

The legislation recommends that prior to making a decision to detain on Section 136, the constable must, if practicable, consult mental health services for information to guide decision making.

The 136 suite is the preferred POS except for patients requiring urgent medical treatment in which case the Emergency Department (ED) is preferred. If the 136 suite is unavailable, then alternatives like the ED may be used.

This audit examines the use of the Birmingham City Hospital Emergency Department as a POS following Section 136 detention, the adherence to the aforementioned legislation and the outcomes of the assessments.

**Methods.** The audit was approved by the clinical governance team and a list of all Birmingham City Hospital patients detained under Section 136 for a three-month period (January–March 2022) was retrospectively obtained. Clinical records were examined, and the relevant data was extracted from the clinical notes.

Information including the reason for use of the ED as a POS, police contact with mental health services prior to detaining, time taken prior to assessment, reasons for mental health act assessment (MHAA) delays, and outcomes were collected and collated using Microsoft Excel.

**Results.** The ED at City Hospital was used a place of safety for 80 patients in this period. In 52.5% of cases the ED was used as a place of safety due to lack of space at the POS. Contact with mental health services prior to detention was documented in only 29% of cases. The average time for a MHAA to take place in the period under review was 11.5 hours. Only 20% of these cases ended up detained under the mental health act.

**Conclusion.** The results show poor adherence in the use of Section 136 to the recommendations of the legislation. Improvements are needed on time taken for assessments and use of ED as a place of safety due to unavailability of beds at the s136 suite. The police should be re-educated on the importance of contacting mental health services prior to detaining patients on Section 136. The audit result was presented at a clinical governance meeting and repeat audits are planned across all the emergency departments in Birmingham.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.

### Clinical Audit of Standard for Electronic Recording of Dementia Diagnostic Assessments in Stockton Mental Health Services for Older People

Dr Karyn Ohioma<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr Mani Krishnan<sup>2</sup>, Ms Rachael Rose<sup>1</sup>, Dr Oluwabukola Rosiji<sup>1</sup> and Dr Olusegun Temitope Sodiya<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tees, Esk, Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust, Darlington, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>Tees, Esk, Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust, Darlington, United Kingdom and <sup>3</sup>Cumbria, Northumberland, Tyne and Wear NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle, United Kingdom

\*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2024.612

**Aims.** This clinical audit aimed to assess if the recording of patients seen for their diagnostic appointments in memory clinic measures up to the minimum standards required in the delivery of dementia services. This standard mandated primarily that a minimum body of key information must be promptly recorded by clinicians, in patient electronic records within 24 hours, as stipulated by Trust and NICE guidelines.

**Methods.** The first cycle was conducted from 16 October 2022 to 10 February 2023. In this cycle random sampling was used to select 25 patients on the caseloads of the mental health services for older people. Before the start of the second phase all diagnosing clinicians within the team were informed about the project and the expected improvements against which compliance would be audited. The second phase was conducted between 10 February 2023 to 31 March 2023 and another 25 patients on the caseloads were obtained via random sampling for the second cycle. Inclusion criteria for both phases were patients who had received a diagnostic assessment in these periods.

**Results.** In the first set of records, the minimum body of information was recorded in 90–100% of cases according to the team's recommended standards namely diagnostic information, prognostic information, treatment plans, post-diagnostic contact plans and documentations being made within 24hrs of consultation. In the Set 2 the minimum body of information was recorded in 95–100% records studied. That is, diagnosis, treatment, medication treatment plans (prescription plans), and post-diagnostic contact plans were covered in the diagnostic sessions. In particular, case note documentations were made within 24 hours in all but one of the records applicable.

**Conclusion.** Given that a diagnosis of dementia can be life-changing, not discussing prognostic information would not prepare patients and carers adequately with information on how to live well with dementia following their diagnosis. This could potentially lead to poor adjustment to the condition and anxiety for some. At a trust-wide level, this means there is still room for improvement for the trust as regards dementia care ideals recommended by NICE.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.

### Clinical Audit of Psychiatrist Reviews of Patients on Depot Antipsychotic Medication Under a General Adult Community Mental Health Service in North Norfolk

Dr Oluseyi Olajide\*

Norfolk and Suffolk Foundation Trust, Norwich, United Kingdom

\*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2024.613

**Aims.** In outpatient settings, depot administrations are done to a large extent by Community mental health nurses and other trained clinical personnel who are not psychiatrists. As a result of this, there is a possibility that patients who are having depot medications are not reviewed by a psychiatrist for a long duration of time which can be more than a year. The aim of this audit is to find out if patients currently taking depot medication under a General Adult Community Mental Health Service in North Norfolk are being reviewed by a psychiatrist according to the standard guidelines. The Maudsley prescribing guidelines states that all patients receiving long-term treatment with antipsychotics medication should be seen by their responsible psychiatrist at least once a year (ideally more frequently) to review their treatment and progress.

**Methods.** List of patients currently on depot medication were taken from the spreadsheet on the Unit's Shared drive. All 47 patients currently receiving depot medication on this list were reviewed. The review period was from 1st January 2023 to