

PRIME AND SEMIPRIME SEMIGROUP RINGS OF CANCELLATIVE SEMIGROUPS

by JAN OKNIŃSKI†

(Received 19 February, 1991; revised 3 September, 1991)

Introduction. Let S be a cancellative semigroup. This paper is motivated by the problem of finding a description of semigroup rings $K[S]$ over a field K that are semiprime or prime. Results of this type are well-known in the case of a group ring $K[G]$, cf. [8]. The description, as well as the proofs, involve the FC-centre of G defined as the subset of all elements with finitely many conjugates in G . In [4], [5] Krempa extended the FC-centre techniques to the case of an arbitrary cancellative semigroup S . He defined a subsemigroup $\Delta(S)$ of S which coincides with the FC-centre in the case of groups, and can be used to describe the centre and to study special elements of $K[S]$. His results were strengthened by the author in [7], where $\Delta(S)$ was also applied in the context of prime and semiprime algebras $K[S]$. However, $\Delta(S)$ itself is not sufficient to characterize semigroup rings of this type. We note that in [2], [3] Dauns developed a similar idea for a study of the centre of semigroup rings and certain of their generalizations.

In the present paper, we introduce a congruence ω on S that plays the role played by the FC-centre in the class of groups. This allows us to find necessary conditions for the ring $K[S]$ to be semiprime or prime. When restricted to the class of group rings or semigroup rings of semigroups with a group of fractions, these conditions are equivalent to those characterizing semiprimeness and primeness of $K[S]$, see [7], [8]. The second main result of the paper establishes semiprimeness of $K[S]$ for every cancellative semigroup S in the case where $\text{ch}(K) = 0$.

If δ is a congruence on a semigroup S , then by $I(\delta) = I_{K[S]}(\delta)$ we denote the ideal of the algebra $K[S]$ generated by the set $\{s - t \mid (s, t) \in \delta\}$. $B(K[S])$ stands for the prime radical of $K[S]$. If A is a subset of S , then $\langle A \rangle$ denotes the subsemigroup of S generated by A . If S has no identity element, S^1 stands for the monoid obtained by adjoining an identity to S . Otherwise, we put $S^1 = S$.

1. Reversive congruence and the sufficient conditions. For an arbitrary (not necessarily cancellative) semigroup S consider the relation ρ_S on S defined by

$$(s, t) \in \rho_S \quad \text{if for every } x \in S^1 \quad sxS \cap txS \neq \emptyset$$

We will write ρ in place of ρ_S if unambiguous. If $x \in S^1$ and $(s, t) \in \rho$, $(t, u) \in \rho$, then $sxg = txh$ and $t(xh)e = u(xh)f$ for some $e, f, g, h \in S$. Thus $sxge = uxfh$, which shows that $(s, u) \in \rho$. It is clear that ρ is reflexive and symmetric. Moreover, $(s, t) \in \rho$ easily implies that $(sz, tz) \in \rho$ and $(zs, zt) \in \rho$ for every $z \in S$. Therefore ρ is a congruence on S . Note that $\rho = S \times S$ whenever S is a cancellative semigroup that satisfies the right Ore condition.

Let $\rho' = \rho'_S$ be the left-right dual congruence to ρ and let $\tau = \tau_S = \rho \cap \rho'$.

LEMMA 1. *Let S be an arbitrary semigroup. Then*

- i) ρ , ρ' and τ are congruences on S .
- ii) Let $\sigma \in \{\rho, \rho', \tau\}$. Then $\sigma_{S/\sigma}$ is the trivial congruence on S/σ .
- iii) If S is left cancellative, then ρ is a left cancellative congruence on S .

† Supported by Polish Scientific Grant No 2 1117 9101

Proof. i) was established above.

ii) Assume that $(s_\rho, t_\rho) \in \rho_{S/\rho}$ for some $s, t \in S$, where y_ρ denotes the image of $y \in S$ in S/ρ . Then, for every $x \in S^1$ we have $s_\rho x_\rho(S/\rho) \cap t_\rho x_\rho(S/\rho) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $(sxu, txz) \in \rho$ for some $u, z \in S$. Then $sxuS \cap txzS \neq \emptyset$, so that $sxS \cap txS \neq \emptyset$. Hence $(s, t) \in \rho$. A symmetric argument works for $\sigma = \rho'$.

If $(s_\tau, t_\tau) \in \tau_{S/\tau}$ where s_τ, t_τ are the images of s, t in S/τ , then $(s_\rho, t_\rho) \in \tau_{S/\rho}$ because the natural homomorphism $S \rightarrow S/\rho$ factors as $S \rightarrow S/\tau \rightarrow S/\rho$ (note that for every epimorphism $\phi: U \rightarrow T$ we have $(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in \tau_T$ whenever $(x, y) \in \tau_U, x, y \in U$). As shown above, this implies that $(s, t) \in \rho$. Similarly $s_{\rho'} = t_{\rho'}$. Therefore $s_\tau = t_\tau$, which proves ii).

iii) Let $y, s, t \in S$ be such that $(ys, yt) \in \rho$. Then $ysxS \cap ytxS \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in S^1$ and so $sxS \cap txS \neq \emptyset$ since S is left cancellative. Hence $(s, t) \in \rho$.

Roughly speaking, τ is a measure of how far a cancellative semigroup S is from being an Ore semigroup. Following the standard terminology, [1], § 1.10, we call τ the *reversive congruence* on S .

Assume that there exist $0 \neq a, c \in K[S]$ such that $axc = 0$ for every $x \in S^1$. Let $a = \lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_n s_n$ for $s_i \in S, \lambda_i \in K$, where the s_i are distinct and the λ_i are nonzero. If $(s_1, s_2) \notin \rho$, then we can choose $x_{12} \in S^1$ such that $s_1 x_{12} S \cap s_2 x_{12} S = \emptyset$. Otherwise we put $x_{12} = 1$. We then define $a_2 = ax_{12}, c_2 = cx_{12}$ and note that $a_2 x c_2 = 0$ for every $x \in S^1$. Next, we proceed in the same way with respect to the pair t_1, t_3 in the presentation $a_2 = \lambda_1 t_1 + \dots + \lambda_n t_n$ where $t_i = s_i x_{12}$. Repeating this for all pairs $1, j$, where $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, and then for all remaining pairs $i < k, i, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we come to the elements

$$b = ax_{12}x_{13} \dots x_{23}x_{24} \dots x_{(n-1)n}, \quad d = cx_{12}x_{13} \dots x_{23}x_{24} \dots x_{(n-1)n}$$

such that $bx d = 0$ for every $x \in S^1$. Moreover, the following condition is satisfied for $y = x_{12}x_{13} \dots x_{23}x_{24} \dots x_{(n-1)n}$

$$\text{if } s, t \in \text{supp}(a)y \text{ and } (s, t) \notin \rho, \text{ then } sS \cap tS = \emptyset \tag{1}$$

The same procedure can be then applied to the elements in $\text{supp}(c)y$ (in place of the elements of $\text{supp}(a)$). Thus, replacing y by an appropriate right multiple of y , we can also assume that the following is true:

$$\text{if } s, t \in \text{supp}(c)y \text{ and } (s, t) \notin \rho, \text{ then } sS \cap tS = \emptyset \tag{1'}$$

A similar argument (involving ρ' in place of ρ , and the left multiplications by an appropriate sequence of elements of S^1 in place of the right multiplications by $x_{12}, x_{13}, \dots, x_{(n-1)n}$) can be applied to the elements of $\text{supp}(a)y$ and $\text{supp}(c)y$ successively. This yields an element $u \in S$ such that the following condition is satisfied:

$$\text{if } s, t \in u(\text{supp}(a))y \text{ and } (s, t) \notin \rho', \text{ then } Ss \cap St = \emptyset, \tag{2}$$

and similarly

$$\text{if } s, t \in u(\text{supp}(c))y \text{ and } (s, t) \notin \rho', \text{ then } Ss \cap St = \emptyset. \tag{2'}$$

More can be claimed if S is left cancellative. Let $e \in \{a, c\}$ and let $z, v \in \text{supp}(e)$ be such that $(uz, uv) \notin \rho$. Then $(zy, vy) \notin \rho$ and (1), (1') imply that $zyS \cap vyS = \emptyset$. Since ρ is left cancellative by Lemma 1, this yields $uzyS \cap uvvS = \emptyset$. Hence, in this case, the

following assertion is also true for $e \in \{a, c\}$:

$$\text{if } s, t \in u(\text{supp}(e))y \text{ and } (s, t) \notin \rho, \text{ then } sS \cap tS = \emptyset. \tag{3}$$

To state the technical lemma below it is convenient to fix some notation. Let $ay = a_1 + \dots + a_k$ where each a_i is of the form $\sum_i \lambda_i s_i y$ with the summation running over the set $A_i \subseteq \text{supp}(a)$ such that $A_i y$ lies in a ρ -class of S and $\text{supp}(a)y$ is a disjoint union of $A_1 y, \dots, A_k y$. From (1) it follows that $(A_i y S) \cap (A_j y S) = \emptyset$ for $j \neq i$. Therefore, the equality $(ay)xc = 0$ implies that $a_i xc = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and all $x \in S^1$.

Let $c = \mu_1 t_1 + \dots + \mu_w t_w$, $\mu_i \in K, t_i \in S$, where the t_i are distinct and the μ_i are nonzero. Then $c = c_1 + \dots + c_m$ where each c_i is of the form $\sum_j \mu_j t_j$ with the summation running over the set $C_i \subseteq \text{supp}(c)$ such that C_i lies in a ρ -class of S and $\text{supp}(c)$ is a disjoint union of C_1, \dots, C_m .

Let $uy = b_1 + \dots + b_q$ where every b_j is of the form $\sum_i \lambda_i u s_i y$ with the summation running over the set $B_j \subseteq \text{supp}(a)$ such that $uB_j y$ lies in a ρ -class of S and $u(\text{supp}(a))y$ is a disjoint union of $uB_1 y, \dots, uB_q y$.

Let $uy = e_1 + \dots + e_p$ where every e_i is of the form $\sum_i \lambda_i u s_i y$ with the summation running over the set $E_i \subseteq \text{supp}(a)$ such that $uE_i y$ lies in a τ -class of S and $u(\text{supp}(a))y$ is a disjoint union of $uE_1 y, \dots, uE_p y$.

Let $ucy = d_1 + \dots + d_r$ where every d_j is of the form $\sum_i \mu_i u t_i y$ with the summation running over the set $D_j \subseteq \text{supp}(c)$ such that $uD_j y$ lies in a τ -class of S and $u(\text{supp}(c))y$ is a disjoint union of $uD_1 y, \dots, uD_r y$.

With the above notation we derive the following result.

LEMMA 2. Assume that $axc = 0$ for some $0 \neq a, c \in K[S]$ and all $x \in S^1$. Then

- i) $a_i xc = 0$ for every $x \in S^1, i = 1, \dots, k$.
- ii) If S is left cancellative, then $a_i xc_j = 0$ and $b_i xc_j = 0$ for every i, j and all $x \in S^1$. Moreover $q = k$ and $b_i = ua_i$ for all i .
- iii) If S is cancellative, then $e_i xd_j = 0$ for every i, j and all $x \in S^1$. Moreover, $e_i, d_j \neq 0$ for all i, j .

Proof. i) follows from what has been said above

ii) Assume that S is left cancellative. From (3) it follows that $b_i xc = 0$ for every i . If $sxp = txq$ for some $s, t \in uB_j y$ and some $p, q \in \text{supp}(c)$, then $(sxp, txp) \in \rho$ because $(s, t) \in \rho$. Hence $(txq, txp) \in \rho$ and Lemma 1 iii) shows that $(p, q) \in \rho$. This easily implies that $b_i xc_j = 0$ holds for every i, j and all $x \in S^1$. The left cancellativity of ρ also implies that $q = k$ and $b_i = ua_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. This proves ii).

iii) The reasoning of the proof of ii) applied to the identity $ax(ucy) = 0$ in place of $axc = 0$, followed by an application of the left-right dual argument involving assertions (2), (2') can be used to establish iii).

LEMMA 3. Assume that T is a cancellative semigroup generated by a subset F such that F lies in a single ρ -class in T . Then T has a group H of right fractions.

Proof. We have to show that $sT \cap tT \neq \emptyset$ for every $s, t \in T$. It is enough to check this for $s = s_1 s_2 \dots s_m$, $t = t_1 t_2 \dots t_m$ where $m \geq 1$ and $s_i, t_i \in F$. Since $(s_m, t_m) \in \rho$, there exist $u_1, v_1 \in T$ such that $s_m u_1 = t_m v_1$. Then $s_1 \dots s_{m-1} s_m u_1 = s_1 \dots s_{m-1} t_m v_1$. Now, there exist $u_2, v_2 \in T$ such that $s_{m-1} (t_m v_1) u_2 = t_{m-1} (t_m v_1) v_2$ because $(s_{m-1}, t_{m-1}) \in \rho$. Hence $s_1 \dots s_{m-1} s_m u_1 u_2 = s_1 \dots s_{m-1} t_m v_1 u_2 = s_1 \dots s_{m-2} t_{m-1} t_m v_1 v_2$. Proceeding this way we find elements $u_i, v_i \in T$ such that $s_1 \dots s_m u_1 \dots u_m = t_1 \dots t_m v_1 \dots v_m$. This proves the assertion.

We are now able to prove our first main result.

THEOREM 1. *Let S be a cancellative semigroup. Then*

- i) $K[S/\tau]$ is prime. In particular, $B(K[S]) \subseteq I(\tau)$.
- ii) $K[S/\rho]$ is prime.
- iii) If $\text{ch}(K) = 0$, then $K[S]$ is semiprime.

Proof. i) By Lemma 1 ii) the congruence $\tau_{S/\tau}$ is trivial. Hence, to show that $K[S/\tau]$ is prime it is enough to prove that $K[S]$ is prime whenever τ is trivial. Suppose that $K[S]$ is not prime. Then there exist $0 \neq a, c \in K[S]$ such that $axc = 0$ for all $x \in S^1$. Lemma 2 implies that there exist $u, y \in S$ such that $e_i x d_j = 0$ for all i, j where $uay = e_1 + \dots + e_\rho$ and $ucy = d_1 + \dots + d_r$ for nonzero elements e_i, d_j such that each $\text{supp}(e_i)$ (and also each $\text{supp}(d_j)$) is contained in a single τ -class of S . Since τ is trivial, this implies that $e_i, d_j \in S$, a contradiction. Hence, $K[S]$ is prime.

The remaining assertion in i) follows since $I(\tau)$ is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $K[S] \rightarrow K[S/\tau]$.

ii) Since $\tau_{S/\rho} \subseteq \rho_{S/\rho}$ and the latter is trivial by Lemma 1, the assertion follows from i).

iii) Suppose that $B(K[S]) \neq 0$. Then there exists $a \in K[S]$, $a \neq 0$ such that $axa = 0$ for every $x \in S^1$. Choose the minimal integer n for which the following condition is satisfied: there exist a cancellative semigroup U and an element $0 \neq b \in K[U]$ such that $bx b = 0$ for all $x \in U^1$ and $|\text{supp}(b)| = n$. Using Lemma 2 with respect to the semigroup $T = \langle \text{supp}(b) \rangle$ and the congruence τ_T we conclude that $\text{supp}(b)$ lies in a single τ_T -class of T . Therefore, by Lemma 3, we can assume that $T = \langle \text{supp}(b) \rangle$ has a group H of right fractions. It is well known that $B(K[H]) = 0$ because $\text{ch}(K) = 0$. From [7], Theorem 19 in Chapter 7, it thus follows that $B(K[T]) = 0$. Since $bK[T]b = 0$, it is clear that $b \in B(K[T])$. This contradiction completes the proof.

REMARK 1. Assume that S is cancellative. The proof of Theorem 1 together with the known characterizations of prime and semiprime group rings, cf. [8], provides sufficient conditions for $K[S]$ to be prime or semiprime. Namely, $K[S]$ is prime (semiprime) whenever for every subsemigroup T of S that has a group of fractions H , the group ring $K[H]$ is prime (semiprime respectively). (In the prime case, starting with an identity $axc = 0$ for all $x \in S^1$ we can choose $z \in \text{supp}(a)$ and $w \in \text{supp}(c)$ and consider the identity $(wa)x(cz) = 0$. Then $wz \in \text{supp}(wa)$, $\text{supp}(cz)$. Choosing a, c with minimal $|\text{supp}(a)| + |\text{supp}(c)|$ we can assume, as in the proof of Theorem 1 iii), that $T = \langle \text{supp}(wa), \text{supp}(cz) \rangle$ has a group of right fractions H . Then, again by [7], Theorem 19 in Chapter 7, $(wa)x(cz) = 0$ is an identity in $K[H]$.)

If $uv^{-1} \in \Delta(H)$, where $u, v \in T$, then there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $u^k v = v u^k$, so that the elements $s = u^k$, $t = v u^{k-1}$ commute and $uv^{-1} = st^{-1}$. This leads to the following:

i) $K[S]$ is prime whenever S has no elements s, t such that $st = ts, s \neq t$, and $s^n = t^n$ for some $n > 1$.

ii) if $\text{ch}(K) = p > 0$, then $K[S]$ is semiprime whenever S has no elements s, t such that $st = ts, s \neq t$ and $s^p = t^p$.

Clearly, as seen in the group ring case, these conditions are not necessary.

2. The FC-congruence and the necessary conditions. When studying prime rings $K[S]$, as well as the semiprime case in positive characteristics, we will need a stronger congruence that naturally arises from the above considerations. It will play the role played by the FC-centre $\Delta(G)$ of a given group G in the study of the group ring $K[G]$, cf. [8]. The first attempt towards a generalization of Δ -methods to the case of semigroup rings of arbitrary cancellative semigroups was made by Krempa in [4], [5], where the notion of Δ -subsemigroups was created. A similar idea was later developed by Dauns in [2], [3].

For every cancellative semigroup S a subsemigroup $\Delta(S)$ is defined by $\Delta(S) = \{s \in S \mid \text{for every } x \in S \text{ there exists } s^x \in S \text{ such that } xs = s^x x \text{ and the set } \{s^x \mid x \in S\} \text{ is finite}\}$. It was shown in [7] that $K[\Delta(S)]$ is prime (semiprime) if $K[S]$ is prime (semiprime). (Moreover, primeness and semiprimeness of the former are characterized by conditions given in Remark 1.) Then, we asked whether the converse is true. This supposition clearly was too optimistic, even in the case where S embeds into a group, as the following example shows. Let G be the direct product of the free nonabelian group H on x, y and the group $Z_2 = \{e, z\}$. Let $S = \langle x, y \rangle^1 \cup \langle x, y \rangle z$. Then $K[S]$ is not prime (and it is not semiprime if $\text{ch}(K) = 2$) because xz, x satisfy the condition of Theorem 2 below. On the other hand $\Delta(S) = \{1\}$, so that $K[\Delta(S)]$ is prime.

We show that an appropriately chosen congruence on S should play the role played by the FC-centre in the study of prime and semiprime group rings.

Let ω be the relation defined on an arbitrary semigroup S by the rule

$(s, t) \in \omega$ if there exists a finite set $F \subseteq S$ that lies in a single τ -class of S and such that $sxF \cap txF \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in S^1$.

We claim that ω is a congruence on S . Clearly, ω is reflexive and symmetric. Assume that $(s, t) \in \omega, (t, u) \in \omega$ and let F, G be the suitable finite sets chosen for s, t and t, u . If $x \in S^1$, then $sxs' = txt'$ and $t(xt')t'' = u(xt')u'$ for some $s', t' \in F$, and $t'', u' \in G$. Consequently $sxs't'' = uxt'u'$. Then $(s, u) \in \omega$ because FG is a finite set every element of which is in the τ -class of S in which $s't''$ lies. Let $z \in S$. It is easy to see that the set F can be used to show that $(zs, zt) \in \omega$ and $(sz, tz) \in \omega$. This proves the claim. It is clear that $\omega \subseteq \rho$. We call ω the FC-congruence on S .

If $(s, t) \in \omega$, then by $F_{s,t}$ we will denote a finite subset of $S \times S$ that satisfies the following two conditions

*) there exists $u \in S$ such that for every $(c, d) \in F_{s,t}$ we have $(c, u) \in \tau, (d, u) \in \tau$.

**) $F_{s,t}$ is minimal (subject to the inclusion relation) with respect to the property that for every $x \in S^1$ there exists $(c, d) \in F_{s,t}$ with $sxc = txd$.

$F_{s,t}$ need not be uniquely determined. We will often replace a given set $F_{s,t}$ by another set that satisfies *), **), keeping the same notation if unambiguous.

We will further assume that S is cancellative. Then, clearly ω is a left cancellative congruence and we can always choose $F_{zs,zt} = F_{s,t}$ for any given $s, t \in S, z \in S^1$, with $(s, t) \in \omega$.

Assume that $(s, t) \in \omega$ and that $F_{s,t}$ is chosen. Since $F_{s,t}$ is finite, there exist $n < m$, with $m \leq |F_{s,t}| + 1$, such that $ss^m c = ts^m d$ and $ss^n c = ts^n d$ for some $(c, d) \in F_{s,t}$. It follows that

$$ts^m d = s^{m+1} c = s^{m-n} s^{n+1} c = s^{m-n} ts^n d$$

and consequently $ts^{m-n} = s^{m-n} t$ since S is cancellative. Therefore, for every $s, t \in S$ with $(s, t) \in \omega$ we have $ts^k = s^k t$ for some $k \leq |F_{s,t}|$.

We are now ready to prove the following key result. Here, by ω' we mean that left-right symmetric version of the congruence ω . The finite sets chosen for every $(s, t) \in \omega'$ will be denoted by $F^{s,t}$. Further, given $F_{s,t}$, we will always choose $F_{t,s} = \{(d, c) \in S \times S \mid (c, d) \in F_{s,t}\}$. If $sxc = txd$ for $x \in S^1, (c, d) \in F_{s,t}$, we call the pair (c, d) an x -conjugate of the pair (s, t) in $F_{s,t}$.

PROPOSITION. *Let S be a cancellative semigroup. Then*

- 1) $\omega = \omega'$ is a cancellative congruence on S .
- 2) For every $s, t \in S$ satisfying $(s, t) \in \omega$, the set $F_{s,t}$ can be chosen so that
 - i) $F_{s,t} = \{(c_1, d), \dots, (c_n, d)\}$ for some $c_1, \dots, c_n, d \in S$ and $(c_i, d) \in \omega$ for each i ,
 - ii) $cd = dc$ for every $(c, d) \in F_{s,t}$,
 - iii) $F_{d,c} = F_{s,t} = F^{s,t}$ for every $(c, d) \in F_{s,t}$,
 - iv) for every $x \in S^1$ the rules $(c, d)^x = (d, c')$ if $cx d = dxc'$ with $(d, c') \in F_{t,s}, (d, c)_x = (c', d)$ if $c'x d = dxc$ with $(c', d) \in F_{s,t}$ define inverse mappings $(\cdot, \cdot)^x : F_{s,t} \rightarrow F_{t,s}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_x : F_{t,s} \rightarrow F_{s,t}$,
 - v) for every $z \in S^1$ we have $F_{s,t} = F_{zs,zt} = F_{sz,tz}$.

Proof. Let $(s, t) \in \omega$. Assume that $F_{s,t} = \{(c_1, d_1), \dots, (c_n, d_n)\}$. By the minimality of $F_{s,t}$ (see condition (**)) we know that for every i there exists $x \in S^1$ such that $sxc_i = txd_i$. Since ω is left cancellative and $(s, t) \in \omega$, the latter implies that $(c_i, d_i) \in \omega$ for every i .

By condition (*) in the definition of $F_{s,t}$ we know that $(d_i, u) \in \tau$ and $(c_i, u) \in \tau$ for some $u \in S$. In particular, there exist $w, v \in S$ such that $d_1 w = d_2 v$. Consider the set $F = \{(c_1 w, d_1 w), (c_2 v, d_2 v), \dots, (c_n v, d_n v)\}$. It is clear that this set again satisfies condition (*). For every $x \in S^1$ an x -conjugate of (s, t) can be found in F . Since S is right cancellative, it follows that F also satisfies (**). Therefore, replacing $F_{s,t}$ by F , we can assume that $d_1 = d_2$. (Note that $|F| = |F_{s,t}|$ because $F_{s,t}$ satisfies (**). In fact, if $(c_1 w, d_1 w) = (c_i v, d_i v)$ for some $i \geq 2$, then for any $y \in S^1$ the equality $syc_1 = tyd_1$ implies $syc_i = tyd_i$, which shows that the pair (c_1, d_1) could be removed from $F_{s,t}$, a contradiction). Proceeding this way with respect to the subsequent d_i 's, we come to the case where $d_1 = \dots = d_n$, so that we can assume $F_{s,t} = \{(c_1, d), \dots, (c_n, d)\}$. In particular, since $(c_i, d) \in \omega$, condition i) is satisfied for $F_{s,t}$.

We know that for every $(x, y) \in \omega$ there exists $j \geq 1$ such that $x^j y = yx^j$. Then we can choose k such that $d^k c = cd^k$ for each $(c, d) \in F_{s,t}$. It is clear that $sxc = txd$ if and only if $sxc d^{k-1} = txd^k$. Moreover, the elements d^k, cd^{k-1} commute. Therefore, replacing each pair $(c, d) \in F_{s,t}$ by the pair (cd^{k-1}, d^k) , we come to a set that satisfies both (*) and (**) in the definition of $F_{s,t}$, so that we can assume that $c_i d = dc_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. This proves ii).

Let $sxc_i = txd$ for some $x \in S^1$ and some i . Since $(c_i, d) \in \omega$, for every $y \in S^1$ there exist $p, q \in S$ such that $c_i yp = dyq$. This equality is equivalent to $sxdyq = sxc_i yp = txdyp$, so that the pair (q, p) can be chosen from $F_{s,t}$. It follows that $F_{c_i,d}$ can be chosen so that $F_{c_i,d} \subseteq F_{t,s}$.

Suppose now that $sxc_i = txd, sxc_k = txd$ for some i, k and some $x \in S^1$. Then $i = k$, so that the x -conjugate of (s, t) is uniquely determined in $F_{s,t}$. We denote it by $(s, t)^x$.

If $c_i zd = dzc_j, c_i zd = dzc_k$ for some i, j, k and some $z \in S^1$, then $j = k$. It follows that z -conjugation determines a function $(\cdot, \cdot)^z : F_{s,t} \rightarrow F_{t,s}$. Similarly one shows that for every $z \in S^1$, z -conjugation is an embedding of $F_{s,t}$ into $F_{t,s}$. Since $|F_{s,t}| = |F_{t,s}|$ is finite, it follows that $F_{s,t}^x = F_{t,s}$. This means that for every $(c_j, d) \in F_{s,t}$ and every $x \in S^1$ there exists $(c_i, d) \in F_{s,t}$ such that $dx c_j = c_i x d$. In other words, the elements c_j, d satisfy the left-right symmetric version of the definition of ω . Moreover, we get a mapping $(\cdot, \cdot)_x : F_{t,s} \rightarrow F_{s,t}$ given by $(d, c_j)_x = (c_i, d)$. Clearly $(\cdot, \cdot)^x$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_x$ are inverse functions, which establishes iv).

Let $y \in S^1$. Choose $x \in S^1$ so that $sxc_i = txd$. Since we know that the ysx -conjugation is an onto mapping $F_{s,t} \rightarrow F_{t,s}$, it follows that there exists j such that $(c_j, d)^{ysx} = (d, c_i)$. Then

$$dy(txd) = dy(sxc_i) = c_j y s x d$$

and consequently $d y t = c_j y s$. Therefore $(s, t) \in \omega'$ with a finite set $F^{s,t}$ chosen in $F_{s,t}$. In particular, $\omega = \omega'$ and it is a cancellative congruence because ω is left cancellative. This completes the proof of 1).

We have shown that $F^{s,t} \subseteq F_{s,t}$. The left-right symmetry of ω allows us to prove that $F_{s,t} \subseteq F^{s,t}$, so that $F^{s,t} = F_{s,t}$. If $z \in S$, then we can clearly choose $F^{sz,tz} = F^{s,t} = F_{s,t}$. Since, as above, we can also have $F^{sz,tz} = F_{sz,tz}$, it follows that $F_{sz,tz} = F_{s,t}$. It is clear that we can choose $F_{zs,zt} = F_{s,t}$. This proves v).

Since $F^{s,t} = F_{s,t}$, for every j there exists $y \in S^1$ such that $c_j y s = d y t$. Again, if $sxc_i = txd$, it follows that

$$c_j y s x d = d y t x d = d y s x c_i$$

and so $(d, c_j)^{ysx} = (c_i, d) = (s, t)^x$. Therefore $F_{s,t} \subseteq F_{d,c_j}$. Since $F_{s,t}$ was chosen so that the converse inclusion is satisfied, we come to $F_{d,c_j} = F_{s,t}$ for every $j = 1, \dots, n$. This shows that iii) is satisfied, completing the proof of the proposition.

REMARK 2. Assume that S has a group G of right fractions. Let $s, t \in S$ be such that $s\Delta(G) = t\Delta(G)$. Then $ts^{-1} \in \Delta(G)$ has finitely many conjugates in G , which therefore can be written as $c_1 d^{-1}, \dots, c_n d^{-1}$ for some $c_i, d \in S$. Since $c_i d^{-1} \in \Delta(G)$, there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $d^k c_i = c_i d^k$ for every i . Thus, replacing c_i by $c_i d^{k-1}$ and d by d^k , we can assume that $c_i d = d c_i$ for every i . Let $F = \{(c_i, d) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$. For every $x \in S^1$ there exists i with $c_i x s = d x t$. Thus $(s, t) \in \rho'$ and similarly $(c_i, d) \in \rho'$. Clearly $\rho = S \times S$, so that $(c_i, d) \in \tau$ for every i . By the definition of ω' it follows that $(s, t) \in \omega'$, and so Proposition implies that $(s, t) \in \omega$. Conversely, assume that $s, t \in S$ are such that $(s, t) \in \omega$. Then $A = \{(s^{-1}t)^x \mid x \in S^1\}$ is a finite set such that $A^y = A$ for every $y \in S^1$. Consequently $A = A^{y^{-1}}$ and since $G = SS^{-1}$ (it is enough to assume that G is a group generated by S here), it follows that A is the set of all G -conjugates of $s^{-1}t$. Therefore $s^{-1}t \in \Delta(G)$. This

proves that ω coincides with the restriction to S of the congruence determined on G by $\Delta(G)$.

One might ask why the assumption that the S -conjugates of the pair (s, t) can be chosen from a single τ -class of S is added in the definition of ω . First, Remark 2 shows that ω is an extension of the notion of the FC-centre in the class of groups. Moreover, the proof of Theorem 1 shows that, whenever $axc = 0$ for some $a, c \in K[S]$ and all $x \in S^1$, then a, c can be chosen so that $\text{supp}(a), \text{supp}(c)$ lie in a τ -class of S . It is then natural to expect that a description of prime and semiprime rings $K[S]$ involves this ‘‘local’’ restriction on $F_{s,t}$. Finally, without this restriction, we are not able to adjust $F_{s,t}$ to a single ω -class of S . The latter will be crucial in the proof of Theorem 2. As we have seen in Proposition, this additional condition implies that the definition of ω is left-right symmetric and consequently ω is a cancellative congruence. It is not clear whether the same can be claimed without our restriction. The problem comes from the absence of the following ‘‘equality of fractions’’ condition (see Malcev’s condition, [1], § 12.4):

$$\text{if } sxc = txd, sxc' = txd' \text{ and } syc = tyd \text{ for some } \\ s, t, c, d, c', d', x, y \in S, \text{ then } syc' = tyd'.$$

This would be needed in the proof of Proposition if we are not able to adjust right away the pairs in $F_{s,t}$ so that they satisfy assertion 2) i).

The main advantage of having assertion 2) i) in Proposition comes from the following observation.

LEMMA 4. *Let $u \in S$. Then the set $T_u = \{x \in S \mid (x, u^n) \in \omega \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$ is a subsemigroup of S that satisfies the left and right Ore conditions. Moreover, if $(s, t) \in \omega$ for some $s, t \in T_u$, then $s^{-1}t \in \Delta(G_u)$, where G_u denotes the group of fractions of T_u .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in T_u$. Then $(x, u^n) \in \omega, (y, u^m) \in \omega$ for some $n, m \geq 1$. Clearly $(xy, u^{n+m}) \in \omega$ so that $xy \in T_u$. If for example $m \geq n$, then $(xu^{m-n}, y) \in \omega$ and we know that there exists k such that $(xu^{m-n})y^k = y^k(xu^{m-n})$. It follows that $xT_u \cap yT_u \neq \emptyset$ because $u^{m-n}y^k, y^{k-1}xu^{m-n} \in T_u$. A symmetric argument shows that we also have $T_u x \cap T_u y \neq \emptyset$. The remaining assertion follows from Remark 2 because $(s, t) \in \omega_{T_u}$ whenever $s, t \in T_u$ are such that $(s, t) \in \omega$.

We will need certain subgroups of the above-defined groups G_u . For $u \in S$ let $D_u = \{x^{-1}y \in G_u \mid x, y \in T_u, (x, y) \in \omega\}$ and $H_u = \{x^{-1}y \in G_u \mid x, y \in T_u, (x, y) \in \omega \text{ and } F_{x,y} \text{ can be chosen in } T_u \times T_u\}$.

LEMMA 5. *Let $u \in S$. Then $H_u \subseteq D_u$ are subgroups of $\Delta(G_u)$. Moreover, every $z \in S^1$ acts by ‘‘conjugation’’ as an automorphism of H_u , that is, $(x^{-1}y)^z = cd^{-1}$ where $(x, y)^z = (c, d) \in F_{x,y} \subseteq T_u \times T_u$.*

Proof. It is clear that D_u, H_u are closed under taking inverses in G_u . Assume that $x^{-1}y, w^{-1}v \in D_u$ where $x, y, w, v \in T_u$ are such that $(x, y) \in \omega, (w, v) \in \omega$. From Lemma 4 it follows that $yw^{-1} = h^{-1}g$ where $g, h \in T_u$. Then the elements $hx, hy = gw, gv$ lie in the same ω -class of S . Since $(x^{-1}y)(w^{-1}v) = (hx)^{-1}(gv)$, this implies that D_u is a subsemigroup of G_u . Therefore it is a subgroup of G_u and $D_u \subseteq \Delta(G_u)$ by Lemma 4.

Now, we show that for every $x^{-1}y \in H_u$ with $(x, y) \in \omega$ and every $z \in S^1$ the element $(x^{-1}y)^z$ is well defined in H_u . Assume that $x^{-1}y = \bar{x}^{-1}\bar{y}$ for some $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in T_u$ with $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \omega$

and $F_{\bar{x},\bar{y}} \subseteq T_u \times T_u$. Then there exist $a, b \in T_u$ such that $ax = b\bar{x}$ (cf. Lemma 4) and so $ay = b\bar{y}$. Since $xzc = yzd$, $\bar{x}zs = \bar{y}zt$ for some $c, d, s, t \in T_u$, we come to $axzc = ayzd$, $b\bar{x}zs = b\bar{y}zt$. If $cp = sq$ for some $p, q \in T_u$ (existing because $c, s \in T_u$), we must have $dp = tq$. This implies that $cd^{-1} = cp(dp)^{-1} = sq(tq)^{-1} = st^{-1}$, which shows that the rule $(x^{-1}y)^z = cd^{-1}$ defines the z -conjugates of the elements of H_u . Clearly $(c, d) \in \omega$ because $xzc = yzd$ and $(x, y) \in \omega$ (note that ω is cancellative). We know that $F_{c,d}$ can be chosen so that $F_{c,d} \subseteq F_{y,x}$. Since $F_{y,x} \subseteq T_u \times T_u$, it follows that $cd^{-1} \in H_u$.

Next, we check that $(x^{-1}y)^z(w^{-1}v)^z = (x^{-1}yw^{-1}v)^z$, where $(w, v) \in \omega$ and $w^{-1}v \in H_u$. Let

$$(\#) \quad xzc = yzd, \quad wz\bar{c} = vz\bar{d}$$

for some $\bar{c}, \bar{d} \in T_u$. If $cd^{-1}\bar{c}\bar{d}^{-1} = ef^{-1}$ and $x^{-1}yw^{-1}v = g^{-1}h$ for $e, f, g, h \in T_u$, then we have to show that $gze = hzf$. Multiplying on the left both equalities in $(\#)$ by some elements of T_u we can assume that $x = w$ (see Lemma 4). A similar argument (involving right multiplication) allows us to assume that $d = \bar{d}$. Next, multiplying both equalities on the left by some x^k we can assume that $xy = yx$ (since $(x, y) \in \omega$, a power of x commutes with y). Similarly, we reduce to the case where $\bar{c}\bar{d} = \bar{d}\bar{c}$. Finally, we multiply both equalities on the left by $x^r = w^r$ for r such that w^{r+1}, w^rv commute. Then, replacing $x = w$ by w^{r+1} , v by w^rv , and y by w^ry we can also assume that $wv = vw$ (note that $w^{r+1} = x^{r+1}$, $w^ry = x^ry$ also commute because $xy = yx$, so that the last step does not affect the foregoing simplifications). Similarly, multiplying on the right by an appropriate power of d , we come to the case where $cd = dc$. Now

$$x^{-1}yw^{-1}v = x^{-1}yx^{-1}v = x^{-2}yv, \quad cd^{-1}\bar{c}\bar{d}^{-1} = cd^{-1}\bar{c}\bar{d}^{-1} = c\bar{c}\bar{d}^{-2}$$

so that we can choose $g = x^2, h = yv, e = c\bar{c}, f = \bar{d}^2$. Then

$$gze = x(xzc)\bar{c} = x(yzd)\bar{c} = xyz\bar{d}\bar{c} = yxz\bar{c}\bar{d} = y(wz\bar{c})\bar{d} = y(vz\bar{d})\bar{d} = hzf.$$

This proves the claim. Since $(x, y) \in \omega, x = w$ and $(v, w) \in \omega$, we see that $(g, h) \in \omega$. We have also shown that the set $F_{g,h}$ (consisting of the appropriate pairs $(e, f) = (g, h)^z, z \in S^1$) can be chosen in $T_u \times T_u$. Therefore H_u is a subsemigroup, and so a subgroup, of D_u . Hence, the z -conjugation is an endomorphism of H_u . By the left-right symmetry of ω there is a map $q \rightarrow q_z, q \in H_u$, such that $(p^z)_z = p$ for every $p \in H_u$ and $(q_z)^z = q$ (namely, if $xzc = yzd$, then $(cd^{-1})_z = x^{-1}y$). Therefore z acts as an automorphism of H_u .

Our main objective is to prove the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let S be a cancellative semigroup. Assume that $s, t \in S$ are such that $(s, t) \in \omega, s^m = t^m$ for some $m > 1, s \neq t$, and $st = ts$. Then $K[S]$ is not prime. Moreover, if $\text{ch}(K) = p > 0$ and $m = p$, then $K[S]$ is not semiprime.*

Proof. Choosing $F_{s,t}$ as in Proposition, we can assume that there exists $d \in S$ such that for every $(c, e) \in F_{s,t}$ we have $(c, d) \in \omega, d = e$ and $cd = dc$. If $x \in S^1$ is such that $sxc = txd$, then

$$s^mxc^m = s^{m-1}txdc^{m-1} = ts^{m-1}xc^{m-1}d = \dots = t^mxd^m = s^mxd^m$$

so that $c^m = d^m$. Hence, every pair c, d inherits the hypotheses on s, t . Fix some $(c, d) \in F_{s,t}$. By assertion iii) of the Proposition we know that $F_{d,c} = F_{s,t} \subseteq A_d \times A_d$ where

A_d denotes the ω -class of d in S . Now $Z = \{((d^{-1}c)^i)^z \mid z \in S^1, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ is a finite subset of the group H_d and Z consists of periodic elements (see Lemma 5). Since H_d is an FC-group, Z generates a finite group $F \subseteq H_d$, see [8], Lemma 4.1.5. Clearly $F^z = F$ for every $z \in S^1$ and so $yF = Fy$ for every $y \in T_d$. Then there exists $e \in T_d$ such that $eF = Fe \subseteq T_d$ (a common denominator of the elements of F). Let $a = \sum_{f \in F} f$. Then $ea = ae \in K[T_d]$. We will show that, for every $z \in S^1$, the following equality holds in $K[S]$

$$(*) \quad (ae)z(ae) = |F|(ae)ze$$

Then $(ae)z[(ae) - |F|e] = 0$ and clearly $ae \neq 0$ because $a \neq 0$ and $e \in S$. If $ae = |F|e$, then $|\text{supp}(ae)| = 1$, so that $|\text{supp}(a)| = 1$. Then F is a trivial group, which implies that $d = c$ because $d^{-1}c \in F$. This contradicts the fact that $s \neq t$ and shows that $(ae) - |F|e \neq 0$. Therefore $(*)$ implies that $K[S]$ is not prime. If additionally $\text{ch}(K) = m$, then $|F| = 0$ in K because $d^{-1}c \in F$ has order m . Therefore $K[S]$ is not semiprime in this case.

It remains to prove that $(*)$ holds. Since $eF = Fe \subseteq T_d$, we know that $F = \{a_i e^{-1} \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} = \{e^{-1} a_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ for some $a_i \in T_d$. For every $z \in S^1$ the z -conjugation is an automorphism of F , so that for every i there exists j such that $a_i z e = e z a_j$. Moreover, $i \rightarrow j$ is a one-to-one map of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ onto itself. Therefore

$$(ae)ze = \left(\sum_i a_i\right)ze = ez\left(\sum_j a_j\right) = ez(ae).$$

Since this holds for every $z \in S^1$, we also get

$$(ae)^2ze^2 = (ae)[(ae)ze]e = e[(ae)ze](ae).$$

Now $ae = ea$ and $a^2 = |F|a$ in $K[G_d]$, so that $(ae)e = e(ae)$ and $(ae)^2 = |F|(ae)e$ in $K[G_d]$. The latter two equalities can then be viewed as equalities in $K[T_d] \subseteq K[S]$. Thus $|F|e(ae)ze^2 = e(ae)z(ae)e$, and consequently $|F|(ae)ze = (ae)z(ae)$ because $e \in S$ is a regular element in $K[S]$. This proves $(*)$ and completes the proof of the theorem.

Since ω plays the role that is played by the FC-centre $\Delta(G)$ in the case of a group ring $K[G]$, the necessary conditions found in Theorem 2 generalize the results known for group rings, see [8], and for semigroup rings of cancellative semigroups that have groups of fractions, [7]. We conjecture that these conditions are also sufficient.

We conclude with a few simple examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Let S be the Baer-Levi semigroup on a countable infinite set A , cf. [1]. That is, S consists of all one-to-one mappings $s : A \rightarrow A$ such that $A \setminus s(A)$ is an infinite set, subject to the composition $st = s \circ t$. It is known that S is left cancellative and $Ss = S$ for every $s \in S$. Hence $\rho' = S \times S$. Let $s, t \in S$ be such that the complement of the set $Z = \{a \in A \mid s(a) = t(a)\}$ is finite. Then, for every $x \in S^1$ the set $X = \{a \in A \mid sx(a) = tx(a)\}$ satisfies $x(X) \subseteq Z$. Moreover, $a \rightarrow x(a)$ is an injective mapping of $A \setminus X$ into $A \setminus Z$, so that $|A \setminus X| \leq |A \setminus Z| = n < \infty$. Let A_1, \dots, A_{n+1} be disjoint infinite subsets of A such that $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{n+1}$. Then, for every $x \in S^1$, there exists i such that $X \supseteq A_i$. Let $p_j \in S$ be such that $p_j(A) \subseteq A_j, j = 1, \dots, n + 1$. Then $x p_i(A) \subseteq x(X) \subseteq Z$, so that $s x p_i = t x p_i$. It follows that $(s, t) \in \rho$, and so $(s, t) \in \tau$. Assume now that $s, t \in S$ satisfy $(s, t) \in \tau$. Suppose that the set $A \setminus Z$ is infinite. It is easy to see that there exists an infinite set $E \subseteq A \setminus Z$ such that $s(E) \cap t(E) = \emptyset$. (If $s(G) \cap t(G) = \emptyset$ for a finite set $G \subseteq A \setminus Z$, then there exists $a \in (A \setminus Z) \setminus G$ such that $s(a) \notin t(G)$ and $t(a) \notin s(G)$. Then $s(G \cup \{a\}) \cap t(G \cup \{a\}) = \emptyset$, so

that E can be constructed inductively.) Let $x \in S$ be such that $x(A) \subseteq E$. Since $sx(A) \subseteq s(E)$ and $tx(A) \subseteq t(E)$, it follows that $sxS \cap txS = \emptyset$, a contradiction. This proves that the complement of Z is finite. Therefore $\tau = \rho$ is characterized by this condition. Note that τ is not cancellative. If $F_{s,t} = \{(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_n, v_n)\}$ is a set chosen for some $(s, t) \in \omega$, then we know that $F_{s,t} \subseteq T \times T$ for a τ -class T of S . This implies that all u_i and v_i , viewed as functions $A \rightarrow A$, coincide on a subset $B \subseteq A$ with a finite complement. It is easy to see that this leads to $s = t$, so that the congruence ω is trivial.

We will proceed to show that $K[S]$ is prime for every field K . To this end we slightly modify the argument of the proof of Lemma 2. Namely, if $0 \neq a \in K[S]$ and $\text{supp}(a) = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$, let $b_{ij} \in A, i \neq j$, be such that $s_i(b_{ij}) \neq s_j(b_{ij})$. Set $B = \{b_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$. If $s, t \in \text{supp}(a)$ are such that $(s, t) \notin \rho$, then the set Z defined above has infinite complement in A and as above we can find an element $x \in S$ such that $sx(A) \cap tx(A) = \emptyset$. By changing the values of x at finitely many points we find a function $x' \in S$ such that $B \subseteq x'(A)$ and $sx'(A) \cap tx'(A)$ is finite. The latter implies that $sx'S \cap tx'S = \emptyset$, while the former ensures that $s_i x' \neq s_j x'$ for all $i \neq j$. Hence $ax' \neq 0$. Thus, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2 i), we show that whenever $axc = 0$ for some $0 \neq c \in K[S]$ and all $x \in S^1$, then $a'xc = 0$ for all $x \in S^1$ where $a' \neq 0$ is an element of $K[S]$ the support of which lies in a single τ -class of S . This allows us to assume that $\text{supp}(a)$ lies in a τ -class of S . Then the set D on which the functions of $\text{supp}(a)$ agree has a finite complement in A . If $\text{supp}(c) = \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$, then there exist $a_i \in A, i = 2, \dots, m$, such that $t_1(a_i) \neq t_i(a_i)$. Choose $z \in S$ such that $\{zt_1(a_i), zt_i(a_i) \mid i = 2, \dots, m\} \subseteq D$ and $zt_1(A) \supseteq \{b_{ij} \mid j = 2, \dots, n\}$. If $s_1 z t_1 = s_j z t_j$ for some i, j , this implies that $(j, i) = (1, 1)$. This contradicts the fact that $azc = 0$ and establishes our claim. Since this observation seems to be of independent interest, we single it out below.

THEOREM 3. *Let S be the Baer-Levi semigroup on a countable infinite set. Then $K[S]$ is prime for every field K .*

EXAMPLE 2. Let S be the semigroup determined by the generators $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3$ subject to the relations $x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_3, x_1 y_2 = x_3 y_1, x_1 y_3 = x_2 y_2, x_3 y_2 = x_2 y_1$. It is known that S is cancellative, has the unique product (u.p.) property (consequently, $K[S]$ is a domain for every field K) and does not have the two unique product (t.u.p.) property, [7]. Moreover S embeds into a group, but it does not have a group of fractions. Suppose that $s, t \in S$ are such that $(s, t) \in \rho$. Assume for example that the length $|s|$ of s in the generators of S does not exceed $|t|$. If $y \in \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ is the terminal letter in t , then choose $c, d \in S$ such that $sx_1^n c = tx_1^n d$ where $n = |t|$. From the defining relations it follows that $|s| = |t|$ and further $s = t$ (see the reasoning of [7], Example 10.13). If $x \in \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is the terminal letter of t , then choose $c, d \in S$ so that $sy_1^n c = ty_1^n d$. Then, a similar argument shows that $|s| = |t|$ and $sy_1 = ty_1$. Therefore $s = t$. It follows that ρ is the trivial congruence on S .

Similarly, one can show that ρ is trivial in the case of the cancellative semigroup T not embeddable into a group, constructed by Malcev in [6]. It is known that T is a t.u.p. semigroup and that $K[T]$ is a domain.

EXAMPLE 3. Let S be a cancellative monoid with $\Delta(S) \neq 1$ (for example with a nontrivial centre). Then ω is nontrivial since $(s, 1) \in \omega$ for every $s \in \Delta(S)$. In fact, for every $x \in S^1$ there exists $s^x \in S$ such that $xs = s^x x$ and $F = \{s^x \mid x \in S^1\}$ is a finite set. Since

$(s^x, 1) \in \tau$, cf. [7], Chapter 9, it follows that $F^{s^{-1}} = \{(1, s^x) \mid x \in S^1\}$ can be chosen to show that $(s, 1) \in \omega' = \omega$.

EXAMPLE 4. Let U be a cancellative semigroup not embeddable into a group and let G be a group with $\Delta(G) \neq 1$. Examples of semigroups not embeddable in groups and such that ω is nontrivial can be constructed by considering subsemigroups of $U \times G$.

REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *Algebraic theory of semigroups*, (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Vol. 1, 1961, Vol. 2, 1967).
2. J. Dauns, *Generalized semigroup rings*, *Algebra Carbondale* 1980, Lect. Notes in Mathematics No. 848 (Springer-Verlag 1981), 235–254.
3. J. Dauns, Centers of semigroup rings and conjugacy classes, *Semigroup Forum* **38** (1989), 355–364.
4. J. Krempa, On semigroup rings, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **25** (1977), 225–231.
5. J. Krempa, Special elements in semigroup rings, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **28** (1980), 17–23.
6. A. I. Malcev, On the immersion of an algebraic ring into a field. *Math. Ann.* **113** (1937), 686–691.
7. J. Okniński, *Semigroup algebras*, (Marcel Dekker, 1990).
8. D. S. Passman, *The algebraic structure of group rings*, (Wiley, 1977).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 WARSAW UNIVERSITY
 BANACHA 2
 02-097 WARSAW