restricting the agents to specific procedures (that are known to be norm compliant). Such a mechanism of active

norm enforcement ensures a good balance between autonomy and conformity in an institution.

Self-Organizing Neural Networks in the Characterization of Interstitial Lung Diseases in Chest Radiographs

Candidate: Paulo Eduardo Ambrósio

Institution: School of Medicine of Ribeiräo Preto, University of Säo Paulo, Brazil Supervisors: Clóvis Simäo Trad and Paulo Mazzoncini de Azevedo Marques Year awarded: 2007 URL: http://www.teses.usp.br

doi:10.1017/S0269888909990269

Abstract

The technological development provides an improvement in the quality of life due to easiness, speed and flexibility in the access to the information. In the biomedical area, the technology is admitted as an important allied, allowing the fast development of methods and techniques that assist the professional in the health care. Recent advances in the computerized analysis of medical images contribute for the precocious diagnosis of a series of diseases. In this work, a methodology for the development of a computational system for characterization of patterns in pulmonary images, based on techniques of artificial neural networks is presented. In the study, has searched for the verification the use of self-organizing neural networks as a feature extraction and dimensionality reduction tool of chest radiographs, willing to characterize

interstitial lung disease. For the dimensionality reduction and feature extraction, an algorithm based on Self-Organizing Maps was implemented, with some variations, getting a reduction of about three million pixels that it composes an image, for 240 elements. For the pattern classification, a Multilayer Perceptron was used, validated with the leave-one-out methodology.

With a database containing 79 samples of linear pattern, 37 samples of nodular pattern, 30 samples of mixed pattern, and 72 samples of normal pattern, the classifier provided an average result of 89.5% of right classification, with 100% of right classification for linear pattern, 67.5% for nodular pattern, 63.3% for mixed pattern, and 100% for normal pattern. The results prove the validity of the methodology.

Specifying and Analyzing Institutions in Multi-Agent Systems using Answer Set Programming Candidate: Owen Cliffe

Institution: Department of Computer Science, University of Bath, England, UK Supervisors: Julian Padget and Marina De Vos Year awarded: 2007 URL: http://www.cs.bath.ac.uk/~occ/

doi:10.1017/S0269888909990270

Abstract

It is recognized that normative systems, and in particular electronic institutions and contracts are a potentially powerful means for making agent interactions in multiagent systems effective and efficient. However, correctly specifying the behaviour of such systems is a difficult problem. Designers are faced with two concurrent, complex tasks: first, they must specify the relationships (over time) between agents' actions and their effects, and secondly they must also consider how agents' actions are to be regulated through the definition of agents permissions and obligations. Such systems are typically complex, and given this complexity it may be difficult for a designer to determine whether their original objectives have been captured by the specification of the system. In this dissertation, we seek to address some of the problems associated with institutional specification. In order to do this, we present a model for specifying institutions based on the notion of socially constructed reality that accounts not only for how the action and events, which constitute the institution, are described, but also how they are regulated.

Institutions may be used in a number of ways, and may account for concepts at varying levels of abstraction. Recognizing this, we also investigate how several institutions, each accounting for a particular aspect of a society may be composed and how the relationships between these institutions may be expressed. Given this model, we then demonstrate how, using the answer set programming paradigm institutional specifications based on our model may be checked for the absence or presence of certain (un)desirable properties.

Diagnosing Coordination Faults in Multi-Agent Systems

Candidate: Meir Kalech Institution: Computer Science, Bar-Ilan University, Israel Supervisor: Gal A. Kaminka Year awarded: 2007 URL: http://www.cs.biu.ac.il/~kalechm/thesis.pdf doi:10.1017/S0269888909990282

Abstract

With increasing deployment of multiple agents in complex, dynamic settings, there is an increasing need to respond to failures that occur in the agents' coordination. In particular, there is need to detect and diagnose coordination failures—failures to maintain relationships between agents. We refer to this type of diagnosis as social diagnosis. Previous approaches to diagnosis in multi-agent settings have either ignored failures in coordination, or utilized heuristic approaches, which do not scale up as the number of agents (and their interactions) increases.

This dissertation offers a comprehensive and principled approach to social diagnosis. We use a model-based diagnosis (MBD) approach. Here, a model of a diagnosed system is used to simulate the behavior of the system given the operational context (typically, the system's inputs), and to pinpoint possible failing components within the system. MBD has been difficult to apply to diagnosing coordination failures, because of the challenges in constructing a model of coordination, and the lack of appropriate (scalable) diagnosis algorithms.

In the first part of this dissertation, we formally show how to construct a model of agent coordination, and use it to formally define the two key variant social diagnosis problems: the Consistency-based diagnosis problem, and the abductive diagnosis problem. We show that these are NP-Hard problems. We then build on known methods in constraint-satisfaction problems, to provide several algorithms for social diagnosis in centralized and distributed settings. The algorithms whose analytical guarantees vary in terms of completeness and correctness—are evaluated empirically, in experiments carried out with teams of physical robots. We examined the computational requirements of the algorithms (i.e., their run-time and bandwidth usage), and the correctness of the diagnoses produced. We find that in general, a trade-off exists between computational costs and the correctness of the diagnosis.

In the second part of the dissertation, we focus on a particular type of coordination failuresdisagreements-that are of particular interest when talking about teams. We examine the design space of disagreement diagnosis algorithms for a more complex class of situated agents (compared to the first part). We distinguish two phases of diagnosis: (i) the selection of the diagnosing agents; and (ii) the diagnosis of the global team state (by the selected agents). We provide alternative algorithms for these phases, and empirically evaluate their communications and run-time requirements. The results show that centralizing the disambiguation process is a key factor in improving communications, but is not a determining factor in run-time. On the other hand, explicit reasoning about the different agents is a key factor in determining run-time.

Based on this conclusion, we follow two principles in reducing the communications and the computations in large-scale teams. First, we modify the algorithms such that they communicate partial information that is most relevant to the diagnosis. Second, we enable diagnosis of only a limited number of representative agents instead of diagnosing all others. These principles yield a novel diagnosis method that significantly reduces the runtime, while keeping communications overhead to a minimum.

A Study of Dynamic Coordination Mechanisms

Candidate: Avi Rosenfeld Institution: Department of Computer Science, Bar Ilan University, Israel Supervisors: Gal A. Kaminka and Sarit Kraus Year awarded: 2007 URL: http://www.jct.ac.il/~rosenfa/ doi:10.1017/S0269888909990294

Abstract

Coordination, or the act of managing interdependencies between activities, is a key issue within the field of multi-agent systems. Because of the importance of this issue, many theoretical and practical frameworks have been proposed for addressing coordination challenges. However, finding the optimal coordination method for a given group of agents a domain task is a computationally difficult, if not intractable, problem in most real-world domains. Solving the 'coordination problem' is thus an important open challenge for researchers in this field.

In a variety of domains, various coordination algorithms have been developed to date, with each algorithm being most successful for different domain conditions. First, we address how one can create adaptive coordination methods from existing coordination methods for robots without communication. We then extend these results to address adaptation between coordination algorithms for robotic groups using communication. Third, we investigate methods for creating dynamic coordination methods from distributed constraint optimization algorithms. Finally, we study various coordination algorithms within distributed peer-to-peer search algorithms.

Across these diverse domains, this thesis presents a unified algorithm selection approach for creating effective adaptive coordination methods. We find that novel teamwork measures can be developed for quantifying the effectiveness of coordination algorithms in all of these domains. These measures can be autonomously and locally measured by team members, even without any communication. The significance of this result is its ability to effectively quantify coordination in a clear, tractable fashion. Next, we find that these measures can be used to switch between coordination methods as needed. Robots or agents can effectively select the best coordination method to their localized domain conditions, online during task execution. The net result is a significant productivity improvement of these adaptive methods over the static methods they are based on.