

REPRESENTATIONS OF WEYL GROUPS OF TYPE B
INDUCED FROM CENTRALISERS OF INVOLUTIONS

PHILIP D. RYAN

Let G be a Weyl group of type B , and T a set of representatives of the conjugacy classes of self-inverse elements of G . For each t in T , we construct a (complex) linear character π_t of the centraliser of t in G , such that the sum of the characters of G induced from the π_t contains each irreducible complex character of G with multiplicity precisely 1. For Weyl groups of type A (that is, for the symmetric groups), a similar result was published recently by Inglis, Richardson and Saxl.

1.

An *involution model* for the irreducible complex characters of a finite group G is a set of linear characters λ_t of certain subgroups, such that the sum of the induced characters λ_t^G involves each irreducible character of G with multiplicity precisely 1. The relevant subgroups are the centralisers $C_G(t)$ with t ranging through a complete set of representatives of the conjugacy classes of the self-inverse elements of G . In a January 1980 lecture which remains unpublished, Richardson had proved that all classical Weyl groups except those of type D_{2n} do have involution models. For type A , a much simpler proof was given eventually in Inglis, Richardson, Saxl [2]. In a paper [1] still to appear, Baddeley proves that if a finite group has an involution model then so does its wreath product with any symmetric group; this provides a new proof for the full result of Richardson.

This paper presents yet another proof for the type B case. This will be based on the theorem of Frobenius and Schur (see for example Isaacs [3]) which asserts that if all irreducible characters of G come from real representations and if $g \in G$ then the number of square roots of g in G is the value at g of the sum of the irreducible characters of G . Baddeley notes that (by another part of the Frobenius-Schur theorem) an involution model cannot exist unless all irreducible characters come from real representations: our argument makes use of the well-known fact that Weyl groups of type B satisfy this condition. (For that, an explicit and convenient reference is harder to find: we can only name Mayer [4].)

Received 26th November 1990

This work was done while on a vacation scholarship at Sydney University under the supervision of Dr R.B. Howlett. I wish to thank Dr Howlett for his help, Dr R.W. Richardson for suggesting such an interesting problem and Dr L.G. Kovács for his help in preparing this paper.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/91 \$A2.00+0.00.

2

For the rest of this paper let G be $W(B_n)$, the Weyl group of type B_n , which is the group of ‘signed permutations’ of n letters. It will be convenient to think of G as the semidirect product of the symmetric group S_n on $n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the additive group P_n of the Boolean algebra of all subsets of n . (The addition in question being symmetric difference of sets, it will be written as Δ instead of $+$.) Accordingly the elements of G will be written as $(\alpha, a), (\beta, b), \dots$ with α, β, \dots permutations on n and a, b, \dots subsets of n . The product of (α, a) and (β, b) in G is given by

$$(\alpha, a)(\beta, b) = (\alpha\beta, \beta(a) \Delta b).$$

By the support of a permutation α we mean the set of points which are actually moved (that is, not fixed) by α ; we shall write it as $\text{supp } \alpha$. Given (α, a) in G , a cycle γ of α is said to be a positive cycle of (α, a) if $|(\text{supp } \gamma) \cap a|$ is even, and a negative cycle of (α, a) otherwise. The signed cycle type of (α, a) is the function which counts the number of signed cycles of (α, a) of any given length and sign. Two elements of G are conjugate if and only if they have the same signed cycle type [4].

A straightforward calculation shows that if $(\beta, b) \in C_G((\alpha, a))$ then $\text{supp } \alpha$ and $a \setminus \text{supp } \alpha$ are invariant under β :

$$(1) \quad \beta(\text{supp } \alpha) = \text{supp } \alpha \text{ and } \beta(a \setminus \text{supp } \alpha) = a \setminus \text{supp } \alpha.$$

In particular, by restricting β one obtains a permutation $\beta \downarrow \text{supp } \alpha$ of $\text{supp } \alpha$, and the composite map

$$(\beta, b) \mapsto \beta \mapsto \beta \downarrow \text{supp } \alpha \mapsto \text{sign } \beta \downarrow \text{supp } \alpha$$

may be viewed as a linear character of $C_G((\alpha, a))$. It is also easy to see that

$$(\beta, b) \mapsto (-1)^{|b \cap a \setminus \text{supp } \alpha|}$$

is a linear character of $C_G((\alpha, a))$. (Use that if $(\beta, b), (\beta', b') \in C_G((\alpha, a))$, then by the Boolean distributive law

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta(b') \Delta b) \cap a \setminus \text{supp } \alpha &= (\beta(b') \cap a \setminus \text{supp } \alpha) \Delta (b \cap a \setminus \text{supp } \alpha) \\ &= \beta(b' \cap a \setminus \text{supp } \alpha) \Delta (b \cap a \setminus \text{supp } \alpha) \text{ by (1)} \end{aligned}$$

and that the parity of the cardinality of a symmetric difference is the parity of the sum of the cardinalities of the components.)

The product of these two linear characters is what we want: define the linear character $\pi_{(\alpha, \mathbf{a})}$ of $C_G((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))$ by

$$\pi_{(\alpha, \mathbf{a})}((\beta, \mathbf{b})) = (-1)^{|\mathbf{b} \cap \mathbf{a} \setminus \text{supp } \alpha|} \text{sign } \beta \downarrow \text{supp } \alpha.$$

Our claim is that the $\pi_{(\alpha, \mathbf{a})}$ with $(\alpha, \mathbf{a}) = t \in T$ form an involution model for G .

In view of the Frobenius-Schur theorem, it will be sufficient to prove instead that

$$(2) \quad f((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) = \sum_{t \in T} \pi_t^G((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))$$

holds for all (α, \mathbf{a}) in G . The proof will occupy the rest of the paper.

3.

STEP 1. By definition, the induced character π_t^G is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_t^G((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) &= \sum_{z \in G} |C_G(t)|^{-1} \pi_t^0(x^{-1}(\alpha, \mathbf{a})x) \\ \text{where } \pi_t^0(g) &= \begin{cases} \pi_t(g) & \text{if } g \in C_G(t) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward from the definition of π_t that $\pi_t^0(x^{-1}(\alpha, \mathbf{a})x) = \pi_{x t x^{-1}}^0((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))$. Using that G is the disjoint union of its cosets $\{x : x t x^{-1}\}$ modulo $C_G(t)$ and that S is the disjoint union of the conjugacy classes t^G of the t in T , one can argue that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in T} \pi_t^G((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) &= \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{z \in G} |C_G(t)|^{-1} \pi_t^0(x^{-1}(\alpha, \mathbf{a})x) \\ &= \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{z \in G} |C_G(t)|^{-1} \pi_{x t x^{-1}}^0((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) \\ &= \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{s \in t^G} \sum_{z: z t z^{-1} = s} |C_G(s)|^{-1} \pi_s^0((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) \\ (3) \quad &= \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{s \in t^G} \pi_s^0((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) \\ &= \sum_{s \in S} \pi_s^0((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) \\ &= \sum_{s \in S \cap C_G((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))} \pi_s((\alpha, \mathbf{a})). \end{aligned}$$

Call this last sum $R_{\alpha, \mathbf{a}}$: what we have to prove is that $R_{\alpha, \mathbf{a}} = f((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))$.

STEP 2. Given any decomposition of n as disjoint union

$$(4) \quad n = d_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} d_m$$

of nonempty subsets, consider the subgroup of G consisting of all (α, a) in G such that $\alpha(d_i) = d_i$ for all i . This is the direct product of the subgroups G_i defined by

$$G_i = \{(\alpha, a) \in G : \text{supp } \alpha \subseteq d_i, a \subseteq d_i\},$$

and of course restriction to d_i gives an isomorphism $G_i \cong W(B_{|d_i|})$. To each g in G , there is a unique decomposition (4) such that

- (i) $g \in G_1 \times \dots \times G_m$: say, $g = \prod g_i$ with $g_i \in G_i$;
- (ii) for each i , the cycles of g_i in d_i ; all have the same length and sign;
- (iii) if $i \neq j$, the cycles of g_i in d_i differ from the cycles of g_j in d_j either in length or in sign (or in both).

It is easy to check case by case that the cycles of the square of a signed cyclic permutation all have the same length and the same sign; hence

$$(5) \quad f_G(g) = \prod_{i=1}^m f_{G_i}(g_i).$$

With $g = (\alpha, a)$ the set $a \setminus \text{supp } \alpha$ considered in (1) is either empty or one of the d_i here (the union of the negative orbits of length one); as we saw there, it is setwise invariant under $C_G(g)$. Similarly each d_i is setwise invariant; so

$$C_G(g) = C_{G_1}(g_1) \times \dots \times C_{G_m}(g_m).$$

It follows that $S \cap C_G(g) = \{\prod s_i : s_i \in S(G_i) \cap C_{G_i}(g_i)\}$. Of course if g_i is written as (α_i, a_i) then $a_i \cup \text{supp } \alpha_i \subseteq d_i$; and if $s = \prod s_i$ with $s = (\beta, b)$ and $s_i = (\beta_i, b_i)$ then $\text{supp } \beta_i = (\text{supp } \beta) \cap d_i$ and $b_i \setminus \text{supp } \beta_i = (b \setminus \text{supp } \beta) \cap d_i$: thus it is easy to see that $\pi_s(g_i) = \pi_{s_i}(g_i)$ and so

$$\pi_s(g) = \pi_s \left(\prod g_i \right) = \prod \pi_s(g_i) = \prod \pi_{s_i}(g_i).$$

Consequently,

$$(6) \quad \sum_s \pi_s(g) = \sum_{s_1} \dots \sum_{s_m} \prod_{i=1}^m \pi_{s_i}(g_i) = \prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{s_i} \pi_{s_i}(g_i)$$

where the ranges of s and s_i are $S \cap C_G(g)$ and $S_i \cap C_{G_i}(g_i)$, respectively. It remains to note that the restriction of π_{s_i} to $C_{G_i}(g_i)$ is the same as the linear character of this subgroup defined with reference to s_i when G_i is viewed as a Weyl group of rank $|d_i|$ in its own right. In view of (3), (5) and (6), this means that it suffices to prove (2) for the (α, a) whose cycles are all the same length and sign.

STEP 3. Since both sides of (2) are characters and hence constant on conjugacy classes it is sufficient to prove (2) for representatives of conjugacy classes. Combining this with Step 2 it then follows that we only have to verify (2) for the (α, \mathbf{a}) in G with

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha &= (1, \dots, r)(r + 1, \dots, 2r) \dots ((k - 1)r + 1, \dots, kr) \\ \mathbf{a} &= \emptyset \quad \text{or} \quad \{1, r + 1, \dots, (k - 1)r + 1\} \end{aligned}$$

where $kr = n$.

STEP 4. If (α, \mathbf{a}) in G is of form (7) and is of odd order then r is odd and $\mathbf{a} = \emptyset$, thus $\pi_s((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) = 1$ for all s in $S \cap C_G((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))$. Hence $R_{\alpha, \mathbf{a}} = |S \cap C_G((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))|$. But if g is any element of odd order, $2q - 1$ say, in any group, there is a bijection (namely $h \mapsto g^q h$) from the set of square roots of g to the set of self-inverse elements which commute with g . This verifies (2) for this case.

STEP 5. Let $X((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))$ be the set of those (β, \mathbf{b}) in $S \cap C_G((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))$ which act fixed point free on the set of orbits of α . The next point to establish is that if (α, \mathbf{a}) in G is of form (7) and is of even order, then

$$(8) \quad R_{\alpha, \mathbf{a}} = |X((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))|.$$

First consider when k , the number of orbits of α , equals 1. Calculating $R_{\alpha, \mathbf{a}}$ will be done distinguishing three cases; in each case, (β, \mathbf{b}) ranges over $S \cap C_G((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))$.

(i) Let n be odd and $\mathbf{a} = \{1\}$. As β is self-inverse, $|\text{supp } \beta|$ is even, so $\text{supp } \beta$ is a proper subset of n . As (α, \mathbf{a}) and (β, \mathbf{b}) commute, $\text{supp } \beta$ is invariant under α : since α is transitive on n , this is impossible unless $\text{supp } \beta = \emptyset$, so $\beta = 1$. The equation

$$\beta(\{1\}) \Delta \mathbf{b} = \alpha(\mathbf{b}) \Delta \{1\}$$

then has two solutions, namely $\mathbf{b} = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{b} = n$. Thus

$$R_{\alpha, \mathbf{a}} = (-1)^{|\{1\} \cap \emptyset|} + (-1)^{|\{1\} \cap n|} = 0$$

(ii) Let n be even and $\mathbf{a} = \emptyset$. The self-inverse elements of S_n which commute with $(1, \dots, n)$ are 1 and $(1, n/2 + 1)(2, n/2 + 2) \dots (n/2, n)$. The equation

$$\beta(\emptyset) \Delta \mathbf{b} = \alpha(\mathbf{b}) \Delta \emptyset$$

has the same two solutions for both values of β , namely $\mathbf{b} = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{b} = n$. Thus

$$R_{\alpha, \mathbf{a}} = 2(\text{sign } \alpha \downarrow \emptyset + \text{sign } \alpha \downarrow n) = 0$$

since n is even.

(iii) Let n be even and $\mathbf{a} = \{1\}$. As in case (ii) we have that β is either 1 or $(1, n/2 + 1) \dots (n/2, n)$. If $\beta = 1$ then the equation

$$\beta(\{1\}) \Delta \mathbf{b} = \alpha(\mathbf{b}) \Delta \{1\}$$

has two solutions, namely $\mathbf{b} = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{n}$. However if $\beta = (1, n/2 + 1) \dots (n/2, n)$ then that equation has no solutions. Thus

$$R_{\alpha, \mathbf{a}} = (-1)^{|\{1\} \cap \emptyset|} + (-1)^{|\{1\} \cap \mathbf{n}|} = 0.$$

Now consider the general case with $k \geq 1$.

Let \mathbf{d}_1 be an orbit of α ; set $\mathbf{d}_2 = \mathbf{n} \setminus \mathbf{d}_1$, and

$$G_i = \{(\gamma, \mathbf{c}) \in G : \text{supp } \gamma \subseteq \mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{c} \subseteq \mathbf{d}_i\},$$

Then (α, \mathbf{a}) is in $G_1 \times G_2$ with, say, $(\alpha, \mathbf{a}) = g_1 g_2$ where $g_i \in G_i$. Now

$$S \cap C_G((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) \cap (G_1 \times G_2) = \{s_1 s_2 : s_i \in S(G_i) \cap C_{G_i}(g_i)\}.$$

So by (6)

$$\sum_s \pi_s((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) = \prod_{i=1}^2 \sum_{s_i} \pi_{s_i}(g_i)$$

where s and s_i range over $S \cap C_G((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) \cap (G_1 \times G_2)$ and $S(G_i) \cap C_{G_i}(g_i)$, respectively. But by the argument we used in the case $k = 1$,

$$\sum_{s_1} \pi_{s_1}(g_1) = 0.$$

Repeating this for each orbit of α , we get that

$$(9) \quad R_{\alpha, \mathbf{a}} = \sum_{s \in X((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))} \pi_s((\alpha, \mathbf{a})).$$

The claim then follows by considering the following two cases.

- (i) Let k be odd. Then $R_{\alpha, \mathbf{a}} = 0$ by (9), because no element of $S \cap C_G((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))$ can act fixed point free on the set of orbits of α .
- (ii) Let k be even and let s be an element of $X((\alpha, \mathbf{a}))$. Then $\text{supp } \alpha = \mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{a} \setminus \text{supp } \alpha = \emptyset$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_s((\alpha, \mathbf{a})) &= \text{sign } \alpha \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

since k is even. Thus, by (9), the claim (8) follows.

STEP 6. Let (α, a) in G have even order and be of form (7). The final step is to show that the right hand side of (8) equals $f((\alpha, a))$.

If α has an odd number of orbits then (α, a) has no square roots and $X((\alpha, a))$ is the empty set, so we are done.

Let α have an even number of orbits. There are two cases to consider.

(i) Let $a = \emptyset$. The square roots of (α, a) are the (γ, c) with $\gamma^2 = \alpha$ and c a union of orbits of γ . Given a square root γ of α in S_n , we define a permutation β on n as follows. Each element x of n can be written unambiguously in the form $\gamma^i(j)$ where $0 \leq i < 2r$ and j is the smallest element of the orbit of γ containing x . Using this we set

$$\beta(x) = \beta(\gamma^i(j)) = \begin{cases} \gamma^{i+1}(j) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \gamma^{i-1}(j) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that β is a self-inverse element of $C_{S_n}(\alpha)$ and is fixed point free on the set of orbits of α . Moreover, if (γ, c) is a square root of (α, a) in G , then (β, c) is in $X((\alpha, a))$, and $(\gamma, c) \mapsto (\beta, c)$ is a bijection between the relevant sets.

(ii) Let $a = \{1, r+1, \dots, (k-1)r+1\}$. If now γ is a square root of α in S_n , then each orbit d of γ is the union of two orbits of α , so in particular $d \cap a$ is a doubleton. It is not hard to see that an element (γ, c) of G is a square root of (α, a) if and only if $\gamma^2 = \alpha$ and for each orbit d of γ the following conditions hold: the intersection $d \cap a \cap \gamma^{-1}(a)$ is a singleton, and $d \cap c$ is either this singleton or its complement in d . When $d \cap a \cap \gamma^{-1}(a)$ is a singleton, call its one element y_d .

Given a square root γ of α in S_n , we define a permutation β on n as in (i). Given a square root (γ, c) of (α, a) in G , we define a subset b of n as follows. For each orbit d of γ , with y_d defined as above, and $z = \gamma(y_d)$,

$$b \cap u = \begin{cases} \{y_d, y_d + 2, y_d + 4, \dots, z, z + 2, z + 4, \dots\} & \text{if } c \cap u = \{y_d\}, \\ \{y_d + 1, y_d + 3, y_d + 5, \dots, z + 1, z + 3, z + 5, \dots\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now (β, b) is in $X((\alpha, a))$, and $(\gamma, c) \mapsto (\beta, b)$ is a bijection between the relevant sets.

This completes the proof. □

REFERENCES

[1] R.W. Baddeley, 'Models and involution models for wreath products and certain Weyl groups' (Cambridge). (preprint).
 [2] N.F.J. Inglis, R.W. Richardson and J. Saxl, 'An explicit model for the complex representations of S_n ', *Arch. Math.* 54 (1990), 258-259.
 [3] I.M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups* (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
 [4] S.J. Mayer, 'On the characters of the Weyl group of type C ', *J. Algebra* 33 (1975), 59-67.

Department of Mathematics, IAS
ANU
GPO Box 4
Canberra ACT 2601
Australia