# DERIVATIONS WHOSE ITERATES ARE ZERO OR INVERTIBLE ON A LEFT IDEAL

## **BEN TILLY**

ABSTRACT. Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and R be a ring which possesses a unit element, a left ideal J, and a derivation d such that  $d^n(J) \neq 0$  and  $d^n(r)$  is 0 or invertible, for all  $r \in J$ . We prove that either R is primitive, in which case R is  $D_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , where  $D_i$  is the ring of  $i \times i$  matrices over a division ring D, or else there exist positive integers i,  $\ell$  and p with p prime and  $2 \leq ip^{\ell} \leq n+1$ , such that R is  $D_i[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell]/(x_1^p, x_2^p, \dots, x_\ell^p)$ , where D is a division ring with characteristic p, and furthermore there is a derivation f of  $D_i$  and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell \in Z_{D_i}$ , the center of  $D_i$ , such that  $a \in D_i$  then

$$d(a) = f(a)x_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1}\cdots x_{\ell}^{p-1},$$
  
$$d(x_1) = 1 + a_1x_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1}\cdots x_{\ell}^{p-1},$$

and

$$d(x_j) = x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{j-1}^{p-1} + a_j x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{\ell}^{p-1}$$

for all  $2 \leq j \leq \ell$ .

Bergen, Herstein and Lanski [1] have related the structure of a ring *R* to the special behavior of one of its derivations. More precisely, they proved that if *R* is a ring with unit and  $d \neq 0$  is a derivation of *R* such that for every  $r \in R$ , d(r) = 0 or d(r) is invertible in *R*, then *R* must be a division ring *D*, the ring  $D_2$  of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over a division ring *D*, or else  $D[x]/(x^2)$  where *D* has characteristic 2, d(D) = 0, and d(x) = 1 + ax for some *a* in the centre of *D*.

For the entire paper we shall assume that  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , *R* is a ring with unit, *J* is a left ideal of *R*, and *d* is a derivation of *R* with  $d^n(J) \neq 0$  such that for every  $r \in J$ ,  $d^n(r) = 0$  or  $d^n(r)$  is invertible in *R*. The results we will obtain are similar to those of (1). In fact we shall prove the following:

THEOREM 1. Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , R be a ring with unit, J a left ideal of R, and d a derivation of R such that  $d^n(J) \neq 0$  and  $d^n(r) = 0$  or  $d^n(r)$  is invertible, for every  $r \in J$ . Then there exists a division ring D such that R is either

- 1)  $D_i$ , the ring of  $i \times i$  matrices over a division ring D with  $1 \le i \le n + 1$ , or
- 2)  $D_i[x_1, x_2, ..., x_\ell]/(x_1^p, x_2^p, ..., x_\ell^p)$  where  $i, \ell, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , p is prime,  $2 \le ip^\ell \le n + 1$ , and char D = p.

Furthermore, there exists a derivation f of  $D_i$  and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\ell \in Z_{D_i}$ , the center of  $D_i$ , with  $d(a) = f(a)x_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1}\cdots x_\ell^{p-1}$  for all  $a \in D_i$ ,

$$d(x_1) = 1 + a_1 x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{\ell}^{p-1},$$

The author wishes to acknowledge the support of Dr. C. R. Miers through NSERC Grant A-7682. Received by the editors March 13, 1992.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 16W25; secondary: 16N60.

<sup>©</sup> Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

$$d(x_j) = x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{j-1}^{p-1} + a_j x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{\ell}^{p-1} \quad for \, j = 2, 3, \dots, \ell$$

Let us start with an easy generalization of a lemma from [1].

LEMMA 1. If  $0 \neq a \in R$  and d(a) = 0 then a is invertible.

PROOF. As  $d^n(J) \neq 0 \exists r \in J$  with  $d^n(r) \neq 0$  so  $d^n(r)$  is invertible. Now  $d^n(ar) = \sum_{i=0}^n {n \choose i} d^{n-i}(a) d^i(r) = a d^n(r)$  as  $0 = d(a) = d^2(a) = \cdots$ . Now  $ar \in J$  and  $a d^n(r) \neq 0$  because  $a d^n(r) (d^n(r))^{-1} = a \neq 0$  so  $a d^n(r) = d^n(ar)$  is invertible. As  $d^n(R)$  is invertible, a is invertible.

Before our next lemma, note that *R* is a ring with unit so *R* has a maximal ideal *I* and R/I is primitive so we may let *V* be a faithful irreducible left R/I-module with commuting division ring *D*. By the Jacobson density theorem R/I is dense on *V* considered as a vector space over *D*. But then *V* is an irreducible left *R*-module with  $Ann_R(V) = I$  where  $Ann_R(V) = \{r \in R \mid rV = \{0\}\}$ . Note also that *R* and *D* commute and *R* is dense on *V* considered as a vector space over *D*. From now on *I*, *V* and *D* will be fixed.

Let *W* be some finite dimensional *D*-subspace of *V*. If  $a \in R$  define  $W_0(a) = W$  and for  $0 \le i$ ,  $W_{i+1}(a) = W \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=0}^{i} \operatorname{Ker}(d^{j}(a))\right)$  where  $d^{0}(a) = a$ . It is not hard to show that for  $r, s \in R$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ , if  $d^{j}(r)w = d^{j}(s)w \ \forall 0 \le j < i$  and  $w \in W_{j}(r)$  then  $W_{i}(r) = W_{i}(s)$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $0 \neq a \in J$  then  $W_{n+1}(a) = 0$ .

PROOF. Since  $d^n(a) = 0$  or is invertible it is clear from Lemma 1 that  $R = Ra + Rd(a) + \cdots + Rd^n(a)$ . It is trivial that  $0 = d^j(a)W_{n+1}(a)$  for j = 0, 1, ..., n so we have  $0 = RaW_{n+1}(a) + Rd(a)W_{n+1}(a) + \cdots + Rd^n(a)W_{n+1}(a) = RW_{n+1}(a)$  so  $W_{n+1}(a) = 0$  because V is irreducible.

LEMMA 3. Let  $0 \neq r \in R$ ,  $0 \neq v \in V$ , and  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ . Then  $\exists a \in Rr$  with  $a \neq 0$  such that  $d^{i}(a)W_{i}(a) \subseteq Dv$  for j = 0, 1, ..., i.

PROOF: INDUCTION ON *i*. If i = 0 then  $W_j(a) = W_0(a) = W$ . Since *W* is finite dimensional so is rW. If rW = 0 then trivially let a = r. If  $rW \neq 0$  then, by the density of *R*, choose  $b \in R$  such that brW = Dv and set a = br. Then  $aW_0(a) = aW \subseteq Dv$  and  $a \neq 0$ .

Suppose the result holds for *i* and choose  $0 \neq s \in Rr$  such that  $d^{j}(s)W_{j}(s) \subseteq Dv$   $\forall 0 \leq j \leq i$ . Now if  $d^{i+1}(s)W_{i+1}(s) = 0 \subseteq Dv$  then take a = s. Therefore without loss of generality assume that  $d^{i+1}(s)W_{i+1}(s) \neq 0$ . As *W* is finite dimensional  $d^{i+1}(s)W_{i+1}(s)$  is also so by density  $\exists b \in R$  such that  $bd^{i+1}(s)W_{i+1}(s) = Dv$  and bv = v. Now for  $0 \leq j \leq i+1$  and  $w \in W_{j}(s)$  note that  $d^{j}(bs)w = \sum_{k=0}^{j} {j \choose k} d^{j-k}(b)d^{k}(s)w$  but if k < j then  $d^{k}(s)w = 0$  so

(1) 
$$d^{j}(bs)w = bd^{j}(s)w.$$

Now if  $j \leq i$  then  $d^{j}(s)w \in Dv$  so  $d^{j}(s)w = \alpha v$  for some  $\alpha \in D$ . But then from (1) we get

(2) 
$$d^{j}(bs)w = bd^{j}(s)w = b\alpha v = \alpha bv = \alpha v = d^{j}(s)w.$$

From (2) and the comment before Lemma 2 we get that  $W_k(s) = W_k(bs) \forall 0 \le k \le i+1$ . Now let a = bs. Then  $a \in Rs \subseteq Rr$ , by (1) we get  $d^{i+1}(a)W_{i+1}(a) = bd^{i+1}(s)W_{i+1}(s) = Dv \ne 0$  so  $a \ne 0$  and  $d^{i+1}(a)W_{i+1}(a) \subseteq Dv$ , and if  $0 \le j \le i$  then from (2),  $d^j(a)W_j(a) = bd^j(s)W_j(s) = d^j(s)W_j(s) \subseteq Dv$ . Therefore the result holds for i + 1.

LEMMA 4.  $R/I \cong D_i$  for some  $1 \le i \le n+1$  where  $i = \dim_D(V)$ .

PROOF. Let *W* be an arbitrary finite-dimensional *D*-subspace of *V*. As  $d^n(J) \neq 0$ ,  $\exists$  a nonzero  $r \in J$ . Also  $\exists$  a nonzero  $v \in V$  so take i = n and a as in Lemma 3. For  $0 \leq j \leq n, d^j(a): W_j(a) \rightarrow V$  is a *D*-linear map with kernel  $W_{j+1}(a)$  and range contained in *Dv*. Hence

$$\dim_D(W) = \dim_D(W_0(a))$$
  
= dim<sub>D</sub>(W<sub>1</sub>(a)) + dim<sub>D</sub>(aW<sub>0</sub>(a)) = ··· = dim<sub>D</sub>(W<sub>n+1</sub>(a))  
+  $\sum_{j=0}^n \dim_D(d^j(a)W_j(a)) \le \dim_D(W_{n+1}(a)) + n + 1.$ 

By Lemma 2,  $W_{n+1}(a) = 0$  so  $\dim_D(W) \le n+1$ . Since *W* is an arbitrary finite dimensional *D*-subspace of *V* and  $V \ne 0$  we have  $1 \le \dim_D(V) \le n+1$ . Now take  $i = \dim_D(V)$  and by the density of R/I on *V* with *V* a faithful irreducible R/I-module we get  $R/I \cong D_i$ .

In all that follows  $i = \dim_D(V)$ . If I = 0 there is nothing left to prove in the theorem, so we will assume from now on that  $I \neq 0$ . Note again that  $\operatorname{Ann}_R(V) = I$ . Now define  $I_0 = R$  and for  $0 \leq j$ ,  $I_j = \bigcap_{k=0}^j d^{-k}(I)$  where  $d^{-k}(I) = \{r \in R \mid d^k(r) \in I\}$ . It is immediate that  $d(I_j) \subseteq I_{j-1}$  and that  $I_j$  is an ideal. At this point we will develop some properties of  $I_j$ .

LEMMA 5. If  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ ,  $r \in R$ , and  $a \in I_J \setminus I_{j+1}$  then  $d^j(RaR) \cap (r+I) \neq \emptyset$ .

PROOF. Let  $\varphi: R \to R/I$  by  $\varphi(r) = r+I$ . Now  $a \in I_j \setminus I_{j+1}$  so  $d^j(a) \notin I$  so  $\varphi(d^j(a)) \neq 0$ . As *I* is maximal R/I is simple so  $r + I \in (R/I) \varphi(d^j(a))(R/I) = \varphi(Rd^j(a)R) = \varphi(d^j(RaR))$  because  $d^j(IaR) \subseteq Id^j(aR) + I \subseteq I$  with  $a \in I_j$  and similarly  $d^j(RaI) \subseteq I$ .  $\therefore d^j(RaR) \cap (r+I) \neq \emptyset$ .

LEMMA 6. There is a largest m such that  $I_m \cap J \neq 0$ . Furthermore  $1 \leq m \leq n$ ,  $I_{m+1} = 0$  and for  $0 \leq j$ ,  $I_{j+1}d^j(I_m \cap J) = 0$ .

PROOF. If  $0 \neq r \in I_{n+1} \cap J$  then  $R = Rr + Rd(r) + \cdots + Rd^n(r) \subseteq I$  so since I is a proper ideal of R,  $I_{n+1} \cap J = 0$ . As  $I_0 \cap J = J \neq 0$  we have that m exists and  $0 \leq m \leq n$ . Let  $J_m = I_m \cap J$ . Now  $IJ_m \subseteq I_{m+1} \cap J = 0$  so for j = 0,  $I_{j+1}d^j(I_m \cap J) = 0$ . If  $I_{j+1}d^j(I_m \cap J) = 0$ then  $0 = d(I_{j+2}d^j(J_m)) = I_{j+2}d^{j+1}(J_m)$  as  $d(I_{j+2})d^j(J_m) \subseteq I_{j+1}d^j(J_m)$ . Thus by induction for  $0 \leq j$ ,  $I_{j+1}d^j(I_m \cap J) = 0$ . Now

$$I_{n+1} = I_{n+1}R = I_{n+1} (RJ_m + Rd(J_m) + \dots + Rd^n(J_m))$$
  

$$\subseteq I_1 J_m + I_2 d(J_m) + \dots + I_{n+1} d^n(J_m) = 0$$

If  $I_{m+1} = I_{n+1} = 0$  then *m* cannot be zero because  $I \neq 0$  so we would be done. Now let *j* be the largest *j* such that  $I_j \neq I_{j+1}$ . If j > m then by Lemma 5 choose  $a \in I_j \setminus I_{j+1}$  such that  $d^j(a) \in 1 + I$ . As  $a \in I_{m+1}$ ,  $ad^m(J_m) = 0$ . As for k < j,  $d^k(a) \in I$  we have

$$0 \equiv d^{j}(ad^{m}(J_{m})) \equiv d^{j}(a) d^{m}(J_{m}) \equiv d^{m}(J_{m}) \pmod{I}$$

and  $J_m \subseteq I_m$  so  $0 \neq J_m \subseteq I_{m+1} \cap J = 0$ . As this is impossible,  $j \leq m$ . Therefore  $I_{m+1} = I_{n+1}$  and we are done.

From now on *m* and  $J_m$  will be as used in Lemma 6.

LEMMA 7. *R* and *D* have characteristic *p* with *p* prime such that  $p \setminus m + 1$ . Also  $2 \le p \le n + 1$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 5  $\exists r \in RJ_m R \subseteq I_m$  such that  $d^m(r) \in 1 + I$ . By Lemma 6,  $d^{m-1}(r)$  exists and  $0 = d^{m-1}(r)r$ . Now using the fact that  $\operatorname{Ann}_R(V) = I$  we obtain  $0 = d^{m+1}(d^{m-1}(r)r)V = \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} {m+1 \choose j} d^{2m-j}(r) d^j(r)V = (m+1)d^m(r)V = (m+1)V$ . But  $m+1 \in D$  so D has characteristic p such that  $p \setminus m+1$ , and as D is a division ring, p is prime. But then pV = 0 so  $p \in I$  which gives p = 0 in R by Lemma 1. That  $2 \leq p \leq n+1$  is trivial.

From now on p will be the characteristic of R. Now the lemmas will begin to narrow in on the structure of R.

LEMMA 8. If  $0 \le j \le m$  then  $\exists$  a function  $\theta: R/I \to R$  such that  $\theta(r+I) \in r+I$  and  $d(\theta(r+I)) \in I_j$  for every  $r \in R$ .

PROOF: INDUCTION ON *j*. If j = 0 then take any function  $\theta: R/I \to R$  such that  $\theta(r+I) \in r+I$  for every  $r \in R$ , then  $d(\theta(r+I)) \in R = I_0$  so the result holds. Suppose the result holds for some *j* with j < m. Then  $\exists \gamma: R/I \to R$  with  $\gamma(r+I) \in r+I$  and  $d(\gamma(r+I)) \in I_j$  for every  $r \in R$ . Now  $d^{m-j-1}(J_m)$  is nonempty and  $d^{m-j-1}(J_m) \cap (I_{j+1} \setminus I_{j+2}) \neq \emptyset$  so for  $a \in R \exists b \in I_{j+1}$  such that  $d^{j+1}(b) \in a+I$  by Lemma 5.  $\therefore \exists a$  function  $\psi: R \to I_{j+1}$  such that  $d^{j+1}(\psi(a)) \in a+I$  for every  $a \in R$ . Now take  $\theta(r+I) = \gamma(r+I) - \psi(d^{j+1}(\gamma(r+I)))$ . Then for  $r \in R, \theta(r+I) \in r+I+I_{j+1} = r+I$  and  $d(\theta(r+I)) = d(\gamma(r+I) - \psi(d^{j+1}(\gamma(r+I)))) \in I_j - d(I_{j+1}) = I_j$ . But  $d^j(d(\theta(r+I))) = d^{j+1}(\gamma(r+I)) - d^{j+1}(\psi(d^{j+1}(\gamma(r+I)))) \in d^{j+1}(\gamma(r+I)) - (d^{j+1}(\gamma(r+I))+I) = I$ .  $\therefore d(\theta(r+I)) \in I_{j+1}$ .

LEMMA 9. *R* has a subring R' with  $d(R') \subseteq I_m$ , R = R' + I,  $R' \cap I = 0$ , and  $R' \cong D_i$ .

PROOF. Apply Lemma 8 with j = m to find  $\theta: R/I \to R$  such that  $\theta(r+I) \in r+I$  and  $d(\theta(r+I)) \in I_m$  for every  $r \in R$ . Now if  $r \in R$  and  $r_1r_2 \in r+I$  such that  $d(r_1), d(r_2) \in I_m$  then  $r_1 - r_2 \in I_{m+1} = 0$  by Lemma 6 so  $r_1 = r_2$ .  $\therefore \theta(r+I)$  is the unique element  $r_1 \in r+I$  with  $d(r_1) \in I_m$ . Now define  $R' = \theta(R/I)$ . Then by definition of  $R', d(R') \subseteq I_m$  and as  $0 \in 0 + I = I$  and  $d(0) = 0 \in I_m$ , we have  $R' \cap I = 0$ . Now if  $r, s \in R$  then  $\theta(r+I) + \theta(s+I) \in r+s+I$  and  $d(\theta(r+I) + \theta(s+I)) \in I_m$  so  $\theta(r+s+I) = \theta(r+I) + \theta(s+I)$  by the uniqueness of  $t \in r+s+I$  with  $d(t) \in I_m$ . Similarly  $\theta(rs+I) = \theta(r+I)\theta(s+I)$ .

#### B. TILLY

 $\therefore$   $\theta$  is a ring homomorphism from  $R/I \rightarrow R'$ . Now if  $\theta(r+I) = 0$  then  $0 \in r+I \Rightarrow r \in I$  so  $\theta$  is a ring isomorphism. Using Lemma 4,  $R' = \theta(R/I) \cong D_i$  so  $R' \cong D_i$  and R' is a subring of R.

For convenience R' will be called  $D_i$  from now on. Also  $Z_R$  will be the center of R and  $Z_{D_i}$  the center of  $D_i$ . The function  $\theta$  in Lemma 8 will not be used again.

LEMMA 10. If 
$$1 \le j \le m$$
 and  $r \in R$  then  $\exists s \in I$  such that  $d(s) \in r + I_j$ .

PROOF. Suppose that it is false and let *j* be the least  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  such that  $\exists r \in R$  for which the result fails. By Lemma 6,  $0 \leq m-1$  so  $d^{m-1}(J_m)$  exists and  $d^{m-1}(J_m) \cap (I_1 \setminus I_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore Lemma 5 can be applied to show that  $j \neq 1$ .  $\therefore 1 < j$  and  $\exists a \in I$  such that  $r - d(a) \in I_{j-1}$ . As  $d^{m-j}(J_m) \cap (I_j \setminus I_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset$ , by Lemma 5  $\exists b \in Rd^{m-j}(J_m)R \subseteq I_j$  such that  $d^j(b) \in d^{j-1}(r - d(a)) + I$ . Let  $s = a + b \in I$ . Now  $r - d(s) = (r - d(a)) - d(b) \in I_{j-1}$  and  $d^{j-1}(r - d(s)) = d^{j-1}(r - d(a)) - d^j(b) \in I$  so  $r - d(s) \in I_j$ .  $\therefore j$  does not exist by contradiction so the lemma holds.

LEMMA 11. If  $r \in Z_R$  then  $\exists a \in I \cap Z_R$  with  $d(a) \in r + I_m$ . If in addition  $r \in I$  then  $r^p = 0$ .

PROOF. Apply Lemma 10 to find  $a \in I$  such that  $r - d(a) \in I_m$ . Then let  $K = \{ab - ba \mid b \in R\}$ . Then  $K \subseteq I$  and  $d(K) \subseteq K + I_m$  so it is immediate that  $K \subseteq I_{m+1} = 0$  so  $a \in Z_R$ . If in addition  $r \in I$  then  $r^p \in I$  and  $d(r^p) = pr^{p-1}d(r) = 0 \in I_m$  because p is the characteristic of R, so therefore  $r^p \in I_{m+1} = 0$ .

Suppose that  $\exists x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{\ell} \in I \cap Z_R$  such that  $d(x_1) \in 1 + I$ , and  $d(x_j) \in x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{j-1}^{p-1} + I_m$  for every  $j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, \ell\}$ . Recall from number theory that if  $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p^{\ell} - 1\}$  then k has a unique representation as  $n_{\ell}n_{\ell-1} \cdots n_1 = n_1 + n_2 p + \cdots + n_{\ell}p^{\ell-1}$  with  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{\ell} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\}$ . Now define  $\theta: \{0, 1, \ldots, p^{\ell} - 1\} \rightarrow R$  by  $\theta(k) = \theta(n_{\ell}n_{\ell-1} \cdots n_1) = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_{\ell}^{n_{\ell}}$  where  $r^0$  is defined to be 1. Note that  $\theta(p^{j-1}) = x_j$ . Now Lemma 12 is a technical result that is crucial in finding the structure of R.

LEMMA 12. If  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\ell$  exist and  $0 \neq x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\ell$  then  $\forall 0 \leq k \leq p^\ell - 1$ ,  $\theta(k) \in I_k \cap Z_R$  and  $d^k(\theta(k))$  is invertible.

PROOF: INDUCTION ON *k*. If k = 0 then  $\theta(k) = x_1^0 x_2^0 \cdots x_{\ell}^0 = 1 \in I_0 \cap Z_R$  and is also invertible. Suppose the result holds for *k* and  $k < p^{\ell} - 1$ . Note that  $\theta(k+1)$  is the product of elements from  $Z_R$  so  $\theta(k+1) \in Z(R)$ . To finish, divide into cases.

CASE I.  $k + 1 = p^{j-1}$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., \ell\}$ .

Then  $\theta(k+1) = x_j$ . As the result holds for k,  $\theta(k) \in I_k$  and  $d^k(\theta(k))$  is invertible so  $0 \neq \theta(k) \in I_k \Rightarrow k \leq m$ . Now  $d(\theta(k+1)) = d(x_j) \in x_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{j-1}^{p-1} + I_m =$  $\theta((p-1)(1+p+\cdots+p^{j-2})) + I_m = \theta(p^{j-1}-1) + I_m = \theta(k) + I_m$  so  $d(\theta(k+1)) \in I_k$ . As  $\theta(k+1) = x_j \in I$ ,  $\theta(k+1) \in I_{k+1}$ . As  $0 \neq \theta(k+1) \in I_{k+1}$ ,  $k+1 \leq m$  so  $d^{k+1}(\theta(k+1)) \in$  $d^k(\theta(k)+I_m) \subseteq d^k(\theta(k)+I_{k+1}) \subseteq d^k(\theta(k)) + I$ .  $\therefore d^{k+1}(\theta(k+1)) = d^k(\theta(k)) - a$  for some  $a \in I$ . As  $\theta(k) \in Z_R$ ,  $d^k(\theta(k)) \in Z_R$  and  $a \in I$  so  $a^{m+1} \in I_{m+1} = 0$ . Since  $(d^k\theta(k)) - a$ divides  $(d^k(\theta(k)))^{m+1} - a^{m+1}$  and  $d^k(\theta(k))$  is invertible, so is  $d^{k+1}(\theta(k+1))$ . CASE II.  $k+1 \neq p^{j-1} \forall 1 \leq j \leq \ell$ .

Let  $k + 1 = n_1 + n_2 p + \dots + n_\ell p^{\ell-1}$  with  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . Let  $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N\} = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\} \mid n_j \neq 0\}$  with  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_N$ . Note that  $\theta(k+1) = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_\ell^{n_\ell} = x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1}} x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}} \cdots x_{j_N}^{n_{j_N}}$ . Now  $\theta(k+1) \in I$ ,  $\theta(k) \in I_k$ ,  $k \neq 0$  so  $n_{j_1}$  exists and  $n_{j_1}$  is invertible as an element of  $D_i$  (and therefore of R), and  $d^k(\theta(k))$  is invertible so the lemma would follow if  $d(\theta(k+1)) = n_{j_1}\theta(k)$ .

Now suppose that  $2 \le M \le N$ . Then

$$x_{j_1}^{n_1}x_{j_2}^{n_2}\cdots x_{j_{M-1}}^{n_{j_{M-1}}}d(x_{j_M}^{n_{j_M}})x_{j_{M+1}}^{n_{j_{M+1}}}\cdots x_{j_N}^{n_{j_N}}\in x_{j_1}d(x_{j_M})R$$

using  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_\ell \in Z_R$ . But  $x_{j_1} d(x_{j_M}) R \in x_{j_1}^p R + x_{j_1} I_m = 0$  by Lemmas 6 and 11 and the fact that  $j_1 < j_M$  and the definition of  $d(x_{j_M})$ . Therefore

$$d(k+1) = d(x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1}} x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}} \cdots x_{j_N}^{n_{j_N}})$$
  
=  $\sum_{M=1}^{N} x_{j_1}^{n_1} x_{j_1}^{n_2} \cdots x_{j_{M-1}}^{n_{M-1}} d(x_{j_M}^{n_M}) x_{j_{M+1}}^{n_{M+1}} x_{j_{M+2}}^{n_{M+2}} \cdots x_{j_N}^{n_N}$   
=  $d(x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1}}) x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}} \cdots x_{j_N}^{n_{j_N}} \in n_{j_1} (x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{j_{j-1}}^{p-1} + I_m) x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1-1}} x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}} x_{j_3}^{n_{j_3}} \cdots x_{j_N}^{n_{j_N}}.$ 

However because  $k + 1 \neq p^{j-1} \forall 1 \leq j \leq \ell$  we have trivially  $2 \leq n_{j_1} + n_{j_2} + \dots + n_{j_N}$  and  $I_m \cdot I = 0$  so

$$d(\theta(k+1)) = n_{j_1} x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{j_1-1}^{p-1} x_{j_1}^{n_{j_1}-1} x_{j_2}^{n_{j_2}} x_{j_3}^{n_{j_3}} \cdots x_{j_N}^{n_{j_N}}$$
  
=  $n_{j_1} \theta((p-1)(1+p+\cdots+p^{j_1-2})-p^{j_1-1}+n_{j_1}p^{j_1-1}$   
 $+ n_{j_2} p^{j_1-1} + \cdots + n_{j_N} p^{j_N-1})$   
=  $n_{j_1} \theta(-1+k+1) = n_{j_1} \theta(k).$ 

Therefore the lemma holds.

LEMMA 13. There exists a largest  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\ell$  all exist and are nonzero. Furthermore  $m = p^{\ell} - 1$ .

PROOF.  $1 \in Z_R$  so by Lemma 11,  $x_1$  exists. By Lemma 6,  $1 \le m$  so  $d(x_1) \in 1 + I_m \subseteq 1 + I$  and  $I \ne R$  so  $d(x_1) \notin I \Rightarrow x_1 \ne 0$ . Now if there is no last  $\ell$  such that  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\ell$  all exist and are nonzero then take  $\ell = m$  and then by Lemma 12,  $0 \ne I_{p'} \subseteq I_{m+I}$  contrary to Lemma 6 so a last such  $\ell$  exists. But now take  $\ell$  to be maximal and by Lemma 12,  $d^{p'-1}(\theta(p^{\ell}-1))$  is invertible and  $\theta(p^{\ell}-1) \in I_{p'-1}$  but  $d^{p'-1}(\theta(p^{\ell}-1)) \notin I$  so  $m \ge p^{\ell}-1$ . However by Lemma 11  $\exists x_{\ell+1} \in I \cap Z_R$  with  $d(x_{\ell+1}) \in \theta(p^{\ell}-1) + I_m$  but  $\ell$  is maximal so  $x_{\ell+1} = 0$  and  $\theta(p^{\ell}-1) \in I_m$ , from which  $m \le p^{\ell} - 1$ . Therefore  $m = p^{\ell} - 1$ .

LEMMA 14. Let  $0 \le j \le p^{\ell} - 1$ . Then  $I_j = I_{j+1} + D_i \theta(j)$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 12,  $\theta(j) \in I_j$  so as  $I_{j+1} \subseteq I_j$  and  $I_j$  is an ideal,  $I_{j+1} + D_i\theta(j) \subseteq I_j$ . Now by Lemma 12,  $d^j(\theta(j))$  is invertible so  $\theta(j) \in I_j \setminus I_{j+1}$ . Therefore if  $r \in I_j$  then by Lemma 5  $\exists s \in R\theta(j)R = R\theta(j)$  (because  $\theta(j) \in Z_R$ ) such that  $d^j(s) \in d^j(r) + I$ . However  $s = (a+b)\theta(j)$  for some  $a \in D_i$  and  $b \in I$  by Lemma 9. But then  $d^j(b\theta(j)) \in I$  so  $d^j(r) \in d^j(a\theta(j)) + I$ . As  $r - a\theta(j) \in I_j$  this gives  $r - a\theta(j) \in I_{j+1}$ .  $\therefore r \in a\theta(j) + I_{j+1} \subseteq D_i\theta(j) + I_{j+1}$ .

Now it is a matter of putting together the pieces.

LEMMA 15. There exists a derivation f of  $D_i$  and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\ell \in Z_{D_i}$  such that  $\forall a \in D_i, d(a) = f(a)x_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_\ell^{p-1}, d(x_1) = 1 + a_1x_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_\ell^{p-1}, and d(x_j) = x_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{j-1}^{p-1} + a_jx_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_j^{p-1}$  for  $j = 2, 3, \ldots, \ell$ .

PROOF. Note that  $x_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1}\cdots x_\ell^{p-1} = \theta(p^\ell-1)$ , and by Lemma 13,  $m = p^\ell - 1$  so by Lemmas 6 and 14,  $I_m = D_i\theta(p^\ell - 1)$ . Now suppose that  $a, b \in D_i$  and  $(a - b)\theta(p^\ell - 1) = 0$ . Then by Lemma 9,  $0 = d^{p^\ell-1}((a - b)\theta(p^\ell - 1)) \in (a - b)d^{p^\ell-1}(\theta(p^\ell - 1)) + I$  so  $(a - b)d^{p^\ell-1}(\theta(p^\ell - 1)) \in I$  so by Lemma 12,  $a - b \in I$ . But then by Lemma 9,  $a - b \in I \cap D_i = 0$  so a = b. Therefore if  $a\theta(p^\ell - 1) = 0$  then a = 0. Thus there exists a unique function  $f: D_i \to D_i$  such that if  $a \in D_i$  then  $d(a) = f(a)\theta(p^\ell - 1)$ . Now if  $a, b \in D_i$  then  $f(a + b)\theta(p^\ell - 1) = d(a + b) = d(a) + d(b) = (f(a) + f(b))\theta(p^\ell - 1)$  so f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b). Also  $f(ab)\theta(p^\ell - 1) = d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) = (f(a)b + af(b))\theta(p^\ell - 1)$  so f(ab) = f(a)b + af(b) so f is a derivation. Now as  $I_m = D_i\theta(p^\ell - 1)$  by Lemma 14, from the definition of  $x_1 \exists a_1 \in D_i$  with  $d(x_1) = 1 + a_1\theta(p^\ell - 1)$ . But then by the definition of  $x_1, x_1 \in Z_R$  so  $1 + a_1\theta(p^\ell - 1) = d(x_1) \in Z_R$  so  $\forall a \in D_i$ ,  $0 = a(1 + a_1\theta(p^\ell - 1)) - (1 + a_1\theta(p^\ell - 1))a = (aa_1 - a_1a)\theta(p^\ell - 1)$  so  $aa_1 - a_1a = 0$ .  $\therefore a_1 \in Z_{D_i}$ .

LEMMA 16.  $R \cong D_i[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\ell]/(y_1^p, y_2^p, \dots, y_\ell^p)$ .

**PROOF.** By Lemma 11,  $0 = x_1^p = x_2^p = \cdots = x_\ell^p$  so there is a unique ring homomorphism  $\psi: D_i[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\ell]/(y_1^p, y_2^p, \dots, y_\ell^p) \to R$  with  $\psi(a) = a \ \forall a \in D_i$  and  $\psi(y_j) = x_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ . Now  $\psi$  is an epimorphism because by Lemmas 14 and 13,

$$R = I_0 = D_i + I_1$$
  
=  $D_i + D_i\theta(1) + I_2 = \dots = D_i + D_i\theta(1) + D_i\theta(2) + \dots + D_i\theta(p^{\ell} - 1)$   
 $\subseteq \psi(D_i[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{\ell}]/(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{\ell})).$ 

Now to finish it suffices to show that  $\psi$  is one-to-one. Now suppose that  $a \in D_i[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\ell]/(y_1^p, y_2^p, \dots, y_\ell^p)$  and that  $\psi(a) = 0$ . Formally,  $\psi(a) = a_0 + a_1\theta(1) + \dots + a_{p'-1}\theta(p^\ell - 1)$  with  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p'-1} \in D_i$ . If some  $a_j \neq 0$  then let j be the least j such that  $a_j \neq 0$  and note that  $d^j(\psi(a)) \notin I$  contrary to  $\psi(a) = 0$ . Clearly if  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p'-1}$  are all 0 then a = 0 so  $\psi$  is one-to-one.

Let us review what part of Theorem 1 we now know. For the case where I = 0, Lemma 4 does the job. If  $I \neq 0$  then Lemmas 15 and 16 give us most of Theorem 1 and together with Lemma 7 all that we do not know is  $2 \le ip^{\ell} \le n + 1$ . However we have  $1 \le i \le n + 1$  from Lemma 4,  $2 \le p \le n + 1$  from Lemma 7 and  $1 \le \ell$  from Lemmas 6 and 13. Thus we know that  $2 \le ip^{\ell}$ . The rest of the paper will show that  $ip^{\ell} \le n + 1$ .

From Lemmas 6 and  $14 \exists b \in D_i$  such that  $0 \neq b\theta(m) \in I_m \cap J$ . By similar reasoning to Lemma 3,  $\exists 0 \neq a \in D_i b$  such that  $\dim_D \left( f^j(a) \left( \bigcap_{k=0}^{j-1} \operatorname{Ker}(f^k(a)) \right) \right) = 0$  or 1 for j = 1, 2, ..., n and  $\dim_D(aV) = 0$  or 1 also. Now define  $L_0 = 0$  and for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, L_j = D_i a + D_i f(a) + \cdots + D_i f^{j-1}(a)$ . Therefore  $L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \cdots$  and  $f(L_0) \subseteq L_1, f(L_1) \subseteq L_2$ ,  $f(L_2) \subseteq L_3, \dots$  Now if  $N = jp^{\ell} + k$  with  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \}$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^{\ell} - 1\}$ then define  $\mathcal{L}[N] = \mathcal{L}(j,k) = RL_j + I_{p^{\ell}-k-1}L_{j+1}$ . Note that  $0 \neq a \in J$  and Lemma 1 imply that  $R = Ra + Rd(a) + \dots + Rd^n(a)$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , R be a ring with unit, J a left ideal of R, and d a derivation of R such that  $d^n(J) \neq 0$  and  $d^n(r) = 0$  or  $d^n(r)$  is invertible, for every  $r \in J$ . Then there exists a division ring D such that R is either.

- 1)  $D_i$ , the ring of  $i \times i$  matrices over a division ring D with  $1 \le i \le n + 1$ , or
- 2)  $D_i[x_1, x_2, ..., x_\ell]/(x_1^p, x_2^p, ..., x_\ell^p)$  where  $i, \ell, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , p is prime,  $2 \le ip^\ell \le n + 1$ , and char D = p.

Furthermore, there exists a derivation f of  $D_i$  and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\ell \in Z_{D_i}$ , the center of  $D_i$ , with  $d(a) = f(a)x_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1}\cdots x_\ell^{p-1}$  for all  $a \in D_i$ ,  $d(x_1) = 1 + a_1x_1^{p-1}x_2^{p-1}\cdots x_\ell^{p-1}$ , and

$$d(x_j) = x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{j-1}^{p-1} + a_j x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{\ell}^{p-1}$$

*for*  $j = 2, 3, ..., \ell$ .

PROOF. As has been noted, all that is left is to show that  $ip^{\ell} \leq n + 1$ . This will be proved under the assumption  $d(\mathcal{L}[N]) \subseteq \mathcal{L}[N+1] \forall N \geq 0$ , and then that assumption will be proved.

PART 1. Assume  $d(\mathcal{L}[N]) \subseteq \mathcal{L}[N+1] \forall N \ge 0$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{L}[0] \subseteq \mathcal{L}[1] \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{L}[n]$  and for  $N \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ ,  $d^{N}(\mathcal{L}[0]) \subseteq \mathcal{L}[N]$ . Now choose j, k with  $0 \leq k \leq p^{\ell} - 1$  with  $n + 1 = jp^{\ell} + k$ . It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{L}[n] \subseteq L_j + I$ . But  $a\theta(p^{\ell} - 1) \in \mathcal{L}[0]$  so  $R \subseteq R\mathcal{L}[0] + R\mathcal{L}[1] + \cdots + R\mathcal{L}[n] = R\mathcal{L}[n] \subseteq (D_i + I)(L_j + I) \subseteq L_j + I \subseteq R$  so  $R = L_j + I$ . Note that if  $c_1 \in D_i$  then  $c_1 \in L_j + I$  so  $\exists c_2 \in L_j$ with  $c_1 - c_2 \in D_i \cap I = 0$  by Lemma 9 and  $L_j \subseteq D_i$  so  $D_i = L_j = D_i a + D_i f(a) + \cdots + f^{j-1}(a)$ so by the same reasoning as in Lemmas 2 and  $4, j \geq \dim_D(V) = i$  but  $n + 1 = jp^{\ell} + k$ and  $0 \leq k$  so  $j \leq \frac{n+1}{p^{\ell}}$  so  $ip^{\ell} \leq n + 1$ .

PART 2. Prove that  $d(\mathcal{L}[N]) \subseteq \mathcal{L}[N+1] \forall N \ge 0$ .

INDUCTION ON *N*. If N = 0 then  $\mathcal{L}[N] = \mathcal{L}(0,0) = RL_0 + I_{p'-1}L_1 = I_{p'-1}L_1$  so  $d(\mathcal{L}[N]) \subseteq I_{p'-2}L_1 + Id(L_1) = RL_0 + I_{p'-1-1}L_1 = \mathcal{L}[1]$  using the fact that  $d(L_1) \subseteq I_m$ . Now suppose that  $d(\mathcal{L}[N]) \subseteq \mathcal{L}[N+1]$  and divide into cases.

CASE I.  $N + 1 = jp^{\ell} + k$  with  $1 \le k < p^{\ell} - 1$ .

Then by Lemma 14,  $\mathcal{L}[N+1] = \mathcal{L}(j,k) = RL_j + I_{p^i-k-1}L_{j+1} = RL_j + I_{p^i-k}L_{j+1} + D_i\theta(p^\ell - k - 1)L_{j+1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}[N] + I_{p^i-k-1}L_{j+1}$ .  $\therefore d(\mathcal{L}[N+1]) \subseteq d(\mathcal{L}[N]) + d(I_{p^i-k-1})L_{j+1} + I_{p^i-k-1}d(L_{j+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}[N+1] + I_{p^i-k-2}L_{j+1} \subseteq RL_j + I_{p^i-k-2}L_{j+1} = \mathcal{L}(j,k+1) = \mathcal{L}[N+2].$ 

CASE II.  $N + 1 = ip^{\ell} + k$  with  $k = p^{\ell} - 1$ .

Then  $\mathcal{L}(N+1) = RL_j + I_0L_{j+1} = RL_{j+1}$  because  $I_0 = R$ .  $\therefore d(\mathcal{L}[N+1]) \subseteq d(R)L_{j+1} + R\theta(p^{\ell} - 1)f(L_{j+1}) \subseteq RL_{j+1} + I_{p'-1}L_{j+2} = \mathcal{L}(j+1,0) = \mathcal{L}[N+2].$ 

CASE III.  $N + 1 = jp^{\ell} + k$  with  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and k = 0.

Then  $\mathcal{L}[N+1] = RL_j + I_{p'-1}L_{j+1} = RL_{j-1} + I_0L_j + I_{p'-1}L_{j+1} = \mathcal{L}[N] + I_{p'-1}L_{j+1}.$ Therefore  $d(\mathcal{L}[N+1]) \subseteq \mathcal{L}[N+1] + I_{p'-2}L_{j+1} = RL_j + I_{p'-2}L_{j+1} = \mathcal{L}(j, 1) = \mathcal{L}[N+2].$ 

### B. TILLY

## REFERENCES

1. J. Bergen, I. N. Herstein and C. Lanski, *Derivations with invertible values*, Can. J. Math. 35(1983), 300–310.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Victoria Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4

Present address: 6188 Bradley Hall Dartmouth College Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Hanover, New Hampshire 03755 U.S.A.