

## Subdirectly irreducible rings — some pathology

H. G. Moore

Every ring is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible rings. Unfortunately, however, as is shown, the property of being subdirectly irreducible is not preserved under homomorphisms. An example is given of a finite non-commutative subdirectly irreducible ring  $R$  with heart (= the intersection of all non-zero ideals)  $H$ , such that  $R/H$  is isomorphic with  $GF(2) + GF(2)$ . ( $GF(2)$  is the two element Galois Field.) Some additional properties of the ring  $R$  are listed and contrasts are made with results for commutative subdirectly irreducible rings; for example, the zero divisors of  $R$  do *not* form an ideal.

Because every ring is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of subdirectly irreducible rings, such rings are in a sense fundamental building blocks of ring theory. (Indeed subdirectly irreducible abstract algebras are fundamental in the theory of (universal) algebras, see [6].) Unfortunately, not as much is known about subdirectly irreducible rings as one would like. Some results have been given in the commutative case by McCoy [4], Divinsky [2], and others (see also [3]). Additional information concerning the *heart*, the intersection of all the non-zero ideals, of subdirectly irreducible rings in general is found in [1]. But as fundamental building blocks, such rings leave a great deal to be desired. It is not true, for example, that the homomorphic image of a subdirectly irreducible ring need also be subdirectly irreducible. An example of Divinsky [2] can be seen to demonstrate this for the commutative case.

We present here a finite non-commutative subdirectly irreducible ring

---

Received 23 June 1969.

which serves as a simple counter-example for this and other hopeful ring theoretic conjectures.

Let  $R$  be the linear associate algebra over  $\text{GF}(2)$  with basis  $1$ ,  $\xi$ , and  $\eta$ , such that  $\xi^2 = \xi$ ,  $\eta^2 = 0$ ,  $\xi\eta = 0$ , and  $\eta\xi = \eta$ .

It is fairly easy to see that  $R$  is subdirectly irreducible with heart  $H = \{0, \eta\}$ . The homomorphic image  $R/H$  of  $R$  is not, however, subdirectly irreducible, rather it is isomorphic with  $\text{GF}(2) \oplus \text{GF}(2)$  via the mapping:

$$\begin{aligned} H &\rightarrow (0,0), \\ 1 + H &\rightarrow (1,1), \\ \xi + H &\rightarrow (1,0), \\ 1 + \xi + H &\rightarrow (0,1). \end{aligned}$$

While in a subdirectly irreducible commutative ring the zero divisors form an ideal, such is not the case in general, as this example shows.

McCoy [4] has classified subdirectly irreducible commutative rings as three types

- (i) fields,
- (ii) rings in which every element is a zero divisor, and
- (iii) rings with both non-divisors of zero and nilpotent elements.

He proves that a commutative ring of type (iii) is subdirectly irreducible if, and only if, the set  $H^*$  of zero divisors is the annihilator of the heart  $H$  and the annihilator  $H^{**}$  of  $H^*$  is  $H$ . In the ring  $R$  of our example, however,  $H^*H = HH^* = H$ .

Our ring  $R$  is also much like the  $B$ -rings of [5] in that the elements of  $R$  satisfy the property "for each  $x$  in  $R$  there exists an integer  $n(x) > 1$  such that  $x^{n(x)} = x$  or  $x^{n(x)} = 0$ ." (In a  $B$ -ring an element is either nilpotent or else idempotent.) In this ring, for  $x \notin H$ ,  $x^3 = x$ , while the heart  $H$  coincides with the Jacobson radical,  $J$ , of  $R$ , which is also the set of all the nilpotent elements of  $R$ .

Furthermore,  $R/J$  is a boolean ring, and the commutator ideal  $C(R)$  of  $R$  is nil. (This last remark serves to demonstrate that  $R$  isn't all bad.)

## References

- [1] Nathan Divinsky, *Rings and radicals* (University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1965).
- [2] Nathan Divinsky, "Commutative subdirectly irreducible rings", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1957), 642-648.
- [3] J. Lambek, *Lectures on rings and modules* (Blaisdell, Waltham, Massachusetts, 1966).
- [4] Neal H. McCoy, "Subdirectly irreducible commutative rings", *Duke Math. J.* 12 (1945), 381-387.
- [5] H.G. Moore and Adil Yaqub, "A generalization of boolean rings", to appear.
- [6] Richard S. Pierce, *Introduction to the theory of abstract algebras* (Holt, Rinehart & Winston, New York, 1968).

Brigham Young University,  
Provo, Utah.