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Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with its dual space $H^{\prime}$. The norm and inner product in $H$ are denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\langle.,$.$\rangle respectively. We denote by \langle.,$.$\rangle , the$ pairing between $H^{\prime}$ and $H$.

If $a(u, v)$ is a bilinear form and $F$ is a real-valued contmuous functional on $H$, then we consider $I[v]$, a functional defined by

$$
I[v]=a(v, v)-2 F(v), \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H
$$

It has been shown by Noor and Whiteman [5], that under certain conditions on $a(u, v)$ and $F$, the minimum of $I(v)$ on $H$ can be characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, v)=\left\langle F^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle, \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{\prime}(u)$ is the Fréchet derivative of $F$ at $u \in H$.
For a linear continuous functional $F$, solving equation (1) is equivalent to finding $u \in H$ such that

$$
a(u, v)=\langle F, v\rangle, \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H,
$$

and this is the well known Lax-Milgram lemma [2].
The motivation of this paper is to show that under certain conditions, there does exist a unique solution of a more general equation of which (1) is a special case. Our result can be considered as a representation theorem analogous to the Lax-Milgram lemma for a class of nonlinear problems.

Definition 1. The operator $T: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ is called antimonotone, if

$$
\langle T u-T v, u-v\rangle \leq 0, \quad \text { for all } \quad u, v \in H,
$$

and is said to be Lipschitz continuous, if there exists a constant $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\|T u-T v\| \leq \gamma\|u-v\|, \quad \text { for all } \quad u, v \in H .
$$

Definition 2. A bilinear form $a(u, v)$ on $H$ is said to be coercive [3] and continuous, if there exist constants $\rho>0, \mu>0$ such that

$$
a(v, v) \geq \rho\|v\|^{2}, \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H,
$$

[^0]and
$$
|a(u, v)| \leq \mu\|u\|\|v\|, \quad \text { for all } \quad u, v \in H .
$$

In particular, it follows that $\rho \leq \mu$, see [4]. If $a(u, v)$ is continuous coercive bilinear form, then by the Riesz-Fréchet representation theorem [1], we have

$$
a(u, v)=\langle T u, v\rangle, \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H .
$$

It has been shown [4] that $\|T\| \leq \mu$. Finally, we define $\Lambda$, a canonical isomorphism from $H^{\prime}$ onto $H$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, v\rangle=(\Lambda f, v), \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H, f \in H^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\|\Lambda\|_{H^{\prime}}=\left\|\Lambda^{-1}\right\|_{H}=1$.
We make the following hypothesis.
Condition $N$. We assume that $\gamma<\rho$, where $\gamma$ is the Lipschitz constant of the nonlinear operator $A$ and $\rho$ is the coercivity constant.

We now state and prove the main result.
Theorem 1. Let $a(u, v)$ be a coercive continuous bilinear form and A is a Lipschitz continuous antimonotone operator. If condition $N$ holds, then there exists a unique $u \in H$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, v)=\langle\mathrm{A}(u), v\rangle, \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $a(u, v)$ is a symmetric positive bilinear form and $A(u)=F^{\prime}(u)$, the Fréchet derivative of $F$ at $u$, then solving (3) is equivalent to finding $\operatorname{Min}_{v \in H}\{a(v, v)-2 F(v)\}$, as shown in [5].

We need the following lemma, which is essentially due to Noor [4]. We include its proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 1. Let $\xi$ be a number such that $0<\xi<2\left(\rho-\gamma / \mu^{2}-\gamma^{2}\right)$ and $\gamma \xi<1$. Then there exists a $\theta$ with $0<\theta<1$ such that

$$
\left\|\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \theta\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|, \quad \text { for all } \quad u_{1}, u_{2} \in H
$$

where for $u \in H, \Phi(u) \in H^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\Phi(u), v\rangle=(u, v)-\xi a(u, v)+\xi\langle A(u), v\rangle, \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For all $u_{1}, u_{2} \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{2}\right), v\right\rangle=\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, v\right)-\xi a\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, v\right)+\xi\left\langle A\left(u_{i}\right)-A\left(u_{2}\right), v\right\rangle, \\
& \text { for all } v \in H . \\
&=\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, v\right)-\xi\left\langle T\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right), v\right\rangle+\xi\left\langle A\left(u_{1}\right)-A\left(u_{2}\right), v\right\rangle \\
&=\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, v\right)-\xi\left(\Lambda T\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right), v\right)+\xi\left(\Lambda A\left(u_{1}\right)-\Lambda A\left(u_{2}\right), v\right), \text { by }(2) . \\
&=\left(u_{1}-u_{2}-\xi \Lambda T\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right), v\right)+\xi\left(\Lambda A\left(u_{1}\right)-\Lambda A\left(u_{2}\right), v\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|\left\langle\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{2}\right), v\right\rangle\right| \leq\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}-\xi \Lambda T\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right\|\|v\|+\xi\left\|A\left(u_{1}\right)-A\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|\|v\| .
$$

Now by $\|T\| \leq \mu$ and the coercivity of $a(u, v)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}-\xi \Lambda T\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|^{2}+\xi^{2}\|T\|^{2}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|^{2}-2 \xi a\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right), \\
& \leq\left(1+\xi^{2} \mu^{2}-2 \xi \rho\right)\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{2}\right), v\right\rangle\right| & \leq\left(\sqrt{ } 1+\xi^{2} \mu^{2}-2 \xi \rho\right)\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|\|v\|+\xi\left\|A\left(u_{1}\right)-A\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|\|v\|, \\
& \leq\left\{\left(\sqrt{ } 1+\xi^{2} \mu^{2}-2 \xi \rho\right)+\xi \gamma\right\}\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|\|v\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Lipschitz continuity of $A$.

$$
=\theta\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|\|v\|
$$

where $\theta=\sqrt{ } 1+\xi^{2} \mu^{2}-2 \xi \rho+\gamma \xi<1$ for $0<\xi<2\left(\rho-\gamma / \mu^{2}-\gamma^{2}\right)$, and $\xi \gamma<1$, because $\gamma<\rho$ by condition $N$.

Thus for all $u_{1}, u_{2} \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{2}\right)\right\|_{H^{\prime}} & =\sup _{v \in H} \frac{\left|\left\langle\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{2}\right), v\right\rangle\right|}{\|v\|} \\
& \leq \theta\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of theorem 1. Uniqueness.
Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be two solutions in $H$ of

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a\left(u_{1}, v\right)=\left\langle A\left(u_{1}\right), v\right\rangle & \text { for all } & v \in H, \\
a\left(u_{2}, v\right)=\left\langle A\left(u_{2}\right), v\right\rangle & \text { for all } & v \in H .
\end{array}
$$

Thus by subtracting and taking $v$ as $\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)$, we get

$$
a\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right)=\left\langle\mathrm{A}\left(u_{1}\right)-\mathrm{A}\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle .
$$

By the coercivity of $a(u, v)$ and the antimonotonicity of $A$, it follows that there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|^{2} & \leq a\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \\
& =\left\langle A\left(u_{1}\right)-A\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle \\
& \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $u_{1}=u_{2}$, the uniqueness.
Existence. For a fixed $\xi$ as in lemma 1 and $u \in H$, define $\Phi(u) \in H^{\prime}$, by (4). Thus by the Riesz-Fréchet theorem, there exists a unique $w \in H$ such that

$$
(w, v)=\langle\Phi(u), v\rangle \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H,
$$

and $w$ is given by

$$
w=\Lambda \Phi(u)=T u
$$

which defines a map from $H$ into itself.
Now for all $u_{1}, u_{2} \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T u_{1}-T u_{2}\right\| & =\left\|\Lambda \Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Lambda \Phi\left(u_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \theta\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|, \text { by lemma } 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\theta<1, T u$ is a contraction and has a fixed point $T u=u \in H$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u, v) & =\langle\Phi(u), v\rangle \\
& =(u, v)-\xi a(u, v)+\xi\langle A(u), v\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for $\xi>0$, we have

$$
a(u, v)=\langle A(u), v\rangle \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H
$$

Remark 1. It is obvious that for $A(u)=F^{1}(u)$, the existence of a unique solution of (1) follows under the assumptions of theorem 1.

If $A$ is independent of $u$, i.e., $A u=f$ (say), then the Lipschitz constant $\gamma$ is zero. Consequently theorm 1 is exactly the same as one proved by Lax and Milgram [2].

Furthermore, for the special case $a(u, v)=(u, v)$, theorem 1 reduces to:
Theorem 2. If $A$ is Lipschitz continuous antimonotone operator with Lipschitz constant $\gamma<1$, then there exists a unique solution $u \in H$ such that

$$
(u, v)=\langle A(u), v\rangle \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H .
$$

Theorem 2 shows that the Riesz-Fréchet theorem also holds for a class of monotone operators on $H$, which includes the Fréchet derivatives of nonlinear functionals as a special case.

We give another proof of theorem 1 based on the iteration scheme similar to Picard's and also derive a bound for the error.

We define the iteration $u_{n}$ by the following scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(u_{n+1}, v\right)=\left\langle A\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in H \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3. If $a(u, v)$ is a positive definite bilinear form on $H$ and $A$ is a Lipschitz continuous operator such that condition $N$ holds, then the iteration $u_{n}$ defined by (5) converges strongly to $u$, the solution of (3) in H. Moreover, the bound for the error, for any $u_{0} \in H$, is given by

$$
\left\|u_{n}-u\right\| \leq \frac{\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left\|u_{1}-u_{0}\right\|, \quad \text { for } \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

where $\alpha=\gamma / \rho$.

Proof. By the coercivity (positive definiteness) of $a(u, v)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq a\left(u_{n+1}-u_{n}, u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right) \\
& =\left\langle\boldsymbol{A}\left(u_{n}\right)-A\left(u_{n-1}\right), u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\rangle, \operatorname{by}(5) . \\
& \leq\left\|A\left(u_{n}\right)-A\left(u_{n-1}\right)\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

$$
\leq \gamma\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\|\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|
$$

by the Lipschitz continuity of $A$.
Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\| & \leq \frac{\gamma}{\rho}\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\| \\
& =\alpha\left\|u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha=\gamma / \rho<1$ by condition $N$.
Continuing in this way, we obtain

$$
\left\|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\| \leq \alpha^{n}\left\|u_{1}-u_{0}\right\| .
$$

Hence, by the repeated use of the triangle inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n+k}-u_{n}\right\| & \leq\left(\alpha^{n+k-1}+\cdots+\alpha^{n}\right)\left\|u_{1}-u_{0}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left\|u_{1}-u_{0}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha<1$, it follows that $u_{n}$ is a Cauchy sequence and has a limit point such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u \in H$, the unique solution of (3). Also at the same time it implies that

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { in } H \text { strongly. }
$$

Remark 2. Theorem 3 holds for any general complete normed space. Note that it also shows the existence of a unique solution of (3).
Remark 3. We note that if $a(u, v)$ is a positive definite bilinear form on $H$, then from (1), it follows that for all $u \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\|u\|^{2} & \leq a(u, u)=\left\langle F^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\|F^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{H^{\prime}}\|u\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.
Thus

$$
\|u\| \leq \frac{1}{\rho}\left\|F^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{H^{\prime}} .
$$

This expresses the continuous dependence of $u$ on the Fréchet derivative $F^{\prime}(u)$. For the linear functional $F$, it follows that

$$
\|u\| \leq \frac{1}{\rho}\|F\|_{H^{\prime}}
$$

a well known result, see Strang and Fix [6, page 16].
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