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#### Abstract

In [2], Erdös showed that the counting function $A(n)$ of a $B_{2}$-sequence satisfies $\underline{\lim } A(N) \log ^{1 / 2} / n^{1 / 2}<\infty$. Here it is shown that $A(n)$ satisfies an analogous relationship for $B_{4}$-sequences! $\underline{\lim A(n)} \log ^{1 / 4} n / n^{1 / 4}<\infty$.


Notation and terminology. $A$ denotes a set of positive integers. $n A=\left\{a_{1}+a_{2}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots+a_{n} \mid a_{i} \in A\right\} . A(n)=|A \cap\{1,2,, \ldots, n\}| . A$ is a $B_{4}$-sequence if the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{k}, a_{1} \leqq a_{2} \leqq \cdots \leqq a_{k}, a_{i} \in A, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at most one solution for all $n$.

Introduction. In [2], Erdös showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\left.\lim A(n) \log ^{1 / 2} n / n^{1 / 2}<\infty\right) .} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $B_{2}$-sequences. I will show that the analogous relationship
for all $B_{4}$-sequences.
Let $A$ be a $B_{4}$-sequence, so that $A(N) \ll N^{1 / 4}$. Then $A$ is also a $B_{2}$-sequence (as well as a $B_{3}$-sequence) and therefore, if $n$ is large enough,

$$
(2 A)(n) \geqq\binom{ A[n / 2]}{2} \geqq A\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)^{2} .
$$

Thus (3) would follow at once from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\lim (2 A)(n) \log ^{1 / 2} / n^{1 / 2}<\infty ; ~} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (4) would be true if $2 A$ were a $B_{2}$-sequence. While this is not the case $-(a+c)+$ $(b+d)=(a+b)+(c+d)=(a+d)+(b+c)-$ we shall see that $2 A$ is close enough in structure to a $B_{2}$-sequence for Erdös' proof of (2) to apply.

[^0]Lemma 1 below contains the essence of Erdös' argument.
Lemma 1. Let $C$ be any sequence of positive integers and let $D_{l}$ denote the number of elements of $C$ in the interval $(l-1) N<c \leqq l N,(l=1,2, \ldots, N)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{N} D_{l}^{2} \ll N \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\lim C(n)} \log ^{1 / 2} n / n^{1 / 2}<\infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (See [1], pp. 89-90.)
Let $\tau_{A}(N)=\int_{n \geqq N} A(n)(\log n / n)^{1 / 2}$. We shall show that $\tau_{A}(N) \ll 1$, where the implied constant is absolute. By Cauchy's inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{l}\right)\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N} D_{l}^{2}\right) \geqq\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{D_{l}}{l^{1 / 2}}\right)^{2} . \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{D_{l}}{l^{1 / 2}} & =\sum_{l=1}^{N}(A(l N)-A((l-1) N)) \frac{1}{l^{1 / 2}} \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{N} A(l N)\left(\frac{1}{l^{1 / 2}}-\frac{1}{(l+1)^{1 / 2}}\right)+\frac{A\left(N^{2}\right)}{(N+1)^{1 / 2}} \\
& \geqq \tau_{A}(N) \sum_{l=1}^{N}\left(\frac{l N}{\log l N}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{l^{1 / 2}}-\frac{1}{(l+1)^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
& \gg \tau_{A}(N)\left(\frac{N}{\log N}\right)^{1 / 2} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting in (A), we obtain

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{N} D_{l}^{2} \gg N \tau_{A}^{2}(N)
$$

and (4) now yields the required inequality $\tau_{A}(N) \ll 1$.
Thus if (5) is true when $C=2 A$, (4) holds and (3) follows. Accordingly, we study the strictly positive differences of elements from $2 A$ in blocks of length $N,[(l-1), I N]$, $1 \leqq l \leqq N$, just as Erdös did when proving (5) for $B_{2}$-sequences. Since

$$
4\binom{D_{l}}{2} \leqq D_{l}^{2}
$$

except when $D_{l}=1$, we have

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{N} D_{l}^{2} \leqq 4 \sum_{l=1}^{N}\binom{D_{l}}{2}+\sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ D_{l}=1}}^{N} 1 \leqq 4 \sum_{l=1}^{N}\binom{D_{l}}{2}+N
$$

and (5) will follow from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{N}\binom{D_{l}}{2} \ll N \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that there are precisely

$$
\binom{D_{l}}{2}
$$

positive differences that can be formed from elements of $2 A$ in the $l$-th block, and that the difference lies in $(0, N]$. Thus, if

$$
S=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right): a_{i} \in A, a_{i} \leqq N^{2}, 1 \leqq a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{3}-a_{4} \leqq N\right\}
$$

then

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{N}\binom{D_{l}}{2} \leqq|S|
$$

so that to prove (7) it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S| \ll N . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide the 4-tuples in $S$ into two classes: the first class to consist of those 4-tuples that satisfy, in addition to the conditions implicit in the definition of $S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} \neq a_{3}, a_{1} \neq a_{4}, a_{2} \neq a_{3}, a_{2} \neq a_{4} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the second class to contain the remaining 4 -tuples.
Consider the 4 -tuples from the first class. If $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}\right)$ and $\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ belong to the first class and are such that

$$
a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{3}-a_{4}=a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2}^{\prime}-a_{3}^{\prime}-a_{4}^{\prime}
$$

then $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}^{\prime}+a_{4}^{\prime}=a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2}^{\prime}+a_{3}+a_{4}$; by the $B_{4}$-property of $A$ it follows that the numbers $a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ form a permutation of the numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}^{\prime}, a_{4}^{\prime}$. In view of (9), this can only hold in the four cases $\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}, a_{3}^{\prime}, a_{4}^{\prime}\right)=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right),\left(a_{2}, a_{1}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)$, $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{4}, a_{3}\right)$ or ( $a_{2}, a_{1}, a_{4}, a_{3}$ ). Thus, for each $n, 1 \leqq n \leqq N$, there are at most 4tuples $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}\right)$ in $S$ of the first class with $a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{3}-a_{4}=n$. The contribution to $|S|$ from the first class is therefore at most $4 N$.

We now turn to the 4-tuples in $S$ of the second class, i.e., those 4-tuples ( $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}$ ) for which one of the conditions in (9) is violated. Assume, for example, that the first condition fails, so that $a_{1}=a_{3}$ and

$$
a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{3}-a_{4}=a_{2}-a_{4} .
$$

The contribution of such 4-tuples to $|S|$ is equal to $A\left(N^{2}\right)$ - the number of choices of $a_{1}$ - times the cardinality $|T|$ of the set

$$
T=\left\{\left(a_{2}, a_{4}\right): a_{i} \in A, a_{i} \leqq N^{2}, 1 \leqq a_{2}-a_{4} \leqq N\right\}
$$

The same bound applies in the case of any one of the remaining three conditions in (9) being violated, so that altogether there are at most $4 A\left(N^{2}\right)|T| 4$-tuples in the second class. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S| \leqq 4 N+4 A\left(N^{2}\right)|T| ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(N^{2}\right) \ll N^{1 / 2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the desired bound (8) follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T| \ll N^{1 / 2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to prove (12). Observe that
(13) $\binom{|T|}{2} \leqq \#\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right): a_{i} \in A, a_{i} \leqq N^{2}, 1 \leqq a_{4}-a_{2}<a_{1}-a_{3} \leqq N\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqq \#\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right): a_{i} \in A, a_{i} \leqq N^{2}, 1 \leqq\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)-\left(a_{4}-a_{2}\right) \leqq N\right\} \\
& =|S| .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $|T| \geqq 2$ we have

$$
|T|^{2} \ll\binom{|T|}{2}
$$

and we obtain, substituting (11) and (13) into (10),

$$
|T|^{2} \ll N+N^{1 / 2}|T| .
$$

This implies (12), and the proof of (8) - and therefore also of (3) - is now complete.
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