q>2$. Let $\epsilon$ be a primitive $p$-th root of 1 in $K$. Let $E$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(p, K)$ generated by the matrices $a$ and $b$, where

$$
a=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
& & & . & \\
& & & . & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & . . & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \quad b=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & & & & & \\
& \epsilon & & & & \\
& & \epsilon^{2} & & & \\
& & & . & & \\
& 0 & & & . & \\
& & & & . & \\
& & & . & . & \epsilon^{p-1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then $E$ is extraspecial of order $p^{3}$ generated by elements of order $p$, with $[a, b]=\epsilon I$. Let $X$ be the Kronecker product $X=E \otimes \ldots \otimes E \leqq \operatorname{GL}(q, K)$.

Then $X$ is extraspecial of order $p^{2 e+1}=q^{2} \cdot p$ with derived group $\langle\epsilon I\rangle=$ $X \cap Z$. Let $B=X Z$. Then $[B]=[X]$.

We now show that $[X]=M(q, K)$, from which (b) will follow. Suppose that $0=\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} k_{i}$ is a $K$-dependence relation among elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ of $X$ lying in different cosets of $X^{\prime}$. Then $m \geqq 2$ and $x_{1} x_{2}^{-1} \notin Z(X)$, so that $1 \neq\left[x_{1} x_{2}^{-1}, y\right]$ for some $y$ in $X$ and hence $\left[x_{1}, y\right] \neq\left[x_{2}, y\right]$. Then

$$
0=y^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} k_{i}\right) y-\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} k_{i}\left[x_{1}, y\right]
$$

yields a shorter dependence relation than the given one. It follows that $\operatorname{dim}_{K}([X]) \geqq\left[X: X^{\prime}\right]=p^{2 e}=q^{2}$, so $[X]=M(q, K)$.

Using [2, Sätze III.13.7 and III.13.8 and Bemerkungen 13.9], it is not hard to see that the group of automorphisms of $X$ fixing $X \cap Z$ is isomorphic to the group of GF $(p)$-linear transformations of $X / X^{\prime}$ leaving invariant the bilinear form $f$ and quadratic form $g$ defined by

$$
[x, y]=\epsilon^{f(x, y)} \quad \text { and } \quad x^{p}=\epsilon^{g(x)} .
$$

This group is $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 e}(p)$ if $p$ is odd and is the orthogonal group of degree $2 e$ leaving invariant $x_{1} y_{1}+\ldots+x_{e} y_{e}$ if $p=2$. In either case, by Theorem 2 , $X$ has a solvable group $G$ of automorphisms acting irreducibly on $X / X^{\prime}$ and centralizing $X^{\prime}$. By linearity, $G$ extends to a group (which we also call $G$ ) of $K$-algebra automorphisms of [ $X$ ].

Now $[X]=[B]=M(q, K)$, a central simple $K$-algebra. By [1, Theorem $7.2 \mathrm{c}]$, every automorphism of $[B]$ is inner. Hence $G$ is a group of inner automorphisms of $M(q, K)$ normalizing $B$ and acting irreducibly on $B / Z$. Let $H / Z=G$, with $H \leqq \operatorname{GL}(q, K)$, and let $W=H B$. Then $B / Z$ is a chief factor of $W$ of order $q^{2}$, as claimed in (c), and $W$ is solvable. Moreover, $C_{W}(B / Z)=$ $B \cdot C_{H}(B / Z)=B \cdot Z=B$. This completes the proof in case $q>2$.

Now suppose that $q=2$ and that $-1=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$ for some $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $K$.
Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & \beta \\
\beta & -\alpha
\end{array}\right] & b=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
x=\left[\begin{array}{lr}
\alpha & \beta+1 \\
\beta-1 & -\alpha
\end{array}\right] \text { and } y=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\beta & \alpha+1 \\
\alpha-1 & \beta
\end{array}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Then $a^{2}=b^{2}=(a b)^{2}=-I$, so that $\langle a, b\rangle$ is quaternion of order $8, x^{2}=-2 I$, $y^{2}=-2 I,(x y)^{3}=8 I$ and $x^{-1} a x=b, x^{-1} b x=-a, y^{-1} a x=-a, y^{-1} b x=a b$. Let $B=\langle a, b\rangle Z$ and $W=B\langle x, y\rangle$. Then $W / Z \cong S_{4}$ and the conditions (a) $-(\mathrm{d})$ are easy to verify. The proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

Some condition on $K$ is needed if $q=2$. To see this, let $K$ be an arbitrary ordered field and suppose that $G$ is a primitive solvable subgroup of GL $(2, K)$
for which $Z$ is a maximal abelian normal subgroup. Let $B / Z$ be a chief factor of $G$. (Since $G / Z$ is finite, such a factor certainly exists.) It is not hard to see that $|B / Z|$ divides 4 , and since $B$ is non-abelian, $B / Z$ is a 4 -group. Say $B=\langle a, b\rangle Z$ with $a^{2}=\alpha I, b^{2}=\beta I$ and $(a b)^{2}=\gamma I$, with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ in $K$. Since $[a, b] \neq I,[a, b]=-I$, and $\gamma=-\alpha \beta$. At least one of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ is negative. Unless all three are, $B / Z$ contains a proper normal subgroup of $G / Z$. Thus each of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ is negative. Easy calculation shows that for some $x, y, z, u, v$ and $w$ in $K$

$$
a=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
x & y \\
z & -x
\end{array}\right] \text { and } b=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
u & v \\
w & -u
\end{array}\right],
$$

with $x^{2}+y z=\alpha, u^{2}+v w=\beta$. Then

$$
a b=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x u+y w & * \\
* & z v+x u
\end{array}\right],
$$

and so $x u+y w=-z v-x u$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =2 x u y v+y^{2} v w+y z v^{2} \\
& =2 x u y v+y^{2}\left(\beta-u^{2}\right)+v^{2}\left(\alpha-x^{2}\right) \\
& =-(y u-v x)^{2}+y^{2} \beta+v^{2} \alpha,
\end{aligned}
$$

a non-positive element since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are negative. Thus $v=y=(y u-v x)=0$, a contradiction to $x^{2}+y z=\alpha<0$.
4. General $n$ and the proof of Theorem 1. This section puts together primitive subgroups of GL $(q, K)$ for the prime-powers $q$ dividing $n$ to get a primitive subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ which is the direct product of the pieces.

It is not true in general that if $G$ and $H$ are primitive subgroups of GL $(n, K)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(m, K)$, respectively, then $G \otimes H$ is a primitive subgroup of GL $(n m, K)$. For example, if $K$ is the real field and both $G$ and $H$ are the multiplicative complex field viewed as embedded in GL $(2, K)$, then $G$ and $H$ are primitive (see Theorem 6 ) but $G \otimes H$ is not irreducible, let alone primitive. So the proof of Theorem 4 must make use not only of the primitivity of the factors but also of some of the special properties noted in Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. Let $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{t}$ be powers of distinct primes and let $n=q_{1} \ldots q_{t}$. Suppose that for $i=1, \ldots, t$, GL $\left(q_{i}, K\right)$ contains subgroups $B_{i}$ and $W_{i}$ satisfying
(a) $Z<B_{i} \triangleleft W_{i}$,
(b) $\left[B_{i}\right]=M\left(q_{i}, K\right)$, and
(c) $B_{i} / Z$ is a chief factor of $W_{i}$ of order $q_{i}{ }^{2}$.

Then $W=W_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes W_{t}$ is a primitive subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(u, K)$.
If $B_{i}=C_{W_{i}}\left(B_{i} / Z\right)$ for each $i$, then $B=C_{W}(B / Z)$ and $Z$ is a maximal abelian normal subgroup of $W$.

Proof. Let $B=B_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes B_{t}$. By (a) and (b), $Z<B \triangleleft W$ and $[B]=M(n, K)$. Moreover, by (c), $B / Z$ is abelian and has $B_{1} / Z, \ldots, B_{t} / Z$ as its $W$-chief factors. Since $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{\imath}$ are relatively prime, by the JordanHölder Theorem the only $W$-normal subgroups between $Z$ and $B$ are of form $B_{i} \otimes \ldots \otimes B_{j}$.

Let $V=K^{n}$ viewed naturally as a $K W$-module. Since $[W]=M(n, K), W$ is irreducible on $V$. Suppose that $V=V_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{k}$ is a decomposition of $V$ into blocks of imprimitivity for $W$ with $k \geqq 2$. Let $Y$ be the kernel of the permutation representation of $W$ on the set of blocks. Then $Z \leqq B \cap Y<W$. Since $V=B V_{1}, B$ is transitive. Thus $B / B \cap Y$ is a transitive abelian group and so $[B: B \cap Y]=k$. But $[B: B \cap Y]$ is a product of factors $q_{i}{ }^{2}$, by the paragraph above. Since $n=k \cdot \operatorname{dim} V_{1}$ and $n$ is not divisible by $q_{i}{ }^{2}$, we have a contradiction. It follows that $W$ is primitive on $V$.

Now suppose that $B_{i}=C_{W_{i}}\left(B_{i} / Z\right)$ for each $i$. Then

$$
C_{W}(B / Z)=C_{W_{1}}\left(B_{1} / Z\right) \otimes \ldots \otimes C_{W_{t}}\left(B_{t} / Z\right)=B
$$

If $U$ is an abelian normal subgroup of $W$ with $Z \leqq U$, then since each nontrivial group $B_{i} \otimes \ldots \otimes B_{j}$ is non-abelian, $U \cap B=Z$ and

$$
U \leqq C_{W}(B / Z)=B
$$

so $U=Z$.
Theorem 5. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Suppose that the field $K$ contains a primitive $p$-th root of 1 for each prime divisor $p$ of $n$ and that -1 is a sum of two squares in $K$ if $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Then $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ contains a primitive solvable subgroup with $Z$ as a maximal abelian normal subgroup.

Proof. This follows from the last two theorems.
Although Theorem 1 loses its content if $K$ is finite, Theorem 5 does not, and we get the following fact.

Corollary. Let $q$ be a prime-power and $n$ a positive integer. Suppose that $n$ divides some power of $q-1$. Then $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ contains a primitive solvable subgroup with $Z$ as maximal abelian normal subgroup.

To prove Theorem 1 we need an elementary fact which seems to have been repeatedly used without mention in [3].

Theorem 6. Let $K$ be a field and let $K^{\prime}$ be an extension of $K$ of finite degree $m$. View $\mathrm{GL}\left(n / m, K^{\prime}\right)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$. If $G$ is a primitive subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}\left(n / m, K^{\prime}\right)$ which contains its centre, $Z^{\prime}$, then $G$ is a primitive subgroup of GL $(n, K)$.

Proof. Let $V=\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{n / m}=K^{n}$. Suppose that $V=V_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{t}$ is a decomposition into $K$-subspaces permuted by $G$. Then $Z^{\prime}$ also permutes $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{t}$, and for each $s, K^{\prime} V_{s}$ has the form $V_{i} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{j}$. Since the $K^{\prime}$-subspaces $K^{\prime} V_{s}$ are permuted by $G$ and $G$ acts primitively on $V, V=K^{\prime} V_{1}$.

For $0 \neq a \in K^{\prime}, a V_{1} \in\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{t}\right\}$. Thus

$$
V=K^{\prime} V_{1}=\sum_{a \in K^{\prime}} a V_{1}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{i} a_{i} V_{1}
$$

for some $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}$ independent in $K^{\prime}$ over $K$, with $a_{1}=1$. Let

$$
b=a_{1}+\ldots+a_{t} .
$$

Then $b \neq 0$, and $b V_{1}=a_{j} V_{1}$ for some $j$. Hence,

$$
\left(b-a_{j}\right) V_{1} \subseteq a_{j} V_{1} \cap \sum_{i \neq j} a_{i} V_{1}=0
$$

and so $b=a_{j}$ and $t=1$, as desired.
We can now prove Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. By Theorem 6 we need only find a divisor, $m$, of $n$ and an extension $K^{\prime}$ of degree $m$ over $K$ such that $\mathrm{GL}\left(n / m, K^{\prime}\right)$ contains a primitive solvable group. By hypothesis there exist $m$ and $K^{\prime}$ such that $K^{\prime}$ contains a primitive $p$-th root of 1 for each prime $p$ dividing $n / m$. By Theorem 5, $\mathrm{GL}\left(n / m, K^{\prime}\right)$ contains a primitive solvable group except perhaps if $n / m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and -1 is not a sum of two squares in $K^{\prime}$. But in that case $K^{\prime}$ has an extension $K^{\prime \prime}$ of degree 2 obtained by adjoining a root of $x^{2}+1$, and $\mathrm{GL}\left(n / 2 m, K^{\prime \prime}\right)$ contains a primitive solvable group, as desired.

As a final note, the primitive groups produced above are absolutely irreducible. This follows from the fact that they are generated by certain fixed finite sets of matrices in a finite extension of the prime field of $K$. If $K^{\prime}$ is an extension of $K$ and $G$ is one of our primitive subgroups of $G L(n, K)$, then $G$ is an irreducible subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}\left(n, K^{\prime}\right)$ and, moreover, $G \cdot Z^{\prime}$ is primitive.

## References

1. E. Artin, C. J. Nesbitt and R. M. Thrall, Rings with minimum condition (University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1946).
2. B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I (Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1967).
3. D. Suprunenko, Soluble and nilpotent linear groups, Translations of Mathematical Monographs No. 9 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1963).

University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon

