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1. If $(X, S, \mu)$ is an arbitrary complemented measure space and $X$ is $\sigma$-finite then $\left(L^{1}\right) *=L^{\infty}$ or, more precisely, $\left(L^{1}\right) *$ is isometric and isomorphic to $L^{\infty}$ by the correspondence

$$
G(f)=\int f g d \mu, G \in\left(L^{1}\right) *, g \in L^{\infty} .
$$

It is well known that there exist non $\sigma$-finite spaces with $\left(L^{1}\right) * \neq L^{\infty}$.

In the Bourbaki theory of measure and integration it is always true that $\left(L^{1}\right) *=L^{\infty}[2, p .55]$. However, measurability in the Bourbaki sense is a local property: a function is measurable if and only if its restriction to each compact set is measurable. For the non-topological general case a function is called locally measurable in [3] if its restriction to each measurable set of finite positive measure is measurable and $L_{l}^{\infty}$ denotes the analogue of $L^{\infty}$ for locally measurable functions with norm $\eta_{\ell}^{\infty}(f)=\sup \left\{\eta^{\infty}\left(f_{X_{e}}\right) ; e \in S, \mu(e)<\infty\right\}$. Always $\left(L^{1}\right) * L_{\ell}^{\infty}$ but, as was shown in [3], strict inequality may hold. In this note we extend [3] by proving

THEOREM 1. Every $L^{1}(X, S, \mu)$ is isometric and isomorphic to a space $\bar{L}^{1}=L^{1}(\bar{X}, \bar{S}, \bar{\mu})$ with $\left(\bar{L}^{-1}\right) *=\bar{L}_{l}^{\infty}(\bar{X}, \bar{S}, \bar{\mu})$.
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2. When X is $\sigma$-finite, $\mathrm{X}=\bigcup_{\mathrm{i}=1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$, with $0<\mu\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)<\infty$, $\mathrm{i}=1$
and $X_{i} \cap X_{j}=\emptyset$, (or $\left.\mu\left(X_{i} \cap X_{j}\right)=0\right) i \neq j$, and the general representation theorem is obtained as an easy extension of the finite theorem for each $X_{i}$. In [3], in studying the non $\sigma$-finite case, two decompositions of $X$ were obtained using Zorn's lemma: the first into disjoint sets ((D) decomposition) and the second into null-disjoint sets (ND). For both

$$
x=x_{1} \cup X_{2} ; x_{1} \cap X_{2}=\emptyset ; X_{2}=\bigcup_{a \in Q} e_{a}, 0<\mu\left(e_{a}\right)<\infty ;
$$

$$
e \in S, \quad e \subset X_{1} \text { implies that } \mu(e)=0 \text { or } \infty
$$

In addition for
(D) $\quad e_{a} \cap e_{a^{\prime}}=\emptyset, \quad a \neq a^{\prime}$;
(ND) $\mu\left(e_{a} \cap e_{a^{\prime}}\right)=0, \quad a \neq a^{\prime}$.

An additional property satisfied in the $\sigma$-finite case is
(*). For each $e \in S$ with $\mu(e)<\infty$,

$$
\mu(e)=\sum_{a \in a} \mu\left(e \cap e_{a}\right)=\sup \Sigma \mu\left(e \cap e_{a}\right)
$$

the supremum being taken over all finite sums of this form, as in [3] . For every (ND) decomposition (*) holds, but there exist measure spaces in which there is no (D) decomposition with (*) satisfied. In [3] it was noted that the existence of a ( $D *$ ) (i.e., (D) plus (*)) decomposition implies that $\left(L^{1}\right) *=L_{\ell}^{\infty}$. In the Bourbaki theory a ( $D *$ ) decomposition always exists [2, §1, 4].

To prove Theorem 1, Iet $x=X_{1} \cup X_{2}, X_{2}=\bigcup_{a \in Q} e{ }_{a}$ be an arbitrary (ND) decomposition of X and let

$$
\bar{x}=\bigcup_{a \in a}\left(\{a\} \times e_{a}\right) .
$$

Let $h$ denote the relation with domain $X-X_{1}$ and range $\bar{X}$ determined by the ordered pairs ( $x, y$ ) where, for each $x \in X-X_{1}$, $y$ runs through the points $(a, x)$ of $\bar{X}$ with $x \in e_{a}$, $a \in Q$. If the (ND)-decomposition is actually a (D)-decomposition, $h$ will be a function, but in general this will not be the case. For a set $e \subset X-X_{1}, h(e)$ will denote the image set in $\bar{X}$.

We observe that $h\left(U e_{\alpha}\right)=U h\left(e_{\alpha}\right), h\left(\cap e_{\alpha}\right)=\cap h\left(e_{\alpha}\right)$ for an arbitrary collection $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$. For each $a, x \in e_{a}$, set $h_{a}(x)=(a, x)$. The mappings $h_{a}: e_{a} \Rightarrow\{a\} \times e_{a}$ are bijective. If $e \subset e_{a}$, set $h_{a}(e)=\{a\} \times e$.

To illustrate the preceding definitions consider the example: $X=\{(x, y): 0 \leq x<1,0 \leq y<1\}$, $S$ the smallest $\sigma$-algebra containing all the Lebesgue measurable subsets of every $I_{x}=\{(x, y): 0 \leq y<1\}$ and every $I_{y}=\{(x, y): 0 \leq x<1\}$. (Compare [3], p. 222.) Then

$$
X=\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq x<1} I_{x}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq y<1} I_{y}\right)
$$

is an (ND)-decomposition of $X$, and $\bar{X}$ can be represented as

$$
\bar{X}=\{(u, v): 0 \leq u<2,0 \leq v<1\},
$$

where $I_{x}$ is identified with $I_{u}$ if $u=x<1$ and $I_{y}$ is identified with $I_{u}$ if $y=u-1,1 \leq u<2$. The image of ( $x, y$ ) in $X$ under the relation $h$ consists of two points: ( $x, y$ ) and $(y+1, x)$ in $\bar{X}$; the image of $I_{x} \subset X$ consists of two intervals: $I_{u}, u=x$ and $\{(u, v): 1 \leq u<2, v=x\}$.

To return to the general case, we shall determine an outer measure on $\bar{X}$ by means of a covering class $C$ ([5], p. 91) consisting of $\bar{X}$ and, for each $a \in Q$, all of the
sets $h(e), h_{a}(e)$ and $h(e)-h_{a}(e)$ for each measurable subset $e$ of $e_{a}$. We set $v^{1}(\bar{X})=\infty$ and

$$
v^{1}(h(e))=v^{1}\left(h_{a}(e)\right)=\mu(e), \quad v^{1}\left(h(e)-h_{a}(e)\right)=0
$$

for each such $e$ in $C$, and define for each $A \subset \bar{X}$

$$
v *(A)=\inf \Sigma v^{1}\left(\bar{e}_{i}\right),
$$

where the infimum is taken in the extended reals for all collections $\left\{\bar{e}_{i}\right\}$ of sets in $C$ covering $A$.

We note that if $A$ intersects more than a countable collection of sets $h(e, a), a \in Q$, then every covering must contain $\bar{X}$ so that $v^{1}(A)=\infty$. We note also that the definition makes every set of the form $h(e)-h_{a}(e) v *$-null. For the example given above this implies that every subset of a horizontal line in $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ is $v *$-nuli.

Let $\bar{S}$ denote the $v *$-measurable subsets of $\bar{X}, \bar{\mu}$ the restriction of $v *$ to $\bar{S}$. We shall show that Theorem 1 is true for the measure space $(\bar{X}, \bar{S}, \bar{\mu})$. The notation $\bar{x}, \bar{L}^{1}, \bar{\eta}^{1}, \bar{e}$, etc. will refer to the measure space ( $\bar{X}, \bar{S}, \bar{\mu}$ ).

LEMMA. If $e \in S, e \subset e_{a}, a \in Q$, then $h(e)$ and $h_{a}(e) \in \bar{S}$ with $\bar{\mu}(h(e))=\bar{\mu}\left(h_{a}(e)\right)=\mu(e)$. If $\bar{e} \in \bar{S}$ and $\bar{e} \subset\{a\} \times e_{a}$, then there exists $e \subset e_{a}$ with $\bar{e}=h_{a}(e)$ and sets $e_{1}, e_{2} \in S$ with $e_{1} \subset e \subset e_{2}, \mu\left(e_{2}-e_{1}\right)=0$. In particular if the measure space $(\bar{X}, \bar{S}, \bar{\mu})$ is complete $e \in S$.

Proof. To show that $h(e) \in \bar{S}$ we must show that for every $A \subset \bar{X}$ with $v *(A)<\infty$,

$$
v *(\mathrm{~A}) \geq v *(\mathrm{~A} \cap h(\mathrm{e}))+v *(\mathrm{~A} \cap C h(\mathrm{e})) .
$$

Assume that $e \subset e_{a}$ and that each covering set $\bar{e}_{i}$ is of the form $h\left(e_{i}\right)$. Then for each $i$,
$e_{i}=\left(e_{i} \cap e\right) U\left(e_{i} \cap C e\right), \quad h\left(e_{i}\right)=h\left(e_{i} \cap e\right) \cup h\left(e_{i} \cap C e\right)$,
$\nu^{1}\left(h\left(e_{i}\right)\right)=\nu^{1}\left(h\left(e_{i} \cap e\right)\right)+\nu^{1}\left(h\left(e_{i} \cap C e\right)\right)=\mu\left(e_{i} \cap e\right)+\mu\left(e_{i} \cap C e\right)$,
since $\nu^{1}$ is additive if $e_{i} \subset e_{a}$ and $\mu\left(e \cap e_{i}\right)=0$ if $e_{i} \subset e_{b}$, $b \neq a$. Thus each covering of $A$ can be replaced, without changing $\Sigma v^{1}\left(e_{i}\right)$, by a covering that splits into coverings of $A \cap h(e)$ and $A \cap C h(e)$. A standard argument then shows that $h(e) \in \bar{S}$. We have assumed above that the covering sets were all of the form $h\left(e_{i}\right)$. In the general case, sets of the form $h_{a}\left(e_{i}\right)$ in the covering could be replaced by the sets $h\left(e_{i}\right)$ without changing the sum and sets of the form $h\left(e_{i}\right)-h_{a}\left(e_{i}\right)$ could be omitted by replacing $A$ by $A^{\prime} \subset A, \quad \nu *\left(A-A^{\prime}\right)=0$.

To prove that $\bar{\mu}(\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{e}))=\mu(\mathrm{e})$ we first observe that, since $h(e)$ covers itself,

$$
\nu *(\mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{e})) \leq v^{1}(\mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{e}))=\mu(\mathrm{e}) .
$$

Assume that $e \subset e_{a}$. Again there is no loss of generality in assuming that coverings of $h(e)$ are of the form $\left\{h\left(e_{i}\right)\right\}$.
Since $h\left(e \cap e_{i}\right)=h(e) \cap h\left(e_{i}\right)$, the sets $e_{i}$ can be replaced by sets in $e_{a}$. Given $\varepsilon>0$ there is a covering $\left\{h\left(e_{i}\right)\right\}$ with

$$
\nu *(h(e)) \geq \Sigma_{1}^{\infty} \nu^{1}\left(h\left(e_{i}\right)\right)-\varepsilon .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{1}^{\infty} v^{1}\left(h\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \geq \Sigma_{1}^{\infty} v^{1}\left(h\left(e \cap e_{i}\right)\right)= & \Sigma_{1}^{\infty} \mu\left(e \cap e_{i}\right) \\
& \geq \mu\left(U_{1}^{\infty}\left(e \cap e_{i}\right)\right) \geq \mu(e)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since each set $h_{a}(e)$ differs from $h(e)$ by a null set each $h_{a}(e) \in \bar{S}$ with $\bar{\mu}^{a}\left(h_{a}(e)\right)=\mu(e)$.

The proof of the last part of the lemma is not difficult if we observe that covering sets for $e$ can be assumed to be of the form $h_{a}\left(e_{i}\right)$ with $e_{i} \subset e_{a}, e_{i} \in S$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We identify $f \in \underline{L}^{1}$ and $\bar{f} \epsilon \underline{L}^{-1}$ and write $\mathrm{f} \sim \overline{\mathrm{f}}$ if
(i) $\{x \in X: f(x) \neq 0\}=\bigcup_{i} e_{i}, e_{i} \in S, e_{i} \subset e_{a_{i}}, a_{i} \in Q$,

$$
a_{i} \neq a_{j}, \quad e_{i} \cap e_{j}=\emptyset, \quad i \neq j ;
$$

(ii) $\bar{f}(\bar{x})=f(x), \quad \bar{x}=\left(a_{i}, x\right), \quad x \in e_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots$; $=0$ elsewhere in $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$.

Then
(iii) $\{\bar{x}: \bar{f}(\bar{x})=0\}=\bigcup_{i} h_{a_{i}}\left(e_{i}\right), \quad h_{a_{i}}\left(e_{i}\right) \cap h_{a_{j}}\left(e_{j}\right)=\emptyset, i \neq j$.

We identify $\hat{f} \in L^{1}$ and $\hat{\bar{f}} \in \bar{L}^{1}$ and write $\hat{f} \sim \widehat{f}$ if these equivalence classes contain representatives $f$ and $\bar{f}$ with $f \sim \bar{f}$. We shall show that this correspondence is bijective and preserves the vector operations and norm. That the scalar multiplication and norm are preserved is immediate from the definition. If $\hat{f}_{i} \sim \hat{f}_{i}, f_{i} \in \hat{f}_{i}, \bar{f}_{i} \in \hat{f}_{i}, i=1,2$ and $e_{a} \cap\left(\left\{x: f_{1}(x) \neq 0\right\} \cup\left\{x: f_{2}(x) \neq 0\right\}\right)$, then clearly

$$
\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right) x_{e_{a}} \sim\left(\bar{f}_{1}+\bar{f}_{2}\right) x_{h\left(e_{a}\right)}
$$

Omitting at most a $\mu$-null set $e^{\prime}, \quad\left\{x \in X-e^{\prime}: f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(x) \neq 0\right\}$ can be expressed in the form (i) and this implies that
$\widehat{f_{1}+f_{2}} \sim \widetilde{f_{1}+\bar{f}_{2}}$.

To show that the correspondence is bijective we first suppose that $\hat{f} \in L^{1}, f^{\prime} \in \hat{f}$. Then if $e\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\left\{x \in X: f^{\prime}(x) \neq 0\right\}$, $e\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{i}$, with $e_{i} \cap e_{j}=\emptyset, \quad i \neq j, \quad \mu\left(e_{i}\right)<\infty, \quad i=1,2, \ldots$, from integration theory. Since each set $e_{i}$ can intersect at most a countable collection of the sets $e_{a}, a \in G$, $e\left(f^{\prime}\right)=e_{0} \cup\left(U_{1}^{\infty} e_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, with $\mu\left(e_{0}\right)=0$ and where each $e_{i}^{\prime}$ is contained in some $e_{a}, a \in Q$. Forming unions of sets contained in the same sets $e_{a}$ we can assume that the sets $e_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ satisfy (i) above. Letting $f$ denote the restriction of $f^{\prime}$ to $e\left(f^{\prime}\right)-e_{0}, f \in \hat{f}$ and determines $\bar{f}$ by (ii) with $f \sim \bar{f}$. Thus to each $\hat{\mathrm{f}} \in L^{1}$ corresponds $\hat{f} \in \bar{L}^{1}$ with $\hat{f} \sim \hat{\bar{f}}$. From the preceding paragraph it then follows that the correspondence is one-one, norm preserving but perhaps into $\bar{L}^{1}$.

Let $\hat{f} \in \bar{L}^{1}, \bar{f} \in \hat{\bar{f}}$. Then if $\bar{e}(\bar{f})=\{\bar{x} \in \bar{X}: \bar{f}(\bar{x})=0\}$, $\bar{e}(\bar{f})=U_{1}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{i}$, with $\bar{e}_{i} \cap \bar{e}_{j}=\emptyset, i \neq j, \quad \bar{e}_{i} \in \bar{S}, \bar{\mu}_{\mu}\left(\bar{e}_{i}\right)<\infty, i=1,2, \ldots$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that each set $e_{i}$ is contained in $\operatorname{set} h\left(e_{a_{i}}\right), a_{i} \in Q$ and that $a_{i} \neq a_{j}$ if $i \neq j$. If $\bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}=\bar{e}_{i} \cap\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\} \times e_{a_{i}}\right), \bar{\mu}\left(\bar{e}_{i}-\bar{e}_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)=0$. Thus we can a ssume that each $e_{i}$ is contained in $\left\{a_{i}\right\} \times e_{a_{i}}$. By the last part of the lemma, again omitting at most a null set, we can suppose that each $\bar{e}_{i}$ is $h_{a}\left(e_{i}\right)$ with $e_{i} \in S$. Replacing the sets $e_{i}$ by disjoint sets $e_{1}{ }^{*}=e_{1}, \quad e_{i}^{*}=e_{i}-\underset{j<i}{U} e_{j}^{*}, h_{a_{i}}\left(e_{i}\right)$ by $h_{a_{i}}\left(e_{i}^{*}\right), \quad \Sigma_{1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}\left(h_{a_{i}}\left(e_{i}\right)-h_{a_{i}}\left(e_{i}^{*}\right)\right)=0$ so that the restriction of $\vec{f}$ to $U_{1}^{\infty} h_{a}\left(e_{i}^{*}\right)$ is in $\hat{f}$ and we can suppose that (iii) holds for $\bar{f}$ with the sets $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ disjoint. There then exists $f$, defined on $X$ and vanishing outside $\bigcup_{i=1} e_{i}$ with (i) and (ii)
 $\hat{f} \in L^{1}$ with $\hat{f} \sim \hat{\bar{f}}$.

Finally the sets $\{a\} \times e_{a}, a \in Q$, form a ( $D *$ )-decompositior for $\bar{X}$ so that $\left(L^{1}\right) *=L_{\ell}^{\infty}\left(\bar{X}, \overline{S_{S}}, \bar{\mu}\right)$.
3. The space $L^{1}(X, S, \mu)$ with the natural ordering, modulo null functions is a vector lattice that is an AL-space as defined by Kakutani [6]. In addition his Axiom IX is satisfied. This suggests the following extension of Theorem 1, the details of the proof being similar to Kakutani's proof of his Theorem 7.

THEOREM 2. Every $L^{1}(X, S, \mu)$ is isometric and Lattice-isomorphic to a space $L^{1}(\bar{X}, \bar{S}, \bar{\mu})$ with $\bar{X}$ a locally compact, totally disconnected topological space such that $\left(\bar{L}^{-1}\right) *=L_{l}^{\infty}(\overline{\mathrm{X}}, \overline{\mathrm{S}}, \bar{\mu})$.

We outline the part of his argument needed here. If ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{S}, \mu$ ) is a measure space with $\mu(\mathrm{X})<\infty$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{S}}$ is the space of equivalence classes of measurable sets modulo $\mu$-null sets, $\hat{s}$ is a Boolean algebra with fundamental operations $U, \cap$ and complementation (-) (modulo $\mu$-null sets). The Stone representation theorem [7, p. 22] then gives the existence of a compact topological space $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ with the points of $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ corresponding to the ultrafilters (maximal ideals) on $\widehat{S}$ and with $\hat{S}$ corresponding to the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{I}$ of all sets of $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ that are both open and closed.

For each $e \in \hat{S}$ let $\bar{e}$ denote the image of $e$ in $\mathcal{F}$ and define

$$
\nu(\overline{\mathrm{e}})=\mu(\mathrm{e}) .
$$

Then $v$ is a countably additive measure on $\mathcal{F}$ that can be extended to a countably additive measure $\bar{v}$ on $\overline{\bar{S}}$, the smallest $\sigma$-algebra containing $\mathcal{F}$ ([4], p.54). Every $A \in \bar{S}$ can be written A. metric and Lattice isomorphic to $L^{1}(X, S, \mu)$.

In the general case fix an (ND) decomposition with $X_{2}=\bigcup_{a \in Q} e_{a}$ and let ( $\left.e_{a}, S_{a}, \mu_{a}\right)$ denote the measure space induced on $e_{a}$ by ( $X, S, \mu$ ). The preceding two paragraphs then give the existence of a topological measure space ( $\bar{e}_{a}, \bar{S}_{a}, \bar{\mu}_{a}$ ) with $\bar{e}_{a}$ compact and with $L{ }^{1}\left(\bar{e}_{a}\right)$ isometric and lattice isomorphic to $L^{1}\left(e_{a}\right)$ for each $a \in Q$. Set

$$
\bar{X}=\bigcup_{a \in Q}\left(\{a\} \times \bar{e}_{a}\right)
$$

and give $\bar{X}$ the topological set sum of the topologies on the sets $\bar{e}_{a}[1]$. For this topology each $\bar{e}_{a}$ is compact and both open and closed so that $\bar{X}$ is locally compact. A measure space structure on $\bar{X}$ can then be introduced as in Theorem 1 . It is easy to verify that the lattice operations are preserved.
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