

# A weakly mixing upside-down tower of isometric extensions

S. GLASNER AND B. WEISS†

*Tel Aviv University and the Hebrew University of Jerusalem*

(Received 6 June 1980)

*Abstract.* On the infinite torus  $X = \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , using a category argument, we produce a large family of homeomorphisms such that for every element  $S$  in this family the flow  $(S, X)$  is weakly mixing and strictly ergodic. Moreover, writing  $X_n = \mathbb{T}^{\{n, n+1, \dots\}}$  and letting  $\pi_{n,m}$ , for  $n < m$ , be the projection of  $X_n$  on  $X_m$ ,  $S$  induces for every  $n$ , a homeomorphism of  $X_n$  and the extensions  $(S, X_n) \xrightarrow{\pi_{n,n+1}} (S, X_{n+1})$  are isometric. We also show that, for every  $S$  in this family,  $(S, X)$  is disjoint from every purely weakly mixing flow.

## 1. Introduction

For a minimal flow  $(T, X)$ , where  $X$  is a compact metrizable space and  $T$  a locally compact group, one defines the notions of distality and equicontinuity as follows.  $(T, X)$  is *distal* if for some metric  $d$  on  $X$  (and hence for every metric)  $\inf_{t \in T} d(tx, tx') > 0$

for every  $x, x' \in X$  with  $x \neq x'$ . If for some metric  $d$  and every  $t \in T$ ,  $d(tx, tx') = d(x, x')$  for all  $x, x' \in X$ , then  $(T, X)$  is *equicontinuous*. Clearly, every equicontinuous flow is distal. That the converse is false was shown by Furstenberg [2] and Auslander, Green and Hahn [1]. We say that  $(T, X)$  is an *isometric extension* of  $(T, Y)$  if there exist a

homomorphism  $(T, X) \xrightarrow{\pi} (T, Y)$  and a continuous function  $d$  on  $R = \{(x, x') \in X \times X : \pi(x) = \pi(x')\}$  such that:

- (i) For every  $y \in Y$ ,  $d$  restricted to  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  is a metric.
- (ii)  $d(tx, tx') = d(x, x')$  for all  $(x, x') \in X$  and  $t \in T$ .

Furstenberg's celebrated structure theorem asserts that with every metrizable distal minimal flow  $(T, X)$ , there exist a (countable) ordinal  $\eta$  and flows  $(T, X_\theta)$  ( $\theta \leq \eta$ ), such that:

- (1)  $X_0$  is the trivial one point flow and  $X_\eta = X$ .
- (2) For every  $\theta < \eta$  there exists an isometric extension

$$X_{\theta+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_\theta} X_\theta.$$

- (3) For a limit ordinal  $\theta \leq \eta$ ,  $X_\theta = \text{invlim}_{\beta < \theta} X_\beta$ .

† Address for correspondence: Dr B. Weiss, Department of Mathematics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel.

The converse of this theorem is clear: namely, every minimal flow possessing such a tower is distal.

A natural question is thus whether a minimal flow which can be represented by an inverted tower of isometric extensions must be distal? More precisely, suppose that  $(T, X)$  is a minimal flow; suppose further that, for every positive integer  $n$ , there

exist a flow  $X_n$  and a homomorphism  $X_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} X_{n+1}$  such that:

- (1')  $X_1 = X$ .
  - (2')  $\pi_n$  is an isometric extension for every  $n$ .
  - (3') The largest common factor of all the  $X_n$  is the trivial flow.
- (Equivalently, the set

$$\{(x, x') \in X \times X : \exists n \pi_n \circ \dots \circ \pi_1(x) = \pi_n \circ \dots \circ \pi_1(x')\}$$

is dense in  $X \times X$ .) Is  $(T, X)$  necessarily distal?

In this note we show that the answer to our question is negative. We construct a large family of minimal flows on the infinite torus, satisfying properties (1')–(3'), which are non-distal. In fact, they are weakly mixing with respect to the usual product measure and hence also topologically weakly mixing. The latter property implies that these flows are actually *disjoint* from every minimal distal flow (recall that two minimal flows are disjoint if their product is minimal).

The easiest way of characterizing pure weak mixing is as follows. A minimal flow  $(T, X)$  is *purely weak mixing* (p.w.m.) if, for every topologically weakly mixing minimal flow  $(T, Y)$ , every minimal subset of  $(T, X \times Y)$  is topologically weakly mixing. This is not the original definition of p.w.m. which is rather technical [3]. It follows immediately from [3] that a minimal flow satisfying (1')–(3') above, cannot be p.w.m. and, in fact, we shall show that such a flow is disjoint from every p.w.m. flow. The first author is indebted to Prof. R. Ellis for pointing this out. Of course this falsifies the conjecture that every topologically weakly mixing, non-trivial, minimal flow possesses a non-trivial p.w.m. factor.

Our method of construction is that of [5]. We refer the reader to [4] for more details on the notions mentioned in this introduction.

## 2. The set $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$

We let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the set of integers,  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of positive integers,  $\mathbb{R}$  the real numbers and  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  the additive group of reals modulo one. Let  $|\cdot|$  stand for the distance of a real number from the closest integer. Put  $X = \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ;  $X$  is a compact monothetic group and we choose an element  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots)$  in  $X$  such that the set  $\{n\alpha\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is dense in  $X$ . Let  $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$  be the homeomorphism of  $X$  defined by  $\sigma x = x + \alpha$ . We write  $dx$  for Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\mu$  will be the corresponding product measure on  $X$ . Clearly  $\mu$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ . With every finite sequence of continuous functions  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n, f_i : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ , we associate a homeomorphism  $F$  of  $X$  as follows:

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) = (x_1 + f_1(x_2), x_2 + f_2(x_3), \dots, x_n + f_n(x_{n+1}), x_{n+1}, \dots).$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all the homeomorphisms of  $X$  obtained in this way and let

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\sigma) = \{F^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Notice that if  $S = F^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ F$  where  $F$  is as above then

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x_1, x_2, \dots) = & (x_1 + \alpha_1 + f_1(x_2) - f_1(x_2 + \alpha_2 + f_2(x_3) - \dots \\
 & - f_{n-1}(x_n + \alpha_n + f_n(x_{n+1}) - f_n(x_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+1})) \dots), \\
 & x_2 + \alpha_2 + f_2(x_3) - f_2(x_3 + \alpha_3 + f_3(x_4) - \dots \quad (*) \\
 & - f_{n-1}(x_n + \alpha_n + f_n(x_{n+1}) - f_n(x_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+1})) \dots), \\
 & \vdots \\
 & x_n + \alpha_n + f_n(x_{n+1}) - f_n(x_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+1}), x_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+1}, x_{n+2} + \alpha_{n+2}, \dots).
 \end{aligned}$$

We consider  $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$  as a subset of the space of all homeomorphisms of  $X$  with the topology of uniform convergence of homeomorphisms and their inverses.  $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma) = \bar{\mathcal{S}}$  will be the closure of  $\mathcal{S}$  in this space.

Since each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  preserves  $\mu$  so do all the homeomorphisms in  $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ .

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $X_n = \mathbb{T}^{\{n, n+1, \dots\}}$  and for  $n < m$  let  $\pi_{n,m}$  be the projection of  $X_n$  onto  $X_m$ . Write  $\pi_n$  for  $\pi_{1,n}$ .

(2.1) LEMMA. Let  $S \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ , then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, x' \in X$  with  $\pi_n(x) = \pi_n(x')$  we have  $\pi_n(Sx) = \pi_n(Sx')$ . Thus  $S$  induces a homeomorphism  $S_n$  of  $X_n$  and  $(S, X) \xrightarrow{\pi_n} (S_n, X_n)$  is a flow homomorphism. Moreover, for every  $n$ ,  $(S_n, X_n) \xrightarrow{\pi_{n,n+1}} (S_{n+1}, X_{n+1})$  is a circle (hence an isometric) extension. The largest common factor of the flows  $(S_n, X_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , is the trivial flow.

Proof. Let  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $S$  has the form (\*) for some finite sequence  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . By considering (\*), it is clear that the first statement of the lemma holds for  $S$ .

Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense in  $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$  it also holds for every element of  $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ . For  $S \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we now define  $S_n$  by  $S_n(\pi_n(x)) = \pi_n(Sx)$ . We leave to the reader the easy verification of the remaining statements of the lemma. □

### 3. The sets $U_\phi(\eta)$

Let  $C(X)$  be the space of bounded continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$  with sup norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

$$C_1(X) = \left\{ f \in C(X) : \int f d\mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int |f|^2 d\mu = 1 \right\}.$$

For elements  $\phi, \psi \in L_2(\mu)$  we write

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int \phi \bar{\psi} d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi\|_2 = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle^{1/2}.$$

If  $T$  is a measure preserving homeomorphism of  $X$  and  $\phi \in L_2(\mu)$  then  $T\phi \in L_2(\mu)$  is defined by  $(T\phi)(x) = \phi(Tx)$ .

(3.1) LEMMA. Let  $T$  be a measure preserving homeomorphism of  $X$ . Then  $T$  is weakly mixing iff there exists a subset  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of  $C_1(X)$  which is dense in  $C_1(X)$  and such that  $\forall i \exists n$  with  $|\langle T^n \phi_i, \phi_i \rangle| \leq 0.99$ .

Proof. This follows easily from the fact that  $T$  is weakly mixing iff  $\forall \phi \in L_2(\mu)$

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |\langle T^k \phi, \phi \rangle - \langle \phi, 1 \rangle \langle 1, \phi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$$

iff  $T$  has a continuous spectrum on  $L_2(\mu)$ . □

For  $\phi \in C_1$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$  let

$$K_\phi(\eta) = \{T: T \text{ is a measure preserving homeomorphism of } X \text{ and} \\ \exists n \text{ with } |\langle T^n \phi, \phi \rangle| \leq \eta\},$$

and

$$U_\phi(\eta) = K_\phi(\eta) \cap \bar{\mathcal{F}}.$$

(3.2) LEMMA. Let  $\phi(x) = \sum c_{n_1 \dots n_k} \exp [2\pi i(n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_k x_k)]$  be an element of  $L_2(\mu)$  with  $\|\phi\|_2 = 1$  i.e. with  $\sum |c_{n_1 \dots n_k}|^2 = 1$ . Suppose that there exists an  $\eta, 0 < \eta < 1$ , such that for every index  $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k, |c_{n_1 \dots n_k}| \leq \eta$ ; then  $\sigma \in U_\phi(\eta)$ .

Proof. Let  $p_{n_1 \dots n_k} = |c_{n_1 \dots n_k}|^2$  and let  $\nu$  be the probability measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  defined by

$$\nu = \sum p_{n_1 \dots n_k} \delta_{n_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + n_k \alpha_k}.$$

For every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \sigma^j \phi, \phi \rangle| &= \left| \int \phi(\sigma^j x) \overline{\phi(x)} d\mu(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum c_{n_1 \dots n_k} \bar{c}_{m_1 \dots m_l} \int \dots \int \exp [2\pi i(n_1(x_1 + j\alpha_1) + \dots + n_k(x_k + j\alpha_k))] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \exp [2\pi i(-m_1 x_1 - \dots - m_l x_l)] dx_1 \dots dx_{\max(k,l)} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum |c_{n_1 \dots n_k}|^2 \exp [2\pi i j(n_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + n_k \alpha_k)] \right| \\ &= \left| \int \exp [2\pi i j x] d\nu(x) \right| = |\hat{\nu}(j)|. \end{aligned}$$

By Wiener's theorem

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{-N}^N |\hat{\nu}(j)|^2 = \sum p_{n_1 \dots n_k}^2 = \sum |c_{n_1 \dots n_k}|^4 \leq \eta^2 \sum p_{n_1 \dots n_k} = \eta^2.$$

Thus for some  $n, |\hat{\nu}(n)|^2 = |\langle \sigma^n \phi, \phi \rangle|^2 \leq \eta^2$  and  $|\langle \sigma^n \phi, \phi \rangle| \leq \eta$ . □

(3.3) LEMMA. Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}, \phi \in C_1$  then  $F^{-1}K_\phi(\eta)F = K_{\phi \circ F}(\eta)$ .

Proof. For  $S \in K_\phi$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle FS^n F^{-1} \phi, \phi \rangle &= \int \phi(FS^n F^{-1} x) \overline{\phi(x)} d\mu(x) = \int \phi(FS^n x) \overline{\phi(Fx)} d\mu(x) \\ &= \langle S^n(\phi \circ F), \phi \circ F \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1}K_\phi(\eta)F &= \{S: S \text{ is a measure preserving homeomorphism of } X \text{ and} \\ &\quad \exists n, |\langle FS^n F^{-1} \phi, \phi \rangle| \leq \eta\} \\ &= K_{\phi \circ F}(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(3.4) LEMMA. Let  $g$  be a continuous real-valued function on  $\mathbb{T}$  which is twice differentiable and assume that  $g''$  has only finitely many zeros, then

$$\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sup_m \left| \int \exp [2\pi i (ng(x) - mx)] dx \right| \right\} = 0.$$

*Proof.* This follows easily from van-der-Corput’s lemma; see, for example [6, p. 220]. □

4. The main theorem

(4.1) THEOREM. *There exists a dense  $G_\delta$  subset,  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$  such that for every  $S \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $(S, X)$  is strictly ergodic (hence minimal) and weakly mixing.*

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma$  is strictly ergodic on  $X$  so is every homeomorphism of  $X$  of the form  $F^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ F$  ( $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ). Thus for every  $f \in C(X)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set

$$E_{f,\varepsilon} = \left\{ S \in \bar{\mathcal{F}} : \exists n \text{ and } c \text{ with } \left\| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n f(S^k x) - c \right\| < \varepsilon \right\},$$

which is clearly open, is also dense in  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ . Put  $\mathcal{R}_1 = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty E_{f_i, 1/n}$ , where  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a dense subset of  $C(X)$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$  which consists of strictly ergodic homeomorphisms.

We shall show next that for every  $\phi \in C_1$ ,  $U_\phi(0.99)$  is an open and dense subset of  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ . If  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a dense subset of  $C_1$  then, by lemma 3.1, every homeomorphism in  $\mathcal{R}_2 = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty U_{\phi_i}(0.99)$  is weakly mixing and we can put  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2$ .

So let  $\phi \in C_1$ ; clearly  $U_\phi(0.99)$  is open. To see that it is dense it suffices to show that, for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$F^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ F \in \overline{K_\phi(0.99) \cap \mathcal{F}} \subset \overline{K_\phi(0.99) \cap \bar{\mathcal{F}}} = \overline{U_\phi(0.99)}.$$

If  $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{F}$  is a sequence such that  $G_n \circ \sigma \circ G_n^{-1} \rightarrow \sigma$  and such that  $\forall n \ G_n \circ \sigma \circ G_n^{-1} \in K_{\phi \circ F}(0.99)$  then clearly

$$F \circ \sigma \circ F^{-1} = \lim_n F \circ G_n \circ \sigma \circ G_n^{-1} \circ F^{-1} \in \overline{K_\phi(0.99) \cap \mathcal{F}} \quad (\text{lemma 3.3}).$$

Thus it is enough to prove the following

(4.2) LEMMA. *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  with*

- (i)  $d(G \circ \sigma \circ G^{-1}, \sigma) < \varepsilon$ .
- (ii)  $G \circ \sigma \circ G^{-1} \in K_\phi(0.99)$  i.e.  $\sigma \in K_{\phi \circ G}(0.99)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(x) = \sum c_{n_1 \dots n_k} \exp [2\pi i(n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_k x_k)]$  be the Fourier expansion of  $\phi$  in  $L_2(\mu)$ . If for every  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ ,  $|c_{n_1 \dots n_k}| \leq 0.99$ , by lemma 3.2, we have  $\sigma \in K_\phi(0.99)$  and we can take  $G = id$ . Otherwise, there is exactly one index  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  such that  $|c_{n_1 \dots n_k}| > 0.99$ . Denote  $c_{n_1 \dots n_k}$  by  $c_0$  and let  $\phi_1 = c_0 \exp (n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_k x_k)$  and  $\phi_2 = \phi - \phi_1$ . Then  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in L_2(\mu)$  and  $1 = \|\phi\|_2^2 = \|\phi_1\|_2^2 + \|\phi_2\|_2^2$ . Since  $\|\phi_1\|_2^2 = |c_0|^2 > 0.9801$ ,  $\|\phi_2\|_2^2 \leq 0.02$  and  $\|\phi_2\|_2 \leq 0.2$ . Now, for every  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \sigma^n(\phi \circ G), \phi \circ G \rangle| &\leq |\langle \sigma^n(\phi_1 \circ G), \phi_1 \circ G \rangle| + |\langle \sigma^n(\phi_2 \circ G), \phi_2 \circ G \rangle| \\ &\quad + |\langle \sigma^n(\phi_1 \circ G), \phi_2 \circ G \rangle| + |\langle \sigma^n(\phi_2 \circ G), \phi_1 \circ G \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle \sigma^n(\phi_1 \circ G), \phi_1 \circ G \rangle| + 3\|\phi_2\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in order to establish (ii) it is enough to find  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $n$  for which  $|\langle \sigma^n(\phi_1 \circ G), \phi_1 \circ G \rangle| \leq 0.3$ ; or putting  $\phi_0 = \phi_1/c_0$  – since  $|c_0| \leq 1$  – it suffices to show that  $|\langle \sigma^n(\phi_0 \circ G), \phi_0 \circ G \rangle| \leq 0.3$ , i.e. that  $\sigma \in K_{\phi_0 \circ G}(0.3)$ .

But  $\phi_0$  and hence also  $\psi = \phi_0 \circ G$  are in  $C_1$  and, by lemma 3.2, it suffices to find  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  such that for every  $(m_1, \dots, m_l)$

$$|\langle \phi_0 \circ G, \exp[2\pi i(m_1x_1 + \dots + m_lx_l)] \rangle| \leq 0.3.$$

Let  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  have the form

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots) = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k + f(x_{k+1}), x_{k+1}, \dots).$$

Then, for every  $(m_1, \dots, m_l)$

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle \phi_0 \circ G, \exp [2\pi i(m_1x_1 + \dots + m_lx_l)] \rangle| \\ &= \exp [2\pi i(n_1x_1 + \dots + n_kx_k + n_kf(x_{k+1}))], \exp [2\pi i(m_1x_1 + \dots + m_lx_l)] \\ &= \delta_0 \left| \int \exp [2\pi i(n_kf(x_{k+1}) - m_{k+1}x_{k+1})] dx_{k+1} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_0$  is either 0 or 1.

Let  $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be twice differentiable and such that  $g''$  has only finitely many zeros. Then, by lemma 3.4, we shall have, for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\left| \int \exp [2\pi i(n_k n_0 g(x) - m_{k+1}x)] dx \right| \leq 0.3$$

Finally, let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $|x - x'| < \delta \Rightarrow |n_0g(x) - n_0g(x')| < \varepsilon$  and let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $|q\alpha_{k+1}| < \delta$ . Define  $f(x) = n_0g(qx)$ : then for every  $x \in \mathbb{T}$   $|f(x + a_{k+1}) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  and for

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots) = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k + f(x_{k+1}), x_{k+1}, \dots)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ G(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots) &= (x_1 + \alpha_1, \dots, x_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1}, x_k + f(x_{k+1}) + \alpha_k \\ &\quad - f(x_{k+1} + \alpha_{k+1}), x_{k+1} + \alpha_{k+1}, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

and  $d(\sigma, G^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ G) < \varepsilon$ .

The set of non-zero Fourier coefficients of  $\exp [2\pi i n_k n_0 g(x)]$  is the same as that of  $\exp [2\pi i n_k f(x)]$  and the proof of the lemma is complete. This also completes the proof of theorem 4.1. □

### 5. Disjointness

Let  $u$  be an idempotent in a minimal ideal  $M$  of  $\beta\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $S \in \mathcal{R}$  (see theorem 4.1) and let  $x_0$  be a point of the flow  $(S, X)$  with  $ux_0 = x_0$ . Write  $A = A_1 = \mathcal{I}(S, X, x_0)$ , the Ellis group of the pointed flow  $(S, X, x_0)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $A_n = \mathcal{I}(S_n, X_n, \pi_n(x_0))$ . Notice that the fact that the largest common factor of the flows  $(S_n, X_n)$  is the trivial flow implies that  $\bigcup A_n$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $G = uM$ . We let  $P$  denote the Ellis group of the universal p.w.m.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flow.

Since, for each  $n$ ,  $X_n$  is an isometric extension (and hence an almost periodic extension) of  $X_{n+1}$  we have

$$H(A_{n+1}) \subset A_n \quad [5, \text{theorem IX, 2.1(4)}].$$

Since  $P$  is p.w.m. we have, for each  $n$ ,

$$H(A_{n+1})(P \cap A_{n+1}) = A_{n+1}. \quad [3].$$

Thus  $A_n(P \cap A_{n+1}) = A_{n+1}$  and  $A_n P \supset A_{n+1}$ . Now  $A_n P = A_n P P \supset A_{n+1} P = A_{n+1} P P \supset A_{n+2} P$  and, by induction, we have for every  $n$ ,  $A P \supset A_n$ . Since  $\text{cl } s, \bigcup A_n = G$  we conclude that  $A P = G$ . This, for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flows, implies disjointness and we have proved the following theorem.

(5.1) THEOREM. *For every element  $S \in \mathcal{R}$ , the weakly mixing minimal flow  $(S, X)$  is disjoint from every p.w.m. flow.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Auslander, L. Green & F. Hahn. *Flows on Homogeneous Spaces*. Ann. of Math. Studies no. 53. Princeton University Press: Princeton, 1963.
- [2] H. Furstenberg. The structure of distal flows. *Amer. J. Math.* **85** (1963), 477–515.
- [3] R. Ellis & S. Glasner. Pure weak mixing. *T.A.M.S.* **243** (1978), 135–146.
- [4] S. Glasner. *Proximal Flows*. Springer Lecture Notes in Math. no. 517. Springer: Berlin, 1976.
- [5] S. Glasner & B. Weiss. On the construction of minimal skew products. *Israel J. Math.* (to appear).
- [6] Y. Katznelson. *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*. Wiley: New York, 1968.