A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ARBITRARY POSITIVE MATRICES AND STOCHASTIC MATRICES

RICHARD SINKHORN

1. Introduction. The author (2) has shown that corresponding to each positive square matrix A (i.e. every $a_{ij} > 0$) is a unique doubly stochastic matrix of the form $D_1 A D_2$, where the D_i are diagonal matrices with positive diagonals. This doubly stochastic matrix can be obtained as the limit of the iteration defined by alternately normalizing the rows and columns of A.

In this paper, it is shown that with a sacrifice of one diagonal D it is still possible to obtain a stochastic matrix. Of course, it is necessary to modify the iteration somewhat. More precisely, it is shown that corresponding to each positive square matrix A is a unique stochastic matrix of the form DAD where D is a diagonal matrix with a positive diagonal. It is shown further how this stochastic matrix can be obtained as a limit to an iteration on A.

Immediate corollaries to this result are a theorem of Marcus and Newman (1), which states that if A is a positive symmetric matrix, then there exists a diagonal matrix D with a positive main diagonal such that DAD is doubly stochastic, and its generalization, which states that if A is positive $N \times N$ and if p_1, \ldots, p_N are positive real numbers, then there exists a unique matrix of the form DAD with row sums p_1, \ldots, p_N where D is a diagonal matrix with a positive diagonal.

2. Stochastic matrices and positive matrices. The main result is:

THEOREM. Corresponding to each positive matrix A there exists a unique stochastic matrix of the form DAD where D is a diagonal matrix with a positive diagonal.

The existence part of the proof is absorbed into three lemmas which follow.

LEMMA 1. Let $V \subseteq E^N \times E^N$ consist of vector pairs (x, y) with positive components that satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_i a_{ij} x_j = 1, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

with $||x|| = \max |x_i| \le a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $||y|| = \max |y_i| \le a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ where a is the minimal element of the positive matrix $A = (a_{ij})$. Then the function

$$\phi(x, y) = \max_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{i} a_{ij} y_{i} - \min_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{i} a_{ij} y_{j}$$

achieves a minimum of zero on V.

Received November 30, 1964.

RICHARD SINKHORN

Proof. Certainly V is not empty since it contains (x^0, y^0) where

 $x_{i,0} = a^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad y_{i,0} = (\sum_{j} a_{ij})^{-1} a^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N.$

Note that

$$|y_{i,0}| \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}}/a_{ij} \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}}/a = a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any *i*, *j*.

Construct a sequence $(x^n, y^n) \in V$ as follows. Let (x^0, y^0) be as above and set

$$x_{i,n+1} = M_n^{-1} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{i,n}^{-1} x_{i,n}, \qquad y_{j,n+1} = M_n a^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{j,n}^{-1} y_{j,n},$$

where

$$\rho_{i,n} = \sum_{j} x_{i,n} a_{ij} y_{j,n}, \qquad \delta_{j,n} = \sum_{i} \rho_{i,n}^{-1} x_{i,n} a_{ji} y_{j,n},
M_n = \max_{j} \rho_{i,n}^{-1} x_{i,n}.$$

It is easy to see that each (x^n, y^n) lies in V, for certainly $\sum_j y_{i,n} a_{ij} x_{j,n} = 1$ for all *i*. Since for all *i*, *j*, *n*,

$$\delta_{j,n}^{-1} y_{j,n} = \left(\sum_{i} \rho_{i,n}^{-1} x_{i,n} a_{ji} \right)^{-1} \leqslant \left(\rho_{i,n}^{-1} x_{i,n} a_{ji} \right)^{-1} \leqslant a^{-1} (\rho_{i,n}^{-1} x_{i,n})^{-1},$$

in particular

$$\delta_{j,n}^{-1} y_{j,n} \leqslant a^{-1} M_n^{-1}$$

for all j and n. Thus

$$y_{j,n+1} \leqslant M_n a^{\frac{1}{2}} a^{-1} M_n^{-1} = a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

also

$$x_{i,n+1} \leqslant M_n^{-1} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_n = a^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and hence

$$||x^n|| \leqslant a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
 and $||y^n|| \leqslant a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for all n .

Then from $x_{i,n} \sum_{j} a_{ij} y_{j,n} = \rho_{i,n}$, it follows that

$$\rho_{i,n}^{-1} x_{i,n} = \left(\sum_{j} a_{ij} y_{j,n} \right)^{-1} \ge a^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j} a_{ij} \right)^{-1} \ge R a^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $R^{-1} = \max_{i} \sum_{j} a_{ij}$. Also

$$y_{j,n} = (\sum_{i} x_{i,n} a_{ji})^{-1} \ge a^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_{i} a_{ji})^{-1} \ge Ra^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and therefore, in particular,

$$d_n = \min_{i,j} \rho_{i,n}^{-1} x_{i,n} a_{ij} y_{j,n} \geqslant R a^{\frac{1}{2}} a R a^{\frac{1}{2}} = R^2 a^2 = \mu > 0 \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Let

$$\rho_{i_{1},n+1} = \min_{i} \rho_{i,n+1}, \qquad \rho_{i_{2},n+1} = \max_{i} \rho_{i,n+1}, \\
\delta_{j_{1},n} = \min_{j} \delta_{j,n}, \qquad \delta_{j_{2},n} = \max_{j} \delta_{j,n}.$$

304

Then

$$\begin{split} \phi(x^{n+1}, y^{n+1}) &= \rho_{i_2,n+1} - \rho_{i_1,n+1} \\ &\leqslant [x_{i_2,n} \rho_{i_2,n}^{-1} a_{i_2,j_2} \delta_{j_2,n}^{-1} y_{j_2,n} + \delta_{j_1,n}^{-1} (1 - x_{i_2,n} \rho_{i_2,n}^{-1} a_{i_2j_2} y_{j_2,n})] \\ &- [x_{i_1,n} \rho_{i_1,n}^{-1} a_{i_1,j_1} \delta_{j_1,n}^{-1} y_{j_1,n} + \delta_{j_2,n}^{-1} (1 - x_{i_1,n} \rho_{i_1,n}^{-1} a_{i_1,j_1} y_{j_1,n})] \\ &\leqslant [\delta_{j_2,n}^{-1} d_n + \delta_{j_1,n}^{-1} (1 - d_n)] - [\delta_{j_1,n}^{-1} d_n + \delta_{j_2,n}^{-1} (1 - d_n)] \\ &= (1 - 2d_n) (\delta_{j_1,n}^{-1} - \delta_{j_2,n}^{-1}) \leqslant (1 - 2d_n) (\max_i \rho_{i,n} - \min_i \rho_{i,n}) \\ &= (1 - 2d_n) \phi(x^n, y^n) \leqslant (1 - 2\mu) \phi(x^n, y^n). \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi(x^n, y^n)=0.$$

Since V is bounded, the sequence $\{(x^n, y^n)\}$ has a limit point (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) . It readily follows that $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in V$ and $\phi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = 0$.

LEMMA 2. For any positive matrix A, there exist diagonal matrices D_1 and D_2 with positive diagonals such that $D_1 A D_2$ and $D_2 A D_1$ are stochastic.

Proof. Pick $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in V$, which minimizes $\phi(x, y)$. Then $\sum_{j} \tilde{y}_{i} a_{ij} \tilde{x}_{j} = 1$ for all *i* while $\sum_{j} \tilde{x}_{i} a_{ij} \tilde{y}_{j} = k$, a constant, for all *i*. It readily follows that *k* and 1 are each maximal eigenvalues for $D_{1}AD_{2}$. Thus k = 1.

LEMMA 3. If A is positive and if $D_1 A D_2$ and $D_2 A D_1$ are both stochastic where D_1 and D_2 are diagonal with positive diagonals, then $D_2 = pD_1$ for some number p > 0.

Proof. Let $D_1 = dg(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ and $D_2 = dg(y_1, \ldots, y_N)$ and set $p_j = y_j/x_j$. If p_j is not constant, then

$$\max_{j} p_{j} = p_{i_{0}} = (\sum_{j} x_{i_{0}} a_{i_{0}j} x_{j})^{-1} = (\sum_{j} x_{i_{0}} a_{i_{0}j} x_{j} p_{j}) (\sum_{j} x_{i_{0}} a_{i_{0}j} x_{j})^{-1} < \max_{j} p_{j},$$

a contradiction.

Proof of the theorem. From Lemmas 2 and 3, there is a diagonal matrix D_1 with positive diagonal and a positive number p such that $pD_1 AD_1$ is stochastic. The existence part of the theorem follows by taking $D = p^{\frac{1}{2}}D_1$.

Suppose D and C are diagonal matrices with positive diagonals such that DAD and CAC are stochastic. Then $CAC = B = (b_{ij})$ and $DC^{-1}BC^{-1}D$ are both stochastic. If $DC^{-1} = dg(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$,

$$\max_{j} z_{j} = z_{i_{0}} = (\sum_{j} b_{i_{0}j} z_{j})^{-1} \leqslant (\sum_{j} b_{i_{0}j})^{-1} (\min_{j} z_{j})^{-1} = (\min_{j} z_{j})^{-1},$$

and similarly $\min_j z_j \ge (\max_j z_j)^{-1}$, with equality possible in each case only if z_j is constant for all j. It follows that $z_j = 1, j = 1, \ldots, N$, and therefore that D = C.

COROLLARY 1 (Marcus and Newman 1). If A is symmetric and has positive entries, there exists a diagonal matrix D with positive main diagonal entries such that DAD is doubly stochastic.

Proof. This follows at once since *DAD* is symmetric when A is symmetric.

COROLLARY 2. Corresponding to each positive $N \times N$ matrix A and each set of positive real numbers p_1, \ldots, p_N there is a unique matrix of the form DAD with row sums p_1, \ldots, p_N where D is a diagonal matrix with a positive main diagonal.

Proof. Let $D_0 = dg(p_1, \ldots, p_N)$ and set $B = D_0^{-1}A$. There is a diagonal matrix D with a positive main diagonal such that S = DBD is stochastic. Then $D_0S = DAD$ has the appropriate row sums. We have used the fact that $D_0 D = DD_0$.

In the proof of Lemma 1 a method is suggested for determining the matrix DAD of the theorem from A by an iterative scheme.

Define diagonal matric sequences $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ such that

$$X_0 = I, \qquad Y_0 = dg[(\sum_j a_{1j})^{-1}, \dots, (\sum_j a_{Nj})^{-1}],$$
$$X_{n+1} = D_{1,n} X_n, \quad Y_{n+1} = D_{2,n} Y_n,$$

where $D_{1,n}$ and $D_{2,n}$ are diagonal matrices such that

$$D_{1,n}^{-1} u = X_n A Y_n u$$
 and $D_{2,n}^{-1} u = Y_n A X_{n+1} u;$

here *u* denotes the *N*-dimensional vector all of whose components equal one. Then $X_n A Y_n \rightarrow DAD$.

References

- 1. M. Marcus and M. Newman, The permanent of a symmetric matrix, Amer. Math. Soc. Not., 8 (1961), 595.
- R. Sinkhorn, A relationship between arbitrary positive matrices and doubly stochastic matrices, Ann. Math. Statist., 35 (1964), 876–879.

University of Houston